SNHCoC External Monitoring Spending/Drawdown & Utilization Rate Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool was developed to align with the logic and design of the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool as provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team in collaboration with Clark County Social Service and the SNHCoC Monitoring Working Group. The tool is designed for deployment by the External Monitoring Team at the recipient/subrecipient level for ALL program monitoring and compliance assessments. This tool is being deployed as one of a series of tools that have been developed by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team, a working group from the SNHCOC, BitFocus HMIS data reports, and HUD. The goal of this tool is to provide a program-specific outcome score for monitoring based on HUD Handbook and CFR standards, regulations and requirements. Ultimately, the final score of this tool will inform the overall monitoring score for SNHCoC HUD funded programs for consideration and inclusion in the annual Scoring and Ranking process for available HUD grant funds.

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: The Standards for this Utilization & Spending/Drawdown Rate Tool are effectively defined by the rates being measured: Utilization Rate & Spending/Drawdown Rate.

Project Type: This tool was developed for ALL Project Types to be conducted at the program level as a standalone tool from other developed tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization and program-specific perspectives.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Spending/Drawdown & Utilization Rate Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing Safe Haven projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

Scoring: For each standard, the scoring criteria is based on either HMIS, eLOCCS, or HUD provided data along with associated calculation to determine effective rates of either Utilization or Spending/Drawdown. The percentage calculated outcome is then awarded points based on a designed scale for both the Utilization Rate and Spending/Drawdown Rate individually.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard.

| Tab | Description | Purpose |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| | Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment | To promote transparency of the monitoring process and implementation and guide the monitoring of specific programs in collaboration with each provider and associated staff. |
| Spending/Drawdown Rate | percentage of unspent funds for the monitoring period | To assess and measure the effective spending/drawdown of awarded funds as a function of unspent funds. |
| Utilization Rate | capacity of program bed nights calculated using HMIS | To assess and measure the effective program utilization rate as a function of the capacity of program service. |

| | Provider & Monitoring Team Information |
|-----------------------|--|
| | Provider Information |
| Provider's Legal Name | |
| | Project Information |
| Project Name(s) | |
| | |

| Provider & Monitoring Team Information | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| External Monitoring Team Information | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator Dr. Justin S Gardner | | | | | | | | |
| Principal Investigator Email Address | justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com | | | | | | | |
| Principal Investigator Phone Number | 702-630-3255 | | | | | | | |
| Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist | Maurice Page | | | | | | | |
| Technical Specialist Email Address | pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com | | | | | | | |
| Technical Specialist Phone Number | 702-340-4813 | | | | | | | |
| Name of Monitoring Team Member 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Team Member 1 Email Address | | | | | | | | |
| Team Member 1 Phone Number | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Monitoring Team Member 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Team Member 2 Email Address | | | | | | | | |
| Team Member 2 Phone Number | | | | | | | | |
| Date of Assessment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Topic Area | Grant Number | Definition/Evidence | Awarded Funds | Unspent Funds | Spending Drawdown Rate | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Spending Drawdown Rate | | What was the unspent funds rate for the monitoring period? (based on MWG approved CCSS monthly eLOCCS tracking report) Scoring: 0% = 10 points, 0.01-4.99% = 7 points, 5-10% = 5 points, >10% = 0 points (10 points possible) (Refer to SpendingDrawdown Calculations worksheet(s) for scoring documentation.) | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | |
| | | Optional No | otes: | | | |
| Spending Drawdown Rate | | What was the unspent funds rate for the monitoring period? (based on MWG approved CCSS monthly eLOCCS tracking report) Scoring: 0% = 10 points, 0.01-4.99% = 7 points, 5-10% = 5 points, >10% = 0 points (10 points possible) (Refer to SpendingDrawdown Calculations worksheet(s) for scoring documentation.) | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | |
| | | Optional Notes: | | | | |

| Topic Area Grant Number | | Spending/Drawdown Rate Monitoring Period Definition/Evidence | Awarded Funds | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|------------------|----------------|---------|--|
| Spending Drawdown Rate | | What was the unspent funds rate for the monitoring period? (based on MWG approved CCSS monthly eLOCCS tracking report) Scoring: 0% = 10 points, 0.01-4.99% = 7 points, 5-10% = 5 points, >10% = 0 points (10 points possible) (Refer to SpendingDrawdown Calculations worksheet(s) for scoring documentation.) | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | |
| | | Optional No | | wn Rate Score: | | |

| Spending/Drawdown Calculations | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|------------------|--|
| Grant Number | Budget Line Item | | | | | | | |
| | Leasing | Rental | Operating | Supportive Services | Admin | Total | Percent Total | |
| Authorized | | | | | | \$0.00 | | |
| July | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| August | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| September | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| October | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| November | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| December | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| January | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| February | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| March | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| April | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| May | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| June | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| Dispersed | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| Available Balance | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! | |
| Percentage Calculation | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | <u> </u> | |

| Spending/Drawdown Calculations | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---------|------------------|
| Grant Number | Budget Line Item | | | | | | |
| | Leasing | Rental | Operating | Supportive Services | Admin | Total | Percent Total |
| Authorized Remaining | | | | | | \$0.00 | |
| July | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| August | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| September | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| October | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| November | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| December | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| January | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| February | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| March | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| April | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| May | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| June | | | | | | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| Dispersed | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| Available Balance | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| Percentage Calculation | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | |

| | | Utilization Rate | | | |
|---------------------|-----|---|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Topic Area | CFR | Definition/Evidence | Available Bed Nights | Actual Bed Nights | Utilization Rate |
| Utilization Rate | | Does the program operate at full capacity, with low vacancy rate, and quickly fills vacancies? Scoring: $\geq 90\% = 10$ points, 70% -89.99% = 7 points, 50% -69.99% = 4 points, $<50\% = 0$ points. (10 points possible) | | | #DIV/0! |
| | | Optional Note | S: | | |
| | | | Utilizati | on Rate Score: | |