

FY 2018 CoC Debrief

Danita Osborne-Morris
CoC Grants Coordinator
danitao@clarkcountynv.gov

April 11, 2019



Help Hope Home
Ending Homelessness in Southern Nevada



2018 Overall CoC Competition Breakdown

Overall Scores for all CoC's

Highest Score for any CoC	190
Lowest Score for any CoC	47.75
Median Score for all CoCs	160
Weighted Mean Score* for all CoCs	166.75

* The weighted mean score is the mean CoC score weighted by Annual Renewal Demand

FY 2018 Regional CoC Debrief

166.75

- Weighted Mean Score (Based on Annual Renewal Demand) for all CoC's

156.75

- Southern Nevada Homelessness CoC

Fy 2018 CoC Scoring Summary

Scoring Category	Maximum Score (Points)	Your CoC Score (Points)
Part 1: CoC Structure and Governance	52	40.25
Part 2: Data Collection and Quality	49	39
Part 3: CoC Performance and Strategic Planning	77	66.5
Part 4: Cross-Cutting Policies	22	11
Total CoC Application Score	200	156.75

HUD Feedback

- \$85 Million Awarded total for PH
 - \$29 million to PSH Projects
 - \$56 Million to RRH Projects
- Policy priorities
 - Leveraging funding
 - Various partner collaboration
- Tiering Breakdown
 - Tier 1 projects receive funding.
 - Tier 2 projects are placed in 1 national competition
- Reallocation as Congress has appropriated

HUD Feedback

- Improve overall quality of programming.
 - Attachments clearly identify and demonstrate performance criteria to rank projects.
 - Reallocation clearly based on performance rather than passive process.
- Looking for projects that improve system performance measures.
 - Reduces time homeless.
 - Reduces recidivism.
 - Increases income and employment.

What about the DV Bonus??

34 states awarded DV Bonus

\$11 million for Coordinated Entry Projects

\$12 million for Joint TH-RRH Projects

\$25 million for RRH Projects

Incorporating Assistance...

- HomeBase facilitation of the process
- HomeBase and the Collaborative Applicant
 - Bi-Weekly calls
 - Review of previous used materials including (scoring guides, instructions and competition tools)
 - Build out of current local application in PRESTO
- HomeBase and the Working Groups
 - Determining community priorities for 2019 competition
 - Examining questions as they relate to priorities
 - Developing the tools for scoring and ranking
 - Reviewing and updating Scoring and Ranking P&P

Initial Recommendation

1E-1. Project Ranking and Selection. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC used the following to rank and select project applications for the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition: (1) objective criteria; (2) at least one factor related to achieving positive housing outcomes; (3) a specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim services providers; and (4) attach evidence that supports the process selected.

18

9

1E-2. Severity of Needs and Vulnerabilities. Applicants must describe: (1) the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities the CoC considered when reviewing, ranking, and rating projects; and (2) how the CoC takes severity of needs and vulnerabilities into account during the review, rating, and ranking process.

4

3

Major Change!

- Our local application will be based on performance and will not mirror eSnaps applications.

Change is a coming...

- Increase transparency and objectivity
- Clarification around community priorities
- Avoid scoring new and first year renewals on data and monitoring
- Score new applications solely on merit
- Projects serving severe needs and vulnerabilities
- Revision of appeals process
- Larger Competition Strategy.

Transparency and Objectivity

- Process thought to be content rich and process heavy
 - Distracting to new projects and outside observers
 - Challenge to develop public facing materials
- Recommendations:
 - Increase consistency across documents (P&P and Scoring Tool)
 - Language adjustment to better reflect best practice
 - Editing criteria to be more specific and objective
 - Updating processes around the treatment of DV projects and new and renewal projects.

Community Priorities

- HUD big picture:
 - CoC funds should be used to address specific community needs around homelessness.
 - Clear and consistent descriptions of those priorities
- Recommendation:
 - Describe priorities for current year up front
 - Must be clear and consistent
 - Must be easily understood for the public, applicants and HUD
 - Make evident what the community wants to strategically prioritize using HUD CoC Dollars.

New Project Scoring

- Avoid scoring first year renewals and new projects on project level data and monitoring
- Score new projects solely on merits submitted in application.
- Recommendations:
 - Allow any first-year renewal project without a full year's worth of data to be placed at the bottom of tier 1
 - Ensure P&P changes are made to reflect this methodology and clearly and objectively describes how any why renewal projects with less than 12 months are treated to ensure fairness
 - Manufactured scores should not be used to score new projects.

Further additions...

- Addition of language to reflect projects serving persons with severe needs and vulnerabilities.
 - Including DV projects
 - Required narrative but should clearly be reflected in attached materials.
- Revision of Appeals Standards
 - Currently language is too subjective in what constitutes “fairness”
 - Recommendation: Include specific and objective requirements in P&P.
- Larger competition Strategy
 - We are competing with every CoC and this needs to be remembered at each step of the local process.

Expectations for FY2019

- YHDP Projects rolling into CoC Renewals
- DV Bonus Projects renewal
- 2nd Round of DV Bonus
- YHDP \$75 million
 - Currently open and due in May
 - 4th Round of YHDP expected based on Congress appropriations.

Questions...

