

C: CoC Groups and Meetings Chart

| CoC Planning Groups | | Meeting Frequency (check only one column) | | | | Enter the number of organizations/entities that are members of each CoC planning group listed on this chart. |
|--|--|--|--------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| | | At Least Monthly | At Least Quarterly | At Least Biannually | Annually | |
| CoC Primary Decision-Making Group (list only one group) | | | | | | |
| Name: | Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) | | | | | 12 |
| Role: | Coordination of Regional efforts for issues affecting all of the jurisdictions in Southern Nevada | | | | | |
| Other CoC Committees, Sub-Committees, Workgroups, etc. | | | | | | |
| Name: | Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition—Committee on Homelessness (SNRPC-COH) | X | | | | 12 |
| Role: | Regional Coordination of Homeless Services | | | | | |
| Name: | SNRPC-COH Continuum of Care Technical Advisory Board (CoCTAB) | | | X | | 23 |
| Role: | Review and prioritize the CoC project applications; ongoing CoC planning and evaluation | | | | | |
| Name: | SNRPC-COH--HMIS Steering Committee | X | | | | 8 |
| Role: | To guide the implementation of HMIS use throughout the CoC and address issues as they arise. | | | | | |
| Name: | SNRPC-COH Evaluation Working Group | X | | | | 11 |
| Role: | Recommendation on regional RFP's for homeless services; evaluation of overall effort | | | | | |
| Name: | SNRPC-COH Public Awareness Working Group | X | | | | 5 |
| Role: | Work to increase public awareness of homelessness and put a face on homelessness | | | | | |
| Name: | SNRPC-COH Housing Workgroup | X | | | | 12 |
| Role: | Work to increase the permanent housing stock for homeless and extremely low income. | | | | | |
| Name: | SNRPC--Workforce Housing Sub-Committee | X | | | | 12 |
| Role: | Address the local housing issues and coordination between the 3 housing authorities in the CoC | | | | | |
| Name: | Nevada Homeless Alliance | | X | | | 11 |
| Role: | Advocacy and Coalition building | | | | | |
| Name: | City of Las Vegas Citizens Advisory Board | | | X | | 26 |
| Role: | Guide use of CDBG, ESG and HOME funds | | | | | |
| Name: | Clark County Community Development Advisory Committee | | | X | | 33 |
| Role: | Guide use of CDBG, ESG and HOME funds | | | | | |
| Name: | Consortium of Homeless Youth providers | | X | | | 5 |
| Role: | Coordinate around homeless youth issues and conduct community-wide needs assessment for homeless youth. | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|--|--|-----|
| Name: | Ready for Life –success by 25 focus | X | | | | 100 |
| Role: | Keep kids engaged, homeless prevention, foster care prevention | | | | | |
| Name: | Consortium For Housing Programming | | X | | | 8 |
| Role: | Discuss and address jurisdictional issues surrounding housing | | | | | |
| Name: | Southern Nevada Workforce Investment Board | X | | | | 30 |
| Role: | Develop education and workforce system to move the unemployed into employment | | | | | |
| Name: | City of North Las Vegas Citizens Advisory Committee | | X | | | 7 |
| Role: | Guide use of CDBG and HOME funds | | | | | |
| Name: | AdHoc Encampment working groups | X | | | | 13 |
| Role: | Protocols and guidelines to handle encampments; intervention services | | | | | |

D: CoC Planning Process Organizations Chart

| | Specific Names of All CoC Organizations | Geographic Area Represented | Subpopulations Represented, if any* (no more than 2 per organization) |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| PUBLIC SECTOR | STATE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES | | |
| | Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health | 329003 | SMI |
| | LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES | | |
| | Clark County Social Service | 329003 | |
| | City of Las Vegas | 320108 | |
| | City of Henderson | 320096 | |
| | City of North Las Vegas | 320138 | |
| | City of Boulder City | BOULDER CITY | |
| | PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCIES | | |
| | Clark County Housing Authority | 329003 | |
| | Las Vegas Housing Authority | 320108 | |
| | North Las Vegas Housing Authority | 320138 | |
| | SCHOOL SYSTEMS / UNIVERSITIES | | |
| | Clark County School District | 329003 | Y |
| | University of Nevada Las Vegas | 329003 | |
| | LAW ENFORCEMENT / CORRECTIONS | | |
| | Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department | 329003 | |
| | Nevada Department of Corrections | 329003 | |
| | North Las Vegas Police Department | 320138 | |
| | Henderson Police Department | 320096 | |
| | Boulder City Police Department | BOULDER CITY | |
| | City of Las Vegas Detention and Enforcement | 320108 | |
| | Clark County Park Police | 329003 | |
| | LOCAL WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT (WIA) BOARDS | | |
| | Southern Nevada Workforce Investment Board | 329003 | |
| | OTHER | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|-----|-----|
| | Veteran's Administration | 329003 | VET | |
| PRIVATE SECTOR | NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS | | | |
| | Boys & Girls Town | 329003 | Y | |
| | Caminar | 329003 | HIV | SMI |
| | Catholic Charities/ St. Vincent HELP | 320108 | | |
| | Center for Independent Living | 320108 | Y | |
| | Emergency Aid of Boulder City | BOULDER CITY | | |
| | Las Vegas Rescue Mission | 320108 | | |
| | Las Vegas/Clark County Urban League | 329003 | | |
| | Lutheran Social Services | 320108 | | |
| | Mojave Mental Health | 329003 | SMI | |
| | Henderson Allied Community Advocates (HACA) | 320096 | | |
| | HELP Las Vegas Corp. | 320108 | | |
| | HELP of Southern Nevada | 329003 | | |
| | Nevada Hand | 320096 | | |
| | Nevada Partners | 329003 | | |
| | Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth | 329003 | Y | |
| | S.A.F.E House | 329003 | DV | |
| | Safe Nest | 320108 | DV | |
| | Salvation Army | 320108 | SMI | |
| | Straight from the Streets | 329003 | | |
| | The Key Foundation | 320108 | VET | SMI |
| | The Shade Tree | 320108 | DV | |
| | US Vets | 320108 | VET | |
| | Westcare | 329003 | SA | |
| | Women's Development Center | 320108 | DV | |
| | FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS | | | |
| | Central Christian | 320096 | | |
| | St. Timothy's Episcopal Church | 320096 | | |
| | Family Promise | 329003 | | |
| | FUNDERS / ADVOCACY GROUPS | | | |
| | Nevada Homeless Alliance | 329003 | | |
| | BUSINESSES (BANKS, DEVELOPERS, BUSINESS ASSOCIATIONS, ETC.) | | | |
| | Bank One | 329003 | | |
| | Harrah's | 329003 | | |
| | NV Construction Services | 329003 | | |
| | Nevada State Bank | 329003 | | |
| | Prudential Americana Group Realtors | 329003 | | |
| | HSBC Bank Nevada | 320108 | | |
| | American Family Insurance | 329003 | | |
| | Focus Las Vegas | 320108 | | |
| | HOSPITALS / MEDICAL REPRESENTATIVES | | | |
| | Nevada Health Centers | 329003 | | |
| HOMELESS / FORMERLY HOMELESS PERSONS | | | | |
| Paula Cremeens-Rudeen | 320108 | | | |
| Larry Williams | 329003 | | | |
| OTHER | | | | |

E: CoC Governing Structure Chart

HUD is considering establishing standards for the governing process and structure of Continuums of Care. As part of this consideration, HUD is gathering information on existing governing structures and processes in CoCs. Specifically, this chart asks for information about the primary decision-making group that you identified in Chart C: CoC Groups and Meetings Chart.

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| <p>1. Is the CoC's primary decision-making body a legally recognized organization (check one)?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, a 501(c)(3)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, a 501(c)(4)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, other – specify: <u>Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition</u></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No, not legally recognized</p> | |
| <p>2. If your CoC were provided with additional administrative funds from HUD, would the primary decision-making body, or an agent designated by it (e.g. a city or non-profit organization), be able to be responsible for activities such as applying for HUD funding and serving as the grantee, providing project oversight, and monitoring? Explain.</p> <p>Yes. Clark County Social Service is the responsible fiscal/administrative agent for the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC). This board has its own budget that is derived from contributions from each of the jurisdictions as well as grant funds from the State of Nevada to incubate pilot projects.</p> | |
| <p>3. What percentage of the decision-making body membership represents the private sector, including non-profit providers, homeless or formerly homeless persons, advocates and consumer interests, etc.?</p> | <p><u>45</u> %</p> |
| <p>4a. Indicate how the members of the primary decision-making body are selected (check all that apply):</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Elected <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned/Volunteer</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appointed <input type="checkbox"/> Other – specify: _____</p> | |
| <p>4b. Briefly explain the selection process. (For example, if 5 members are appointed and 6 are elected, explain why this process was established and describe how it works.)</p> <p>The 19 elected officials sit on the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) who then appoints a 12 member representation advisory committee, the Committee on Homelessness (SNRPC-COH) to</p> | |
| <p>5. Indicate how the leaders of the primary decision-making body are selected (check all that apply):</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Elected <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned/Volunteer</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Appointed <input type="checkbox"/> Other – specify: _____</p> | |

