

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2023 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2023 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Clark County Social Service

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Clark County Social Service

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2022 to April 30, 2023:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	No	No	No
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	No
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No	No	No
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	No	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	No	No	No
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	No
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	No	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	No	No	No
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	No	No	No
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Veteran Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	Organizations serving former foster youth	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The membership form is publicly posted to the NV-500 website. Each January, NV-500 solicits new members to join the CoC by leveraging the existing Board meeting as a platform to educate interested stakeholders on the role of the CoC and how to get involved. A variety of monthly working groups serve as a tool to solicit new members and build engagement opportunities. In Jan. 2023, 55 people attended the general membership meeting, an increase of 10% from 2022. Since May 2022, there have been 39 new individuals to complete the NV-500 membership application and join the general CoC membership, an 85% increase in new members from the previous year. Funding opportunities serve as a recruitment tool. In July, 38 new agencies attended a CoC Orientation to learn more about the local application process.

2) NV-500 does not discriminate against people with disabilities from engaging in CoC related activities. Most NV-500 Board and work group meetings occur through video-conferencing platforms with auxiliary aids available, like transcripts and subtitles. Requests for reasonable accommodations are made as needed. All materials are available through electronic format either on the website, fax or email. Meeting agendas are sent out two to seven days in advance to allow participants a chance to review and understand the items scheduled for discussion.

3) NV-500 maintains diverse membership, including organizations that serve culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness. Promise Land Community Church serving the Black community, Asian Community Development Council, Indian Voices, Chicanos por La Casas, The LGBTQ Center of Southern Nevada, Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services, Jewish Family Services Agency, Nevada Coalition to Prevent the Sexual Exploitation of Children, True Beginnings, Veterans Administration, and U.S. Vets are some of the current organizations who represent and serve Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1. solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	
	2. communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;	
	3. ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
	4. took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 solicits feedback from a wide array of stakeholders and people with lived experience. Formal pathways are defined in the governance structure and include Board and Work Group members with an expertise in preventing and ending homelessness. Formal meetings are a platform for stakeholders to facilitate discussions on how to systematically coordinate local approaches to prevent and end homelessness. Members serving culturally specific communities noted in 1B-2 and persons with lived experience are active in the Board and Work groups. Informal pathways include people with lived experience, community providers and the broader community participating in listening sessions to inform system efforts.

2) All Board meetings are publicly posted in advance of the meeting and each meeting has 2 opportunities for public comment. Policies, procedures and standards are posted for public comment prior to Board approval, typically for 30 days. Each work group submits a report to the Board and discussion of these reports remains a standing agenda item. All reports are maintained on NV-500's website. Work group meetings are posted publicly on the website with the chair's contact information and open to the public.

3) The majority of Board and Working group meetings occur through video-conferencing platforms which allow recording, transcripts, and chat boxes to allow those with disabilities a chance to review materials multiple times, view/hear it in a different platform, or receive technical assistance from team members.

4) NV-500 and the Lived X Consultants (people with lived experience) frequently engage in dialogue during public meetings and forums to address system improvements. Most recently the Lived X team conducted a secret shopper experience within the system and presented findings and recommendations to the Board, Work Groups, and interested parties. Partners have already begun implementing their recommendations. Client listening sessions often align with provider feedback and often supports recommendations to address improvements or new approaches.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 encourages new organizations to apply for CoC funding by hosting competition Orientation prior to the local competition opening, weekly office hours to answer questions that come up during the application process, and inclusion of a scoring rubric that is fair and equitable for agencies new to CoC program funding. Public notification of the local competition includes posting to NV-500 website, press releases to media, social media posts, announcement at NV-500/community meetings and word-of-mouth. NV-500 and partner agencies, including United Way of Southern Nevada, sent announcements to e-mail distribution lists which are broader than existing CoC membership. 38 new agencies engaged in the local competition process this year as a result of these efforts.

2) Detailed Project Application Instructions including how and when to submit; Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures; FAQs updated at least weekly; and scoring tools were posted to the NV-500 website prior to and throughout the competition period. Regular emails and technical assistance sessions kept the applicants informed on how to submit a competitive application.

3) The Evaluation Work Group developed Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures and ranking tools to guide the Scoring and Ranking Team on the selection and ranking of projects included in the CoC application to HUD. All instructional and scoring guides are designed to prepare applicants, regardless of experience, for the local application competition. These are publicly posted so that organizations not previously funded are able to prepare/submit competitive applications. New projects scores are weighted differently than renewal projects to allow for the prioritization of high performing projects and the opportunity for new projects to be included in the priority listing.

4) The local application process has been conducted virtually this year. All trainings and meetings used video-conferencing platforms with closed captioning capability. All written materials and the webinar recording have been posted publicly so that all participants, including those with disabilities, can view the material.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below:

1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	No
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.	Workforce Connections (WIOA); United Way (EFSP)	Yes
-----	---	-----

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions to address homelessness within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in the Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC is an active participant in the bi-monthly Consortium of Participating Jurisdictions where ESG program staff from the funded jurisdictions (Clark County, Las Vegas, North Las Vegas and State) work together to determine the most effective and efficient way to utilize ESG, ESG-CV, CDBG, CDBG-CV and HOME funds. Since 2021, the jurisdictions combined their dollars to ensure robust rapid re-housing throughout the community. This pool of funds supported the Operation Home! initiative that permanently housed more than 2,000 people in the most recent 18 months.

2) Strategic Progress, a third-party contractor, monitors all CoC and ESG programs in Southern Nevada with the same criteria. Monitoring reports are shared with all members of the Monitoring and Evaluation Work Groups, which includes ESG staff from the four jurisdictions. These reports and any recommended courses of action are discussed prior to contact with the providers. The Monitoring Work Group works with the local jurisdictions to identify any agencies of concern to ensure that all funds being used to combat homelessness are being used effectively. An Operation Home! Leadership team composed of CoC and ESG stakeholders also monitor progress by receiving updates from work team leads and using this information to adjust implementation strategies.

3) Each jurisdiction participates in the planning and coordination of the Point-In-Time (PIT) and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) through the ad hoc Census Sub-Working Group. Participation of ESG jurisdictions helps to ensure the information collected is most useful for the consolidated plan. For this reason, each jurisdiction receives PIT data specific to their areas as well as CoC-wide data. One role of the Census Sub-Working Group is to discuss and interpret the PIT data prior to public release. The CoC is responsive to any special data requests by partners and stakeholders throughout the year.

4) Integration of ESG staff and providers into CoC efforts has been very successful in Southern Nevada to support sharing of information and data with the Con Plan jurisdictions within NV-500. The CoC Board ensures ESG jurisdictions stay current on local homeless conditions and collaborates on the development of the Consolidated Plan, ensuring that it reflects an agreed upon regional approach to ending homelessness.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers.	No

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 formally partners with the local education agency and local school district, Clark County School District. The governance charter specifies a reserved seat for Clark County School District on the Board. Clark County School District is the only school district serving NV-500's geographic region. The Clark County School District seat is filled by the McKinney-Vento Community Liaison. Currently, the Liaison serves as the Co-Chair of the Board and is an active member of the Steering Committee and Youth Working Group that both convene monthly. The school district's McKinney-Vento program, Title I HOPE, facilitates a system-level process to enroll students residing in shelters and other housing programs. Clark County School District and the CoC work in tandem to ensure that students that meet both the HUD and McKinney-Vento definition of homelessness are aware of their rights under federal law and receive the necessary support to exercise those rights. CoC programs that serve youth and families are required to ensure that youth are enrolled in school programs. The CoC worked with jurisdictional partners to ensure all local funding requires support for youth to be enrolled in school programs. Title I Hope supports all programs and works to ensure that all youth are enrolled in appropriate educational programs. Through partnership with the Title I HOPE liaison, the CoC establishes points of contact and regular meetings between community shelter contacts and trains shelter and housing program staff to share procedures that promote identification and enrollment of homeless students. The CoC finds schools are an access point to reach families and youth in need of housing. The Title I Hope staff promote across the district the coordinated entry process on behalf of the CoC. NV-500 also formally partners with youth education provider and Board member, Workforce Connections. This entity provides oversight of workforce funding, including education and job training programs for 16- to 24-year-old youth. Workforce Connections established One Stop Shops throughout the district in public libraries, allowing shelter and housing program staff to refer youth and individuals experiencing homelessness to the nearest library site in each neighborhood.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Per NV-500 procedures, all CoC, ESG and locally funded emergency and housing programs must inform individuals and families experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services. Strategic Progress conducts an annual monitor of CoC and ESG funded programs, to ensure each program has an education policy to inform individuals and families of their eligibility for education services. This annual process includes review of evidence of compliance of 24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(2) Records reflect that program participants qualified at as-risk of homelessness under paragraph 3 or under subtitle B of the title VII McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act had certifications of the status in the client file; 24 CFR 578.23(c)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17) Ensures program complied with establishing policies and procedures that are consistent with, and do not restrict, the exercise of rights provided by laws relating to the provision of educational and related services; and 24 CFR 578.23(c)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17) Ensures the program takes the educational needs of children into consideration when families are placed in housing and, to the maximum extent practicable, place families with children as close as possible to their school of origin so as not to disrupt such children's education.

NV-500, the Clark County School District's McKinney Vento's program (Title I HOPE), and the Monitoring Work Group collaborate to ensure written policies and procedures are current, meet federal guidelines, and are able to be followed by all programs. Technical assistance is provided to agencies to ensure compliance. The Title I HOPE Department provides homeless service providers with printed materials including detailed information outlining the services provided by Title I HOPE, qualifications under the McKinney-Vento Act, and contact information for the department. Title I HOPE's resources are available electronically on the public facing website and information hub on a Google Site for school district employees. This information is also promoted on the NV-500 website. The development of relationships has improved coordination and collaboration among HOPE liaisons with multiple jurisdictional and community partners, which enables Southern Nevada to further develop and strengthen a healthy system of care ensuring that the educational and personal needs of children and families experiencing homelessness are met.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	No
4.	Early Head Start	No	No

5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	No	No
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	Yes
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.	UNLV HOPE Scholar	No	Yes

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	state domestic violence coalitions	No
2.	state sexual assault coalitions	No
3.	other organizations that help this population	Yes

1C-5a.	Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations indicated in Question 1C-5 to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) During this past year, the NV-500 Coordinated Entry Services Working Group (CESWG) led efforts to update the community-wide Emergency Transfer Process for clients needing to change housing units due to unsafe situations. Working Group team members of this project included VSP representatives, providers, and people with lived experience. The Emergency Transfer Plan from the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority was leveraged to ensure that policies are consistent between entities and offer similar protection to clients. After a plan is created, it is presented publicly at the monthly CoC Board Meeting and then is posted for 30 days for public comment. Feedback is then reviewed, the policy updated, and then brought back to the Board for approval. Training and education on the policy is then offered to ensure community providers are informed of the details and implementation expectations.

2) NV-500 contracts with an external evaluator to conduct annual monitoring of all CoC projects. They are experienced in not only evaluation strategies but are educated on trauma-informed practices and implementation of practices to ensure the needs of survivors are met. The evaluator also provides suggestions, guidance, and technical assistance to providers who are not providing housing and services in a trauma-informed, clients-centered manner. This ensures that the CoC builds capacity among the providers to ensure continued growth and improved services are offered to all clients in the geographic area. When trends are identified among several providers, the Monitoring Working Group is able to provide community-wide assistance and training to ensure that all clients, including those who are fleeing DV and trafficking, are served in a compassionate manner.

1C-5b.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:	
	1. project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and	
	2. Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) As the primary victim service provider, SafeNest trains its staff and partnering agency staff in the CoC on trauma-informed care and having an understanding that certain legal processes involved with obtaining housing can put victims at risk. Project staff are trained on the legal aspects of housing and statutes through VAWA that are in place to protect survivors from unlawful evictions or nuisance charges that stem from abuse, preventing further barriers to survivors maintaining stable, independent housing. SafeNest also conducts safety assessments for clients identified through the coordinated entry system for domestic violence services. SafeNest Advocates work closely with partnering agencies to ensure the safety of clients throughout their engagement with services. In the past year, SafeNest provided domestic violence training for 55 agencies.

2) In collaboration with SafeNest, NV-500 trains providers and coordinated entry staff on human trafficking, sexual violence, the cycle of domestic violence, laws that pertain to survivors, how to recognize and assist survivors through trauma-informed care, and how to access resources. All community entry Assessors are trained on delivering the Short Assessment Triage Tool, which must be completed before beginning housing assessments with all clients. This training supports the need to provide nonjudgmental assistance, allowing for client-centered choice and building a safe space for the client's security. The coordinated entry matchers are required to complete 35 hours of annual training that covers ethics, trauma-informed care, motivation interviewing and stress and mental health first aid. SafeNest builds a comprehensive system of care for survivors and ensures that all coordinated entry staff are able to address safety concerns. CoC provider staff are trained on safety planning. NV-500 continues to put systems in place that prevents re-traumatization through a victim needing to share their story multiple times. Survivors of domestic violence participate in listening sessions that shape the provision of homeless services. NV-500 has expanded partnerships with survivors with lived experience and remains a trauma-informed community.

1C-5c.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:	
	1. safety planning protocols; and	
	2. confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500's coordinated entry system has multiple safety protocols to protect survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking and human trafficking. Every person who interacts with the coordinated entry system is assessed for crisis intervention needs through the community-developed Short Assessment Triage Tool. The tool's questions related to safety are asked 1:1 to ensure all household members are not pressured to respond a certain way. Assessments are also done in a safe, private space. If an individual responds in a manner that signals a potential DV or trafficking situation, the client is able to stay in the safe, private space to speak to the 24-hour DV Hotline to determine next steps. CE Staff ensure the safety of the client from other household members ensuring the victim is able to connect with VSP support. Transportation to an alternative safe location is provided as necessary.

All coordinated entry assessors are trained on confidentiality protocol. As soon as DV is presumed during the intake process, no new information is entered into HMIS. All providers keep all DV information de-identified in a comparable database. Each victim service provider has access to the comparable database for their clients only. This information is maintained on stand-alone computer systems and not shared among any providers. VSPs provide confidential, emergency shelter to survivors and their families, including pets. Providers coordinate rides to shelters and other safe housing options, ensure cell phones and vehicles do not have GPS capabilities and don't allow visitors in the shelter unless they are pre-authorized.

To address both safety and confidentiality protocol, transitional housing units allow clients to remain anonymous as the lease is in the agency's name rather than the client's name. Survivors choose the direction of their case and work with a case manager to develop personal safety planning and goal setting. Emphasis is placed on ways a survivor can access services faster, ensures the safety of their children, and identifies any challenges to safety, health, and confidentiality. Policies and procedures are evaluated according to client feedback and internal assessments. For long-term safety, survivors who find themselves in unsafe situations, may relocate immediately without fear of repercussions of breaking their lease.

1C-5d.	Used De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC used for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and	
2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

2) NV-500 evaluates how to best meet the needs of survivors experiencing homelessness through system modeling, coordinated entry data and key informant interviews with providers and clients. Victim service providers act as key informants, sharing the specific needs of the sub-population in planning efforts through Board and work groups. There is a separate coordinated entry queue specific to this population. Right now, NV-500 is working with Bitfocus to create a Clear the Queue report that will detail the number and size of units needed in Southern Nevada to meet the need of the community and this special population.

1C-5e.	Implemented Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:	
	1. whether your CoC has policies and procedures that include an emergency transfer plan;	
	2. the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer; and	
	3. the process your CoC uses to respond to individuals' and families' emergency transfer requests.	

FY2023 CoC Application	Page 17	09/14/2023
------------------------	---------	------------

1) The process for an emergency transfer plan has undergone an update this past year and after being presented to the CoC Board, is posted and open for public feedback for 30 days. Viable suggestions are then incorporated into a final draft that is presented to the Board for approval and posting publicly on the NV-500 website. Training and education for case managers and community providers follow the roll-out of all CoC-wide procedures.

2) To ensure that all individuals and families are able to request an emergency transfer, case managers explain the process for requesting an emergency transfer along with safety options that may be available to clients during the intake appointment. Clients are informed of where they can find CoC policies and procedures related to transfers, so they have that information available when they most need it. VSP staff providing direct client services receive training on trauma-informed care and have an understanding that certain legal processes involved with obtaining housing can put victims at risk. Staff are trained on the legal aspects of housing and statutes through VAWA that are in place to protect domestic violence survivors from unlawful evictions or nuisance charges that stem from abuse, preventing further barriers to survivors maintaining stable, independent housing. Staff communicate these housing aspects with all clients to ensure they remain aware of their rights.

3) All case managers and clients may access the emergency transfer plan process by visiting the NV-500 website which is shared openly and freely among the community. All parties have easy access to the transfer request form along with clearly written instructions on how and where to submit the form. Case managers may assist clients in completing this document. The document also includes confidentiality protections and guidance to tenants on safety and security and includes the process to request internal and external emergency transfers. Clients can also contact CoC staff for clarification on the CoC process if their case manager is unavailable when an urgent response is needed.

1C-5f.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area; and	
2.	proactively identifies systemic barriers within your homeless response system that create barriers to safely house and provide services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 ensures survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking have access to the same housing and services available to their homeless counterparts. During the initial assessment, case managers work with survivors to identify their level of risk and the severity of their trauma. When the survivor is in immediate danger and has the need to quickly gain access to housing, they are connected to SafeNest. SafeNest operates the only confidential domestic violence emergency shelter in NV-500. The facility houses up to 100 individuals, has off-site units available, and addresses safety needs and supports survivors as they work toward healing from the trauma they have experienced. When the survivor wishes to transition to independent living, SafeNest refers the client to the Coordinated Entry System which manages the community queue and matches client needs with program vacancies. Referrals are made in alignment with client choice and safety considerations. While there is a confidential queue maintained by the Coordinated Entry Matchers that contains no personal identifying information clients on this queue are eligible for all housing vacancies afforded to clients on the general by name list queue. When a housing placement vacancy matches the client's needs, the referring agency is notified so that the VSP can identify and notify the client. The VSP is able to remain a support to the client in their housing program. The VSP is also able to work with the agency's housing program staff to ensure that a trauma-informed approach is utilized in the delivery of housing and supportive services.

2) CoC providers identified systemic barriers that prevent survivors from remaining stably housed. To address this, case management staff are trained to assist survivors and all clients with mainstream benefits, including SNAP, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Medicaid, job readiness training, and other tailored services to increase income, confidence, and self-sufficiency. HUD funded providers offer counseling/rehabilitation services to all survivors through in-house staff and community partners that are specifically trained to support survivors dealing with the trauma of domestic violence and/or sex trafficking. The full range of supportive services offered to survivors ensure that systemic barriers are reduced and/or eliminated.

1C-5g.	Ensuring Survivors With a Range of Lived Expertise Participate in Developing CoC-Wide Policy and Programs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	ensured survivors with a range of lived expertise are involved in the development of your CoC-wide policy and programs; and	
2.	accounted for the unique and complex needs of survivors.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) In 2022 the NV-500 Lived X was created to provide more intensive support to the CoC and provide input on services and overall direction of the homeless system of care. Initially funded by CV funds, ongoing funding has been secured from Planning Grant funds. Nevada Homeless Alliance (NHA) led recruitment, development, and support of the Lived X . Drawing from community-wide engagement activities with all service providers, they recruited a variety of initial team members including representation DV survivors, Veterans, LGBTQ+, youth, and Families. Orientation for team members included small group discussions what the CoC was, different housing types, motivational interviewing, and leadership skills. Ongoing weekly meetings focus on community-wide policy development, education on trauma-informed, client-centered language, and development of initiatives. They have a dedicated seat on the CoC Board and are engaged in several working groups as co-leads. They have participated in multiple deliberation teams that have selected projects for funding or recommendations for funding and have served on statewide panels to educate agencies on the value of engagement of people with lived experience . Their initiative has served as a model for other communities statewide and has educated partners on the need for sensitivity and vulnerability needed to elevate systemic change.

2) To ensure that the physical needs of the Lived X members are met, individuals are compensated at a rate that includes transportation, technology, and/or childcare costs. To support the emotional and mental health needs of the team, the contracted lead agency (NHA) is a certified recovery workplace, and the Lived X team lead is a peer recovery support specialist (PRSS). Her training as a PRSS provides an extra layer of support to the team as it is often difficult to share their experiences, but they understand the importance of sharing some items when it is a safe space environment so that systems can recognize the changes that are needed . To protect the confidentiality of team members, they are not required to share any information they do not feel comfortable sharing in any situation. While they are able to introduce themselves as members of the Lived X Team, they also have the option to introduce as a member of NHA to protect their identity. As the Lived X continues to develop and grow, our goal is for them to be integrated naturally into all working and leadership groups.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+—Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy—Updating Policies—Assisting Providers—Evaluating Compliance—Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Representatives from the LGBTQ+ Center of Southern Nevada sit on the CoC Board and participate in working groups to ensure that community-wide policies and procedures remain trauma-informed and meet the needs of the LGBTQ+ population. The NV-500 governance charter maintains an anti-discrimination policy which is updated annually by the Ad Hoc Governance Work Group.

