



FY2022 CoC Program Competition Renewal Project Scoring Tool

Table of Contents

Threshold Criteria (Unscored)

Scoring & Ranking Factors	Points
1. Community Coordination & Compliance	27
2. Performance Measures	73
3. Community Priorities	(<i>Bonus</i>) 5
Total	100



THRESHOLD CRITERIA

ITEM
<p>1. Applicant Eligibility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant is active CoC participant; attends mandatory trainings and meetings. • Neither applicant nor sub-recipients (if applicable) are for-profit entities. • Agency is on the HUD Code of Conduct List. • Application contains valid Unique Entity Identifier (UEI).
<p>2. Participant Eligibility</p> <p>Project will only accept participants that can be documented as eligible for project’s component type based on housing and disability status as identified via risk assessment.</p>
<p>3. Coordinated Entry</p> <p>Project participates in coordinated entry to the extent possible for the project type (with special consideration for DV projects’ equivalent systems).</p>
<p>4. HMIS or Alternative Database</p> <p>Project enters data for all CoC-funded beds into HMIS to the extent possible for the project type (with special consideration for DV projects’ equivalent systems).</p>
<p>5. Quarterly Monitoring of Drawdowns & Match</p> <p>Project monitoring shows at least quarterly funding drawdowns, a submitted eLOCCS attachment, and match documentation.</p>
<p>6. Written Policies & Procedures</p> <p>Project has written policies and procedures consistent with minimum HUD requirements.</p>
<p>7. Equal Access/Fair Housing</p> <p>Project provides housing and/or services without regard to sexual orientation, gender identity, or local residency status, in accordance with federal guidelines.</p>
<p>8. Grant Agreement Timeline Viability</p> <p>Project will feasibly be under grant agreement by September 30, 2024.</p>



FY2022 CoC Program Competition Renewal Project Scoring Tool

9. Match Forms and Letters

Project's application contains a signed and dated match letter, and MOU, if applicable. According match amount(s) are equal to or greater than 25% of rental assistance, supportive services, and admin line items.



FY2022 CoC Program Competition Renewal Project Scoring Tool

SECTION 1 – COMMUNITY COORDINATION & COMPLIANCE		(27 PTS)												
No.	Factor	Points												
1A	<p>Commitment to Including Consumers (Narrative)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the agency have a homeless or formerly homeless person on staff or their board? Does the agency have a Consumer Advisory Board? Does the agency administer consumer satisfaction surveys and/or make changes based on results of consumer feedback? How are surveys made accessible across language and literacy barriers? How does the survey help identify any barriers to participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? (For domestic violence projects, do survey, consumer feedback, or resident advisory processes assess a participant’s perceived safety?) Will the program involve consumers in designing and operating the program, especially to eliminate barriers faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? (For domestic violence projects do these processes show a commitment to improving participant safety?) 	10												
1B	<p>APR Timeliness (Sage)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> APR Submission checked in Sage 	5												
1C	<p>Housing First Standards (Monitoring)</p> <p><i>See Housing First Standards Assessment Tool for detailed metrics</i></p> <p>Project monitoring reviews compliance with Housing First standards, in part to ensure projects take into account the severity of barriers experienced by program participants, and the efforts made to eliminate those barriers.</p>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>10 pts.</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8 pts.</td> <td><100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6 pts.</td> <td><80%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 pts.</td> <td><60%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 pts.</td> <td><40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 pts.</td> <td><20%</td> </tr> </table>	10 pts.	100%	8 pts.	<100%	6 pts.	<80%	4 pts.	<60%	2 pts.	<40%	0 pts.	<20%
10 pts.	100%													
8 pts.	<100%													
6 pts.	<80%													
4 pts.	<60%													
2 pts.	<40%													
0 pts.	<20%													



FY2022 CoC Program Competition Renewal Project Scoring Tool

No.	Factor	Points
1D	Coordinated Entry Compliance (HMIS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percent of total enrollments in the past year linked by a Coordinated Entry referral, as shown in HMIS. 	5 pts. 95-100% 4 pts. <95% 3 pts. <85% 2 pts. <80% 1 pt. <75% 0 pts. <65%



FY2022 CoC Program Competition Renewal Project Scoring Tool

SECTION 2 – PERFORMANCE MEASURES		(73 PTS)
No.	Factor	Points
2A	Housing Stability (APR) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percent of participants that achieve housing stability in an operating year, by remaining in permanent housing or exiting to permanent housing. 	10 pts. 95-100%
		9 pts. <95%
		8 pts. <92%
		7 pts. <89%
		6 pts. <86%
		5 pts. <83%
		4 pts. <80%
		3 pts. <77%
		2 pts. <74%
		1 pt. <71%
0 pts. <65%		
2B	Employment Income (APR) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percent of participants with obtained or maintained employment income. 	10 pts. 60-100%
		9 pts. <60%
		8 pts. <50%
		7 pts. <40%
		6 pts. <30%
		5 pts. <20%
		4 pts. <15%
		3 pts. <11%
		2 pts. <8%
		1 pt. <5%
0 pts. <1%		



FY2022 CoC Program Competition Renewal Project Scoring Tool

No.	Factor	Points
2C	Non-Employment Cash Income (APR) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage of participants with obtained or maintained other cash income 	10 pts. 85-100%
		9 pts. <85%
		8 pts. <70%
		7 pts. <50%
		6 pts. <45%
		5 pts. <40%
		4 pts. <20%
		3 pts. <15%
		2 pts. <10%
		1 pt. <5%
0 pts. <2%		
2D	Education (Monitoring) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage of participants enrolled in school. 	5 pts. ≥55%
		4 pts. <55%
		3 pts. <45%
		2 pts. <35%
		1 pt. <15%
		0 pts. <5%
2E	Mainstream Non-Cash Benefits (APR) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percent of participants with obtained or maintained one or more sources of non-cash mainstream benefits. 	5 pts. ≥55%
		4 pts. <55%
		3 pts. <45%
		2 pts. <35%
		1 pt. <15%
		0 pts. <5%



FY2022 CoC Program Competition Renewal Project Scoring Tool

No.	Factor	Points
2F	HMIS Data Quality (APR) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data quality is calculated as the percentage of data fields with a response entered in HMIS. 	10 pts. 100%
		9 pts. <100%
		8 pts. <90%
		7 pts. <80%
		6 pts. <70%
		5 pts. <60%
		4 pts. <50%
		3 pts. <40%
		2 pts. <30%
		1 pt. <20%
0 pts. <10%		
2G	Spending / Drawdown Rate (Monitoring) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of awarded funds spent within the operating year 	10 pts. 100%
		8 pts. ≥95%
		6 pts. ≥90%
		4 pts. ≥85%
		2 pts. ≥80%
		0pts. <80%
2H	Unit Utilization (APR) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of proposed units occupied within the operating year 	10 pts. ≥90%
		7 pts. ≥70%
		4 pts. ≥50%
		0 pts. <50%



FY2022 CoC Program Competition Renewal Project Scoring Tool

SECTION 3 – COMMUNITY PRIORITIES		(5 BONUS PTS)
No.	Factor	Points
3A	<p>Reallocation (Bonus)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did the Agency voluntarily reallocate a renewal project? Consider: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How much funding was reallocated? ○ What was the project type? ○ Reason for reallocation. 	(Bonus) 5