F: CoC Project Review and Selection Chart

| | |
|---|--|
| 1. Open Solicitation | |
| a. Newspapers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | d. Outreach to Faith-Based Groups <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Letters/Emails to CoC Membership <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | e. Announcements at CoC Meetings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Responsive to Public Inquiries <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | f. Announcements at Other Meetings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Objective Rating Measures and Performance Assessment | |
| a. CoC Rating & Review Committee Exists <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | j. Assess Spending (fast or slow) <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Review CoC Monitoring Findings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | k. Assess Cost Effectiveness <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Review HUD Monitoring Findings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | l. Assess Provider Organization Experience <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d. Review Independent Audit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | m. Assess Provider Organization Capacity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| e. Review HUD APR for Performance Results <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | n. Evaluate Project Presentation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| f. Review Unexecuted Grants <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | o. Review CoC Membership Involvement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| g. Site Visit(s) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | p. Review Match <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| h. Survey Clients <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | q. Review All Leveraging Letters (to ensure that they meet HUD requirements) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| i. Evaluate Project Readiness <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| 3. Voting/Decision System | |
| a. Unbiased Panel / Review Committee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | d. One Vote per Organization <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Consumer Representative Has a Vote <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | e. Consensus (general agreement) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c. All CoC Members Present Can Vote <input type="checkbox"/> | f. Voting Members Abstain if Conflict of Interest <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

G: CoC Written Complaints Chart

| | |
|--|---|
| Were there any written complaints received by the CoC regarding any CoC matter in the last 12 months? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| If Yes, briefly describe the complaints and how they were resolved. | |
| | |

Part II: CoC Housing and Service Needs

H: CoC Services Inventory Chart

| (1) Provider Organizations | (2) Prevention | | | | | (3) Outreach | | | (4) Supportive Services | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|
| | Mortgage Assistance | Rental Assistance | Utilities Assistance | Counseling/Advocacy | Legal Assistance | Street Outreach | Mobile Clinic | Law Enforcement | Case Management | Life Skills | Alcohol & Drug Abuse | Mental Health Counseling | Healthcare | HIV/AIDS | Education | Employment | Child Care | Transportation |
| AARP Senior Employment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| Aid for AIDS of Nevada | | X | X | | | | | X | | | | | | X | | | | X |
| Bridge Counseling Associates | | | | X | | | | | | | X | | | | | X | | |
| Caminar | | | | | | | | X | | | X | | X | | | | | |
| Cambridge Community Clinic | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada | | X | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | X | X | X |
| Center for Independent Living | | | | X | | | | X | X | X | | | | X | | | | X |
| Central Christian Church-Community Care | | X | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| City of Las Vegas Emergency Housing Assistance Program | | X | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| City of Las Vegas - EVOLVE | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | X | | X |
| Clark County Family Services | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | X | | | | |
| Clark County Health District | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | |
| Clark County Housing Authority | | X | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | |
| Clark County Public Guardian | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clark County School District | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | X | | | | X |
| Clark County Social Service | X | X | X | | | X | | X | X | | | X | X | | X | | | X |
| Clinic on Wheels | | | | | | | X | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Community College of Southern Nevada | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | X | | | | |
| Community Counseling Center | | | | | | | | X | | X | X | | X | | | | | |
| Community Partners Child Care | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | |
| Computer Assisted Literacy in the Libraries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| Courtney Children's Foundation | | | | | | X | | X | X | | | X | | X | | | | |
| Diversity Leadership Institute | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Division of Welfare | | X | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | X | X | X |
| Emergency Aid of Boulder City | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| Enterprise Health Care | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |

CoC Housing Inventory and Unmet Needs

I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts

| Emergency Shelter: Fundamental Components in CoC System – Housing Inventory Chart | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|------------|----|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|------|
| Provider Name | Facility Name* | HMIS Part. Code | Number of Year-Round Beds in HMIS | | Geo Code <input type="checkbox"/> | Target Pop | | Year-Round | | | Total Year-Round Beds | Other Beds | |
| | *Place an asterisk after the facility name if it receives HUD McKinney-Vento dollars. | | Ind. | Fam. | | A | B | Fam. Units | Fam. Beds | Indiv. Beds | | Seasonal | O/V* |
| Current Inventory | | | Ind. | Fam. | | | | | | | | | |
| (Available for Occupancy on or before Jan. 31, 2006) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Catholic Charities | Emergency Shelter | PA | 200 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 0 |
| Catholic Charities | Program Shelter | PS | 0 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | | 0 | 0 | 560 | 560 | 0 | 0 |
| Center for Independent Living | Emergency Shelter | PA | 12 | 0 | 320108 | M | -- | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency Aid of Boulder City | Motel vouchers | PA | 0 | 0 | Boulder City | M | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Girls & Boys Town | Shelter beds | D | 0 | 0 | 329003 | YMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| HACA | Inclement Weather | PA | 0 | 0 | 320096 | M | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Las Vegas Rescue Mission | Emergency Shelter | D | 0 | 0 | 320108 | M | -- | 6 | 21 | 37 | 58 | 17 | 0 |
| SAFE House | Victims of Domestic Violence | DV | 0 | 0 | 329003 | FC | DV | 16 | 54 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| Salvation Army | Emergency Shelter Services | PS | 114 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 209 | 209 | 0 | 73 |
| The Shade Tree | Emergency Shelter | PA | 80 | 84 | 320108 | M | -- | 21 | 84 | 80 | 164 | 0 | 0 |
| Westcare | CTC-Detox | PA | 56 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 56 | 56 | 0 | 0 |
| Westcare | Stepping Stones--Youth Shelter | PA | 20 | 0 | 320108 | YM | -- | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Westcare | Mental Health Crisis Unit | PS | 0 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| SUBTOTALS: | | | 482 | 84 | SUBTOTAL CURRENT INVENTORY: | | | 43 | 159 | 1217 | 1376 | 217 | 94 |

| New Inventory in Place in 2006 (Available for Occupancy Feb. 1, 2006 – Jan. 31, 2007) | | | | Ind. | Fam. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|---|---|
| Westcare | Butterfly Shelter | PA | 15 | 0 | 320108 | YF | -- | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | |
| SUBTOTALS: | | | | 15 | 0 | SUBTOTAL NEW INVENTORY: | | | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Inventory Under Development (Available for Occupancy after January 31, 2007) | | | | Anticipated Occupancy Date | | | | | | | | | | |
| SUBTOTAL INVENTORY UNDER DEVELOPMENT: | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Unmet Need | | UNMET NEED TOTALS: | | | | | | -9 | -58 | 398 | 340 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total Year-Round Beds—Individuals | | | | | Total Year-Round Beds—Families | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Total Year-Round Individual Emergency Shelter (ES) Beds: | | | 1391 | 6. Total Year-Round Family Emergency Shelter (ES) Beds: | | | | | 159 | | | | | |
| 2. Number of DV Year-Round Individual ES Beds: | | | 0 | 7. Number of DV Year-Round Family ES Beds: | | | | | 54 | | | | | |
| 3. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual ES Beds (Line 1 minus Line 2): | | | 1391 | 8. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family ES Beds (Line 6 minus Line 7): | | | | | 105 | | | | | |
| 4. Total Year-Round Individual ES Beds in HMIS: | | | 497 | 9. Total Year-Round Family ES Beds in HMIS | | | | | 84 | | | | | |
| 5. HMIS Coverage—Individual ES Beds (Divide Line 4 by Line 3 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number): | | | 36% | 10. HMIS Coverage—Family ES Beds (Divide Line 9 by Line 8 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number): | | | | | 80% | | | | | |

*In the column labeled "O/V," enter the number of Overflow and Voucher Beds

I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts

Transitional Housing: Fundamental Components in CoC System – Housing Inventory Chart