2) To assist providers in developing project-level policies, NV-500 provides regular no-cost Fair Housing, Equal Access Final Rule and Gender Identity Final Rule trainings to ensure programs are knowledgeable with federal regulation and align with NV-500 anti-discrimination policy. NV-500 staff help direct programs to the HUD Exchange as a resource for policy development. In learning collaboratives staff have facilitated discussion around policy development.

3) NV-500 annually evaluates compliance with federal regulation through external monitoring, filed reports, and equity analysis. Programs are monitored for adherence to housing first principles including but not limited to, low-barrier practices, access regardless of sexual orientation, gender identify or marital status, streamlined admission process, person-centered intake processes, etc. In accordance with the governance charter, if a complaint were filed with NV-500 the Board would serve as the neutral investigative body and, if necessary, take corrective action to ensure an equitable and amenable solution. NV-500 conducted an equity analysis of coordinated entry to review system flow and identify barriers for underserved communities across project-types.

4) Monitoring non-compliance with federal, CoC-wide or organizational anti-discrimination policies is included in the annual monitoring exit interview, Monitoring Summary Report, and presented to the NV-500 Board. Corrective action is taken based on the severity of the issue, including technical assistance, training, performance improvement plans, and reporting to HUD. Reports of non-compliance of anti-discrimination policies are promptly investigated by the Board. A thorough examination is completed, and confidentiality maintained to the furthest extent possible. In equity analysis of system performance, the appropriate working group is assigned to identify root cause(s) of the disparity and determine steps to improve the outcome. As of this submission, all partnering agencies remain in compliance with the CoC and federal anti-discrimination policies.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2022 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority	20%	Yes-Public Housing	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference--if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA) is the only PHA for NV-500. Representatives from SNRHA sit on the CoC Board and participate in working groups and sub-working groups. SNRHA has been an active participant in the Moving On Working Group since 2017 when it was initiated and currently provides updates and support to the Working Group on Emergency Housing Vouchers, Mainstream Vouchers, Family Unification Vouchers, and Stability Vouchers. Working Group discussions include efforts to improve system-wide housing options, landlord engagement, implementing the community wide Moving On strategy and strengthening the adopted homeless admission preference. Biweekly meetings between SNRHA, the collaborative applicant, the Coordinated Entry matchers, a medical provider, and the child welfare system have supported implementation of EHV, FUP, and Stability Vouchers. These meetings strengthened the relationship between the PHA and CoC and act as an educational opportunity for all parties. The CoC has learned much about the housing voucher process and SNRHA has learned much about the unique needs of NV-500's homeless population and the great need to maintain and strengthen the current homeless admission preference. The CoC is appreciative of the efforts and flexibility SNRHA has demonstrated during this process; including adjusting eligibility requirements to be more flexible around required identification to build equity for underserved communities.

2) N/A

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	No
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	No
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Stability Voucher	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	FUP, FYI, Stability, EHV

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
--	--	-----

	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.	
--	---	--

PHA
Southern Nevada R...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2023 CoC Program Competition.	23
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2023 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	23
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Evaluation Work Group oversees facilitation of the local application process. Every project application is scored on its compliance with housing first standards. The process includes reviews of monitoring-level data for renewal projects where housing first compliance was addressed and review of policies and procedures for new project applications.

2) The monitoring data leveraged for the renewal projects is from the Housing First Assessment Tool (published by HUD), factors are considered based on project type: coordinated entry and permanent housing programs. Factors are intended to assess participant access, project evaluation, performance management, how leases and occupancy agreements comply, how housing and services comply, and specific project standards, including specific sub-populations served. Several specific factors considered: low-barrier, client-choice, avoid exits to homelessness, leases are the same for all tenants and tenants are educated on terms, housing is not dependent on participation in services, someone will not be terminated for substance use, and the rules and regulations are centered on the participants' rights. New projects submit the agency's policies and procedures to prove that a participant is not screened out based on income, substance use, criminal history, history of domestic violence, or failure to engage in services.

3) The Monitoring Work Group contracts with a third-party vendor to assist with annual monitoring of all CoC and ESG funded programs in Southern Nevada. In 2019, HUD's "Housing First Assessment Tool" was adopted to measure compliance with housing first. Once all monitoring has been completed, agencies participate in an exit interview to discuss scores on the various tools including the Housing First Assessment Tool. During this exit interview, providers are offered the opportunity to receive technical assistance and guidance on various aspects of monitoring where providers wish to improve their performance and scores, including alignment with Housing First principles. Additionally, providers receive scores with final tools attached outlining the expectation for where improvements can be made. The Coordinated Entry Services Working Group reviews referral denials to assess for housing first compliance as well. New projects are provided an orientation to these tools to ensure they are setting up projects using a housing first approach that is fully implemented.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Scope.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
2.	whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;

3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Monthly Coordinated Outreach meetings ensure a coordinated approach at the system-level by the 10 formal outreach teams for the urban part of NV-500. Informal outreach teams (faith-based, rural police, community-based groups) are engaged in grassroots efforts to support individuals in less populated areas. The Homeless Encampment Response Strategy ensures all persons experiencing homelessness are identified and engaged. The Regional Outreach Coordinator deploys teams to high-need areas to engage individuals and families identified through data, trend tracking, and visual mapping. Rural communities have by-name lists of individuals and families experiencing homelessness. All street outreach teams enroll clients in HMIS and conduct housing assessments in the field for immediate connection to coordinated entry. Many outreach workers are bi-lingual and both a language line and touch tone line for hearing impaired is accessible. Outreach workers are composed of people with lived expertise and teams have robust training plans to remain culturally responsive to the different populations encountered.

2) Southern Nevada is unique in that we have a highly populated urban community in just 6% of our geographic area. 94% of the geographic area is inaccessible and consists of mountainous, desert, water, and military controlled areas. Street Outreach teams cover 100% of the 6% accessible geography which includes hard-to-reach tunnels and washes. While a small percentage of our geography includes gated communities, the outreach teams provide multiple phases of assistance above and below ground to account for 100% coverage. Regular outreach occurs 7 days a week, 7am-5pm with provisions of after-hour support to law enforcement for emergency situations and big events. Outreach events for encampments occur monthly and services are tailored to the unique needs and culture of this population. A multi-agency/multi-sector team deploys monthly for specialized harm reduction outreach in the tunnels. Washes and tunnels become especially dangerous during monsoon season so outreach workers cover these areas multiple times a year to help encourage unsheltered individuals to accept housing assistance.

3) To target those least likely to request assistance, teams offer food, drink, clothing, hygiene supplies and information about shelters and other community resources to build trusting relationships. A specialized team, HART, prevents incarceration through service provision.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:	

	Your CoC's Strategies	Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	Yes
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

		HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2022	2023
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	1,382	1,683

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, SSDI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Between 2009-2020, NV-500 provided Mainstream Programs Basic Training (MPBT), a free, monthly, training geared toward agencies who work directly with homeless or low-income individuals to increase access to resources, income, community resources, mainstream benefits and eligibility. In 2021-2022, NV-500 began transferring these trainings to an online platform for full virtual access across the continuum. Recorded MPBT sessions allow team members to review information at their convenience and continue learning about mainstream resources. The CoC has begun offering hybrid trainings in 2023 by including online and in-person options.

2) NV-500's Board consists of public and private healthcare organizations which supports ongoing collaboration. NV-500 engages MCOs in discharge planning to prevent clients from being released into homelessness. Nevada Health Centers, a Federally Qualified Health Center, and Nevada Behavioral Health, a substance abuse and mental health treatment agency, serve as intake sites and completing coordinated entry housing assessments. The Southern Nevada Health District participates in monthly outreach activities and pandemic response/recovery tasks. The Clark Regional Behavioral Health Policy Board formed a joint group with NV-500 to focus on cross-system collaborations to build capacity and ensure that all program participants are able to access healthcare services. The SNV RRH Learning Collaborative also engages with organizations to increase awareness of programs and access for clients.

3) In the past 12 months, 26 trainees passed the SOAR self-paced online course. In the annual monitoring process, NV-500 scores a program's system performance measure 4: increase to income. SOAR activities are one strategy to improve this outcome for clients. Agencies are encouraged to have staff complete SOAR certification to support clients increase to income and non-cash income.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Early in the pandemic, NV-500's increased use of vacant hotels/motels for non-congregate shelters as a means to prevent the spread of COVID-19 through quarantine and also protect the highly vulnerable individuals from being exposed to COVID-19 and infections associated with seasonal flu. Since 2020, NV-500 has continued to prioritize resources to expand capacity of non-congregate shelter as an equity building strategy for individuals with severe service needs and people involved in multiple systems. In 2021, there were six providers with 414 units of non-congregate shelters. In 2022 it was expanded to 539 available units on any given night. Current 2023 expansion efforts have included non-congregate shelter for welfare-engaged families to help maintain the family unit rather than children transitioning to out-of-home foster care. This inclusion has resulted in a total of 652 available units for non-congregate sheltering. The collaborative applicant and jurisdictional partners have continued to purchase vacant hotel/motels, add kitchenettes to each unit, rehab community spaces to include workforce development opportunities, and offer these in lieu of congregate shelter spaces for families and households with pre-existing conditions that make them vulnerable to infectious disease. In 2021, Non-Congregate Shelter Written Standards were established, and these have been updated annually to reflect the changing nature of COVID, M-Pox, and seasonal flu outbreaks. The non-congregate shelters have provided an opening for new partnerships with the hotel/motel business community as well as expanding supportive services through healthcare providers. NV-500 expects this resource will continue to prove useful in response to infectious disease outbreaks indefinitely.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:	
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The local public health agency, Southern Nevada Health District, has a seat on the NV-500 Board. This partnership has proven useful when developing local responses to infectious disease outbreaks including but not limited to, the COVID-19 pandemic, HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis, Mpox, and parasites, like lice and bed bugs. The public health agency supports homeless-specific preparedness strategies and intervention measures to prevent and stop the spread of disease. In 2020, the public health agency accompanied street outreach teams to conduct hundreds of COVID field screenings, provided protective equipment and created hand-washing stations at encampments. NV-500's Pandemic Response Program Summaries are detailed response plans that cover strategies to meet the needs of people experiencing homelessness during a public health crisis. This procedural tool acts as a roadmap that can be employed to respond to infectious disease outbreaks. NV-500 work groups have communication plans in place to appropriately provide information to prevent and respond to an outbreak. The health district publishes infectious control procedures that assist homeless service providers in their prevention and response to infectious disease outbreak. NV-500 works with the Clark County Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security to integrate homeless response into all County-level emergency plans.

2) Partners in the NV-500's infectious disease plan, include the local/state public health agency, medical/behavioral providers, supportive service agencies, housing providers, institutions of higher educations, an Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security etc. The 2020 pandemic highlighted the role of CoCs in coordination of preparedness (prevention), mitigation and response for infectious disease outbreaks. Early measures can limit the severity of an outbreak and prevent widespread infection especially among the homeless population. The collaborative applicant participates in broader community protocol development to ensure the health/safety needs of unsheltered homeless and the prevention of future outbreaks. One example of these efforts is the revision of the CoC community matching system to support non-congregate shelter and visitor emergency lodging protocol as referenced in the County Recovery Framework Multi-Jurisdictional COVID-19 Visitor Isolation and Quarantine Operational Annex. This revision included expanded hours to include a 24/7 matching hotline.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	shared information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The COVID-19 pandemic identified effective communication protocols in sharing infectious disease information related to prevention and intervention public health strategies specific to homelessness. NV-500 and the local health district collaborated with subject matter experts in weekly meetings to adjust for the ever-evolving pandemic situation. Information was publicly disseminated through the NV-500 website and homeless service providers through targeted e-mail communication, including educational materials. NV-500 staff was instrumental in updating social media and press about safety measures that were recommended for all homeless service providers and community partners. Working groups developed communication strategies specific to their target populations. People with lived experience created vaccine education materials specific to the homeless population to promote mobile vaccine clinics. NV-500 maintains a standing agenda item at each monthly Board meeting that covers local response and recovery to COVID-19 and other communicable diseases. In the event of an infectious disease outbreak, the role of NV-500 is to provide backbone coordination between public health professionals and homeless service providers. At a macro-level, NV-500 participates in regional planning specific to emergency support functions for homeless services, the Multi-Agency Communication Center. Homeless service providers recognize the collaborative applicant as the backbone agency to turn to for direction and coordination.

2) In addition to HUD funded housing programs, NV-500 convenes meetings, including Coordinated Outreach Crisis Stabilization, and the Emergency Shelter Learning Collaborative to target key stakeholders and providers with planning, mitigation and response. Street outreach is the bridge between people experiencing unsheltered situations of homelessness and available shelter and housing resources. The Regional Outreach Coordinator has geo-mapped homeless movement to allow identification of “hot spots” which has facilitated timely communication for flash flooding warnings and can be leveraged to prevent and limit infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing unsheltered homelessness. HMIS and ARC GIS can be used to manage outbreak-specific reports as needed and promote prevention.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:	
	1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC’s geographic area;
	2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and
	3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The NV-500 coordinated entry system covers 100% of the CoC's populated geographic area, including the urban, suburban, and rural areas.

2) All coordinated entry Assessors are trained to use a standardized assessment process. Community developed standardized assessments include Southern Nevada Community Housing Assessment Tool (CHAT) for adults without children, F-CHAT for families with minor children, and the Domestic Violence Housing Assessment for any population with the experience of domestic violence. The TAY VI-SPDAT for youth 24 and younger is a combination of the TAY Triage Tool and the VI-SPDAT. The tools gather only enough client information to determine the severity of need and eligibility for housing and related services. The tools incorporate a person-centered approach, in that they are at least partly based on clients' strengths, goals, risks, and protective factors, they are easily understood by clients, and they are sensitive to clients' lived experiences. In order to equitably provide services, the tools are converted into percentiles to ensure each sub-population has equal opportunity for referral based on vulnerability and need. A team of community matchers monitors the community queue and provides referrals to temporary and permanent housing destinations.

3) Over the past 2 years, the CE system has been regularly assessed for racial equity, disparities, and client-centered responses. The Lived Experience team conducted secret shopper experiences at 11 of the 20 coordinated entry sites and provided a comprehensive report on their experiences and the limited services they received. This has led to the current re-vamp of CE which is including a focus on housing problem solving, supporting immediate services rather than being added to a waitlist, and education of staff in the variety of community services being offered. A Coordinated Entry ad-hoc group is reviewing the current assessments and making recommendations to update this tool to ensure it accounts for vulnerability and acuity which provides the basis for placements in housing vacancies. With ongoing input from people with lived experience, providers, and third-party evaluators, the CE system can continue to be amended now and annually to ensure it is meeting current needs of our community.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 currently operates one Coordinated Entry System (CES) that serves multiple subpopulations. Twenty CE sites around the County allows people easy access to services in a no-wrong door environment. Specialized sites for Veterans, survivors and those fleeing domestic violence or human trafficking, adults with children, and youth, are available and all staff are cross-trained so they can serve any client at any site. This ease of access reduces barriers when someone is ready access services. Street outreach teams are able to conduct assessments in the field and connect clients to the CE process without adding barriers to entry. The NV-500 CE framework includes standardized access, standardized assessment and coordinated referral for each of the subpopulations including youth, families, DV survivors, and adults without children. All CoC-funded programs are required to participate in the CES. Other organizations voluntarily participate in the CES.

2) As outlined in the CES Policies and Procedures, prioritization is based on level of vulnerability as indicated by history including number of sheltered/unsheltered homeless episodes, evictions; number of hospitalizations and incarcerations; criminal history; no income and safety (domestic violence, trauma emergency services, exploitation); and need (physical, mental health conditions, substance use). Prioritization points and tracking of specific client needs helps to identify those more in need of assistance.

A team of matchers manage the community queue and connect people to housing programs as quickly as possible. NV-500 hosts a Coordinated Entry Task Force that is responsible for client case conferencing. 3) The Coordinated Entry Service Work Group reviews performance indicators, monitors system flow and equity. The coordinated entry system strives to meet client need by being supportive, flexible and allowing for client-choice and self-sufficiency.

4) To ensure access, coordinated entry can be accessed virtually for both housing assessments and required monthly check-ins. The assessments work to avoid invasive questions only gathering enough client information to determine severity of need and eligibility. To avoid unnecessary complexities, assessments are verbally completed one-on-one in a private space. Integration of assessments into HMIS allow for provider-to-provider communication so the client doesn't need to repeat their information. Translations and touch tone lines are available as needed.

1D-9b.	Informing Program Participant about Rights and Remedies through Centralized or Coordinated Entry—Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC through its centralized or coordinated entry:	
	1. affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;	
	2. informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and	
	3. reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The SNV CES P&P describes how the CoC affirmatively markets housing and supportive services to eligible persons who are least likely to apply in the absence of special outreach or who fall into homeless subpopulations. Marketing is conducted via multiple media outlets including print and electronic media. All marketing campaigns are designed to ensure that all people have fair and equal access to the CE process.

2) The CoC provides training at least annually for organizations to understand participant rights and how to inform clients of the rights afforded to them under federal, state and other laws. All physical CE sites are accessible to individuals with disabilities, including wheelchair access and interpretation services for clients with hearing impairment and/or who need assistance in languages other than English. All staff administering CE assessments are trained in cultural and linguistic competency to reduce barriers to housing. Training on trauma-informed assessment techniques and safety planning are especially helpful for those serving survivors of domestic violence and those fleeing trafficking.

3) When a complaint relating to the CE process is received, the CE Working Group will complete an investigation within 30 days including interviews with persons likely to have relevant knowledge. The CE Working Group will summarize their findings including their recommended actions to prevent further discrimination. The report is kept on file for 2 years. Jurisdictional partners responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan are members of the CE Working Group.

1D-10.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	05/31/2021

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities—Identified Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Equity analysis, done in collaboration with technical assistance providers is performed at all levels, within every working group, for every NV-500 process, and each data pull. To identify disparities within homeless services, data is disaggregated and analyzed. The NV-500 makes process adjustments as needed to ensure that our system is fair and equitable for all populations. The last formal, large-scale equity analysis was completed in May 2021 when NV-500 requested ICF International Inc., a HUD technical assistance provider, to assist in analysis of the different data sets of the homeless response system for racial equity. This assessment evaluated all aspects of the homeless system including entries and exits by race, ethnicity, gender, and age. In June 2022, a Data Dashboard for the Operation HOME! Initiative became public facing on NV-500's website, including data points that measure disparity/equity. The NV-500 Data Analyst and Data and System Improvement Working Group regularly analyze data with an equity lens via Stella P, Point-In-Time and Housing Inventory Count.

2) Equity analysis was completed to identify services and outcomes for sub-populations compared against the overall population. The 2021 Racial Disparity Report indicated that while there were no clear indicators that racial disparity existed in the overall view of NV-500, there may be a disproportion in Clark County's racial representation in poverty to homelessness. In looking at racial disparities, the youth of color showed the highest rates of homelessness, making up 76% of the youth homeless population. In May 2022, NV-500 did a series of youth listening sessions to better understand why youth were entering into homelessness and better understand their access to services. Further exploration of disparities is being conducted by the Data and System Working Group, including "exit destinations" and "returns to homelessness" by race. Stella P data that demonstrates the majority of youth are experiencing homelessness for the first time. NV-500 is working to bring housing problem solving to this population as one strategy to help make homelessness rare, brief and non-reoccurring.

1D-10b.	Implemented Strategies that Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes

8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-10c.	Implemented Strategies that Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC is taking to address the disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 works to identify disparities, implement steps to improve outcomes and evaluate performance. Board meetings occur monthly and members champion at least one working group to ensure that activities and action items are moved forward consistently. People with lived experience are also engaged in working groups to ensure all perspectives are considered when making recommendations for system improvement related to addressing disparities and racial bias in the system. While a lack of affordable units is a barrier for all homeless populations, it is exacerbated for people with no/poor credit and criminal histories and who are disproportionately Black and brown (or BIPOC). The National Low-Income Housing Coalition recognizes Nevada as having the most severe shortage of units with only 18 per 100 units available for extremely low-income renters (30% area median income). The Landlord Engagement and Property Services team is composed of landlord specialists hired to deliver a curated message that educates landlords on fair housing, civil right requirements and works to reduce stigmas. In situations where a client presents barriers to housing, like criminal history, programs can refer to the specialist for placement. There are zip codes within NV-500 region where units are particularly hard to secure, and specialists work to build inventory of units across the geographic area to allow client preference. Through education, several landlords now waive certain requirements for program participants. The Public Housing Authority has applied for waivers to increase access and build equity for all populations. NV-500 recognizes the LGBTQ+ community is underserved, and a referral network is being established across medical providers, community service agencies and homeless service providers to support transition age youth with HIV/AIDS (20% of new HIV diagnoses occur in this age bracket). Through private funds Bright Star offers transitional, shared housing to LGBTQ+ people to prevent and alleviate homelessness. Youth who have been involved in foster care are at increased risk for homelessness. NV-500 partners with the Step-Up program to offer support to former foster care youth, ages 18 to 21 to prevent homeless entry. Many programs have client advisory groups or other formal ways to collect client feedback. Involvement at these levels improves delivery of services, program outcomes and success of clients to self-sufficiency, especially for underserved populations.

