

CoC Evaluation Working Group 2013 Ranking Methodology

The Continuum of Care (CoC) Evaluation Working Group (EWG) used the following criteria in determining recommended projects to the SNRPC Committee on Homelessness for inclusion in the CoC Consolidated application:

- guidance provided by HUD, USICH and NAEH;
- local application scores;
- program outcomes as demonstrated in the Annual Performance Report (APR);
- alignment with HUD's policies and procedures;
- alignment with Opening Doors: The Federal Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness and local priorities.

With the above criteria, the EWG followed proceeded with the following ranking process:

- 1) Projects that had not entered into contract with HUD or had begun services within the last year and had no outcomes were considered separately and included in Tier 1 recommendations.
- 2) All other projects were assigned a score and compiled into a scorecard. The EWG then used the scorecard totals to rank order projects.
- 3) Providers were given the opportunity to move Renewal Transitional Housing Projects (THP) for reallocation to Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) for chronically homeless or Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) for families projects.
 - a) One PSH provider offered to cut \$50,000 from the project budget due to an overage each year in leasing
 - b) One THP offered to cut their budget by \$45,000
- 4) The EWG reviewed New projects for potential inclusion. The lowest ranked local application scored new projects were removed from consideration.
 - a) THPs were then put in rank order based on their scorecard
 - b) The lowest ranked THP was removed from consideration and a new RRH for families project was included
- 5) The CoC EWG then deliberated to ensure that the Tier1 and Tier 2 amounts were met.
 - a) Scorecard totals, local need and the amounts for projects were discussed
 - b) Supportive Services Only (SSO) project provider offered to cut their budget by the \$29,000 needed to balance the recommendation
- 6) The CoC Coordinator reviewed the process and the ranking recommendations for review and approval by the CoH