| Provider Name | Facility Name* | HMIS Part. Code | Number of Year-Round Beds in HMIS | | Geo Code <input type="checkbox"/> | Target Pop | | Year-Round | | | Total Year-Round Beds |
|---|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|------------|-----|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|
| | *Place an asterisk after the facility name if it receives HUD McKinney-Vento dollars. | | Ind. | Fam. | | A | B | Fam. Units | Fam. Beds | Indiv. Beds | |
| Current Inventory (Available for Occupancy on or before January 31, 2006) | | | Ind. | Fam. | | | | | | | |
| Catholic Charities | St. Vincent/HELP* | PA | 120 | 0 | 320108 | SM | -- | 0 | 0 | 120 | 120 |
| Catholic Charities | Homeless to Home | PS | 0 | 0 | 329003 | M | -- | 73 | 146 | 24 | 170 |
| Center for Independent Living | Transitional Housing Program | PA | 34 | 0 | 320108 | M | -- | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 |
| Clark County Social Service | Financial Assistance Service (FAS) | N | 0 | 0 | 329003 | M | -- | 155 | 543 | 978 | 1521 |
| Family Promise (IHN) | Emergency/Transitional Sheltering | PA | 0 | 100 | 329003 | FC | -- | 27 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Henderson Allied Community Advocates | LIHFT | PS | 0 | 0 | 320096 | FC | -- | 35 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| Henderson Allied Community Advocates | County CDBG | PA | 0 | 25 | 320096 | FC | -- | 15 | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| Henderson Allied Community Advocates | City Group | PS | 0 | 0 | 320096 | FC | -- | 8 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| HELP Las Vegas Corp. | HELP @ Bonanza | PA | 75 | 0 | 320108 | | VET | 0 | 0 | 75 | 75 |
| HELP of Southern Nevada | TBRA | PA | | | 329003 | M | -- | 5 | 35 | 5 | 40 |
| Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth | Transitional housing | PA | 7 | 0 | 329003 | YM | -- | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| The Key Foundation | Housing for veterans | PA | 16 | 0 | 320108 | SM | VET | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| SAFE House | Transitional Program | DV | 0 | 0 | 329003 | FC | DV | 25 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Safe Nest | DV shelter | DV | 0 | 0 | 320108 | M | DV | 17 | 62 | 41 | 103 |
| Salvation Army | Path | PA | 34 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----|------|------|------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| Salvation Army | Safe Haven * | PA | 25 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Salvation Army | Lied Apartments | PS | 0 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 70 | 70 |
| Salvation Army | Pathways Group Home | PA | 42 | 0 | 320108 | | -- | 0 | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| The Shade Tree | 3 rd floor TH | PA | 40 | 84 | 320108 | M | -- | 21 | 84 | 40 | 124 |
| US Vets | Veterans In Progress (VIP)* | PA | 118 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | VET | 0 | 0 | 118 | 118 |
| Westcare | Healthy Families | PS | 0 | 0 | 320108 | FC | -- | 7 | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| Westcare | Young Faces | PS | 0 | 0 | 320108 | YF | -- | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| Westcare | HSR Youth | PS | 0 | 0 | 329003 | YM | -- | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| Westcare | HSR Adult | PS | 0 | 0 | 329003 | SM | -- | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| Westcare | Women's Residential | PS | 0 | 0 | 329003 | SF | -- | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| Westcare | GIRLLS—Spring Mountain Youth Camp | PS | 0 | 0 | 329003 | YF | -- | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| Women's Development Center | Transitional Housing * | DV | 0 | 0 | 329003 | FC | DV | 15 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| Women's Development Center | Elderly Women | PS | 0 | 0 | 329003 | SF | -- | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Women's Development Center | TBRA | PS | 0 | 0 | 329003 | M | -- | 10 | 60 | 10 | 70 |
| SUBTOTALS: | | | 511 | 209 | SUBTOTAL CURRENT INVENTORY: | | | 413 | 1262 | 1749 | 3011 |
| New Inventory in Place in 2006 (Available for Occupancy Feb. 1, 2006 – Jan. 31, 2007) | | | Ind. | Fam. | | | | | | | |
| Henderson Allied Community Advocates | Subsidized Housing * | PA | 0 | 45 | 320096 | FC | -- | 18 | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| Henderson Allied Community Advocates | TBRA | PA | 0 | 10 | 320096 | FC | -- | 4 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Lutheran Social Services | Supportive Housing* | PA | 0 | 10 | 320108 | FC | -- | 9 | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| Salvation Army | Lied Vocational Training Center | PA | 150 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 150 | 150 |
| SUBTOTALS: | | | 150 | 65 | SUBTOTAL NEW | | | 31 | 91 | 150 | 241 |

| | | | | INVENTORY: | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|--------|---------------------------------------|----|------|------|-----|-----|
| Inventory Under Development (Available for Occupancy after January 31, 2007) | | Anticipated Occupancy Date | | | | | | | |
| Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth | Independent Living* | 7/1/2007 | 320096 | YM | -- | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| SUBTOTAL INVENTORY UNDER DEVELOPMENT: | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Unmet Need | | UNMET NEED TOTALS: | | | | -143 | -426 | 726 | 300 |
| Total Year-Round Beds—Individuals | | | | Total Year-Round Beds—Families | | | | | |
| 1. Total Year-Round Individual Transitional Housing Beds: | 1899 | 6. Total Year-Round Family Transitional Housing Beds: | | | | 1353 | | | |
| 2. Number of DV Year-Round Individual TH Beds: | 41 | 7. Number of DV Year-Round Family TH Beds: | | | | 186 | | | |
| 3. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual TH Beds (Line 1 minus Line 2): | 1858 | 8. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family TH Beds (Line 6 minus Line 7): | | | | 1167 | | | |
| 4. Total Year-Round Individual TH Beds in HMIS: | 436 | 9. Total Year-Round Family TH Beds in HMIS | | | | 209 | | | |
| 5. HMIS Coverage—Individual TH Beds (Divide Line 4 by Line 3 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number): | 23% | 10. HMIS Coverage—Family TH Beds (Divide Line 9 by Line 8 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number): | | | | 18% | | | |

I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts

| Permanent Supportive Housing*: Fundamental Components in CoC System – Housing Inventory Chart | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----|------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Provider Name | Facility Name <small>*Place an asterisk after the facility name if it receives HUD McKinney-Vento dollars.</small> | HMIS Part. Code | Number of Year-Round Beds in HMIS | | Geo Code <input type="checkbox"/> | Target Pop. | | Year-Round | | | Total Year-Round Beds |
| | | | | | | A | B | Fam. Units | Fam. Beds | Indiv./CH Beds | |
| Current Inventory (Available for Occupancy on or before January 31, 2006) | | | Ind. | Fam. | | | | | | | |
| Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services | Supported Living Arrangements | D | 0 | 0 | 329003 | M | -- | 90 | 315 | 167 | 482 |
| Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services | HUD 1* | PA | 80 | 56 | 329003 | M | -- | 19 | 56 | 80/60 | 136 |
| Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services | HUD 2* | PA | 21 | 23 | 329003 | M | -- | 8 | 23 | 21/16 | 44 |
| Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services | HUD 3* | PA | 34 | 9 | 329003 | M | -- | 3 | 9 | 34/3 | 43 |
| Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services | HUD 4* | PA | 18 | 0 | 329003 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 18/3 | 18 |
| US Vets | SHP- Disabled Vets * | PA | 10 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | VET | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| US Vets | SHP-Chronically disabled vets * | PA | 10 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | VET | 0 | 0 | 10/10 | 10 |
| HELP of Southern Nevada | O.U.T.R.E.A.C.H. | PA | 120 | 0 | 320108 | SMF | -- | 0 | 0 | 120/120 | 120 |
| SUBTOTALS: | | | 173 | 88 | SUBTOTAL CURRENT INVENTORY: | | | 120 | 403 | 460/212 | 863 |
| New Inventory in Place in 2006 (Available for Occupancy Feb. 1, 2006 – Jan. 31, 2007) | | | Ind. | Fam. | | | | | | | |
| HELP Las Vegas | HELP @ Owens * | PA | 59 | 32 | 320108 | M | VET | 16 | 32 | 59 | 91 |
| Caminar | Acacia Gardens* | PA | 8 | 0 | 329003 | SMF | HIV | 0 | 0 | 8/8 | 8 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------------------|----------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|------|----|
| SUBTOTALS: | | | | 187 | 32 | SUBTOTAL NEW INVENTORY: | | | 16 | 32 | 67/8 | 99 |
| Inventory Under Development (Available for Occupancy after January 31, 2007) | | | | Anticipated Occupancy Date | | | | | | | | |
| HELP of Southern Nevada | HELP them HOME | N | 7/1/2007 | 32010 8 | SM F | - | 0 | 0 | 46/46 | 46 | | |
| SUBTOTAL INVENTORY UNDER DEVELOPMENT: | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 46/46 | 46 | |
| Unmet Need | | UNMET NEED TOTALS: | | | | | | 598 | 1868 | 4215 | 6083 | |
| Total Year-Round Beds—Individuals | | | | | Total Year-Round Beds—Families | | | | | | | |
| 1. Total Year-Round Individual Permanent Housing Beds: | | | 517 | 6. Total Year-Round Family Permanent Housing Beds: | | | 435 | | | | | |
| 2. Number of DV Year-Round Individual PH Beds: | | | 0 | 7. Number of DV Year-Round Family PH Beds: | | | 0 | | | | | |
| 3. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual PH Beds (Line 1 minus Line 2): | | | 517 | 8. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family PH Beds (Line 6 minus Line 7): | | | 435 | | | | | |
| 4. Total Year-Round Individual PH Beds in HMIS: | | | 360 | 9. Total Year-Round Family PH Beds in HMIS | | | 120 | | | | | |
| 5. HMIS Coverage—Individual PH Beds (Divide Line 4 by Line 3 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number): | | | 70% | 10. HMIS Coverage—Family PH Beds (Divide Line 9 by Line 8 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number): | | | 28% | | | | | |