1D-10d.	Tracked Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	the tools your CoC uses.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Data and System Improvement Work Group (DSIWG) was established to review all data, including community indicators of increased risk of homelessness, to assist the CoC in making data informed recommendations and decisions. Data is disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender and age to evaluate where disparities exist. This group regularly communicates their findings and recommendations to the Board and relevant working groups. Data collected, analyzed, and disseminated includes housing placements, exits, and system performance measures. The analysis from this group has led to ongoing equity work with multiple working groups including the Moving On Working Group which focuses on client exits to self-sufficiency and the Operation Home! Initiative which set a goal for permanently housing more than 2000 individuals. Both of these groups now have disaggregated dashboards and other tools to ensure equity among these services.

In June 2023, the DSIWG brought key performance measures to the Board meeting and engaged the community in small and large group discussions on strategies to improve these measures while preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision of homeless services. DSIWG then summarized the information, and they will continue to move these strategies forward in working groups, in project level communication, and community wide during Board updates.

The Coordinated Entry Services Work Group monitors the status of referrals in coordinated entry each month. The reason for denials is reviewed with a critical lens to ensure disparities are not perpetuated within coordinated entry.

2) Data from HIC, PIT, HMIS, and dashboards help support the statistical analysis of these projects.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	
	Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 prioritizes authentic collaboration with people who've recently experienced homelessness. Under Board direction the Community Engagement Work Group implemented a community plan to strengthen partnerships with those who have lived experience of homelessness. This plan includes position descriptions, compensation details and an outreach plan to engage those with lived experience in leadership roles and decision-making. Additional support of mentorship, professional development and trainings help people with lived experience feel comfortable participating in discussions and formal meetings. A dedicated space on the work group webpage allows submission of direct requests to the Lived X team for a variety of project types including community presentations. Currently the Lived X Team has 7 members who meet regularly and participate in meetings that include decision-making on community-wide direction of services. The Lived X Team utilizes social media as a platform to get opinions from other people with lived experience, especially when it comes to delicate matters. Additionally, people with lived experience are active Board members, serve as directors of nonprofits, lead projects and participate in work groups.

Through the Youth Action Board, NV-500 has provided professional development, employment, stipends, trainings, mentorship and pre/post-meeting debriefs for young people with lived experience in order to integrate them into the existing work groups and be part of planning from the start. The Youth Action Board consists of young people with lived experience who are Youth Work Group members. Youth attend Youth Work Group, hold a seat on the Board, and are welcome to participate in any other NV-500 meeting.

The Quality Practice on Engagement of People with Lived Experience was co-created by the Lived X Consultants and provides a framework of engagement and minimum expectations for project-level implementation of activities that engage those with lived experience of homelessness. This includes hosting listening sessions and focus groups to improve service delivery, formalizing a grievance policy, and providing opportunities for clients to engage in program design and delivery. A series of quality practices have been shared publicly to get community consensus on minimum expectations for program implementation prior to them being adopted by all programs. These will continue to be rolled out on a regular basis over the next year.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

You must upload the Letter Signed by Working Group attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	90	60
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	50	25

3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	9	4
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	120	74

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 has contracted with Nevada Homeless Alliance (NHA) to provide support and professional development to the Lived X Consultants (Lived X) (individuals with lived experience) . NHA onboards, trains and encourages people with lived experience to take part in CoC activities and join Lived X. Stipends are provided via Planning Grant and other funds for all levels of engagement. Lived X members may be hired as independent contractors and are invited to attend training alongside case managers. Professional development courses such as Harm Reduction, Trauma-Informed Care, and Motivational Interviewing have prepared Lived X to be competitive in the hiring process and many have secured full-time employment with community providers including case manager positions, peer navigators, and street outreach workers. At a community level, position descriptions are reviewed to value experience in lieu of education to increase access and equity to employment.

In Aug. 2023, Lived X were prioritized for the Train the Trainer program for Housing Problem Solving. This opportunity has been identified to lead to full-time employment for 3-5 Lived X. Additional positions have been created at NHA to lead programs recognizing that employing people with lived experience supports conversations with clients in the field, reduces client barriers, and supports the development of best practices, policies, and procedures. The Courtyard conducted a pilot program for 5 unsheltered individuals to receive training and employment support. Clients received 8 weeks of skill training, resume building, and mock interviews. Four have graduated and are employed full-time today.

NV-500's collaborative applicant secured funding from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation for a two-year project to build youth voice and leaderships opportunities for youth with lived experience of homelessness as they engage in CoC Board, Work Groups, and other leadership positions to cultivate an inclusive approach to systems, policies, and procedures. This funding has created 2 full-time positions for young people with lived experience and 10 part-time positions as the first step . Their efforts will establish the collective expectations of our community on how to appropriately engage young people with lived experience, ensure adulthood is not a factor when they present their views, and how to elevate youth voice among their peers while creating a platform to elevate real-time solutions in the community .

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC routinely gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;	
2.	how your CoC routinely gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG Programs; and	
3.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) During each CoC Board Meeting, there are 2 public comment opportunities where all community members, including those experiencing homelessness are able to address their concerns. Jurisdictional partners also host regularly scheduled meetings where public comment is accepted and concerns are elevated to departments that can support solutions when possible. Exit interviews are conducted by agencies to identify improvements and these are shared in learning collaboratives coordinated at the CoC level.

2) The Monitoring Work Group worked with the third-party contractor to develop client-level feedback tools in the formal monitoring process to gather client information for both CoC and ESG programs regarding their experience receiving assistance. These tools were developed and piloted in FY2022 and were implemented in FY2023. Client listening sessions and focus groups hosted by the CoC throughout the year serve as an additional mechanism to solicit client feedback around system performance and improvements and gauge how service delivery is being delivered by community providers. The NV-500 governance charter details a grievance policy should a client not be able to resolve concerns at the program level. The collaborative applicant also accepts grievances from program participants if they are not able to reach resolution at the agency of service.

3) In response to concerns raised by people with lived experience about the coordinated entry system, a full evaluation was conducted to identify the strengths and challenges of this system. Led by the Lived X Team, a secret shopper experience identified less than desired behaviors of frontline staff. Their findings were summarized at public meetings and with the Board with suggestions made for system-wide improvements to ensure trauma-informed, client-centered practices were the minimum expectation of coordinated entry sites. A system revamp of the current process is underway due to the severity of the concerns brought forth by the Lived X Team. This team remains engaged in the system change process and has been instrumental in strengthening the work being done to prevent homelessness and address client needs as quickly as possible when a person is experiencing homelessness to include real-time supports regardless of agency limitations ensuring that homelessness is brief, one time, and non-recurring.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.t.	

	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The collaborative applicant and other local jurisdictions worked diligently with BLM and HUD on an update to the Nevada Guidance document for processing BLM land reserved for Affordable Housing under SNPLMA 7(b). This new document was finalized earlier this year and the new, streamlined process enables the County to proceed with transactions more efficiently. Clark County currently has approximately 500-acres of BLM land reserved for the development of Affordable Housing and intends to facilitate the transfer of 2-3 of these sites annually for affordable housing under this newly adopted BLM/HUD guidance.

2) Clark County has drafted a rewrite of their Title 30 Development Code and will be presenting it for adoption later this year. The Community Housing Office (CHO) and other county staff have worked to incorporate incentives for the development of Affordable Housing. These incentives include: 1) Density bonuses for all affordable housing projects, including senior housing, family housing, and supportive housing. These density bonuses are intended to lower the per unit cost of affordable housing and require certification by CHO staff; and 2) Reductions in parking requirements for Certified Affordable Housing projects to increase land use for housing options.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Enter your CoC's local competition submission deadline date for New Project applicants to submit their project applications to your CoC—meaning the date your CoC published the deadline.	07/20/2023
2.	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications to your CoC's local competition—meaning the date your CoC published the deadline.	07/20/2023

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:	

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes

5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes
6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	100
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	20
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	Tie

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) In the local project review and ranking process, NV-500 uses the Annual Performance Report, monitoring scores and program feedback to review system performance measures and other data metrics for clients in permanent housing (RRH, PSH, and Joint TH-RRH projects).

2) This past year, it has been difficult to secure permanent housing due to a tight housing market. Per the GAP report, there are only 17 out of 100 available and affordable units for extremely low-income renters. Many programs have used hotel/motel non-congregate shelters for clients enrolled in permanent housing programs until they are able to secure housing units. While this strategy does not shorten length of time homeless, it does ensure people are not living in unsheltered situations. NV-500 analyzed utilization rates and length of time homeless in the project review and ranking process.

3) Due to the above-mentioned housing challenges experienced in the NV-500 region, the majority of permanent supportive housing programs did not operate at full capacity during the most recent monitoring period. As such, Scoring and Ranking team had discussions with permanent supportive housing providers to better understand the optimal level so service that could be offered considering the community's current capacity for affordable housing units. This resulted in preservation of utilized beds to help continue to meet the needs of individuals with severe service needs and opportunities for technical assistance for projects.

4) In NV-500, single adults have the longest wait times on the community queue. This population makes up 90 percent of the homeless population. Through system modeling, the data shows there are not enough permanent housing (RRH and/or PSH) units to meet the needs of this population. Many are living in unsheltered situations, have adverse experience and continue to rise in vulnerability. Additionally, they have medical conditions that are exasperated in unsheltered conditions. New projects that leveraged health care options for clients were awarded bonus points for their commitment to serving the hardest to serve populations. Consideration was also given during the ranking process to preserve projects that served unique subpopulations such as youth. These projects have also been identified to improve the system flow and ensure clients have a variety of projects that match their myriad of needs.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC used the input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;	
2.	how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
3.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Scoring and Ranking team is responsible to review, score and rank projects submitted in the local application process. The Evaluation Work Group, who is responsible to oversee the local process worked to recruit diverse volunteers who also shared demographics of underserved communities that are over-represented in the homeless population. The team identified and selected a panel that represented the subpopulations served in projects including race, ethnicity, gender, identification as part of the LGBTQ+ community, and previous experience of homelessness or risk of homelessness. The Evaluation Work Group was excited to see more diversity than previous years and will continue to strive for inclusive practices in the next year. Priorities used for the local competition were informed by provider surveys and client-level listening sessions.

2) While a contracted entity facilitated the scoring and ranking process, the team was responsible for all decisions related to the review, score and ranking of project applications. The contracted entity made recommendations based on the NOFO and best practice. This team carefully considered each proposal and its impact, carefully deliberated amongst one another, and came to consensus for accepted strategies and practices. Monthly presentations were made to the Board to keep them apprised of the intended process.

3) The Scoring and Ranking team considered Annual Performance Reports, monitoring scores and project feedback when scoring applications including race, ethnicity, gender, and other barriers to participation. The monitoring process also assesses if the program served the hardest to serve clients, such as individuals experiencing chronic homelessness, and the strategies used to eliminate barriers to program enrollment. A historic look back at project trends allowed the team to more fully understand the capacity of projects and their abilities to perform and reduce barriers for clients.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;	
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 encourages renewal projects to consider capacity, performance and need to determine if a voluntary reallocation is appropriate. Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures dictate that the Scoring and Ranking team (SRT) may exercise discretion to reallocate funds from underperforming renewal projects to create preserve new and higher performing projects. Reasons for reallocation must be based on underperformance including a significant history of unspent funds and low utilization rates. Project proposals are scored using objective criteria around system performance measures. On deliberation day, SRT reviews the Annual Performance Report's spend down and utilization metrics from the past three years. This information is displayed in visual graphs to clearly show patterns of past performance, need and capacity.

2) Based on data from the PIT count, outreach reports, and annual progress reports, SRT prioritized maintaining as many high-performing program beds as possible. SRT identified one underperforming project during the process described during the local application scoring process.

3) One project that was a first-time renewal requested to be ranked at the bottom of tier 2. They have been unable to implement this program and wanted to ensure that stronger projects were prioritized. As a first-time renewal project, this project was ineligible for reallocation. SRT identified one underperforming project and partially reallocated their funds (less than 10% of their total budget) based on their recent spend and utilization rates.

4) N/A

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2018 and FY 2023.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2018 and FY 2023?	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2023, 06/27/2023, and 06/28/2023, then you must enter 06/28/2023.	08/30/2023

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2023, 06/27/2023, and 06/28/2023, then you must enter 06/28/2023.	08/30/2023

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project accepted or rejected status; 4. Project Rank—if accepted; 5. Requested Funding Amounts; and 6. Reallocated funds.	Yes
--	---	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	08/30/2023
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	09/14/2023
--	---	------------

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Bitfocus, Inc.
--	--	----------------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2023 HIC data into HDX.	04/28/2023
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases;	
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2022 HMIS Data Standards; and	

3. state whether your CoC's HMIS is compliant with the FY 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 domestic violence dedicated providers are currently utilizing a private implementation of Clarity Human Services that serves as a comparable database, provided by Bitfocus, Inc., the Nevada HMIS vendor and system administrator. The comparable database, used to assess the scope of community needs related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking. The HMIS Lead meets monthly with Bitfocus, Inc. leadership to ensure HUD data standards are met. In addition, custom assessments have been added to the database, unique to survivors. The first of these is a Danger/Lethality Assessment, which is administered to survivors fleeing dangerous situations to identify risk factors and the degree of imminent danger to the client. The level of robust data within the Comparable Database allows for de-identified aggregate reporting of victim/survivor specific elements as well as community focused priorities, such as chronicity and system performance measures, while ensuring the safety and anonymity of the client. It also allows the community to more accurately examine fluctuations in severity for survivors seeking assistance, over time. This de-identified aggregate data is available to CoC, HMIS leads, and to the CoC working groups that analyze performance measures to support project outcomes.

2) DV housing and service providers in NV-500 are using a HUD-compliant comparable database which is compliant with FY 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

3) NV-500's HMIS system administrator, Bitfocus implemented changes to specific program elements, project information, auto-functions, language updates, gender, funding sources and coordinated entry to ensure compliance with the FY 2022 HMIS Data Standards for all projects statewide.

2A-5. Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.

NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.

Enter 2023 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Year-Round Beds in 2023 HIC	Total Year-Round Beds in HIC Operated by Victim Service Providers	Total Year-Round Beds in HMIS	HMIS Year-Round Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	2,067	154	1,913	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	642	0	642	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	1,683	0	1,683	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	2,328	0	0	0.00%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	1,265	0	1,265	100.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

n/a

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2023 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 28, 2023, 8 p.m. EST?	No
--	----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2023 PIT count.	01/25/2023
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data—HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2023 PIT count data in HDX.	04/28/2023
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count—Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC's Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process;
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process; and
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC's most recent unsheltered PIT count.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The 2023 Point-In-Time (PIT) Lead engaged youth service providers through the monthly Youth Working Group meetings. The Youth Working Group consists of the youth emergency shelter, drop-in center and permanent housing projects as well as interested stakeholders, like Title I Hope, child welfare, employment partners, etc. The PIT count was a standing agenda item in the months leading up to January/February and members were able to provide input on youth-specific strategies. Two of the larger youth providers also joined planning sessions hosted by the PIT lead as well.

2) Using street outreach data from HMIS and a geographic information system (ARC GIS) where client location is tracked during outreach activities throughout the year, tracts where young people congregated in higher density were identified. Those tracts were assigned to agencies specializing in youth services.

3) Agencies were able to incentivize youth counters and those with lived experience of homelessness with \$50 gift cards for each shift they covered in the FY 2023 PIT.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2022 and 2023, if applicable;	
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2022 and 2023, if applicable; and	
3.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or	
4.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2023.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) There were no changes made to the sheltered PIT count.

2) There were no methodology changes in this year's unsheltered PIT count compared to the previous year. Prior to the COVID pandemic, community-based volunteers were used as enumerators of the unsheltered count. Due to concerns of increasing infections and a resurgence of COVID in early 2022, only agency staff were utilized as enumerators. During the 2023 PIT count, we were able to revert back to agency staff partnering with community volunteers to increase the number of enumerators. This allowed for more wide-spread coverage and all tracts were canvassed during the 2023 count resulting in a positive data quality change.

3) By utilizing a full range of volunteers, our community was able to canvas all pre-identified tracks including those with high density of unsheltered populations, conducting more surveys than had ben collected in years past.

4) n/a Changes described in 2) = data quality change

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Data and Systems Improvement Work Group analyzes homeless and community data and its trends to understand homelessness and implement systemwide improvement strategies. A lead driver of homelessness is the lack of affordable housing. The GAP Report cited Nevada as only having 17 out of 100 units available for extremely low-income renters and 30 per 100 for low-income renters. The lack of affordable housing, impact of COVID on a local economy reliant on tourism, and an aging population has contributed to the risk factors of households experiencing first-time homelessness.

2) NV-500 is expanding Housing Problem Solving to prevent first time homelessness. This strategy supports prevention, diversion, and rapid exit of the homeless continuum of care by working with clients to identify personal resources to remain stably housed and increase successful exits to permanent destinations using non-system solutions. A Housing Problem Solving Train the Trainer session on October 23, 2023 will support the expansion of this initiative to a larger number of agencies in NV-500 including faith-based organizations, street outreach teams, and non-traditional providers. Shared Housing has also been identified as a tool to reduce the risk of individuals and families becoming homeless. With the increasing housing costs. In the 2nd year of implementation, the NV-500 Shared Housing Coordinator and Peer Housing Navigator work collaboratively with households, case managers, and landlords to find suitable units and households with similar characteristics indicating a potential match. The voluntary matching process is for households that have not pre-identified a potential housemate and is moderated by the Peer Housing Navigator. Flexible funding supports these matches by providing landlord incentives and deposits when necessary. These 2 examples along with coordination of local, state, federal and private funds are strategies to address the needs of those at risk of becoming homeless.

3) The Data and Systems Improvement Work Group is responsible for overseeing the CoC's performance measures.

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	natural disasters?	No
2.	having recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic area?	No

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and

- | | |
|----|--|
| 3. | provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. |
|----|--|

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) While the average length of time homeless (LOTH) has remained stable over the past year, NV-500 has recognized an increased number of households experiencing homelessness since the COVID pandemic. The end of the eviction moratorium, low wages, and a large influx of people moving into NV-500 has contributed to an increase in homelessness. To mitigate the long wait times to be matched with a housing vacancy program via the community queue, non-congregate shelter (NCS) expanded to reduce the number of unsheltered households. The lack of affordable units and landlord expectations that clients have income at least double of the rent has made it difficult for clients to transition from NCS to permanent housing. To address this barrier, most programs including local, state and federally funded, offer similar landlord incentives and 24/7 landlord support to encourage leasing up of our clients. Additional voucher programs such as Emergency Housing Voucher and the Stability Voucher Program have also been used to reduce LOTH. permanent housing units. The coordinated entry system's assessment tools identify individuals and families with the longest LOTH, the most vulnerable, and those who have recently re-entered homelessness to offer the most appropriate level of intervention to end homelessness as quickly as possible. The most intensive programs (permanent supportive housing) are reserved for the most vulnerable clients with at least 12 months of homelessness accompanied by a disabling condition.

2) NV-500 targets long stayers of shelters to support exits to permanent supportive housing by reducing barriers to exit and providing a warm hand-off to program staff. The Coordinated Entry System Working Group hosts weekly and bi-weekly meetings with outreach teams for the "Hot 20." The Hot 20 are the next 20 clients identified for PSH housing. By conducting outreach in anticipation of a program vacancy, the client is able to prepare mentally, emotionally, and physically for a move into a housing program. This may include getting documents necessary for signing a lease and identifying potential housemates for shared housing options.

