HUD Debriefs CoC Regular Competition Special NOFO

MARY DUFF, MPA

COC GRANTS COORDINATOR

CLARK COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICE

Continuum of Care FY 2022 Regular Competition

Highest Score for any CoC	188.75
Lowest Score for any CoC	53.5
Median Score for all CoCs	154.5
Weighted Mean Score** for all CoCs	162.25

Scoring Category	Maximum Score (Points)	Your CoC Score (Points)
1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation	5	5
1C. Coordination and Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations	29	27.5
1D. Coordination and Engagement–Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations–Continued	49	47.5
1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition	30	25
2A. Homeless Management Information System(HMIS)–Implementation	9	9
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	5	4
2C. System Performance	59	39
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	14	14
Total CoC Application Score*	200	171

System Performance Measures (SPM)

- Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless – Risk Factors Your CoC Uses

- Length of Time Homeless – CoC's Strategy to Reduce

- Exits to Permanent Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing – CoC's Strategy

- Returns to Homelessness – CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate

- Increasing Employment Cash Income- CoC's Strategy

Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking – Local Competition

- Local Competition Deadline Attachment
  - Explicitly states deadline for applicants to submit their applications to the CoC
- Local Competition Scoring Tool Attachment
  - Details maximum points available and actual points awarded for each project, including objective criteria (33%) and system performance criteria (20%) and severe barriers points, including data from comparable database to score VSP projects
- Scored Forms for One Project Attachment
  - Renewal Project

Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking – Local Competition

1E-2b. Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process NARRATIVE

1E-3. Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process NARRATIVE

1E-4. Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects NARRATIVE

1E-4a. Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022 NARRATIVE

1E-5. Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of *e-snaps* ATTACHMENT

1E-5a. Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of *e-snaps* ATTACHMENT

1 E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results–Scores for All Projects ATTACHMENT

1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application ATTACHMENT

1 E-5d. Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website ATTACHMENT

Rapid Rehousing Capacity

- Total RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC – 2021 & 2022

Continuum of Care FY 2022 Regular Competition DV Specific Feedback

Need of the Project

- Up to 10 points based on the extent the CoC quantifies

- the need for the project in its portfolio, the extent of need, and how the project

- will fill that gap.

Continuum of Care FY 2022 Regular Competition

Highest Score for any CoC	92.84
Lowest Score for any CoC	15.25

Scoring Category	Maximum Score Unsheltered Set Aside (Points)	Maximum Score Rural Set Aside (Points)	Your CoC Score** (Points)
1. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking	4	4	3.5
2. System Performance	18	18	7.5
3. CoC Coordination and Engagement	8	8	8
4. CoC Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs	70	59	58.67
Total CoC Application Score*	**100**	**89**	**77.67**

Community Plan

P-2 Leveraging Healthcare Resources – New PSH/RRH Projects (0/10)

- Healthcare Leveraging Commitment

P-4 Updating the CoC's Strategy to Identify, Shelter, and House, Individuals Experiencing Unsheltered Homelessness with Data and Performance (6.67/8)

- Street Outreach, Low-Barrier Shelter & Temporary Accommodations, and Permanent Housing