J: CoC Housing Inventory Data Sources and Methods Chart

| | |
|--|---|
| (1) Indicate date on which Housing Inventory count was completed: 01/31/07 (mm/dd/yyyy) | |
| (2) Identify the method used to complete the Housing Inventory Chart (check one): | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Housing inventory survey – CoC conducted a housing inventory survey (via mail, fax, e-mail, web-based, phone or on-site) of homeless programs/providers to update current bed inventories, target populations for programs, beds under development, etc. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | HMIS – Used HMIS data to complete the Housing Inventory Chart |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | HMIS plus housing inventory – Used HMIS data supplemented by a survey of providers NOT participating in the HMIS |
| (3) Indicate the percentage of providers completing the housing inventory survey: | |
| 79% | Emergency shelter providers |
| 70% | Transitional housing providers |
| 100% | Permanent supportive housing providers |
| (4) Indicate steps to ensure data accuracy for 2007 Housing Inventory Chart (check all that apply): | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Instructions – Provided written instructions for completing the housing inventory survey. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Training – Trained providers on completing the housing inventory survey. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Updated prior housing inventory information – Providers submitted updated 2006 housing inventory to reflect 2007 inventory. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Follow-up – CoC followed-up with providers to ensure the maximum possible response rate and accuracy of the housing inventory survey. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Confirmation – Providers or other independent entity reviewed and confirmed information in 2007 Housing Inventory Chart after it was completed. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | HMIS – Compared HMIS and housing inventory survey data to check for consistency. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other – specify: |
| Unmet Need: | |
| (5) Indicate type of data that was used to determine unmet need (check all that apply): | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Sheltered count (point-in-time) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Unsheltered count (point-in-time) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Housing inventory (number of beds available) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Local studies or data sources – specify: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | National studies or data sources – specify: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Provider opinion through discussions or survey forms |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other – specify: |
| (6a) Indicate the method(s) used to calculate or determine unmet need (check all that apply): | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Stakeholder discussion – CoC stakeholders met and reviewed data to determine CoC's unmet need |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Locally-determined formula – Used locally-determined formula based on local point-in-time (PIT) count data and housing inventory to calculate unmet need |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Applied statistics – Used local PIT enumeration data and applied national or other local statistics |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | HUD unmet need formula – Used HUD's unmet need formula* |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other – specify: |
| (6b) If more than one method was used in 6a, please describe how these methods were used. | |

*The HUD Unmet Need Guide and Worksheet can be found by going to:

<http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm>

CoC Homeless Population and Subpopulations

K: CoC Point-in-Time Homeless Population and Subpopulations Chart

| Indicate date of last point-in-time count: (01/31/2007) | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------|
| Part 1: Homeless Population | Sheltered | | Unsheltered | Total |
| | Emergency | Transitional | | |
| 1. Number of Households with Dependent Children: | 30 | 255 | 648 | 933 |
| 1a. Total Number of Persons in these Households (adults and children) | 76 | 866 | 1,954 | 2,896 |
| 2. Number of Households without Dependent Children** | 869 | 1,976 | 5,344 | 8,189 |
| 2a. Total Number of Persons in these Households | 900 | 2,002 | 5,619 | 8,521 |
| Total Persons (Add Lines 1a and 2a): | 976 | 2,868 | 7,573 | 11,417 |
| Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations (below) | Sheltered | | Unsheltered | Total |
| a. Chronically Homeless | 174 | | 1,309 | 1,483 |
| b. Severely Mentally Ill | 888 | | 1,363 | 2,251 |
| c. Chronic Substance Abuse | 697 | | 2,473 | 3,170 |
| d. Veterans | 835 | | 1,486 | 2,321 |
| e. Persons with HIV/AIDS | 19 | | 76 | 95 |
| f. Victims of Domestic Violence | 215 | | 545 | 760 |
| g. Unaccompanied Youth (Under 18) | 128 | | 152 | 280 |

*Optional for unsheltered homeless subpopulations

** Includes single individuals, unaccompanied youth, and other adults (such as a married couple without children)

***For "sheltered" chronically homeless subpopulations, list persons in emergency shelter only.

L: CoC Homeless Population and Subpopulations Data Sources & Methods Chart

L-1: Sheltered Homeless Population and Subpopulations

| | |
|--|---|
| (1a) Check method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons in the CoC (check all that apply): | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Survey – Providers count the total number of clients residing in their programs during the PIT count. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | HMIS – CoC used HMIS to complete the PIT sheltered count and subpopulation information. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other – specify: |
| (1b) If multiple methods are checked, briefly describe how data collected using the methods were combined to produce the count. | |
| (2a) Check the method(s) used to gather the subpopulation information on sheltered homeless persons reported in Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations (check all that apply): | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Point-in-time (PIT) interviews with each adult and unaccompanied youth – All sheltered adults and unaccompanied youth were interviewed to gather subpopulation information. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation – A sample of sheltered adults and unaccompanied youth were interviewed to gather subpopulation information, and extrapolation techniques were applied to produce the total sheltered homeless population. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-HMIS client-level information - Providers used individual client records (e.g., case management files) to provide subpopulation data for each adult and unaccompanied youth. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Provider expertise – Providers estimated the percentage of clients belonging to each subpopulation based on their knowledge of their client population as a whole. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | HMIS – CoC used HMIS to gather subpopulation information on sheltered homeless persons. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other –specify: |
| (2b) If multiple methods are checked, briefly describe how the methods were combined to produce the subpopulation information. | |
| (3) Indicate CoC’s steps to ensure data quality of the sheltered count (check all that apply): | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Instructions – Provided written instructions to providers for completing the sheltered PIT count. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Training – Trained providers on completing the sheltered PIT count. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Remind and Follow-up – Reminded providers about the count and followed up with providers to ensure the maximum possible response rate and accuracy. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | HMIS – Used HMIS to verify data collected from providers for the sheltered PIT count. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other –specify: |
| (4) How often will sheltered counts of sheltered homeless people take place in the future? | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Biennial (every two years) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Annual |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Semi-annual |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Other – specify: Supplemental summer enumeration in July 2007 |
| (5) Month and Year when next count of sheltered homeless persons will occur: <u>1/08</u> | |
| (6) Indicate the percentage of providers providing populations and subpopulations data collected via survey, interview and/or HMIS: | |
| <u>79%</u> | Emergency shelter providers |
| <u>70%</u> | Transitional housing providers |

*Please refer to ‘A Guide to Counting Sheltered Homeless People’ for more information on unsheltered enumeration techniques.

L-2: Unsheltered Homeless Population and Subpopulations*

| |
|---|
| (1) Check the CoC's method(s) used to count unsheltered homeless persons (check all that apply): |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public places count – CoC conducted a point-in-time (PIT) count <u>without</u> client interviews. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public places count with interviews – CoC conducted a PIT count and interviewed unsheltered homeless persons encountered during the public places count: <input type="checkbox"/> ALL persons were interviewed OR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sample of persons were interviewed |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public places count using probability sampling – High and low probabilities assigned to designated geographic areas based on the number of homeless people expected to be found in each area. The CoC selected a statistically valid sample of each type of area to include in the point-in-time count and extrapolated results to estimate the entire homeless population. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Service-based count – Interviewed people using non-shelter services, such as soup kitchens and drop-in centers, and counted those that self-identified as unsheltered homeless persons. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HMIS – Used HMIS for the count of unsheltered homeless people or for subpopulation information. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other – specify: |
| (2) Indicate the level of coverage of the PIT count of unsheltered homeless people: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Complete coverage – The CoC counted every block of the jurisdiction. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Known locations – The CoC counted in areas where unsheltered homeless people are known to congregate or live. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Combination – CoC combined complete coverage with known locations by conducting counts for every block <u>in a portion of the jurisdiction</u> (e.g. central city) AND conducting counts in other portions of the jurisdiction where unsheltered persons are known to live. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Used service-based or probability sampling (coverage is not applicable) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other –specify: |
| (3) Indicate community partners involved in PIT unsheltered count (check all that apply): |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outreach teams |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Law Enforcement |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Service Providers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community volunteers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Homeless and/or formerly homeless persons |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other – specify: |
| (4) Indicate CoC's steps to ensure data quality of the unsheltered count (check all that apply): |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Training – Conducted training(s) for PIT enumerators. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HMIS – Used HMIS to check for duplicate information. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other – specify: |
| (5) How often will CoC conduct PIT counts of unsheltered homeless people in the future? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biennial (every two years) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Annual |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-annual |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other – specify: Supplemental count in July 2007 |
| (6) Month and Year when next PIT count of unsheltered homeless persons will occur: <u>7/07 and 1/09</u> |

*Please refer to 'A Guide to Counting Unsheltered Homeless People' for more information on unsheltered enumeration techniques.

CoC Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)

M: CoC HMIS Charts

M-1: HMIS Lead Organization Information

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Organization Name: Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth | Contact Person: Robert Herdzik |
| Phone: 702-335-6005 | Email: robh@bitfocus.com |
| Organization Type: State/local government <input type="checkbox"/> Non-profit/homeless provider <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> | |

M-2: List HUD-defined CoC Name(s) and Number(s) for *every* CoC in HMIS Implementation:

| HUD-Defined CoC Name* | CoC # | HUD-Defined CoC Name* | CoC # |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| Las Vegas/Clark County CoC | NV500 | | |

*Find HUD-defined CoC names & numbers at: <http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm>

M-3: HMIS Implementation Status

| | |
|--|--|
| HMIS Data Entry Start Date for your CoC OR Anticipated Date Entry Start Date for your CoC (mm/yyyy) | If no data entry date, indicate reason: <input type="checkbox"/> New CoC in 2007 <input type="checkbox"/> Still in planning/software selection process <input type="checkbox"/> Initial implementation |
| 11/2004 | |

Briefly describe significant challenges/barriers the CoC has experienced in:

- HMIS implementation: a) HMIS implementation of usage for the CoC Funded projects has been successful. The local jurisdictions have instituted a mandatory use of HMIS in order to be funded for CDBG funds, this implementation has been successful. Extending HMIS usage to all homeless service providers has been more challenging due to the lack of an incentive to fully participate. b) Data quality has been an issue due to incomplete data. c) Maximizing the use of HMIS for tracking and planning has been a struggle due to insufficient data quality and limited funds
- HMIS Data and Technical Standards Final Notice requirements: Issues of data quality have driven the use of a third party tool that identifies and notifies users of inadequate or insufficient data quality.