3) The Coordinated Entry Services Work Group and Data and Systems Work group are the two primary agencies who monitor LOTH and provide recommendations for system improvements.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The rate of exits from emergency shelters, transition housing and rapid rehousing to permanent housing destination remained the same from 2022 to 2023 despite the increase in number of persons experiencing homelessness in NV-500. Over the past 12 months, the increase in persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness increased by almost one-third. In order to keep the flow in our homeless services system, it was imperative that our community supported client exits to permanent housing. The influx of 586 Emergency Housing Vouchers coupled with Family Unification Program and Mainstream Housing Vouchers for Moving On clients accounted for a large portion of exits to permanent housing. Additionally, over the past year, NV-500 conducted a Housing Problem Solving Pilot Program that supported rapid exit from shelters, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing programs encouraging clients to think "outside the box" for housing solutions that support permanency including use of personal resources and flexible funding when needed.

2) NV-500's has 5 primary strategies to increase the rate permanent housing clients obtain permanent housing and retain their unit upon exit or are exited to a permanent destination. First, increase affordable housing units through development and supportive policies. Second, align rapid rehousing units to client budget to ensure self-sufficiency at the end and staff must provide the necessary supportive services to achieve this independence. Third, connect eligible people to SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOAR) benefits as a sustainable source of income. Fourth, the Moving On Work Group employs Mainstream Voucher Program and Emergency Housing Vouchers to create system churn and support exits to permanent destinations. Fifth, the Landlord Engagement and Property Services team works to educate landlord and embrace housing first to avoid evictions whenever possible.

3) The Data and Systems Improvement Work Group and Moving On Work Group are responsible for overseeing the strategy to increase the rate of exits and retention of permanent housing.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 strives to prevent returns to homelessness from all programs including emergency placements. Street outreach and coordinated entry assessment tools collect information around returns to homelessness from the client and the coordinated entry call-line allows past clients who have exited a program for any reason to call for a housing assessment. HMIS allows NV-500 and programs to analyze demographic, trends and rates of persons and families who return to homelessness. These agency-level reports encourage agencies to evaluate their approach to housing people, including considering permanency of exit destination and post follow-up supports. All HUD funded programs, along with locally funded programs offer aftercare services to help clients maintain housing and reduce returns to homelessness.

2) Ensuring client self-sufficiency is the strongest strategy to reduce returns to homelessness. Prior to program exit, clients are connected to public benefits, income opportunities, and community resources they can rely on to support their physical, emotional, and behavioral health needs once they have exited formal case management services. Housing program clients, including those with housing vouchers through the public housing authority, are offered a tenancy training course so new tenants can feel confident in their role as a tenant and learn strategies to maintaining positive relationships with neighbors, landlords, and property managers. The Moving On Work Group has been tasked with identifying funding for light touch peer support for clients who have exited housing programs into self-sufficiency. These peer navigators will be available to all client graduates to support remaining stably housed and normalizing a client's transition from homelessness to housing.

3) The Data and System Improvement Work Group tracks recidivism rates at the system-level and the Monitoring Work Group evaluates project performance, including returns to homelessness, through annual external monitoring.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 is constantly working with stakeholders to provide workforce development and training to homeless clients. Recently, Clark County Social Service launched two the workforce development projects to aid in quality-of-life improvements for clients: The Culinary Academy of Las Vegas and the BETterment Program. NV-500 also continues working with Board member, Workforce Connections, to strengthen partnerships and implement strategies to provide broader access to employment/training services to individuals experiencing homelessness including new access points for training and employment. Libraries currently serve as access points and providers refer to the nearest location. Youth providers have established a hiring preference for their clients at area Terrible Herbst gas stations. One of the emergency shelter providers has set aside 65 beds for clients who are employed to allow them a consistent place to stay while they work towards self-sufficiency through the Renewing Hope project.

2) The City of Las Vegas partners with a staffing agency to employ individuals accessing shelters at the Courtyard Homeless Resource Center in positions such as operations worker or peer navigator. Providers ResCare and HELP of SNV receive WIOA funds from workforce training and skills development. Vegas PBS also provides vocational assistance and adult education to increase access to employment. To help individuals and families increase their cash income, agency advocates work with clients to address barriers to employment such as lack of job training and criminal history. Intensive case managers address employment at the start of, during and after exiting the program. Clients are referred to NV Partners, Workforce Connections, and others job skill training and employment assistance agencies. The NV-500 Lived X Consultants provide education to employers on the value of employing people with lived experience to support their programs.

3) Oversight of the NV-500's strategy to increase job and income growth from employment is provided by the Monitoring Work Group. They evaluate project performance, including cash income/employment, through annual external monitoring.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) To increase non-employment cash income, SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOAR) trained case managers encourage all who qualify for mainstream benefits to apply and assist clients with applications for program enrollment. The Nevada Department of Health and Human Services process all of the SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOAR) applications and works directly with case managers to support clients get timely responses. CoC sponsored Mainstream Programs Basic Training providers education on a variety of community-based resources that clients may be eligible for including Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF). Many providers offer on-site application support to clients and provide on-site hours for Welfare Services and others to provide in-reach and services to clients at their provider's location. For former foster care youth who participate in the Step-Up program, a monthly stipend of \$971 helps to support their housing stability. Homeless service providers refer Veterans to specific Veterans Affairs resources. If applicable, providers can refer to nonprofit legal services to help single parents obtain child support, as well as alimony, other spousal support and unemployment benefits.

2) The Monitoring Work Group evaluates project performance, including non-employment cash income, through annual external monitoring.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
HomeLink Thrive	PH-RRH	23	Housing

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? HomeLink Thrive

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): DSCFECNHREG1

3. Select the new project type: PH-RRH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 23

5. Select the type of leverage: Housing

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

n/a

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

n/a

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	Yes
--	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2023 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Assessing Need for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	1,614
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	977
3.	Unmet Need:	637

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(c)	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and	
2.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or	
3.	if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) As of 8/29/2023, HMIS reports 977 households that have reported DV being served in NV-500 programs. Additionally, during the 2023 point in time (PIT) count, 637 individuals experiencing homelessness expressed they had experienced domestic violence. The majority of these individuals (60%) were experiencing unsheltered homelessness.

2) HMIS and the comparable database were used to generate these numbers related to clients currently being served in programs. HMIS, ARC GIS, and Survey 123 were used to identify the number of DV survivors needing housing or services during the PIT count.

3) The barrier to housing all DV survivors needing housing or services is a lack of resources. Since 2020, the demand for housing has required that providers serve only the highest scoring clients on the lethality score threshold. This means that the demand for shelter is so limited that only survivors at the highest risk of being killed by their partner are able to be served by the current housing programs. According to the 2021 Domestic Violence Counts Report Nevada Summary, on a single day in Nevada, 369 victims were served. This included more than 45 requests for housing and emergency shelter that were unable to be provided due to a lack of resources. As NV-500 accounts for more than 75% of the population of Nevada, this equates to approximately 34 requests a day from survivors that go unmet. In 2022, total bed-nights of emergency shelter increased by 69% from 2021 equating to an average of 127 clients served each and every night of 2022. For SafeNest, the only confidential DV shelter in Las Vegas, this has resulted in them operating at or over capacity consistently for the past 3 years. As the population of NV-500 continues to grow, the need for comprehensive, confidential, supportive services and safe housing for those fleeing abuse continues to be greater than what is available. This data has resulted in NV-500 prioritizing the need for services in our funding request.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)	
	Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.	

Applicant Name
HopeLink of South...
St. Jude's Ranch ...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC's FY 2023 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	HopeLink of Southern Nevada
2.	Project Name	HomeLink Thrive
3.	Project Rank on the Priority Listing	23
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	DSCFECNHREG1
5.	Amount Requested	\$722,964
6.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors-Percentage	100%
7.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors-Percentage	93%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated both rates;
2.	whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and
3.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

- 1.The calculation is based on cumulative totals directly from annual progress reports generated by HMIS Reports.
- 2.Yes, the rates account for exits to safe housing destinations.
- 3.HMIS APR (Homeless Management Information System Annual Performance Report)

4A-3c.	Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivor for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project applicant:

1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
----	---

2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan, etc.;
3.	determined which supportive services survivors needed;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. HopeLink has successfully provided RRH services to DV survivors experiencing homelessness since 2017. Almost 70% of all HopeLink program participants identify as DV survivors. Dedicated housing navigators support clients in their search for safe, affordable housing. Clients have full choice of housing location. An emergency transfer process has been implemented to support immediate moves necessary to keep clients safe. HopeLink housing navigators have built a portfolio of housing options supported by a network of landlords who understand the unique needs of this population. Positive relationships with landlords allow them to quickly accommodate initial placement and transfer requests.

2. HopeLink projects receive referrals from the NV-500 Coordinated Entry system. DV shelters make anonymous referrals to the community queue, which are then prioritized for housing based on client needs and program vacancies. When a match is made, the shelter is contacted with the program information, and they facilitate the notification to the client as they are the only ones with the client's contact information as they utilize a stand-alone comparable database provided by Bitfocus, the state's HMIS provider.

3. Case managers work with clients to determine the services desired and needed by the household. A full range of supportive services offered includes counseling, education, employment, childcare, legal, and housing support. Most clients flee without basic possessions and are supplied with common toiletries, hygiene, and cleaning supplies. The new residence is stocked with shelf-stable foods and perishable items. All client requests are considered.

4. Connections with available services and systems of support include energy assistance, Medicaid, SNAP, phone, internet, and utility programs. As desired, introduction to services of faith-based institutions, community agency support groups, nearby food banks, bus routes, schools, shopping, and emergency services are provided. Medical and behavioral health referrals are made for clients as needed and desired.

5. Housing selection choices provided to the survivor household are pre-calculated to meet affordability criteria and with costs reasonable to be assumable by the client once the household is stabilized with employment income. Housing is scattered site, allowing clients a choice of location. Relationships with landlords allow for flexible move-ins mid-month and emergency transfer when necessary.

4A-3d.	Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;
3.	keeping information and locations confidential;
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. All referrals received from the DV Coordinated Entry queue are through a process that secures confidentiality. All client information is entered into HMIS using a unique numerical identifier, and no client demographics are included in their file. During intake appointments for households with multiple adults, they are interviewed individually and privately to prevent potential coercion.
2. All clients participate in the selection of a housing unit. Using a scattered-site option, clients may select from several local areas where they feel safe and unknown to their abuser. Safety planning is done with all clients.
3. Enrollment in the Confidential Address Program (CAP) is optional, and staff are trained in the precepts and guidelines dealing with confidentiality and preservation of client records and anonymity. Clients are schooled in safe use of social media and personal protection safeguards.
4. HopeLink staff receives the latest trauma-informed care and best practices training for domestic violence, including the Violence Against Women Act, Honoring Survivors & Victims, Legal Protections for Survivors, Housing Services for DV and Assault Victims, and CAP Certification. SafeNest provides quarterly training and collaborates with program staff on an ongoing basis.
5. Scattered-site units wherein survivor households may be placed must meet conditions of a safety checklist, and it is not uncommon to provide other window and door locks with the landlord's consent. All placed households are trained in safety plans and exit strategies in the event of discovery by an abuser. Clients may be enrolled in the Confidential Address Program to maintain confidentiality around their location.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Comprehensive safety planning is a priority in all case management plans. HopeLink partners annually with our local DV providers, Safe Nest and Safe House, to provide annual training on domestic violence best practices and safety planning with survivors. Program participants have the option to participate in HUD's VAWA's protection for all survivors of domestic violence. Survivors drive all decisions about housing locations, and HopeLink's Navigation team provides active advocacy/education with landlords around the impact of DV on housing and credit history, combined with the availability of rent guarantees and other incentives.

Measures employed to help ensure safety and security, have helped mitigate survivor incidents and avoid physical harm to any household member. Staff reinforce Initial measures are reinforced with clients in detail each month to keep the ideas fresh with survivors whose comfort with recovery and new routines may still put them at risk due to becoming more at ease with their surroundings and lax in personal enforcement. As clients gain enough income to assume household expenses as subsidies decline, staff discussions of program exit planning increase and include continued safety measures. These added staff requirements are all examples of improvements gleaned from our program evaluation outcomes dealing with similar programs and DV survivor populations. Ongoing client interviews and exit questionnaires are sources we have relied upon to evaluate and improve our services.

4A-3e.	Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:	
1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;	
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;	
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;	
4.	emphasizing program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	
6.	providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and	
7.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. Trauma-informed and victim-centered care are standard current practices in all agency client approaches. From initial CoC referrals to program exits, trauma-impacted clients are attended to first for housing, and initial needs not already anticipated by case management are quickly satisfied according to client preferences to help empower the client and to secure their new environment as a safe space.
2. HopeLink staff members are trained to practice core conditions of the client-centered approach, as outlined by Rogers, C. R. (1957). The necessary and sufficient conditions of therapeutic change. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 21(2), 95-103. is among staff training modules emphasizing interactive empathy and respect and ways to diffuse perceived power differentials between staff and program participants. This practice builds rapport quickly and helps program participants feel empowered for change.
3. Participants enter the program with multiple and often concurrent trauma experiences. Staff explanations and confirmations of the participant's unique trauma experiences are often the first genuine hope offered to the client for future recovery. Resources provided to clients are tailored to the actual history of traumatic experiences they bring to their new residence environment.
4. Detailed case planning with program participants helps provide a road map past their traumas and a first step of transforming from victimhood to survivorship by emphasizing their personal strengths and assets, allowing them to survive. Clients generate their progressive objectives and goals for the plan, and staff begins the guidance through the client-generated map.
5. Participants navigate their program without barriers to cultural fit, competence, or factors of discrimination, and 25% of staff are bilingual, providing documentation in the client's native language. Responsiveness and sensitivity to multicultural factors are consistently rated high on ongoing evaluations.
6. As a family resource center, HopeLink is uniquely equipped to connect participants with any services, programs, classes, faith-based ministries, or peer groups they believe will help further their case plan.
7. HopeLink keeps current with community resources related to trauma care and resolution available for the participating parent and their children, and warm introductions as well as transportation services are provided.

4A-3f.	Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

As per the HMIS reports, it has been observed that HopeLink clients received an average of nine services per month each during the fiscal year 2022; this indicates that the organization and staff are committed to providing a comprehensive range of services to those who need them the most while ensuring clients receive the care and support they require to achieve their goals. Services provided include:

1. CareerLink Employment Services: Internal employment resources and partnerships for clients in the RRH programs
2. Furniture and household goods: Program participants are provided new beds and a variety of furniture and household goods as needed.
3. Identification and work authorization cards: Clarity cards can be printed internally for clients' identification needs, and purchase work training and authorization cards necessary for employment.
4. Rental and utility deposits: Rental and utility deposits are provided through our RRH programs.
5. Confidential Address Program: This service keeps the address of a victim or survivor confidential, enhancing their overall safety.
6. Case Management services and Client Centered Case Plan reviews: These services are implemented via office visits, phone and virtual chats, home visits, and follow-ups as appropriate for the individualized case plan of program participants.
7. Life Skills Budgeting: Life skills in financial budgeting are taught through partnerships with local banks, mentors, and business professionals in the community.
8. Rental Assistance and Utility Assistance: Provided to all program participants in RRH, and as clients achieve income, they begin to pay a portion of their rent, gradually taking over the entire amounts.
9. Mental Health Referral: A list of available resources is provided, and help making appointments when necessary for program participants. For our new DV program, Veridian Wellness is an internal partner available to all program participants.
10. Substance Abuse Referral: A list of available resources is provided, and help making appointments when necessary for program participants. For our new DV program, Veridian Wellness is an internal partner available to all program participants.
11. Legal Issues: Referrals are made to our partner, Legal Aid of Southern Nevada, for client concerns that require legal advice.
12. Flexible funds: Fundraisers are organized to provide for other necessary services that do not fit an established service category.

4A-3g.	Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Practices for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(e)	

	Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;
2.	establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;

4.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. All program referrals are received from the local continuum of care. Intakes emphasize client choice and preference for pursuing short- and long-term goals, housing placement preferences, and how best we can expedite assistance along the way.
2. Therapeutically, free agency of the client is repeated as a foundation of the new relationship, and core conditions of a healthy relationship defined by Carl Rogers are practiced by our case managers in each meeting. Opinions and judgments regarding past or present client behaviors are absent from solution-focused, future-oriented discussions.
3. Trauma is at the core of most problems and experiences presented by these clients, but few have dared to address the issues and experiences affecting them in the present day. Case managers are equipped with explanatory teaching materials to help them comprehend the scope and severity of their experiences, often putting names to them for the first time ever. The emphasis is on teaching and modeling effective coping and self-soothing skills for dealing with trauma's effects.
4. Observing, enumerating, and elevating client assets and strengths and the use of independent tools, questionnaires, and assessments to support self-awareness and empowerment are part of case management protocols with trauma survivors, emphasizing survivorship over victimhood.
5. Already, 70% of all HopeLink housing program clients report as survivors of domestic violence, sex trafficking, or stalking and are necessarily guided by staff competent in primary cultural competencies as well as the subcultural traumatic themes. 25% of staff are bilingual, and all are fluent in equal access, principles of diversity and inclusion, and serving all without discrimination.
- 6-7. As a family resource center, we pride ourselves in maintaining an extensive catalog of social, service, and support resources for those needing to experience what is a healthy new normal for survivors and connectedness with other trauma survivors. Input from our staff of 55 keeps relationships for referrals fresh and current with faith-based organizations, legal resources, educational institutions, parenting and childcare professionals, medical institutions, and groups dealing with specific traumatic circumstances.

4A-3h.	Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(f)	
	Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:	
1.	with a range of lived expertise; and	
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.1. HopeLink utilizes a variety of techniques to engage people with lived expertise in program development and continuous quality improvement. First, clients are surveyed at program intervals and at program exit for suggestions of program improvements and enhancements. Second, survivors on HopeLink's agency management and case management staff offer particular insights in our Neighborhood Council meetings; current DV program participants are also invited and empowered to share their own experiences with the agency. This council exists specifically to inform needs and improvements in agency programming, and program participants who are currently receiving services will be the most appropriate people to help improve program design.

2. All clients are provided with a grievance policy that allows them to provide feedback on programming that is not to their satisfaction. Suggestions made by clients in this manner, similar to the Neighborhood Council Meetings, allow program staff to consider the perspective of individuals with lived experience and ensure that programs meet their unique needs.

2. Through the implementation of the Neighborhood Council recommendations, program staff are provided with trainings that supports the recommendations. Staff development supports these programmatic improvements. The experiences of survivors are unique, and client suggestions will be used to ensure the program continues to meet the needs of clients, not the expectations of case managers. As with other programs, HopeLink will remain responsive to client input and continue refining policies and procedures to reflect best practices and meet clients' needs. HopeLink reviews policies and procedures at least quarterly to ensure they remain current and reflective of the specific needs of survivors with lived experiences of homelessness.

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC's FY 2023 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	St. Jude's Ranch for Children
2.	Project Name	Hope for Survivors
3.	Project Rank on the Priority Listing	24
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	LF1ZRDH9QNB6
5.	Amount Requested	\$920,136
6.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors-Percentage	100%

7.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	89%
----	--	-----

4A-3b.1.	Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated both rates;
2.	whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and
3.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

1) Data is captured for Safe Nest's Operation Fresh Start program - RRH for Domestic Violence survivors - from 9/1/2022-9/1/2023 Performance Monitoring. 100% of individuals placed in housing for the program were survivors of domestic violence. 89% of persons exiting the program were exited into permanent housing.

2) All exits into permanent housing are considered to be an exit to a safe housing destination, specified in the monitoring report as "positive housing destinations."

3) The data source is a comparable database used by victim services providers.

4A-3c.	Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivor for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project applicant:

1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan, etc.;
3.	determined which supportive services survivors needed;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1&2) Each organization has a different way of utilizing CE. Safe Nest (SN), who specializes in serving DV survivors, will often self-refer participants into its Operation Fresh Start program, as the client is already staying at the Safe Nest emergency shelter or will be transitioning from another DV shelter location. Once the client and their family are stabilized and any safety risks have been resolved, the survivor is placed in their own apartment. St. Jude's Ranch for Children (SJRC) utilizes the CE Community Queue to receive referrals from other CoC providers to provide housing to TAY and/or trafficking survivors. Upon referral to the program, clients meet with their new case manager and begin the housing placement process. Hope for Survivors will utilize the SNH CoC Coordinated Entry (CE) System when housing DV survivors into rapid rehousing, with SN primarily serving adult survivors and SJRC serving TAY. 3) Once safely in their new home, SN and SJRC clients work with their advocate/case manager on a weekly basis. A client case file is developed that identifies client strengths and specific barriers to education, employment, childcare, and housing. 4) The advocate/case manager provides community referrals and alternative methods for overcoming barriers. These include non-traditional education programs, employment training, telehealth therapy, childcare assistance, and mobile and onsite healthcare provided through community partners. Clients are assisted with transportation, utility assistance, and household/personal supplies as needed. SN/SJRC staff provide clients with direct connections to community resources that help to meet their needs by inviting partners on-site to connect with clients, utilizing social service hubs, participating in Nevada 211, and employing numerous MOUs with local agencies that provide a range of supportive services. 5) Clients served by both SN and SJRC develop their individual case management plan with assistance from their case manager. This plan is a living document that identifies the most urgent housing barriers that the client is facing and a timeline of how to overcome those barriers. Each time the client attends a case management session, the plan is updated to document the client's progress. Additionally, even after exit from the housing program, survivors will continue to have access to SJRC's and SN's support services as appropriate, including advocacy assistance, counseling, and basic needs assistance.