M-4: CoC Client Records

| Calendar Year | Number of Client Records Entered in HMIS / Analytical Database (Duplicated) for CoC | Number of Unduplicated Clients Entered in HMIS / Analytical Database for CoC |
|---------------|---|--|
| 2004 | 43 | 43 |
| 2005 | 2,343 | 2,202 |
| 2006 | 15,050 | 13,561 |

Please provide a brief explanation of the reason(s) for any decreases in the number of records (duplicated or unduplicated) from year to year).

M-5: Data Collection/Completeness and Coverage

(a) Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values on the date that the point-in-time count was conducted.

| Universal Data Element | % Null/Missing Values | Universal Data Element | % Null/Missing Values |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Name | 2% | Gender | 9% |
| Social Security Number | 2% | Veteran Status | 58% |
| Date of Birth | 1.1% | Disabling Condition | 56% |
| Ethnicity | 80.4% | Residence Prior to Program Entry | 79.9% |
| Race | 21.5% | Zip Code of Last Permanent Address | 84.7% |

Briefly describe how the CoC ensures that valid program entry and exit dates are being recorded in the HMIS for persons served. The CoC HMIS Administrator is in the process of developing a tool that will address the issues of valid program entry and exit dates, by providing electronic notification to the

providers when the entry dates are not included upon entry into the HMIS system and notification at strategic intervals to remind providers that exit dates need to be filled out for clients leaving their programs. The CoC is also leading a provider network campaign to increase usage of the HMIS system, shared data within the system and the importance of data quality.

(b) Indicate current OR anticipated HMIS bed coverage of 75% for each housing type.

| | 75% bed coverage | Anticipate 75% bed coverage | Date anticipate achieving |
|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Emergency Shelter | N | Y | 2012 |
| Transitional Housing | N | Y | 2012 |
| Permanent Supportive Housing | N | Y | 2010 |

(c) If CoC has not yet achieved or does not anticipate achieving 75% bed coverage for all beds (including DV beds), please explain why.

M-6: Training, Data Quality and Implementation of HMIS Data & Technical Standards

| | Y | N | P |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Training Provided: | | | |
| Basic computer training | X | | |
| HMIS software training | X | | |
| Privacy / Ethics training | X | | |
| Security Training | X | | |
| System Administrator training | X | | |
| 2. CoC Process/Role: | | | |
| Is the CoC able to aggregate all data to a central location at least annually? | X | | |
| Does the CoC monitor compliance with HMIS Data & Technical Standards Final Notice? | X | | |
| 3. Security—Participating agencies have: | | | |
| Unique username and password access? | X | | |
| Secure location? | X | | |
| Locking screen savers? | X | | |
| Virus protection with auto update? | X | | |
| Individual or network firewalls? | X | | |
| Restrictions on access to HMIS via public forums (e.g. PKI digital certificates or IP filtering)? | X | | |
| 4. Security—Agency responsible for centralized HMIS data collection and storage has: | | | |
| Procedures for off-site storage of HMIS data? | X | | |
| Disaster recovery plan that has been <u>tested</u> ? | X | | |
| 5. Privacy Requirements: | | | |
| If your state has additional confidentiality provisions, have they been implemented? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check here if there are no additional state confidentiality provisions. | | X | |
| Is there a “Purpose for data collection” sign at each intake desk for all participating agencies? | | | X |
| Has each participating agency adopted a written privacy policy, including the uses and disclosures of client information? | X | | |
| Does each participating agency have a privacy policy posted on its website (if applicable)? | X | | |
| 6. Data Quality—CoC has process to review and improve: | | | |
| Client level data quality (i.e. missing birth dates etc.)? | X | | |
| Program level data quality (i.e. data not entered by agency in over 14 days)? | X | | |
| CoC bed coverage (i.e. percent of beds)? | X | | |
| 7. Unduplication of Client Records—the CoC: | | | |
| Uses only HMIS data to generate unduplicated count? | X | | |
| Uses data integration or data warehouse to generate unduplicated count? | X | | |
| 8. OPTIONAL: Uses of HMIS Data—CoC uses HMIS data for: | | | |
| Point-in-Time Count | | | X |
| Project/Program performance monitoring | X | | |
| Program purposes (e.g. case management, bed management, program eligibility screening) | X | | |
| Statewide data aggregation (e.g. data warehouse) | X | | |

Part III: CoC Strategic Planning

N: CoC 10-Year Plan, Objectives, and Action Steps Chart

| Objectives to End Chronic Homelessness <i>and</i> Move Families and Individuals to Permanent Housing | 2007 Local Action Steps How are you going to do it? List action steps to be completed within the next 12 months. | Lead Person List name and title or organization of one person responsible for accomplishing each action step. | Baseline (Current Level) | Numeric Achievement in 12 months | Numeric Achievement in 5 years | Numeric Achievement in 10 years |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1. Create new PH beds for chronically homeless persons. | 1. Facility expansion of US Vets to add 65 new units of permanent housing over the next 5 years | Stephanie Buckley, US Vets | 220 Beds | 291 Beds | 759 Beds | 1059 Beds |
| | 2. Construct 78 unit apartment complex for chronically homeless persons | Duane Sonnenberg, Salvation Army | | | | |
| | 3. Build/rehab up to 25 new units in the next 5 years | Kathi Thomas-Gibson, Caminar | | | | |
| | 4. Use the newly formed Housing Sub-committee of the SNRPC-COH to increase housing options for chronically homeless by developing relationships with land-lords and master leasing; explore options for builders to partner with non-profits for permanent housing options. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | | | | |
| | 5. Master lease 41 units from New Genesis | Thomas Kelly, Clark County Social Service | | | | |
| | 6. Use local government money that becomes available to fund housing for the chronically homeless. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 2. Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months to at least 71%. | 1. Development of Mentoring Program which will match community volunteers with homeless families for a year after they graduate from their sheltering programs | Terri Lindemann, Family Promise | 81% | 85% | 88% | 90% |
| | 2. Provide supportive services including intensive case management for clients moving into permanent housing | Kathi Thomas-Gibson, Caminar | | | | |
| | 3. Participants to receive life skills, case management, sobriety support and health services weekly. | Becki Brooks, US Vets | | | | |
| | 4. Homeless Continuing Care Project—Case management of persons placed in permanent housing on a weekly basis to provide guidance/support for permanency. | Paula Cremeens-Rudeen, Westcare | | | | |
| | 5. Development of a housing program to support families with rental/utility assistance to maintain housing | Terry Lindemann, Family Promise | | | | |
| | 6. Build outcome measures into contracts with providers to track client stabilization. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | | | | |
| | 7. Provide in-home case management by qualified domestic violence advocates/case managers | Annette Scott, SAFE House | | | | |
| | 7. Use HMIS to track all homeless services client retention in permanent housing. | Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Continuum of Care Coordinator | | | | |
| 3. Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from TH to PH to at least 61.5%. | 1. Provide intensive supportive services to assist clients to be able to move from Transitional to permanent housing | Kathi Thomas-Gibson, Caminar | 61% | 64% | 67% | 70% |
| | 2. Assist clients with increase in income through employment or mainstream benefits with in 6 months of entry into the program | Becki Brooks, US Vets | | | | |
| | 3. Offer assistance in the form of vouchers to existing clients going into permanent housing for rental/utility deposits, furnishings and household goods | Eapen George, St. Vincent HELP apartments | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | 4. Development of a housing program to support families with rental/utility assistance to move into permanent housing | Terry Lindemann, Family Promise | | | | |
| | 5. Homeless Continuing Care Project-Critical Time Intervention-case management of persons leaving transitional placement to permanent housing for 6 months of weekly guidance/support. | Paula Cremeens-Rudeen, Westcare | | | | |
| | 6. Continue to offer intensive case management and assistance with move in costs for clients moving into permanent housing. | Bridget Claridy, Women's Development Center | | | | |
| | 7. City of Las Vegas Interfaith Council to implement "one family-one congregation" mentoring program. | Maria Castillo-Couch, City of Las Vegas | | | | |
| | 8. Obtain funds for scattered housing sites for people who have become homeless due to domestic violence. | Annette Scott, SAFE House | | | | |
| | 8. Use HMIS to track all homeless services movement of clients from TH to PH. | Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Continuum of Care Coordinator | | | | |
| 4. Increase percentage of homeless persons employed at exit to at least 18%. | 1. Provide supportive services including intensive case management to increase employability. | Kathi Thomas-Gibson, Caminar | 23% | 25% | 38% | 50% |
| | 2. Program participants to be assessed by workforce development for job training and placement opportunities. | Becki Brooks, US Vets | | | | |
| | 3. Homeless Continuing Care Project-Intensive case management for employment support for clients enrolled in HCCP to assist with employment when appropriate. | Paula Cremeens-Rudeen, Westcare | | | | |
| | 4. Non-profits will create education, vocational rehabilitation and volunteer opportunities for the homeless population. | Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Continuum of Care Coordinator | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 5. Non-profits will develop partnerships with businesses that will include homeless education, retention support and continued supportive services for clients. | Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Continuum of Care Coordinator | | | | |
| | 6. Increase the number of job fairs targeting the unemployed homeless. | Will Lockhart, Nevada Job Connect | | | | |
| | 7. Provide in-house employment services to find employment for victims of domestic violence. | Annette Scott, SAFE House | | | | |
| | 8. Use HMIS to track all homeless services employment rate at exit from their programs. | Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Continuum of Care Coordinator | | | | |
| 5. Ensure that the CoC has a functional HMIS system. | 1. The SNRPC-COH will continue to fund the implementation of the HMIS system for any agency serving homeless, enabling providers to install and implement the HMIS system for their homeless projects. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | 100% Bed Coverage for CoC funded projects | 50% Bed Coverage for all home-less providers | 75% Bed Coverage for all home-less providers | 100% Bed Cover-age for all home-less providers |
| | 2. Increase the usage of HMIS from all CoC funded projects to include all projects serving the homeless regardless of the funding source. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | | | | |
| | 3. Develop HMIS tool to track and ensure data quality | Robert Herdzik, HMIS Administrator | 31% Bed Cover-age for all homeless providers | | | |
| | 4. Encourage all providers using HMIS to share system data with continuum as a whole to facilitate coordination of access to the network of service providers. | Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Continuum of Care Coordinator | | | | |
| Barriers: If your CoC will not meet one or more of the above objectives, briefly describe why not (use less than two paragraphs). N/A | | | | | | |