4A-3d.	Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
3.	keeping information and locations confidential;	
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1.All referrals received from the DV Coordinated Entry queue are received via a process that secures confidentiality. All client information is entered into HMIS using a unique numerical identifier and no client demographics are included in their file. Adult survivors enter SN's transitional housing program upon exiting from a confidential domestic violence shelter; immediate safety risks have been resolved, and the family is stabilized.
- 2.Survivors participate in the selection of a housing unit. Survivors may select areas where they feel safe and that are unknown to their abuser. Housing locations are prioritized so that survivors have access to supportive services and transportation, are close to employment, and retain connection to schools and support systems that will benefit the family - while maintaining a location that is confidential. At a minimum, safety planning is reviewed with all survivors monthly.
- 3.Advocates assist survivors in utilizing the Confidential Address Program to keep their location confidential, and all SN/SJRC staff & volunteers are trained on confidentiality. Landlords/property managers are informed of the VAWA regulations regarding confidentiality of survivors. All survivors are informed of their rights and are asked to sign the Notice of Occupancy Rights under the Violence Against Women Act and to complete the HUD Certification of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, or Stalking, and Alternate Documentation. If a survivor were to experience a significant safety risk, they may also be readmitted into shelter, if desired.
- 4.Program staff receive the latest trauma-informed care and best practices trainings for domestic violence including the Violence Against Women Act and the Nevada Confidential Address Program.
- 5.All survivors and property owners are informed of confidentiality protections under VAWA. Advocates assist survivors to address any safety issues with property owners when needed, and work with landlords to relocate survivors to an alternate unit if their location becomes known and their safety is at risk (emergency transfers).

4A-3d.1.	Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Since 1977, Safe Nest (SN) has provided safe, confidential shelter; crisis, advocacy, and counseling services; and extensive supportive services to help DV survivors on a path toward healing and abuse-free lives. St. Jude's Ranch for Children (SJRC) is specialized in addressing homelessness for transition-age youth and victims of trafficking. Together, the agencies have decades of experience supporting survivors of DV/trafficking of all ages and have extensive experience in providing confidential services and addressing safety risks.

SJRC has developed multiple programs that have incorporated Housing First standards, including transitional housing, rapid rehousing, and joint transitional-rapid rehousing service models. SJRC began working in the homeless youth field out of a need to continue to serve aged-out foster children who were being served in the residential foster care program. In 2011, SJRC built a 15-unit transitional housing complex to help fill the gap in available housing and support services for youth. Currently, SJRC operates 7 individual housing & support service TAY programs that are funded by federal, local, and state agencies. Last year, 391 unduplicated individuals were served, and approximately 1/3 were children under 3 years old. This combination of experience in child welfare and youth homelessness has helped SJRC become a local expert in working with children and young adults who have suffered trauma resulting from family and domestic violence/trafficking.

SN has more than 46 years of experience serving DV victims in Southern Nevada, with 10,000+ victims and their families receiving direct services annually. Since 2018, Safe Nest's RRH program, Operation Fresh Start, has provided scattered-site apartments subsidized by SN for survivors of DV transitioning from shelter to independent living. Last fiscal year, SN provided 17,822 bednights of RRH to survivors of DV, sexual violence, and/or sex trafficking.

SN serves as the local subject matter expert on DV and provides information and technical assistance to CoC partners on DV-related matters as needed and requested; this includes administering a domestic violence safety assessment via the agency's 24/7 crisis hotline for individuals who present at Coordinated Entry sites and are identified as potential victims of DV.

4A-3e.	Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:	
1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;	
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;	
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;	
4.	emphasizing program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	
FY2023 CoC Application		Page 80
		09/14/2023

6.	providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. All services provided by SJRC and SN are survivor-driven and trauma-informed. The survivor participates in the decision-making process for housing placement to the greatest extent possible, which choices informed by what units and services are available in the area. Housing location decisions are designed to allow for survivors to access services and transportation, to be close to employment, and retain connection to schools and support systems that will benefit the family, while maintaining a location that is confidential.

Since 2018, Safe Nest's RRH program, Operation Fresh Start, has provided scattered-site apartments subsidized by SN for survivors of DV transitioning from shelter to independent living. Last fiscal year, SN provided 17,822 bednights of RRH to survivors of DV, sexual violence, and/or sex trafficking. SJRC operates 7 individual housing & support service TAY programs that are funded by federal, local, and state agencies. Last year, 391 unduplicated individuals were served, and approximately 1/3 were children under 3 years old.

2. Program staff at both agencies approach all interactions with survivors collaboratively. There are minimal barriers to entry and to continued participation in programs offered. Survivors are not deemed ineligible for services for having too little income, a history of SUD, or a criminal record. Survivors are not terminated for failure to participate in supportive services, to make progress on their plan, or for job/income loss. Case Managers will work with survivors to collaboratively problem solve to address barriers in order to ensure long-term success and to increase safety.

3. Information regarding trauma is provided by clinicians, and assistance in recovery from past traumatization is conducted by licensed clinical therapists and the survivor's support networks of individuals who care for them. The agencies directly provide or fund continuing training opportunities each year for staff to keep current on Trauma-Informed Care service provision.

4. A major facet of the agencies' approach to direct service delivery focuses on empowerment-based programming, where survivors create individualized plans and goals with their advocates and counselors who support them to make their own choices as they work to recover from abuse and regain their independence. Services are guided by the client and include frequent collaboration with other partner agencies to best address the individual barriers and needs of the client. Abusers often question and ridicule their victim's decisions and judgment, to the extent that a victim's self-esteem is often eroded. Therefore, SN/SJRC work to give the feeling of competence and independence back to the survivor. Although staff provide options along with orientation to community resources and advocacy where real and potential barriers arise, the role of direct service staff is to provide support and resources to the clients, empowering them to make their own choices as they work to recover from abuse and regain their independence.

5. The agencies recognize that issues of systemic racism, discrimination, and generational poverty & trauma create significant obstacles for survivors of color. The agencies strive to meet the needs of these populations by incorporating best practices and evidence-based approaches in client-centered service delivery. The agencies work actively in the community to develop partnerships to build agency capacity to address victim service needs for marginalized populations and to provide inclusive and culturally-competent services. Each agency has bilingual staff members and translation services for different

languages, including American Sign Language, are utilized when needed. Facilities are ADA compliant.

6. Survivors receive access to mental health support, substance use disorder treatment, and family therapy. Upon program exit, survivors are linked to a local therapist to ensure the continuation of needed therapy for themselves and their children. SN's counseling department offers drop-in support groups for survivors, in English and Spanish, as well as access to individual and group counseling sessions for all survivors. For additional substance use and/or mental health counseling or crisis support when needed, the agencies provide participants with referrals and transportation to local recovery groups or to substance use/mental health facilities, as well as advocacy for clients to help them maintain their sobriety, thus increasing their ability to achieve self-sufficiency.

7. For parents and families, survivors have access to family therapy, prenatal care, and parenting classes. Access to free/subsidized child care is made available through community partners. The agencies have active partnerships with Legal Aid and other partners to provide legal assistance.

4A-3f.	Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)		
Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.		

(limit 5,000 characters)

Upon entering programs provided by SJRC/SN, survivors work with their case manager/advocate to document their work experience, skills, and abilities. Accompanying this information is a basic job history and summary of the individual's interests and employment potential. When collecting this information, the individual is asked about any past history of receiving Social Security Income, disability benefits, TANF, or related assistance. Should the client be eligible for Social Security or other benefits, the case manager will assist the client in obtaining benefits. If the survivor lacks any of the necessary vital documents (birth certificates, social security cards), their case manager/advocate will work with them to obtain duplicate copies.

Collaborative partnerships provide participants with career counseling and job readiness training, childcare assistance, resume assistance, and job placement opportunities. For healthcare, Safe Nest partners with Neighborhood Clinic, who provides free medical care to Safe Nest clients, and SJRC offers mobile healthcare services. Safe Nest's counseling program provides individual and group therapy for survivors, as well as support groups. Referrals for legal services, mental health support, and substance use disorder treatment are provided.

Depending on their individual needs, survivors received clothing, school supplies, cell phones, financial stipends, and referrals to community partners such as legal aid, immigration or employment assistance, and pet foster care. Both SJRC and Safe Nest also provide professional clothing and any job supplies needed for clients. Work cards, food safety cards, vocational certificates, access to training/education/GED courses, and other pre-employment requirements are all made available to clients. Access to transportation is also provided as needed.

In 2022, Safe Nest provided 149,700 units of direct service to survivors of domestic violence and their families. Services include 46,671 bednights of emergency shelter, 2,522 survivors assisted with protection orders, and 7,312 therapy sessions.

4A-3g.	Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Practices for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(e)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:	
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;	
2.	establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;	
3.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;	
4.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
5.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	

6.	provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. All services in the Hope for Survivors program will be survivor-driven and trauma-informed. The survivor will guide the decision-making for their housing placement to the greatest extent possible, informed by available units and what services are available in those areas. Housing location decisions are designed to allow for survivors to access services and transportation, be close to employment, and retain connection to schools and support systems that will benefit the family, while maintaining a location that is confidential.

2. Program staff will approach all interactions with survivors collaboratively. There will be minimal barriers to entry and continued participation. Punitive interventions and interactions that require “this for that” transactions will not be part of the program. Rather the program offers services to clients in a holistic approach that provides them with what they need. Case Managers will work with survivors to collaboratively problem solve to address barriers in order to ensure long-term housing success.

3. Information regarding trauma will be provided by clinicians, and assistance in recovery from past traumatization will be conducted by licensed clinical therapists and the survivor’s support networks of individuals who care for them. The agencies will directly provide or fund continuing training opportunities each year for staff to keep current on Trauma-Informed Care service provision.

4. A major facet of the agencies’ approach to direct service delivery will focus on empowerment-based programming, where survivors will create individualized plans and goals with their advocates and counselors who support them to make their own choices as they work to recover from abuse and regain their independence. Services will be guided by the client and include frequent collaboration with other partner agencies to best address the individual barriers and needs of the client. The role of direct service staff will be to provide support and resources to the clients, empowering them to make their own choices as they work to recover from abuse and regain their independence.

5. Both SJRC and Safe Nest understand that many clients of color who are overrepresented in experiencing homelessness may have underlying trauma that is contributing to their current living situation. The agencies will strive to meet the needs of these populations by incorporating best practices and evidence-based approaches in client-centered service delivery. The agencies currently work actively in the community to develop partnerships to build agency capacity to address victim service needs for marginalized populations and to provide inclusive and culturally-competent services. Each agency has bilingual staff members and translation services for different languages, including American Sign Language, are utilized when needed.

6. Survivors will receive access to mental health support, SUD treatment, and family therapy. Upon program exit, survivors will be linked to a local therapist to ensure the continuation of needed therapy for themselves and their children. Safe Nest’s counseling department will offer drop-in support groups for survivors, in English and Spanish, as well as access to individual and group counseling sessions for all survivors. For additional substance use and/or mental health counseling or crisis support when needed, the agencies will provide participants with referrals and transportation to local recovery groups or to substance use/mental health facilities.

In addition to Unshakeable, both agencies have numerous collaborations in the

community that will help contribute to a wrap-around service provision for survivors, such medical providers, immigration assistance agencies, other victim services providers, and culturally-specific programs.

7. Many clients will be parenting, pregnant, or may have a child in the local foster care system. The program provides prenatal care and parenting classes. Parents in the program are assisted with enrollment in childcare centers, are provided childcare vouchers, and given transportation passes for parents to drop off children so they can attend work, school, and therapy. Should legal assistance be needed, the program works with local non-profits for assisting with family court, immigration issues, and custody/visitation issues.

4A-3h.	Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(f)	
	Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:	
1.	with a range of lived expertise; and	
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Over the last 7 years, SJRC and Safe Nest have seen a dramatic increase in the number of individuals who are experiencing domestic violence combined with multiple barriers to remaining in housing. This increase has led to a need to expand existing programs, apply for new programs, and leverage private funds to help reach more survivors. Successful implementation of these growing resources has made the need for clear and effective input from individuals with lived experiences of DV and homelessness. Both organizations have individuals with lived experience who are current and former employees, current contractors, executive staff, and board members. They have the opportunity to provide input and feedback in multiple ways that help inform positive, survivor-centered changes to programming.

When having current or former clients provide feedback to programs and services, the organizations are committed to not just listening to their stories. Rather, the organizations try to put themselves in the client's shoes and better understand their current situation and how they are feeling. This helps immediately identify what is the most needed service or the needed change to service delivery. Many times, programs are limited in what they can provide to clients based on program restrictions, funding limitations, and federal guidelines. In these instances, both organizations seek to identify a solution-based approach to serve the individual. Resources such as private grant funds, donated items, and referral to a non-housing organization all help the client receive what they need most.

Currently, SJRC is piloting a "bridge housing" grant from a national foundation to assist with expenses that are not allowable with federal funding. The Bridge Housing grant proposal and design were a direct result of those with lived experiences. Youth survivors were repeatedly exiting the program and then coming back for services such as single-time rent, utility assistance, cell phone payments, and food. These clients had successfully completed the housing program but were at extreme risk of entering back into homelessness without assistance. This new grant helps fill a valuable need for young adults who are technically not homeless per the federal definition.

Safe Nest reviews client exit survey data monthly to assess the efficacy of services, identify service gaps, gauge additional training opportunities for staff, and implement program changes. Safe Nest hosts a monthly

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.		
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.		
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.		
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.		
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.		
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.		
	. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).		
	. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.		
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.		
8.	Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.		
Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	IC7 PHA Homeless ...	09/13/2023
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1D-11a. Letter Signed by Working Group	Yes	1D-11a. Letter Si...	09/14/2023
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	1D-2a Housing Fir...	09/13/2023
1E-1. Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline	Yes	1E-1 Web Posting ...	09/13/2023
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	1E-2 Local Compet...	09/13/2023
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	1E-2a Scored Form...	09/13/2023
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	1E-5 Notification...	09/14/2023
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	1E-5A Notificatio...	09/14/2023
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	1E-5B Local Compe...	09/14/2023
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	2A-6 HUD's Homele...	09/13/2023
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	3A-1A Housing Lev...	09/13/2023
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: IC7 PHA Homeless Preference 1

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1D-11a. Letter Signed by Working Group

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1D-2a Housing First Evaluation

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-1 Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-2 Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-2a Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5 Notification of Projects Rejected Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5A Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5B Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2A-6 HUD's Homeless Data Exchange
Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: 3A-1A Housing Leverage Commitment

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	07/27/2023
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/14/2023
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/14/2023
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/14/2023
1E. Project Review/Ranking	09/14/2023
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/14/2023
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/14/2023
2C. System Performance	09/14/2023
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/14/2023
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/14/2023
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/14/2023

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/14/2023
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1C-7 PHA Homeless Preference

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority's Administrative Plan	2



SNRHA uses the following Local Preferences:

Homeless Referral Preference: Families who are homeless (family lacks a fixed regular and adequate night time residence, or has a primary night time residence that is a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations, such as welfare voucher hotels, congregate shelters or transitional housing designed for homeless persons, or a public or private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings) and are participating in case management with an approved referral agency. This preference shall be limited to up to 150 referrals per year from Clark County Social Service.....60 points. Unit offers to applicants referred for this preference will be made as follows: for every two applicants from the waiting list receiving a unit offer, one applicant referred under this preference will receive a unit offer.

Homeless Families that live with friends or relatives who are not currently in the transition system, will be encourage to apply to other available SNRHA programs that they may qualify for.

Federally Declared Disasters: Victims who are holders of Section 8 Vouchers or other subsidized programs as defined as eligible units by HUD, in another jurisdiction within 120 days of the President declaring a federal disaster. **55 points**

Working Preference: Head, spouse or co-head who is employed at least 20 hours per week at the equivalent of minimum wage, or who are active participants in accredited educational and training programs designed to prepare the individual for the job market. This preference is extended equally to elderly families or disabled families, including but not limited to those whose head or spouse is receiving SSI, SSD, or who can be verified to be unable to work, if both the head of household and spouse is either elderly or disabled..... **30 points**

Veteran Preference defined by the State of Nevada: A Veteran/Disabled Veteran may submit an admissions application at any time for any housing program, whether the waiting list is open or closed – with the exception of the Housing Choice Voucher Program. The Veteran/Disabled Veteran must be the head, spouse, or co-head member of the household. At the time of eligibility, the Veteran/Disabled Veteran must submit their DD214 (or other official discharge documents from the Official Military Personnel File) which shows enlistment date, discharge dates, branch of service, social security number, birthdate, net active service, and type of discharge. If the military documents are not submitted accordingly, the Veteran's/Disabled Veteran's name will be withdrawn from the waiting list.....**35 points**

Disabled veteran or family (defined as dependent son, daughter, and spouse) of a veteran with a service-connected disability. **5 points**

Family of (defined as spouse) a **deceased veteran** with a service-connected death.**33 points**

Residency preferences for head, co-head or spouse, who live, work, have been hired to work, or are enrolled full time in an accredited school in Clark County... **5 points**

ADDITION: Displacement Preference means any applicant who is or will be involuntarily displaced if the applicant has vacated or will have to vacate the unit where the applicant lives because of one or more of the following reasons (1) displacement by disaster; (2) displacement

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1D-11a Letter Signed by Working Group

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lived X Consultants Letter including<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Identification and explanation of Lived X Team○ Lived X Consultant achievements and current SNH CoC activities○ Signatures of 7 Lived X Consultants members	2-3



**Board of
Directors:**

Sandra
Shulman
Credit One
Bank
President

Robert
Reynolds
Reynolds &
Associates
Vice President

Pastor Henry
Sneed
Lucky Little
Chapel
The Church of
LV
Secretary

Senator David
Parks
Retired
Treasurer

Travis Bleazard
LV Fire and
Rescue
**Board
Member**

RE: Lived Experience Letter of Support

To Whom It May Concern:

The Lived X Consultants, Southern Nevada's Homelessness Continuum of Care PLE Board, was created in 2022. Our role within the Continuum of Care is to provide guidance and support in system level and programmatic decision making while advocating for frontline supports and gaps.

Continued funding of the Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care projects will afford the Clark County community opportunities to expand services for the community. Many of the projects serve the vulnerable population of persons experiencing homelessness and expand the capacity of the community to prevent and end homelessness. Southern Nevada will also be able to serve as a model for programs supporting persons experiencing homelessness or at risk of experiencing homelessness at a regional and state level.

As a team, Lived X Consultants have actively participated in SNH CoC's work groups; were an active participant in the development and Scoring and Ranking team for the Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness grant, have served on the Scoring and Ranking teams for the local competition; and have reviewed, advised, and approved the consolidated application. Lived X Consultants supports the priorities set by the SNH CoC and the priority listing of the NV-500 CoC NOFO submission. This includes the prioritization of programs that serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in NV-500. We have reviewed and approved submission of the Consolidated Application, Priority Listing, and all Project Applications.

Sincerely,

Donica Martinez *Keisha Braggs*

Martin Castro *Lou Hickson*

Amy Jones *Davion Taylor* *Ally Holmes*

Lived X Consultants

Catrina

**Dr. Catrina Grigsby-Thedford DPP, LSW, CADC-S
Executive Director, Nevada Homeless Alliance**

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1D-2a Housing First Evaluation

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Monitoring Report for STAR PSH Including<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Housing First Assessment Feedback on page 6○ Housing First Assessment Tool on page 187	2-221



Southern Nevada Homeless Continuum of Care Annual Report: Year 5 External Monitoring Clark County Social Service: STAR PSH 2023

Developed by:
Strategic Progress, LLC SNHCoC External Monitoring Team,
Southern Nevada Homeless Continuum of Care (SNHCoC) Board
Monitoring Working Group

Article I. Table of Contents

Article I. Table of Contents.....	i
Article II. Monitoring Profile Checklist.....	2
Article III. Project Scoring Tool.....	5
Article IV. Performance Monitoring Report.....	16
Article V. Spending Drawdown & Utilization Report.....	25
Article VI. Main Monitoring Tool	34
Article VII. Program Specific Monitoring Tool	97
Article VIII. Client Case File Monitoring Tool	134
Article IX. Housing First Standards Assessment Tool.....	184

Article II. Monitoring Profile Checklist



Clark County: STAR PSH

Monitoring Dates: February 5, 2023 – June 10, 2023

Number of Clients Reviewed: 10

Monitoring Total Score: 83.9

Appeal Status: *Appeal Completed*



Action Items to Improve Future Scoring Outcomes:

- ☐ **Action Item 1: Coordinated Entry issues resulting in less clients than anticipated or expected. The Coordinated Entry system needs to be assessed to limit the number of denials and remove impediments to enrollment through Coordinated Entry system.**



Potential Needs for Technical Assistance (TA):

- ☐ **TA Need 1: Coordinated Entry system adjustment or modification assessments need to be conducted.**

Performance Compliance Section

**Reviewer(s):
Dr. Justin Gardner**



Performance Monitoring Report v3 Feedback:

- STAR PSH team submitted an appeal to assess APR Worksheet using Head of Household in lieu of all program participants. This appeal resulted in a 0.5-point increase in the V3 Tool Score.
- This appeal was applied to all PSH projects as a result of scoring change observed with STAR PSH program.