Other CoC Objectives in 2007

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 1. Reduce chronic homelessness. | 1. Target 18-24 year old homeless persons with intensive case management to rapidly re-house them. | Dr. Gillis, Center for Independent Living. | 10% reduction | 50% reduction | 90% reduction |
| | 2. Provide intensive case-management and housing first model for chronically homeless. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | | | |
| | 3. Provide Crystal Darkness campaign regionally to prevent methamphetamine use and to encourage treatment for current users. | Pastor Troy Martinez, Community Interface Council | | | |
| 2. Increase Public Awareness on homelessness and the issues related to homelessness. | 1. Develop and run Public Service Announcements on local television stations. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | 30% increase in informational opportunities | 75% increase in informational opportunities | 100% increase in informational opportunities |
| | 2. Provide Crystal Darkness campaign regionally to prevent methamphetamine use and to encourage treatment for current users. | Pastor Troy Martinez, Community Interface Council | | | |
| | 3. Expand and increase utilization of the HELPHOPEHOME website. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | | | |
| | 4. Publicly air the SNRPC-COH policy meetings. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | | | |
| 3. Increase the percent of families leaving homelessness. | 1. Provide housing first and case management for chronically homeless families. | Terry Lindemann, Family Promise | 10% families leave homelessness | 50% families leave homelessness | 90% families leave homelessness |
| | 2. City of Las Vegas Interfaith Council to implement “one family-one congregation” mentoring program. | Maria Castillo-Couch, City of Las Vegas | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 4. Work toward Statewide HMIS system. | 1. Meet with CoC's and HMIS administrators in the Northern Nevada and Balance of State Continuums. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | Share information and data | 50% shared fields in HMIS | 100% shared fields in HMIS |
| | 2. Share data within the three continua of Nevada to show statewide homeless data. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | | | |
| | 3. Production of a Statewide HMIS report. | Shannon West, Office of the Regional Homeless Coordinator | | | |

O: CoC Discharge Planning Policy Chart

| Publicly Funded Institution(s) or System(s) of Care in CoC Geographic Area | None | Initial Discussion | Protocol in Development | Formal Protocol Finalized | Formal Protocol Implemented |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Foster Care | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Health Care | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Mental Health | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Corrections | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Foster Care:

The Division of Child and Family Services is responsible for the oversight of all independent living programs in Nevada. The goal of Nevada's Independent Living Program is to provide children making the transition from placement to independence with the skills and resources necessary to make them independent and productive members of society. Nevada's Independent Living Program is a set of services available to all foster youth between the ages of 15.5 until the age of 21. Nevada's Independent Living Program does not refer foster youth to HUD McKinney-Vento funded programs. The Division considers all eligible foster youth to include those youth who are in the care and custody of the Division, Washoe County Department of Social Services, or Clark County Department of Family Services. The Division considers foster care to be the legal status of the child. The physical placement of the child does not determine the eligibility for independent living services. Independent living services may continue with the child after permanency has been achieved, depending on the needs of the child. There are instances where the youth turns 18 and refuses further services from the Foster Care system or they may runaway and not able to be located. In these cases, the Wardship is terminated.

In 2000, the Nevada State Legislature passed legislation creating the Assistance for Former Foster Youth fund by taxing the copying of real estate filing transactions throughout the state. This fund, provided by AB94, was specifically created to provide the necessary goods and services to Nevada youth who have aged out of the foster care system until the youth reached the age of 21. Goods and services allowable to youth include, but are not limited to job training, housing assistance, case management, and medical insurance. The legislation directs the State to limit the administrative costs of the fund to 10% or less. The Legislative Council Bureau, with the concurrence of the Office of the Attorney General, determined that the fund could also be used to assist youth who are currently in the care and custody of the state with their transition to independence. During the Child and Family Services Review in February 2004, Nevada was commended for the creation of this fund, and the fund was noted as a promising practice in Nevada.

The Washoe County Department of Social Services and Clark County Department of Family Services have agreed upon, understand and implement these protocols.

Health Care:

A Statewide Discharge Planning Summit was held 1/07 with over 85 in attendance to discuss the barriers to effective discharge planning. A workgroup was formed and will continue to meet on a regular basis in order to develop formal discharge protocols for all hospitals throughout the state, with the focus being on safe, stable housing upon discharge into non-HUD McKinney-Vento funded programs. Those represented in the planning group are the Clark County Hospital and Medical Centers, Saint Mary's Regional Medical Center/CHW in Reno, private for profit and non-profit hospitals throughout the state, various health centers throughout the state, non-profit homeless providers throughout the state and CoC representatives from each continuum within the State of Nevada.

Mental Health:

A Statewide Discharge Planning Summit was held 1/07 with over 85 in attendance to discuss the barriers to effective discharge planning. A workgroup was formed and will continue to meet on a regular basis in order to develop formal discharge protocols for all mental health and substance abuse facilities throughout the state, with the focus being on safe, stable housing upon discharge into non-HUD McKinney-Vento funded programs.

Clients admitted to the mental health in-patient system are assigned a Social Worker to facilitate discharge to a safe environment. The Social Worker begins their discharge process at the time of admission. The client is assisted in securing identification and any other documentation necessary upon discharge. The Social Worker assesses the clients discharge needs, refers the client to outpatient services, identifies and mobilized community resources and ensures client has the necessary appointments and aftercare needs met. Reconciliation with family members is encouraged whenever possible and transportation is provided to reunite clients with family and friends who may be in a different geographic area.

The Mental Health and Substance Abuse Discharge workgroup is meeting on a regular basis to develop formal protocols that will be consistent throughout the State of Nevada.

A Housing Conference hosted by Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health is scheduled for June 2007 to discuss and plan for expansion of permanent housing for those leaving the mental health and other systems in order to prevent homelessness and discharge into HUD McKinney-Vento funded programs.

Corrections:*

A Statewide Discharge Planning Summit was held 1/07 with over 85 in attendance to discuss the barriers to effective discharge planning. A workgroup was formed and will continue to meet on a regular basis in order to develop formal discharge protocols for all correctional facilities throughout the state, with the focus being on safe, stable housing upon discharge into non-HUD McKinney-Vento funded programs.

Clark County and the City of Las Vegas Detention and Enforcement have been working jointly to implement a discharge plan for the homeless inmates. This plan and its implementation are in the beginning stages.

The Department of Corrections (DOC) for the State of Nevada has policies and procedures in place to ensure that persons leaving publicly funded institutions or systems of care do not end up homeless when discharged from the facility. Nevada Revised Statutes, states that the Director of the Department of Corrections (DOC) may enter into contracts with one or more public or private entities to provide the following services, as necessary and appropriate, to offenders or parolees participating in a program:

- (a) Transitional housing;
- (b) Treatment pertaining to substance abuse or mental health;
- (c) Training in life skills;
- (d) Vocational rehabilitation and job skills training; and
- (e) Any other services required by offenders or parolees who are participating in a program.

The DOC provides referrals and information regarding Permanent housing. DOC also provides training in life skills that includes training in the areas of:

- (a) Parenting;
- (b) Improving human relationships;
- (c) Preventing domestic violence;
- (d) Maintaining emotional and physical health;
- (e) Preventing abuse of alcohol and drugs;
- (f) Preparing for and obtaining employment; and
- (g) Budgeting, consumerism and personal finances.

The DOC has established the goal to develop a continuum of services and programs that will prepare the offender at specific stages of his/her sentence for re-entry and release into society.

*Please note that "corrections" category refers to local jails and state or federal prisons.