<i>Tool Score</i>	<i>Total Tool Points</i>	<i>Scoring Weight</i>	<i>Score</i>
90.5	100	30%	27.15



Spending & Drawdown Rate Feedback:

- Spending/Drawdown of initial award was 12.7% unspent, an increase award was provided for \$375,245.50 that increased % unspent.
- Rental assistance could not be spent as planned with barriers created by Coordinated Entry that limited number of clients entry into the program.

<i>Tool Score</i>	<i>Total Tool Points</i>	<i>Scoring Weight</i>	<i>Score</i>
0	10	10%	0.00



Utilization Rate Feedback:

- The Utilization Rate was impacted negatively by barriers of Coordinated Entry with only 12 clients navigating Coordinated Entry system for placement in PSH.
- There were continuous efforts to enroll more clients in the program, but barriers to entry were recurrently problematic.

<i>Tool Score</i>	<i>Total Tool Points</i>	<i>Scoring Weight</i>	<i>Score</i>
7	10	10%	7.00



Performance Compliance Subtotal

<i>Score</i>
34.15

Overall rank #9 of CoC-wide projects, Performance Compliance score 68.3% of available points.



Clark County: STAR PSH

Monitoring Dates: February 5, 2023 – June 10, 2023

Number of Clients Reviewed: 10

Monitoring Total Score: 83.9

Appeal Status: *Appeal Completed*

Monitoring Compliance Section

Reviewer(s): Dr. Justin Gardner & Maurice Page

External Monitoring Main Tool Feedback:

- HUD Handbook 29-1:
 - Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements: Do It, 79 out of 84 points scored
- HUD Handbook 29-4:
 - Overall Grant Management Oversight: Do It, 5 points out of 7 scored
- Fair Market Rate Exhibit: Do it, 31 out of 35 points scored

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
1466	1477	18.75%	18.61

External Monitoring Program Specific Tool Feedback:

- Comprehensive HUD 29-7:
 - Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance: Do It, 34 out of 35 points scored

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
552	553	12.5%	12.48

Participant Eligibility & Client File Tool Feedback:

- Eligibility Compliance: Do It, 54 out of 56 points scored
- RRH & PSH ONLY Chronicity: Do It, 216 out of 224 points scored

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
1310	1320	6.25%	6.20

Housing First Standards Assessment Tool Feedback:

- Comprehensive Access and Input: Do It, 59 points out of 63 scored
 - Participant Input: Do It, 10 points out of 14 scored
- Comprehensive Services & Housing: Do It, 76 out of 77 scored
 - Services: Do It, 48 out of 49 points scored

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
674	679	12.5%	12.41

Monitoring Compliance Subtotal

Score
49.70

Overall rank #9 of CoC-wide projects, Monitoring Compliance score 99.4% of available points.

Article III. Project Scoring Tool

SNHCoC External Monitoring Project Scoring Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool was developed based on the logic and design of the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool as provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team in collaboration with Clark County Social Service and the SNHCoC Monitoring Working Group. The tool is designed for deployment by the External Monitoring Team at the recipient/subrecipient level for agency/organization-wide monitoring and compliance assessments for final scoring. This tool is being deployed as one of a series of tools that have been developed by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team, a working group from the SNHCOC, BitFocus HMIS data reports, and HUD. The goal of this tool is to provide the overall outcome score for monitoring based on HUD Handbook and CFR standards, regulations and requirements from all other developed and deployed tools. Ultimately, the final score presented in this tool will inform the overall monitoring score for SNHCoC HUD funded programs for consideration and inclusion in the annual Scoring and Ranking process for available HUD grant funds.

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: Similar to the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, this tool seeks to identify available groupings or partitions of standards to be assessed, monitored, and evaluated during External Monitoring. For the purpose of this tool, standards are defined as specific component areas of the HUD Handbook, where available. Conducting this type of grouping allows for internal tool weighting practices, which assists with prioritization of monitoring and evaluation outcomes.

Project Type: This tool was developed for ALL Project Types to be inclusive of all monitoring conducted at the agency/organization system level as a standalone tool from program specific tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization perspective. This was identified as a fundamental need in External Monitoring tool design because many recipients/subrecipients in the SNHCoC have multiple programs funded annually.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Project Scoring Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing ALL projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where the information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is need to be able to mark that standard as "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	To promote transparency of the monitoring process and implementation and guide the monitoring of specific programs in collaboration with each provider and associated staff.
Project Scoring Report	Lists each of the different tools deployed as part of monitoring and available points (percentage basis) for each tool as well as embedded calculation.	To determine the total project performance score for each monitored project based on all incorporated tools as well as a miscellaneous comment section to explain any scoring determinations or outcomes.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Services
Acronym (if Applicable)	CCSS
Year Incorporated	1909
EIN	88-6000028
Street Address	1600 Pinto Lane
Zip Code	Las Vegas, NV 89106

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Project Information	
Project Name	STAR PSH Project
Project Budget	
Grant Number	
Name of Project Director	Krishell Hadsell
Project Director Email Address	krishell.hadsell@ClarkCountyNv.gov
Project Director Phone Number	725.274.0186
Which best describes the project*	Permanent Supportive Housing
<p><i>Through supportive housing, tenancy support, access to treatment & health care benefits, STAR restores lives & provides resources to allow households obtain self-sufficiency. Utilizing a housing first client centered strategy, households achieve stability & wellness while addressing the underlying causes of homelessness.</i></p>	
Are your services targeted to any of the following populations specifically? Please select one if so, as this impacts your assessment questions.	All of the Above
*Please note that when you select a project type, particular standards may not be relevant.	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Management Information	
Name of CEO	Randy Reinoso & Teresa Etcheberry
CEO Email Address	RKR@ClarkCountyNV.gov
CEO Phone Number	702.455.5709
Name of Staff Member Guiding Assessment	Danita Osborne & Natasha Nickles
Staff Email Address	natasha.nickles@clarkcountynv.gov
Staff Phone Number	725-289-2581

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Monitoring Team Technical Lead	Maurice Page
Technical Lead Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Lead Phone Number	702-340-4813
Monitoring Team Member	Mark Hackett
Team Member Email Address	mark.hackett@innov8reanalysis.com
Team Member Phone Number	
Monitoring Team Communication Lead	Ashley Gardner
Communication Lead Email Address	ashley.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Communication Lead Phone Number	702-630-7763
Monitoring Team Contract Manager	Cyndy Ortiz Gustafson
Contract Manager Email Address	cyndy@strategicprogress.com
Contract Manager Phone Number	702-241-8033
Date of Assessment(s)	5 February 2023; 28 February 2023; 17 April 2023; 25 April 2023; 27 April 2023; 2 May 2023; 13 May 2023; 10 June 2023

Project Performance Monitoring Scoring Tool Results							
Performance Compliance Section							
Topic Area	Standards	Reviewer(s)	Date of Review	Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
Performance Monitoring Report v3	What is the total of points on the program’s Performance Monitoring Report-v3? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	Dr. JS Gardner	27-Apr-23; 10-Jun-23	90.5	100	30%	27.15
	30% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx30%)						
Spending Rate/ Drawdown Rate	What is the total of points of the program’s Spending Rate/Drawdown Rate? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	Dr. JS Gardner	25-Apr-23; 10-Jun-23	0	10	10%	0.00
	10% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx10%)						
Utilization Rate	What is the total of points of the program’s Utilization Rate? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	Dr. JS Gardner	25-Apr-23	7	10	10%	7.00
	10% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx10%)						
Performance Compliance Subtotal	What is the total of all points on this section of the monitoring tool?	Dr. JS Gardner					34.15
	50 points possible						

Project Performance Monitoring Scoring Tool Results

Monitoring Compliance Section

Topic Area	Standards	Reviewer(s)	Date of Review	Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
External Monitoring Main Tool	What is the total of points of the program’s External Monitoring Main Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	M. Page	28-Feb-23; 17-Apr-23; 2-May-23; 13-May-23	1466	1477	18.75%	18.61
	18.75% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx18.75%)						
External Monitoring Program Specific Tool	What is the total of points of the program’s External Monitoring Program Specific Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	M. Page	28-Feb-23; 17-Apr-23; 13-May-23	552	553	12.5%	12.48
	12.5% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx12.5%)						
Participant Eligibility & Client File Tool	What is the total of points of the program’s Participant Eligibility & Client File Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	M. Page	5-Feb-23; 28-Feb-23; 17-Apr-23; 2-May-23	1310	1320	6.25%	6.20
	6.25% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx6.25%)						
Coordinated Entry Tool	What is the total of points of the program’s Coordinated Entry Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	NOT INCLUDED IN 1 JULY 2021 - 30 JUNE 2022 MONITORING		0	100	0%	0.00
	0% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx00%)						
Housing First Standards Assessment Tool	What is the total of points of the program’s Housing First Standards Assessment Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	M. Page	28-Feb-23; 17-Apr-23; 13-May-23	674	679	12.5%	12.41
	12.5% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx12.5%)						
HMIS Data Quality & Compliance Tool	What is the total of points of the program’s HMIS Data Quality & Compliance Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	NOT INCLUDED IN 1 JULY 2021 - 30 JUNE 2022 MONITORING		0	100	0%	0.00
	0% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx0%)						
Monitoring Compliance Subtotal	What is the total of all points on this section of the monitoring tool?	M. Page					49.70
	50 points possible						

Project Performance Monitoring Scoring Tool Results							
Project Performance Monitoring Tool Score							
Topic Area	Standards	Reviewer(s)	Date of Review	Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
Total Score	What is the total of all points on this monitoring tool?	Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team					83.8
	100 points possible						

Miscellaneous Comments or Explanations of Scoring Components
<i>Project Performance Monitoring Tool Additional Comments</i>
<i>Please use this space to list any additional comments, concerns, or observations.</i>

Article IV. Performance Monitoring Report

PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT V3			
Agency/Agencies:	Clark County Social Service		
Program / Programs	STAR PSH		
Program Type	PSH		
Report Run Date:	25-Apr-23	Monitored By:	Dr. JS Gardner
Date Range: 07/01/2021 - 06/30/2022			

Project Serves "Harder to Serve" Homeless Populations	%	Points Available	Points Received
% of households served at entry reported:			
Mental Illness	97.89%		
Alcohol Abuse	49.47%		
Drug Abuse	62.11%		
Chronic Health Condition	32.63%		
HIV	2.11%		
Developmental Disabilities	20.00%		
Physical Disabilities	32.63%		
Domestic Violence	20.00%		
Unaccompanied youth under 18	0.00%		
Unaccompanied TAY 18-24 years	0.00%		
% of households meet any one of the above criteria, must meet 60% marker to receive 12 points	100.00%	12	
% of households meet any two of the above criteria, must meet 50% marker to receive 16 points	90.53%	16	
% of households meet any three or more of the above criteria, must meet 40% marker to receive 20 points	65.26%	20	20
Total Score "Harder to Serve Homeless Populations:			20
<i>**Note: Agencies may receive a maximum of 20 points in this section. They can receive either 12, 16, OR 20 points based on which markers they achieve. If they have met 0 markers, they receive 0 points.</i>			

Reduce length of homeless episodes and new and return entries into homelessness	%	Points Available	Points Received
Point allocations: 100%-20 points; 99%-19.5 points; 98%-19.0 points; 97%-18.5 points; 96%-18.0 points; 95%-17.5 points; 94%-17.0 points; 93%-16.5 points; 92%-16.0 points; 91%-15.5 points; 90%-15 points; 89%-14.5 points; 88%-14.0 points; 87%-13.5 points; 86%-13.0 points; 85%-12.5 points; 84%-12.0 points; 83%-11.5 points; 82%-11.0 points; 81%-10.5 points; 80%-10.0 points. Any score 79% or less will be awarded 0 points.			
(PH Programs Only) % of persons in PH over six months, or exited to PH. *Found in Annual Performance Report (APR); see attached worksheet.	87.78%	20	13.5
(TH programs only) - % of persons in TH who exited to PH, only score if programs total is 80% or greater. Anything under 80% receives 0 points.		20	
(RRH programs only) - % of persons in RRH who exited to PH, only score if programs total is 80% or greater. Anything under 80% receives 0 points.		20	
(TH programs only) Average days from entry to exit into PH.		Not Scored	
Total score "Reduce length of homeless episodes" :			13.5

Reduce new and return entries into homelessness	# of Clients	Points Available	Points Received
Point allocations: 0-5% - 20 points; 5.5-8% - 19 points; 8.5-11% -18 points; 11.5-14% - 17 points; 14.5-17% - 16 points; 17.5-20% - 15 points; 20.5-23% - 14 points; 23.5-26% - 13 points; 26.5-29% - 12 points; 29.5-32% - 11 points; 32.5-35% - 10 points. Any score higher than 35% will be awarded 0 points.			
PH Programs (If no clients exited during the date range, the program automatically receives 20 points)			
Number of clients who exited within the date range	57	Not Scored	
Number of clients who exited to permanent destinations	38	Not Scored	
Percent of clients returning to homelessness	0.00%	20	20
Average number of days from project exit to re-entry	0	Not Scored	
TH Programs			
Number of clients who exited within the date range		Not Scored	
Number of clients who exited to permanent destinations		Not Scored	
Percent of clients returning to homelessness		20	
Average number of days from project exit to re-entry		Not Scored	
RRH Programs			
Number of clients who exited within the date range		Not Scored	
Number of clients who exited to permanent destinations		Not Scored	
Percent of clients returning to homelessness		20	
Average number of days from project exit to re-entry		Not Scored	
Total score "Reduce new and return entries into homelessness":			20

Increase jobs, income and self-sufficiency	%	Points Available	Points Received
% of adults with increased and/or sustained employment	5.71%		
% of adults with increased and/or sustained other cash income	52.38%		
% of persons with improved education	17.80%		
% of persons who were processed through SOAR	0.00%		
If total for above three measures is greater than 65%, award 10 points	75.89%	10	10
% of adults with increased and/or sustained mainstream non-cash benefits, receives 10 points if agency reaches 65% marker.	81.90%	10	10
Total Score "Increase jobs, income and self-sufficiency":			20

HMIS Participation and Data Quality	%	Points Available	Points Received
% of persons who exit to known destinations, must hit 90% marker to receive 4 points. Anything lower than 90% receives 0 points.	100.00%	4	4
% of persons with income data updated at least annually; project must score a 100% to receive 4 points. Anything less than 100% will receive 0 points.	100.00%	4	4
% of program data response = don't know or refused; project must score less than 10% to receive 4 points. Anything more than 10% will receive 0 points.	0.00%	4	4
Program Entry Average Data Entry Timeliness (in days); utilize scale; 4 points (0-7 days), 3 points (8-15 days), 2 points (16-23 days), 1 point (24-31 days), 0 points (32+)	11	4	3
Program Exit Average Data Entry Timeliness (in days); utilize scale, same scale as entry timeliness	19	4	2
Total Score "HMIS Participation and Data Quality":			17

TOTAL PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT V3 SCORE			
TOTAL POINTS AWARDED ON PERFORMANCE MONITORING:			90.5
Program of Concern: Yes or No (Programs which score a 50 or higher - No; programs which score a 49 or lower - Yes)			No
Recommendations for Improvement:		N/A	
Notes:	The initial APR was run on 25 April 2023 to include all stayers and leavers. After an appeal related to the APR utilization was made for PSH projects and applied to all PSH projects, the APR was rerun on 10 June 2023 to include Head of Household stayers and leavers. The initial result on 25 April 2023 was a score of 86.21% (56, 38, 2, 68, 6, 62) worth 13 points. The revised version shown below resulted in a score of 87.78% worth 13.5 points. The final score reflects the additional points with no additional changes to the Performance Monitoring Report V3 reported data.		

APR PERMANENT HOUSING WORKSHEET		
(A) Review question 5a on APR- determine total # of leavers	Total:	41
(B) Review Question 23c - determine # of persons who exited to a permanent destination	Total:	28
(C) Review Questions 23c - determine total number of deceased persons	Total:	2
(D) Total from (B)	Total:	28
(E) Subtract (C) total from (A); record new # of leavers	Total:	39
(F) Review question 5a on APR - determine total # of stayers	Total:	55
(G) Review Question 22a1 - determine total number of stayers with length of participation less than 6-months (180 days or less) and an enrollment date within 6-months of the end of monitoring period who were enrolled through the end of the monitoring period.	Total:	4
(H) Review Question 22a1 - determine total number of stayers with length of participation exceeding 6-months (181 days or more)	Total:	51
(I) Add Total from (D) and Total from (H)	Total:	79
(J) Add Total from (E) and Total from (F) and subtract Total from (G)	Total:	90
(K) Divide (I) by (J)	Total:	0.877777778
(L) Multiply by 100 to determine % of leavers that exit to a permanent destination and stayers with longer than 6-months stay in PH	Total:	87.78%

Article V. Spending Drawdown & Utilization Report

SNHCoC External Monitoring Spending/Drawdown & Utilization Rate Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool was developed to align with the logic and design of the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool as provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team in collaboration with Clark County Social Service and the SNHCoC Monitoring Working Group. The tool is designed for deployment by the External Monitoring Team at the recipient/subrecipient level for ALL program monitoring and compliance assessments. This tool is being deployed as one of a series of tools that have been developed by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team, a working group from the SNHCOC, BitFocus HMIS data reports, and HUD. The goal of this tool is to provide a program-specific outcome score for monitoring based on HUD Handbook and CFR standards, regulations and requirements. Ultimately, the final score of this tool will inform the overall monitoring score for SNHCoC HUD funded programs for consideration and inclusion in the annual Scoring and Ranking process for available HUD grant funds.

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: The Standards for this Utilization & Spending/Drawdown Rate Tool are effectively defined by the rates being measured: Utilization Rate & Spending/Drawdown Rate.

Project Type: This tool was developed for ALL Project Types to be conducted at the program level as a standalone tool from other developed tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization and program-specific perspectives.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Spending/Drawdown & Utilization Rate Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing Safe Haven projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

Scoring: For each standard, the scoring criteria is based on either HMIS, eLOCCS, or HUD provided data along with associated calculation to determine effective rates of either Utilization or Spending/Drawdown. The percentage calculated outcome is then awarded points based on a designed scale for both the Utilization Rate and Spending/Drawdown Rate individually.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard.

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	To promote transparency of the monitoring process and implementation and guide the monitoring of specific programs in collaboration with each provider and associated staff.
Spending/Drawdown Rate	The spending/drawdown rate as defined as the percentage of unspent funds for the monitoring period using HUD eLOCCS/drawdown rate report.	To assess and measure the effective spending/drawdown of awarded funds as a function of unspent funds.
Utilization Rate	The percentage of program operation based on full capacity of program bed nights calculated using HMIS data.	To assess and measure the effective program utilization rate as a function of the capacity of program service.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name(s)	STAR PSH
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	25 April 2023; 10 June 2023

Spending/Drawdown Rate Grant Award

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Grant Number</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Awarded Funds</i>	<i>Unspent Funds</i>	<i>Spending Drawdown Rate</i>
Spending Drawdown Rate	Dec 2020 - Nov 2021	What was the unspent funds rate for the monitoring period? (based on MWG approved CCSS monthly eLOCCS tracking report) Scoring: 0% = 10 points, 0.01-4.99% = 7 points, 5-10% = 5 points, >10% = 0 points (10 points possible) <i>(Refer to Spending Drawdown Calculations worksheet(s) for scoring documentation.)</i>	\$673,863.75	\$85,716.72	12.7%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Spending Drawdown Rate	Dec 2021 - Nov 2022	What was the unspent funds rate for the monitoring period? (based on MWG approved CCSS monthly eLOCCS tracking report) Scoring: 0% = 10 points, 0.01-4.99% = 7 points, 5-10% = 5 points, >10% = 0 points (10 points possible) <i>(Refer to Spending Drawdown Calculations worksheet(s) for scoring documentation.)</i>	\$1,049,109.25	\$374,009.50	35.7%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Spending/Drawdown Rate Monitoring Period					
Topic Area	Grant Number	Definition/Evidence	Awarded Funds	Unspent Funds	Spending Drawdown Rate
Spending Drawdown Rate		What was the unspent funds rate for the monitoring period? (based on MWG approved CCSS monthly eLOCCS tracking report). Scoring: 0% = 10 points, 0.01-4.99% = 7 points, 5-10% = 5 points, >10% = 0 points (10 points possible) (Refer to Spending Drawdown Calculations worksheet(s) for scoring documentation.)	\$1,722,973.00	\$459,726.22	26.7%
		<i>Optional Notes: This calculation combines two award years as funded by HUD from December - November. The award in the Dec 2020 - Nov 2021 included 5-months of eligible monitoring data and the Dec 2021 - Nov 2022 included 7-months of eligible monitoring data. From an aggregate comparison, the program is not spending at a rate that will result in full drawdown during the grant award. There was also an unspent dollar amount of \$257,585.87 from Dec 2020 - Nov 2021, a equitable portion of which was assigned to the overall combined holistic budget. The Spending/Drawdown Rate Tool score was appealed, which is provided below with no scoring change as there was not sufficient documentation or justification to adjust the scoring. One note on categorical scoring, this tool accounts for only the overall spending rate although monthly expenditures are often tracked by categories monthly, they are only scored on total award compared to total expenditures. From CCSS, "For the Spending Drawdown Report, the amount left unspent for Grant year Dec. 2020 to November 2021, was only 12.7%, meaning that the program came close to scoring some points on the 0-10 scale that was created for this measure. The following grant year, December 2021 to November 2022, the amount of money that was awarded to the program increased by \$375,245.50. It should be noted that the program only left 12.7% on the table in 2021 and the next year it would have spent 100% of the awarded amount, if it weren't for the increase. In other words, the amount of the increase was \$375,245 and the amount left unspent was \$374,009 from December 2021 to November 2022. Without that extra awarded amount, the program would have spent 100% of its awarded funds. Another factor to note is that the report showing the scores on the Spending Drawdown and Utilization Rate Monitoring Tool only shows the total monthly budget amounts but does not break down these amounts by category. Based on other drawdown reports, it appears that the STAR PSH program spends close to the awarded amounts for Supportive Services and Administrative Costs. The problem area is with Rental Assistance. Since the STAR PSH program's goal is to provide stability and permanency, its clients are often stable. There is generally not a lot of turnover, which lessens the amount necessary to pay rent and other housing costs. The program has been utilizing the rent reasonableness tool to justify paying higher rents to include utilities. This helps to increase the drawdowns from the rental assistance bucket. Lastly, if the program were at capacity, it could come closer to spending the amount allocated for rental assistance. It would be closer but would still not be close enough to the amount awarded. The program consistently tries to get referrals from Coordinated Entry. However, there are many obstacles along the path from Coordinated Entry to Bridge Housing, to getting document ready, and then finally getting enrolled in STAR PSH. There are many clients who never get the necessary documentation to move forward. Some clients disappear and all efforts to locate them again fail. There are clients who cannot follow the rules in Bridge Housing and are exited at that stage. For specific numbers, the STAR PSH program has requested clients almost continuously from December 2022 until now; but, of the 27 referrals received in that time, only 12 made it through the process to become an enrolled client in STAR PSH."</i>			
Spending/Drawdown Rate Score:					0

Spending/Drawdown Calculations

<i>Grant Number</i>	<i>Budget Line Item</i>						
Dec 2020 - Nov 2021	<i>Leasing</i>	<i>Rental</i>	<i>Operating</i>	<i>Supportive Services</i>	<i>Admin</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Percent Total</i>
Authorized						\$673,863.75	
July						\$118,846.14	17.6%
August						\$119,830.85	17.8%
September						\$111,124.76	16.5%
October						\$123,437.89	18.3%
November						\$114,907.39	17.1%
December						\$0.00	0
January						\$0.00	0
February						\$0.00	0
March						\$0.00	0
April						\$0.00	0
May						\$0.00	0
June						\$0.00	0
Dispersed	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$588,147.03	87.3%
Available Balance	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$85,716.72	12.7%
Percentage Calculation	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	12.7%	

Spending/Drawdown Calculations							
<i>Grant Number</i>	<i>Budget Line Item</i>						
Dec 2021 - Nov 2022	<i>Leasing</i>	<i>Rental</i>	<i>Operating</i>	<i>Supportive Services</i>	<i>Admin</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Percent Total</i>
Authorized Remaining						\$1,049,109.25	
July						\$0.00	0
August						\$0.00	0
September						\$0.00	0
October						\$0.00	0
November						\$0.00	0
December						\$85,868.48	8.2%
January						\$119,762.35	11.4%
February						\$82,997.29	7.9%
March						\$122,838.64	11.7%
April						\$83,271.95	7.9%
May						\$82,520.41	7.9%
June						\$97,840.63	9.3%
Dispersed	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$675,099.75	64.3%
Available Balance	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$374,009.50	35.7%
Percentage Calculation	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	35.7%	

Utilization Rate					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Available Bed Nights	Actual Bed Nights	Utilization Rate
Utilization Rate		Does the program operate at full capacity, with low vacancy rate, and quickly fills vacancies? Scoring: ≥90% = 10 points, 70%-89.99% = 7 points, 50%-69.99% = 4 points, <50% = 0 points. (10 points possible)	43,800	34,240	78%
		Optional Notes: The Utilization Rate score was appealed with the following explanation that did not result in a scoring change as a result of insufficient available documentation to adjust the outcome scores. From CCSS, "For the utilization rate, it should be noted there are many challenges of getting clients from the coordinated entry stage to actual enrollment in STAR PSH. Some of the specific challenges are listed above. Despite continuous effort to enroll eligible clients, only 12 out of 27 were able to be enrolled from December 1, 2022, to present."			
Utilization Rate Score:					7

Article VI. Main Monitoring Tool

SNHCoC External Monitoring Main Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool was developed based on the logic and design of the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool as provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team in collaboration with Clark County Social Service and the SNHCoC Monitoring Working Group. The tool is designed for deployment by the External Monitoring Team at the recipient/subrecipient level for agency/organization-wide monitoring and compliance assessments. This tool is being deployed as one of a series of tools that have been developed by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team, a working group from the SNHCoC, BitFocus HMIS data reports, and HUD. The goal of this tool is to provide an overall outcome score for monitoring based on HUD Handbook and CFR standards, regulations and requirements. Ultimately, the final score of this tool will inform the overall monitoring score for SNHCoC HUD funded programs for consideration and inclusion in the annual Scoring and Ranking process for available HUD grant funds.

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: Similar to the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, this tool seeks to identify available groupings or partitions of standards to be assessed, monitored, and evaluated during External Monitoring. For the purpose of this tool, standards are defined as specific component areas of the HUD Handbook, where available. Conducting this type of grouping allows for internal tool weighting practices, which assists with prioritization of monitoring and evaluation outcomes.

Project Type: This tool was developed for ALL Project Types to be conducted at the agency/organization system level as a standalone tool from program specific tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization perspective. This was identified as a fundamental need in External Monitoring tool design because many recipients/subrecipients in the SNHCoC have multiple programs funded annually. The agency/organizational level tool allows the External Monitoring Team to apply this tool outcome to ALL programs monitored during the annual External Monitoring in lieu of repeating for each and every funded program.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Main Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing ALL projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

Scoring (modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool) : For each standard, there are three scoring criteria: "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" (as explained further below). To show that a project is in full compliance with each standard, the assessor (monitor) should mark "Always/Every time, 100%" for each scoring criteria. Use the drop down in the three columns to the right and select "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool includes a "N/A" option that will be available for all standards). Once an answer is chosen, the Report Summary tab will automatically update to reflect the answers.

~"Say It" means that project and agency staff can describe verbally what they do concerning each standard. The assessor (monitor) should be able to identify that the organizational culture supports the standard by how staff talks about what is done.

~"Document It" means that there is written documentation that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Written documentation could include Policies and Procedures, Personnel Handbooks, Professional Development Plans, Project Rules, etc.

~"Do It" means that the assessor (monitor) was able to find evidence that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Evidence could include information contained in client or other administrative files, client acknowledgement that something is being done, staff can point to documentation that supports implementation of the standard, etc.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where the information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is needed to be able to mark that standard as "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

SNHCoC External Monitoring Main Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	This section will be captured on the Project Scoring Tool and each tool will capture the Provider and Project Names in addition to the monitoring dates and team member information.
HUD Handbook 29-1	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-1 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-1
HUD Handbook 29-4	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-4 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-4
HUD Handbook 29-11	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-11 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-11
HUD Handbook 29-12	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-12 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-12
Fair Market Rent Exhibit	Lists each standard for assessment from the FMR related and associated regulations and requirements.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to FMR wherever applicable.
CoC Main Tool Scoring	Provides the outcome scores for the included Standards, Comprehensive Section, and Overall Tool Score.	Designed to calculate and display the total score for each Standard Comprehensive Section, and the Overall Comprehensive Score for final scoring and reporting procedures.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name(s)	STAR - PSH
Project Name(s)	STAR - RRH
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	28 February 2023; 17 April 2023; 2 May 2023; 13 May 2023

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
General Record Keeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	Does a representative sample of records suggest compliance with the requirement that lack of third-party documentation must not prevent an individual or family from receiving street outreach services or receiving services provided by a victim service provider?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
General Record Keeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3)-(4); 24 CFR 76.500(b); 24 CFR 576.500(c)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient have written intake procedures in place to ensure that documentation of program participants' homeless status is established, verified, and maintained in accordance with the program requirements?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
General Record Keeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient's written intake procedures establish the order of priority for obtaining evidence of homelessness and/or at-risk of homelessness as: (1) third party documentation; (2) intake worker observations; and (3) self-certification?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
General Record Keeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	<p>If the recipient or subrecipient is using HMIS or a comparable database to document program participants' homeless status, does the HMIS or comparable database retain an auditable history of all entries, including identification of the person who entered the data, the date of entry, and the change made, and does the HMIS prevent overrides or changes of the dates on which entries are made? <i>(Per Clarity, both HMIS and the DV system meet all requirements in this question. All CoC subrecipients are required to use Clarity HMIS or the DV system; therefore, all CoC subrecipients can answer 'Yes' to this question. In other cases, if the provider is not using Clarity HMIS, how is this requirement being met? What is the process?)</i></p> <p><i>Note: Recipient or subrecipients are not required to use their HMIS as evidence of homeless status but, if they do use their HMIS, the HMIS must meet these requirements. The HUD reviewer can determine whether the HMIS was used by asking the recipient or subrecipient, or by reviewing the case file and identifying whether HMIS printed records were included in the record.</i></p>	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(1)]	For program participants who qualified because their primary night time residence was a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground, or because they were living in a supervised shelter designed to provide temporary living arrangements, does a review of program participant files include one of the following: (1) a written referral by another housing or service provider; (2) a printed record from HMIS or a comparable database used by a victim service or legal service provider; (3) a written observation by an outreach worker of the conditions where the individual or family was living; or (4) a written certification by the individual or head of household seeking assistance.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(5)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient ensure that program participants' eligibility is adequately documented in terms of their homeless status upon entry into the program? Where the program participant qualified under paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless and was served by a victim service provider, do the records show that either the program or the intake worker certified that the individual or head of household: (1) was fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking, or other dangerous or life threatening conditions that relate to violence; (2) lacked the resources or support networks necessary to obtain other permanent housing; and (3) had not identified other subsequent residence?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven? The requirements for documenting an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation, (2) A written record of intake workers due diligence to obtain, and (3) Intake worker's documentation of the living situation, and (4) Individual's self-certification of the living situation (Up to 3 months can be documented through self-certification with additional limited circumstances for up to the full 12 months);	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		Optional Notes: This is based on STAR - PSH/ STAR RRH does not use this document. - UID ending in 72Q1 Chronicity verified			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual’s chronicity based Breaks in Homelessness? The requirements for documenting Breaks in Homelessness chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation and (2) Individual’s self-certification	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		Optional Notes: UID ending in 72Q1 Chronicity verified			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual’s chronicity based on Institutional Stays? The requirements for documenting Institutional Stays chronicity are: (1) Discharge paperwork or written/oral referral from a social worker, case manager, or other appropriate official stating beginning and end dates of time residing in the institutional care facility, (2) A written record of intake workers due diligence to obtain, AND (3) Individual’s self-certification that he/she is exiting an institutional care facility (less than 90 days);	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		Optional Notes: Depends on program requirements			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	<p>Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on 12-months cumulative homeless history? The requirements for documenting 12-months cumulative homeless history for chronicity are:</p> <p>(1) Review HMIS to determine if there are 12 months of cumulative homelessness over the last 3 years.</p> <p>(2) If there are not 12 months in HMIS but client reports that they have been homeless for the last 12 months in the last three years.</p> <p>(3) Identify other third-party sources (i.e., outreach worker, other professional source).</p> <p>(4) Identify any documented breaks in HMIS (i.e., stay in transitional housing).</p> <p>(5) If at least 9 months of homelessness (cumulative or continuous) cannot be obtained by third-party documentation, up to the full 12 months can be documented via self-certification only:</p> <p><i>(a) Must thoroughly document attempt(s) to obtain third-party documentation and</i></p> <p><i>(b) Document why third-party documentation was not obtained,</i></p> <p><i>(c) Obtain a written certification from individual or head of household of the living situation of the undocumented time period .</i></p>	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
<i>Optional Notes: UID ending in 72Q1 Chronicity verified</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(2)]	<p>For program participants who qualified under paragraph (2) of the homeless definition, does a review of program participant files contain the following evidence that program participants would have lost their primary nighttime residence (including housing they own, rent, or share with others) within 14 days of the date of application for homeless assistance:</p> <p>(1) a court order resulting from eviction notifying the individual or family that they must leave within 14 days of the date of their application for homeless assistance, or the equivalent notice under state law, a Notice to Quit, or a Notice to Terminate issued under state law;</p> <p>(2) if the primary nighttime residence was a hotel or motel room not paid for by federal, state, or local government programs for low-income program participants or by charitable organizations, evidence that the individual or family lacked the resources necessary to reside there for more than 14 days from the date of application for homeless assistance, or</p> <p>(3) an oral statement by the individual or head of household seeking assistance that the owner or renter of the housing in which they currently reside will not allow them to stay for more than 14 days from the date of application for homeless assistance? The statement must be documented by the intake worker. Also, the oral statement must have been found credible by one of the following:</p> <p>(a) a written certification by the owner or renter of the housing or the intake worker's documentation of the owners or renter's oral statement, or</p> <p>(b) if the owner or renter of the housing cannot be reached, a written description and certification of the intake worker's due diligence in contacting the owner or renter and a written certification by the head of household seeking the assistance that their statement is true and complete.</p>	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(3)(i)]	For program participants who qualified under paragraph (2) of the homeless definition in 24 CFR 578.103, does a review of program participant files contain: (a) certification from the individual or head of household that no subsequent residence has been identified; and (b) certification or other written documentation that the individual or family lacks the resources or support networks to obtain other permanent housing?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(4)(ii)]	For youth and families who qualified under paragraph (3) of the homeless definition, does a review of program participant files confirm that the program participants did not have a lease, ownership interest, or occupancy agreement in permanent housing at any time during the 60 days immediately preceding the date of application for homeless assistance, as evidenced by the following: (1) written certification by the head out household seeking assistance, or (2) written observation by an outreach worker, or (3) referral by a housing or service provider?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500 (b)(4)(iii)]	For youth and families who qualified under paragraph (3) of the homeless definition, does a review of the program participant files indicate that each programs participant’s records contain a written self-certification that the program participant moved two or more times during the 60-day period immediately before the program participant applied for homeless assistance AND one or more of the following documentation, as applicable, to support the self-certification: (1) recorded statements or records obtained from each owner or renter of housing; provider of shelter or housing; or social worker, case worker; or other appropriate official of a hospital or institution in which the individual or family resided; (2) a written record of the intake worker’s due diligence in attempting to obtain the above records; (3) where a move was due to the individual or family fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, written self-certification that they were fleeing that situation and they resided at that address?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		Optional Notes:			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(4)(iv)]	<p>For youth and families who qualified under paragraph (3) of the homeless definition, does are view of program participant files confirm that the program participants' persistent inability was likely to continue for an extended period of time because of: chronic disabilities; chronic physical health or mental health conditions; substance addiction; histories of domestic violence or dating violence or childhood abuse; the presence of a child or youth with a disability; two or more barriers to employment (including lack of high school degree or GED; illiteracy; low English proficiency; a history of incarceration; or detention for criminal activity; and/or a history of unstable employment as evidenced by:</p> <p>(1) for chronic disabilities, chronic physical health or mental health conditions, and substance addiction – a written diagnosis from a professional who is licensed by the state to diagnose or treat those conditions; or</p> <p>(2) for barriers to employment – employment records, literacy or English proficiency tests, department of corrections records; or</p> <p>(3) any other reasonable documentation of any of the conditions in the criteria (e.g., disability letter)?</p>	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(5)]	Where the program participant qualified under paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless and was served by a victim service provider, do the records show that either the program or the intake worker certified in writing that the individual or head of household made an oral statement that the program participant: (1) was fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking, or other dangerous or life threatening conditions that relate to violence; (2) lacked the resources or support networks necessary to obtain other permanent housing; and (3) had not identified other subsequent residence?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(1)(i)]	Where the program participant qualified as an at-risk of homelessness under paragraph (1) of the definition, do the records reflect that the household's income is below 30% of the area median income, as evidenced by an income evaluation form containing HUD's minimum requirements and at least one of the following: (1) source documents for the assets held by the program participant and source document of the income received over the most recent period for which representative data is available before the date of intake; or (2) written statement by the relevant third party (e.g., employer) or the written certification by the recipient or subrecipient's intake staff of the oral verification by the relevant third-party of the income of the program participant received over the most recent period for which representative data are available; or (3) written certification by the program participant of the amount of income the program participant received for the most recent period representative of the income that the program participant is reasonably expected to receive over the 3-month period following the evaluation?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(1)(ii)]	Where the program participant qualified as at-risk of homelessness under paragraph (1) of the definition, do the records reflect the program participant's written certification that the program participant has insufficient financial resources and support networks immediately available to attain housing stability and meets one or more of the conditions under paragraph(1)(iii) of the "at risk of homelessness" definition?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(1)(iv)]	<p>Where the program participant qualified as at-risk homelessness under paragraph (1) of the definition, do the records show reasonable efforts to verify that the program participant met one of the seven conditions under paragraph (1)(iii), as evidenced by one of the following:</p> <p>(1) source documents that evidence one or more of the conditions;</p> <p>(2) a written statement by the relevant third party or the written certification by the recipient or subrecipient's intake staff of the oral verification by the relevant third party that the applicant meets one or more of the conditions; or</p> <p>(3) a written statement by the recipient/subrecipient intake staff that they visited the applicant's residence and determined that the applicant meets one or more of the conditions or, if a visit is not feasible (relevant to the determination), a written statement by recipient/subrecipient staff describing efforts taken to obtain required evidence?</p> <p><i>Note: the conditions are:</i></p> <p>(1) <i>has moved because of economic reasons two or more times during the 60 days immediately preceding the application for assistance;</i></p> <p>(2) <i>is living in the home of another because of economic hardship;</i></p> <p>(3) <i>has been notified in writing that their right to occupy their current housing or living situation will be terminated within 21 days after the date of application for assistance;</i></p> <p>(4) <i>lives in a hotel or motel and the cost is not paid by charitable organizations, federal, state, or local government programs for low-income individuals;</i></p> <p>(5) <i>lives in an SRO or efficiency apartment in which there resides more than two persons, or lives in a larger housing unit in which there resides more than 1.5 persons per room, as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau;</i></p> <p>(6) <i>is exiting a publicly funded institution, or system of care, or</i></p> <p>(7) <i>otherwise lives in housing that has characteristics associated with the instability and an increased risk of homelessness as identified in the ESG recipient's Consolidated Plan.</i></p>	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
Optional Notes:					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(2)]	Where the program participant qualified as at-risk of homelessness under paragraph (2), do the records reflect that the program participant met the definition of homeless under one of the following Federal statutes, as evidenced by a certification of the child's or youth's homeless status by the agency or organization responsible for administering assistance under the statute: (1) Runaway and Homeless Youth Act; (2) Subtitle N of the Violence Against Women Act of 1994; (3) Section 330 of the Public Health Service Act; (4) The Food and Nutrition Act of 2008; (5) Section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966; (6) Head Start Act?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(2)]	Where the program participant qualified as at-risk of homelessness under paragraph (3), do the records reflect that child or youth in the household qualified as homeless under subtitle B of title VII of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, as evidenced by certification of the child or youth's homeless status by an agency or organization that administers assistance under the Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program? <i>Note: Under this paragraph of At-Risk of Homelessness, the certification need only specify the child meets the definition under subtitle B of title VII of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-1	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	5
	Always/Every time, 100%	17	4	10
	N/A	4	17	6
	Total Count	17	4	15
	Total Available Points	119	28	105
	Total Score	119	28	100
General Record Keeping	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	3	3
	N/A	0	1	1
	Total Count	4	3	3
	Total Available Points	28	21	21
	Total Score	28	21	21
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	5
	Always/Every time, 100%	13	1	7
	N/A	4	16	5
	Total Count	13	1	12
	Total Available Points	91	7	84
	Total Score	91	7	79