P: CoC Coordination Chart

| | YES | NO |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Consolidated Plan Coordination | | |
| a. Do Con Plan planners, authors and other Con Plan stakeholders participate in CoC general planning meetings? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Do CoC members participate in Con Plan planning meetings, focus groups, or public forums? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Were CoC strategic plan goals addressing homelessness and chronic homelessness used in the development of the Con Plan? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Jurisdictional 10-year Plan Coordination | | |
| a. Is there one or more formal jurisdictional 10-year Plan(s) being developed and/or being implemented within your CoC geography that are separate from the CoC 10-year plan? (If No, you may skip to Question 3a.) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. Do 10-year Plan conveners, authors and other stakeholders participate in CoC general planning meetings? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. Have 10-year Plan participants taken steps to align their planning process with the local CoC plan? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d. Were CoC strategic plan goals used in the development of the 10-year Plan(s)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e. Provide the number of jurisdictions within your CoC geography that have formally implemented a 10-year plan(s). | 1 | |
| 3. Public Housing Agency Coordination | | |
| a. Do CoC members meet with CoC area PHAs to improve coordination with and access to mainstream housing resources? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

CoC 2007 Funding Priorities

Q: CoC Project Priorities Chart

| HUD-defined CoC Name: Las Vegas/Clark County CoC* | | | | | | CoC #NV-500: | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| (1) SF-424 Applicant Name (Please Remove Examples) | (2) Project Sponsor Name | (3) Project Name | (4) Priority | (5) Requested Project Amount | (6) Term | (7) Program and Component Type | | | |
| | | | | | | SHP New | SHP Renewal | S+C New | SRO New |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Clark County Social Service | Clark County Social Service | Permanent Housing Project (PHP) | 1 | \$3,153,480 | 5 | | | TRA | |
| St. Vincent HELP | Catholic Charities | St. Vincent HELP Apartments | 2 | \$360,207 | 3 | | TH | | |
| United States Veterans Initiative | United States Veterans Initiative | SHP Permanent Housing for Veterans with Disabilities | 3 | \$232,031 | 2 | | PH | | |
| Women's Development Center | Women's Development Center | WDC Middlesex Transitional Housing | 4 | \$130,876 | 3 | | TH | | |
| Salvation Army, A California Corporation | Salvation Army, A California Corporation | Safe Haven | 5 | \$999,753 | 3 | | TH | | |
| (8) Subtotal: Requested Amount for CoC Competitive Projects: | | | | \$4,876,347 | | | | | |
| (9) Shelter Plus Care Renewals: | | | | | | S+C Component Type | | | |
| State of Nevada | Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health | HUD 1-NV01C500009 | 6 | \$750,924 | 1 | TRA | | | |
| State of Nevada | Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health | HUD II-NV01C100001 | 7 | \$154,632 | 1 | TRA | | | |
| State of Nevada | Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health | HUD 3-NV01C200001 | 8 | \$244,956 | 1 | TRA | | | |
| (10) Subtotal: Requested Amount for S+C Renewal Projects: | | | | \$1,150,512 | | | | | |
| (11) Total CoC Requested Amount (line 8 + line 10): | | | | \$6,026,859 | | | | | |

*HUD-defined CoC names & numbers are available at: <http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm>.

**Check this box if this is a #1 priority Samaritan bonus project.

CoC-Q

R: CoC Pro Rata Need (PRN) Reallocation Chart
(Only for Eligible Hold Harmless CoCs) N/A

S: CoC Project Leveraging Summary Chart

HUD homeless program funding is limited and can provide only a portion of the resources needed to successfully address the needs of homeless families and individuals. HUD encourages applicants to use supplemental resources, including State and local appropriated funds, to address homeless needs.

| Name of Continuum | Total Value of Written Commitment |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Las Vegas/ Clark County CoC | \$13,054,170 |

T: CoC Current Funding and Renewal Projections

| Supportive Housing Program (SHP) Projects: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| Type of Housing | | All SHP Funds Requested (Current Year) | | Renewal Projections | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | |
| Transitional Housing (TH) | | \$369,155 | | \$3,313,547 | | \$1,416,878 | | \$232,385 | | \$3,477,317 | | \$1,253,108 | |
| Safe Havens-TH | | \$999,753 | | 0 | | 0 | | \$999,753 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Permanent Housing (PH) | | \$232,031 | | \$887,342 | | \$1,606,119 | | 0 | | \$1,119,373 | | \$1,374,088 | |
| Safe Havens-PH | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SSO | | 0 | | \$101,509 | | \$357,858 | | \$101,509 | | 0 | | \$459,367 | |
| HMIS | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Totals | | \$1,627,939 | | \$4,302,398 | | \$3,380,855 | | \$1,333,647 | | \$4,596,690 | | \$3,086,563 | |
| Shelter Plus Care (S+C) Projects: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of S+C Bedrooms | | All S+C Funds Requested (Current Year) | | Renewal Projections | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | |
| | | Units | \$ | Units | \$ | Units | \$ | Units | \$ | Units | \$ | Units | \$ |
| SRO | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | | 27 | \$17,361 | 25 | \$16,075 | 25 | \$16,075 | 39 | \$25,077 | 39 | \$25,077 | 41 | \$26,363 |
| 1 | | 142 | \$107,068 | 74 | \$55,796 | 74 | \$55,796 | 78 | \$58,812 | 78 | \$58,812 | 146 | \$110,084 |
| 2 | | 20 | \$17,820 | 20 | \$17,820 | 20 | \$17,820 | 20 | \$17,820 | 20 | \$17,820 | 20 | \$17,820 |
| 3 | | 5 | \$6,185 | 5 | \$6,185 | 5 | \$6,185 | 5 | \$6,185 | 5 | \$6,185 | 5 | \$6,185 |
| 4 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | | 194 | \$148,434 | 124 | \$95,876 | 124 | \$95,876 | 142 | \$107,894 | 142 | \$107,894 | 212 | \$160,452 |

Part IV: CoC Performance

U: CoC Achievements Chart

| 2006 Objectives to End Chronic Homelessness and Move Families and Individuals to Permanent Housing | 12-month Measurable Achievement Proposed in 2006 (from Chart N of your 2006 CoC application) | Accomplishments (Enter the numeric achievement attained during past 12 months) |
|---|--|--|
| 1. Create new PH beds for chronically homeless persons. | 1. Expand permanent housing projects in the community for chronically homeless persons by increasing S+C projects to the CoC. 2. Add permanent housing component for chronically homeless people to the OUTREACH program 3. HELP Las Vegas Corp will open its new 75-unit PH project on Owens; 8 of these units are targeted for chronically homeless individuals. 4. Add permanent housing beds for HIV+ chronically homeless individuals. | 1. Did not meet this goal. 2. 120 permanent housing units were added to the OUTREACH program exceeding the 25 beds proposed. 3. 75 new permanent housing units were opened by HELP Las Vegas with 8 dedicated to the chronically homeless. 4. Created 8 out of the 14 new housing units for HIV+ chronically homeless individuals proposed in 2006. |
| 2. Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months to 71%. | 1. Continue current Permanent Housing tenancy (6+ months) rate of 88% in CoC-assisted PH projects 2. OUTREACH team will move individuals into permanent housing with intensive supportive services (in first six months, 16 of 109 individuals remain in permanent housing) | The 2007 renewal projects are averaging 81.6% homeless persons remaining in PH over 6 months. The continuum average is 88%. |
| 3. Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from TH to PH to 61.5%. | 1. Catholic Charities will add a Housing Specialist as well as 3 intensive case managers to its 120 units TH 2. Clark County provided Clark County Housing Authority with \$1.5 million in HOME TBRA to increase the community's capacity for transitional housing by 70 households; these 70 households will be served by future Section 8 vouchers once the two year HOME funding is exhausted | 2007 renewal projects average 61.1% homeless persons moving from Transitional housing to Permanent housing. |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>3. HELP @ Owens will add 75 new permanent housing units to primarily serve residents in HELP LV's TH program</p> <p>4. WDC receives Clark County funding to provide intensive case management to 15 of the 70 TBRA clients, to ensure they move to permanent housing. \$30,000/yr</p> <p>5. WDC SAFAH-Link assists disabled individuals and families exiting TH programs and moving into permanent housing – approx. 50 year</p> | |
| 4. Increase percentage of homeless persons becoming employed by 11%. | <p>1. Increase the number of job fairs targeting the unemployed homeless.</p> <p>2. Increase Salvation Army's Vocational Training facility to from 40 to 160 beds for homeless persons learning a job skill.</p> | 2007 renewal projects average 23% employment rate upon exit from their programs. |
| 5. Ensure that the CoC has a functional HMIS system. | <p>1. The SNRPC-COH will continue to fund the implementation of the HMIS system, therefore, enabling providers to install and implement the HMIS system for their projects.</p> <p>2. Provide contract oversight for the technical assistance offered to providers on-site as well as in group trainings.</p> | 100% CoC projects are actively using HMIS unless otherwise prohibited by law (i.e. domestic violence providers) |
| Briefly explain the reasons for not meeting one or more of your proposed measurable achievements. | <p>1. Expand permanent housing projects in the community for chronically homeless persons by increasing S+C projects to the CoC</p> <p>2. Increase Salvation Army's Vocational Training facility to from 40 to 160 beds for homeless persons learning a job skill.</p> | <p>1. Two of the Shelter + Care projects from the 2006 application were not funded.</p> <p>2. Salvation Army was unable to secure additional funding for their Vocational Training Program, therefore, they were not able to expand to the extent they expected.</p> |

OPTIONAL: If desired, you may use this space to describe your CoC's most significant accomplishments over the past 12 months.

- 1. The State of Nevada awarded Southern Nevada 4 million dollars over two years to provide homeless services. This funding (AB580) allowed for the incubation of several programs. The O.U.T.R.E.A.C.H. program is a collaboration of 7 agencies working closely together to provide outreach and intensive case management services for the chronically homeless. Through this program 384 clients were served with 202 clients receiving intensive case management and long term housing. The other program was the collaboration of the homeless youth providers to develop and implement a mobile crisis intervention outreach for unaccompanied homeless youth. This program has served 284 unaccompanied homeless youth. These programs proved to be successful and will be continued at the local level with funding through Clark County Social Service.**
- 2. In January 2007, Southern Nevada united to conduct a comprehensive and inclusive homeless count, resulting in the most accurate homeless count conducted to date. A summer count will be conducted in July 2007 to determine if there is a variation in the homeless numbers with the change in weather.**
- 3. The HMIS utilization in Southern Nevada has increased dramatically and we have been recognized nationally for our efforts.**
- 4. The 2007 Nevada State Legislature was considering a bill AB 126 for funds to support transitional housing for the homeless. In May 2007, eight (8) mayors from around the state attended a Mayors Conference in support of this bill.**
- 5. For the first time, Clark County Social Service is funding 200 year round emergency shelter beds for Southern Nevada.**
- 6. We held a Statewide Discharge Planning Summit in January 2007 that was attended by more than 85 constituents from throughout the State of Nevada.**

V: CoC Chronic Homeless (CH) Progress Chart

1. Enter the total number of chronically homeless persons in your CoC and the total number of permanent housing beds designated for the chronically homeless in your CoC for each year.

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Number of CH Persons</u> | <u>Number of PH beds for the CH</u> |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <u>2005</u> | 1979 | 214 |
| <u>2006</u> | 1979 | 214 |
| <u>2007</u> | 1483 | 220 |

Briefly describe the reason(s) for any increases in the total number of chronically homeless persons between 2006 and 2007: N/A

2. Indicate the number of **new** PH beds in place and made available for occupancy for the chronically homeless between February 1, 2006 and January 31, 2007:

8

3. Identify the amount of funds from each funding source for the development and operations costs of the **new** CH beds created between February 1, 2006 and January 31, 2007.

| Cost Type | Public/Government | | | | Private |
|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| | HUD McKinney- Vento | Other Federal | State | Local | |
| Development | \$332,000 | \$1,111,151 | \$0.00 | \$431,399 | \$2,865,653 |
| Operations | \$132,877 | \$49,285 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$30,934 |
| TOTAL | \$464,877 | \$1,160,436 | \$0.00 | \$431,399 | \$2,896,587 |

W: CoC Housing Performance Chart

The following chart will assess your CoC's progress in reducing homelessness by helping clients move to and stabilize in permanent housing, access mainstream services and gain employment. Both housing and supportive services projects in your CoC will be examined.

| 1. Participants in Permanent Housing (PH) | | |
|---|---|-------------|
| HUD will be assessing the percentage of all participants who remain in S+C or SHP permanent housing (PH) for more than six months. SHP projects include both SHP-PH and SHP-Safe Haven PH renewals. Complete the following chart using data based on the <u>most recently submitted</u> APR for Question 12(a) and 12(b) for PH projects included on your CoC Priority Chart: | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No applicable PH renewals are on the CoC Project Priorities Chart | APR Data |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <u>All</u> PH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in calculating the responses below | |
| a. | Number of participants who exited PH project(s)—APR Question 12(a) | 45 |
| b. | Number of participants who did not leave the project(s)—APR Question 12(b) | 200 |
| c. | Number who exited after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR Question 12(a) | 28 |
| d. | Number who did not leave after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR question 12(b) | 172 |
| e. | Percentage of all participants in PH projects staying 7 months or longer (c. + d. divided by a. + b., multiplied by 100 = e.) | 81.6% |
| 2. Participants in Transitional Housing (TH) | | |
| HUD will be assessing the percentage of all TH clients who moved to a permanent housing situation. TH projects include SHP-TH and SHP-Safe Haven/TH <i>not</i> identified as permanent housing. Complete the following chart using data based on the <u>most recently submitted</u> APR Question 14 for TH renewal projects included on your CoC Priorities Chart. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No applicable TH renewals are on the CoC Project Priorities Chart | APR Data |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <u>All</u> TH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in calculating the responses below | |
| a. | Number of participants who exited TH project(s)—including unknown destination | 180 |
| b. | Number of participants who moved to PH | 110 |
| c. | Percent of participants in TH projects who moved to PH (b. divided by a., multiplied by 100 = c.) | 61.1% |

X: Mainstream Programs and Employment Project Performance Chart

HUD will be assessing the percentage of clients in all your renewal projects who gained access to mainstream services, especially those who gained employment.

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No applicable renewal projects for the Mainstream Programs and Employment Chart are included in the CoC Priorities Chart. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | All renewal projects on the CoC Priorities Chart that are not exempted from reporting in the APR are included in calculating the responses below. |

| (1) Number of Adults Who Left (Use same number in each cell) | (2) Income Source | (3) Number of Exiting Adults with Each Source of Income | (4) Percent with Income at Exit (Col 3 ÷ Col 1 x 100) |
|---|--|--|--|
| 225 | a. SSI | 63 | 28.0% |
| 225 | b. SSDI | 15 | 6.7% |
| 225 | c. Social Security | 52 | 23.1% |
| 225 | d. General Public Assistance | 1 | 0.4% |
| 225 | e. TANF | 0 | 0.0% |
| 225 | f. SCHIP | 0 | 0.0% |
| 225 | g. Veterans Benefits | 6 | 2.7% |
| 225 | h. Employment Income | 52 | 23.1% |
| 225 | i. Unemployment Benefits | 3 | 1.3% |
| 225 | j. Veterans Health Care | 0 | 0.0% |
| 225 | k. Medicaid | 30 | 13.3% |
| 225 | l. Food Stamps | 61 | 27.1% |
| 225 | m. Other (please specify) pension, disability insurance | 4 | 1.8% |
| 225 | n. No Financial Resources | 21 | 9.3% |

Y: Enrollment and Participation in Mainstream Programs Chart

| | |
|---|--|
| Check those activities implemented by a majority of your CoC's homeless assistance providers (check all that apply): | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | A majority of homeless assistance providers have case managers systematically assist clients in completing applications for mainstream benefit programs. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The CoC systematically analyzes its projects' APRs to assess and improve access to mainstream programs. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The CoC has an active planning committee that meets at least three times a year to improve CoC-wide participation in mainstream programs. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | A majority of homeless assistance providers use a single application form for four or more of the above mainstream programs. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The CoC systematically provides outreach and intake staff specific, ongoing training on how to identify eligibility and program changes for mainstream programs. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | The CoC or any of its projects has specialized staff whose primary responsibility is to identify, enroll, and follow-up with homeless persons on participation in mainstream programs. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | A majority of homeless assistance providers supply transportation assistance to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | A majority of homeless assistance providers have staff systematically follow-up to ensure that mainstream benefits are received. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The CoC coordinates with the State Interagency Council(s) on Homelessness to reduce or remove barriers to accessing mainstream services. |

Z: Unexecuted Grants Awarded Prior to the 2006 CoC Competition Chart

Provide a list of all HUD McKinney-Vento Act awards made prior to the 2005 competition that are not yet under contract (i.e., signed grant agreement or executed ACC). N/A

| Project Number | Applicant Name | Project Name | Grant Amount |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| N/A | N/A | Total: | 000.000 |

AA: CoC Participation in Energy Star Chart

HUD promotes energy-efficient housing. All McKinney-Vento funded projects are encouraged to promote energy efficiency, and are specifically encouraged to purchase and use Energy Star labeled products. For information on the Energy Star initiative go to: <http://www.energystar.gov>.

Have you notified CoC members of the Energy Star initiative? Yes No

Percentage of CoC projects on CoC Priority Chart using Energy Star appliances: 37%

AB: Section 3 Employment Policy Chart

| | YES | NO |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Is any project in your CoC requesting HUD funds for housing rehabilitation or new construction? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. If you answered yes to Question 1: Is the project requesting \$200,000 or more? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. If you answered yes to Question 2: What activities will the project undertake to ensure that employment and other economic opportunities are directed to low- and very low-income persons, per the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (known as "Section 3")? Check all that apply: | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The project will have a preference policy for hiring low- and very low-income persons residing in the service area or neighborhood where the project is located, and for hiring Youthbuild participants/graduates. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The project will advertise at social service agencies, employment and training centers, community centers, or other organizations that have frequent contact with low- and very low-income individuals, as well as local newspapers, shopping centers, radio, etc. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The project will notify any area Youthbuild programs of job opportunities. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> If the project will be awarding competitive contracts of more than \$100,000, it will establish a preference policy for "Section 3 business concerns"* that provide economic opportunities and will include the "Section 3 clause"** in all solicitations and contracts. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The project has hired low- or very low-income persons. | | |