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.103(a)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient established and maintained standard operating procedures that ensure CoC funds are used in accordance with the provisions of the McKinney-Vento and HEARTH Acts?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(4)(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient complied with maintaining the confidentiality of records pertaining to any individual or family that was provided family violence prevention or treatment services through the project? (Provider must have written procedures regarding confidentiality of clients who are victims of domestic violence.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(4)(ii); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient complied with not making the address or location of any family violence project assisted public, except with the express written authorization of the person responsible for the operation of such project? (Provider must have written procedures regarding confidentiality of clients who are victims of domestic violence.)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient complied with establishing policies and procedures that are consistent with, and do not restrict, the exercise of rights provided by Subtitle B of Title VII of the Act and other laws relating to the provision of educational and related services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 84.42; 24 CFR 85.36]	If the recipient or subrecipient procures program services from another entity, do they have a written standard of conduct governing the performance of their employees engaged in the award and administration of contracts? (Contract-associated policies & procedures)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.75(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Do records confirm that housing and facilities constructed or rehabilitated with CoC program funds meet state or local building codes, and in the absence of state or local building codes, the International Residential Code or International Building Code (as applicable to the type of structure) of the International Code Council? (Refer to permits, inspections)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(9); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient use the centralized or coordinated assessment system established by the CoC as set forth in 24 CFR 578.7 (a)(8)? Note: Victim service providers may choose not to use the CoC's centralized or coordinated assessment system, provided that victim service providers use a comparable centralized or coordinated assessment system that meets HUD's minimum requirements.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes: Referrals are provided from the matcher (this is for PSH & RRH) - Vivo project was closed (11/30/2018) and clients were transferred to STAR PSH on 12/1/18. Documentation will sent over for review.</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight		Does the recipient or subrecipient follow the written standards for providing CoC program assistance developed by the CoC, including the minimum requirements set forth in 24 CFR 578.7 (a)(9)? (Recipient or subrecipient consistently follows the standards of providing CoC)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight		If monitored by the recipient, did the recipient or subrecipient respond to actively resolve monitoring deficiencies? (Question to be answered by program reviewer and referenced in post-monitoring report letter/summary.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(4)(iv); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	For projects that provide housing or services to families, has the recipient or subrecipient designated a staff person to be responsible for ensuring that children who were served in the program were enrolled in school and connected to appropriate services in the community, including early childhood programs such as Head Start, part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Act, and program authorized under Subtitle B of Title VII of the Act?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient take the educational needs of children into consideration when families are placed in housing and, to the maximum extent practicable, place families with children as close as possible to their school of origin so as not to disrupt such children's education?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(b)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient physically inspect each unit to assure that the unit meets HQS (Housing Quality Standards) before any CoC program leasing assistance or rental assistance is provided to assist program participants?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(b)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient inspect all units at least annually during the grant period to ensure that the units continue to meet HQS?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient's records confirm that each CoC program-assisted dwelling unit has at least one bedroom or living/sleeping room for each two persons?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(c)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient's records confirm that children of the opposite sex, other than very young children, are not required to occupy the same bedroom or living/sleeping room?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(c)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	If household composition changes during the term of assistance, do the recipient or subrecipient's records confirm that they relocated the household to a more appropriately sized unit and continued access to appropriate supportive services?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(d); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient provides supportive housing for homeless persons with disabilities, do their records confirm that meals or meal preparation facilities are provided for program participants? (Reference HQS Assessment)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(e); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient conduct an ongoing assessment of the supportive services needed by program participants, the availability of such services, and the coordination of services needed to ensure long-term housing stability and adjustments, as appropriate?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: For STAR RRH - monthly contact will be noted in HMIS in the service section.</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(f); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient provide residential supervision as necessary to facilitate the adequate provision of supportive services to the residents of the housing through the term of the commitment to operate supportive housing? (Determining case management intensity in the field.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.21(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.75(g); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(12)]	Does the recipient, to the maximum extent practicable, involve homeless individuals and families through employment; volunteer services; or otherwise in constructing, rehabilitating, maintaining, and operating the project, and in providing supportive services for the project?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(h); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient requires program participants to take part in supportive services, do the records confirm that any required supportive services are not disability-related services provided through the project as a condition of continued participation in the program?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(16)(iii)]	Does the subrecipient retain copies of all procurement contracts and confirmation of compliance with the procurement requirements in 24 CFR 85.36 and 24 CFR 84? (Recipient or subrecipient complies with codes of conduct and conflict of interest requirements.)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(16)(ii)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient retain copies of all monitoring records, including any monitoring findings and corrective actions required?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes: They are on the computer - password protected.</i>			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(b)(1)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient developed and implemented written procedures to ensure that all records containing protected identifying information of any individual or family who applied or receives CoC program assistance will be kept secure and confidential?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(b)(2)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient developed and implemented written procedures to ensure that the address or location of any family violence project assisted with CoC program funds would not be made public, except with the express written authorization of the person responsible for the operation of the project?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(b)(3)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient developed and implemented written procedures to ensure that the address or location of any housing of a program participant would not be made public, except as provided under preexisting privacy policy of the recipient and consistent with State and local laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(16)(i)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient retain copies of all solicitations and agreements with recipients, records of all payment requests by and dates of payments received from recipient, as well as confirmation of all monitoring and sanctions issued by the recipient, as applicable?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient retain confirmation of each program participant's qualification as a family or individual at risk of homelessness or as a homeless family or individual, and other program participant records which must be retained for 5 years after the expenditure of all funds from the grant under which the program was served?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HQS		When all life-threatening HQS deficiencies are found as a recipient or subrecipient are you ensuring each deficiency is corrected within twenty-four (24) hours of inspection and all other cited HQS deficiencies are corrected no more than 30 calendar days from the inspection unless an approved extension of time for correction was agreed to and does your records reflect such information?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i); 24 CFR 578.53(a); 24 CFR 578.103]	Are available supportive services provided by the recipient or subrecipient designed to address the needs of program participants?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.53(e)(1); 24 CFR 578.103]	Do recipient or subrecipients conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants and adjust services accordingly?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HMIS	[24 CFR 578.57(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Do records confirm grant funds expended for HMIS costs complied with HMIS requirements published by HUD?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HMIS	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient submitted client data in HMIS for all program participants assisted?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 84.21(b)(2); 24 CFR 85.20]	Does the recipient or subrecipient maintain a policy manual covering the authority for approving financial transactions to include guidelines for controlling expenditures, such as purchasing requirements and travel authorizations?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b)(3)]	Do fiscal records indicate evidence that the recipient or subrecipient has effective internal control over, and accountability of, all grant funds, property, and other assets and that fiscal records and valuables are secured in a limited-access area? (How grant funds are managed, look for systems of checks & balances, inventory controls, etc. to ensure a process exists to foster effective internal control.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 578.37; 24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b)]	Does sample transaction records review indicate grant expenditures were eligible costs under regulations, were necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient program administration, were allocated to the program, & supported by adequate documentation (invoices, contracts, purchase orders)? (Invoice reviews)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Financial and Internal Controls		Did a review of the recipient or subrecipient's financial records demonstrate that cash payments were not being provided directly to the program beneficiaries? (Note: Cash payments may not be made directly to program beneficiaries.)	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 578.99(g); 24 CFR 84.26]	If invoice reviews are required for the recipient or subrecipient, is there documentation that the invoice has been reviewed for compliance and that the recipient or subrecipient has taken appropriate follow-up actions, if necessary?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes: All invoices are approved by two people internally and then SAP approval system for payment.</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 578.63(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If any indirect costs are charged to the grant, do records confirm that the allocations to each eligible activity are consistent with an indirect cost proposal developed in accordance with applicable requirements?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Match	[42 U.S.C. 11386, Section 430; 24 CFR 578.73(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	Do records document the recipient/subrecipient matched at least 25% of all funds (except leasing)?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Income	[24 CFR 578.87(b)(1)(i); 24 CFR 578.87(b)(1)(ii); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(13)]	In providing services and outreach activities related to such services supported in whole or part with CoC program funds, did the recipient or subrecipient discriminate against program participants or prospective program participants on the basis of religion, a religious belief, a refusal to hold a religious belief, or a refusal to attend or participate in a religious practice?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Income	[24 CFR 578.97(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient engages in grant-supported activities that generate program income, do records confirm that program income earned during the grant term was retained by the recipient and committed to the project?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Income	[24 CFR 578.97(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient engages in grant-supported activities that generate program income, do records confirm that program income was expended on eligible costs?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Program Income	[24 CFR 578.97(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient engages in grant-supported activities that generate program income, do records confirm that costs incident to the generation of program income were deducted from gross income to calculate the program income, provided the costs have not been charged to grant funds?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Limitation of Use of Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(b)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(13)]	Did a review show that CoC program funds were not used to support or engage in explicitly religious activities?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Limitation of Use of Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(b)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(13)]	If a program participant or prospective program participant objected to the religious character of the recipient or subrecipient that provided services, did the recipient or subrecipient undertake reasonable efforts to identify and refer the program participant to an alternative provide to which the program participant has no objection?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Termination of Assistance	[24 CFR 578.93(b)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(7)(ii)]	Do records confirm that the recipient or subrecipient has a formal termination of assistance process that includes a written copy of the program rules and the termination process provided to the participant before the participant began to receive assistance and it provides a clear statement of the reasons for termination?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

Termination of Assistance	[24 CFR 578.91(b)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(7)(ii)]	Do records confirm that the recipient/subrecipient has a formal termination of assistance process that includes a decision review, in which the participant had the opportunity to present written/oral objections to a person other than the staff (or subordinate) who made/approved the termination decision?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Conflict of Interest	[24 CFR 578.95(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(11)]	If records document that the recipient or subrecipient did not render impartial assistance in the provision of any type or amount of assistance because of activities or relationships with other persons or organizations, do records document that the conflict of interest was resolved to HUD's satisfaction?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Conflict of Interest	[24 CFR 578.95(d)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(11)]	If records document that the recipient or subrecipient has not complied with the individual conflict of interest requirements at 24 CFR 578.95(d) or did not obtain an exception from HUD, do records document that the conflict of interest was resolved to HUD's satisfaction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Displacement, Relocation, and Acquisition	[24 CFR 578.83; 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If a CoC program household was displaced during the grant term, do records confirm that the recipient or subrecipient complied with the requirements of 24 CFR 578.83? (Includes minimizing displacement, temporary relocation with reimbursement for all reasonable costs, and appropriate advisory services, etc. See full section for detailed information.)	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes: This was not reflected in the HMIS</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

Environmental Review	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(17); Sec V.E.1 of CoC FY 2014 NOFA]	Do records confirm an environmental review was completed prior to committing or expending CoC program funds or local funds on any eligible program activities or acquiring, rehabilitating, converting, leasing, repairing, disposing of, demolishing, or constructing property?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%

Optional Notes:

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-4	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	42	40	14
	N/A	10	12	37
	Total Count	42	40	15
	Total Available Points	294	280	105
	Total Score	294	280	103
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	8	8	0
	N/A	3	3	10
	Total Count	8	8	1
	Total Available Points	56	56	7
	Total Score	56	56	5
Program Operations	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	10	10	9
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	10	10	9
	Total Available Points	70	70	63
	Total Score	70	70	63

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Recordkeeping	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	6	0
	N/A	1	1	7
	Total Count	6	6	0
	Total Available Points	42	42	0
	Total Score	42	42	0
HQS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7
Supportive Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	1
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	2	2	1
	Total Available Points	14	14	7
	Total Score	14	14	7

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	1
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	2	2	1
	Total Available Points	14	14	7
	Total Score	14	14	7
Financial and Internal Controls	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	5	4	0
	N/A	1	2	6
	Total Count	5	4	0
	Total Available Points	35	28	0
	Total Score	35	28	0
Match	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Program Income	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	3	3	3
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7
Limitation of Use of Funds	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	1	1	2
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0
Termination of Assistance	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	0
	N/A	0	0	2
	Total Count	2	2	0
	Total Available Points	14	14	0
	Total Score	14	14	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Conflict of Interest	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	1	1	2
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0
Displacement, Relocation, and Acquisition	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	0	0
	N/A	0	1	1
	Total Count	1	0	0
	Total Available Points	7	0	0
	Total Score	7	0	0
Environmental Review	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-11

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-11	[24 CFR 578.73(a) and (b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10); 24 CFR Part 84.23; 24 CFR Part 85.24; 24 CFR 578.97(b)]	Were match contributions allowable activities (e.g., non-CoC program contribution to an eligible project(s) made in an eligible form – cash or in-kind)? (Note: Program income is not an eligible match in the CoC program.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-11	[Sec. 430 of the McKinney-Vento Act; 24 CFR 578.73(a); 24 CFR 578.73(c)(1)]	Were match contributions from the recipient or subrecipient eligible for CoC program costs(e.g., cash or in-kind contributions for costs of activities that were eligible under Subpart D of the CoC program interim rule)?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-11	[24 CFR Part 84.23; 24 CFR Part 85.24; 24 CFR 578.73(b); 24 CFR 578.73(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	Do records confirm that the value of each matching contribution was calculated properly?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-11	[24 CFR 578.73(c)]	Do records confirm that the value of any real property, equipment, goods, or services contributed to the project as match, would have been eligible?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-11	[42 U.S.C. 11386, Section 430; 24 CFR 578.73(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	If recipient or subrecipient is contributing match to the project, do records confirm that the match contribution was equal to the match documented in the memorandum of understanding (MOU)?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-11	[24 CFR Part 84.23; 24 CFR Part 85.24; 24 CFR 578.73(b); 24 CFR 578.73(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient documented for the eligibility and value of the cash and in-kind match contributions for the operating year(s) reviewed (e.g., all eligible confirmation letters for cash contributions, real property, goods, equipment, volunteer services, and memorandums of understanding (MOUs) for third-party services that were executed prior to the grant agreement execution)?	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-11				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-11	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	4	0
	N/A	3	2	6
	Total Count	3	4	0
	Total Available Points	21	28	0
	Total Score	21	28	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.103(a)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient have written policies and procedures ensuring that CoC program funds are used in accordance with requirements and sufficient records to enable HUD and the recipients to determine whether CoC requirements are being met?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 84.21(b)(6); 24 CFR 85.22]	Did the recipient or subrecipient demonstrate that program expenses are allowable, allocable, and reasonable?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b)(3); 24 CFR 85.20]	Do the fiscal records document that the recipient or subrecipient has effective internal control over, and accountability of, all grant funds, property, and other assets?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Does the recipient or subrecipient's chart of accounts include a complete listing of the account numbers used to support the controls required to ensure that resources used do not exceed resources authorized?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Do the controls over the design and use of documents and records provide reasonable assurance that transactions and events are properly documented, recorded, and auditable?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Does the recipient or subrecipient have an organization chart that illustrates the actual lines of authority/responsibility?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Are primary duties for key employees of the recipient or subrecipient defined?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: In the normal course of the position's requirements, are duties segregated to effectively reduce the opportunity for some to perpetrate or conceal errors or irregularities?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Is it clear that all personnel are responsible for communicating to appropriate supervisory officials the recipient or subrecipient's operating problems and noncompliance with laws and regulations?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Do the approval controls provide reasonable assurance that appropriate individuals approve recorded transactions in accordance with management's general or specific criteria?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Do the internal control procedures support the ability to prepare financial statements that are accurately presented in conformity with generally accepted or other relevant and appropriate accounting principles and regulatory requirements? (Note: One level of assurance of the accuracy and integrity of data is provided by the recipient attaining an unqualified opinion on the audited annual financial statements and internal controls.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.99(e); OMB Circular A-87 (for governments) or OMB Circular A-122 (for nonprofit organizations)]	Does a review of program expenditures indicate the absence of any unallowable costs including entertainment, contributions and donations, fines and penalties, or general governmental expenditures, including salary and expenses of the chief executive officer of the recipient or subrecipient?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.99(e); OMB Circular A-87 (for governments) or OMB Circular A-122 (for nonprofit organizations)]	Does a review of the expenditures indicate that costs related to lobbying political activities have not been charged to the program?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 84.21(b)(2); 24 CFR 85.20]	Does the recipient or subrecipient maintain records that identify adequately the source and application of funds for Federally sponsored activities? (Note: These records shall contain information pertaining to Federal Awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, outlays, income, and interest.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.37; 24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b)(2); 24 CFR 85.20(a)(2)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient identify expenditures in its accounting records according to eligible program activities identified in the grant agreement, which incorporates the application for funds?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b); 24 CFR 85.20(b)]	Does the source documentation support the amount drawn down from the payment requests?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 84.21(b); 24 CFR 85.20(b)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient's financial records (e.g., drawdowns, unexpended balances) match the information in HUD's financial management systems (e.g., Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS), Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)), for the period under review?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-122, Attachment B, 8(m); OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, 8(h)]	Are payments for salaries and wages supported by documented payrolls and personnel activity reports as specified in the applicable cost principles?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.59; OMB Circular A-122, Attachment B, 8(m); OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, 8(h)]	Are charges to the grant by both governmental units and organizations for salaries and wages, whether treated as direct or indirect costs, based on payrolls documented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and approved by a responsible official(s) of the organization being monitored?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, 8(h)(3)]	For government employees working solely on the grant, are charges for their salaries and wages supported by periodic certification that the employees worked solely on that program for the period covered by the certification?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, 8(h)(3)]	For government employees only, were the certifications prepared at least semi-annually and signed by the employee or a supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.59; 24 CFR 84.21; 24 CFR 85.20]	Does a random selection of administrative costs reflect that grant funds were used for eligible administrative costs?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-122; OMB Circular A-87]	Are all of the administrative costs reviewed allocable to the program, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient administration of the program?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.89; 24 CFR 578.41]	Is the recipient or subrecipient in compliance with the component or activity caps imposed by program regulations, and are all costs subject to the expenditure caps properly classified?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		Optional Notes:			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-87 (for governments) or OMB Circular A-122 (for nonprofit organizations)]	If indirect costs are charged to the grant program by the recipient or subrecipient, are the costs supported by an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal, or Cost Allocation Plan prepared in accordance with OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Section F (for units of state and local government) or OMB Circular A-122, Attachment A, Section C (for nonprofit organizations) or OMB Circular A-21, J.8 (for institutions of higher education?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.99(g); 24 CFR 84.26(a); 24 CFR 85.26(b)]	If single audits are required, does the recipient or subrecipient have a system or methodology to ensure such audits are conducted? (Note: See non-federal audit requirements at 24 CFR 84.26(a) and 24 CFR 85.26(b).	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 84.25; 24 CFR 85.26]	If single audits are required for any recipient or subrecipient, is there documentation that the audits have been reviewed for compliance and that recipient or subrecipient has taken appropriate follow-up actions if necessary?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.97]	Does the recipient or subrecipient maintain records of the receipt and use of program income?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.97; 24 CFR 84.24(b); CFR 85.25(g)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient use program income as a nonfederal share of eligible cost? (Note: Recipient or subrecipients must apply program income toward eligible costs.)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(4)(v); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient confirmed that they, their officers, and their employees are not debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government? (Recommendation: www.Sam.gov tracks suspension or debarment. Also refer to http://www.gsa.gov/portal/content/192903 for additional information.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-12	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	27	27	0
	N/A	3	3	30
	Total Count	27	27	0
	Total Available Points	189	189	0
	Total Score	189	189	0

Fair Market Rate Exhibit

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Recordkeeping	24 CFR 5.609 and 24 CFR 5.611(a); 24 CFR 576.403; 24 CFR 576.500; 24 CFR 576.106(d)	If your project provides PSH or RRH; as a recipient or subrecipient does your records contain a methodology for documenting comparable rents, and standards for certifying comparable rents as reasonable?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Recordkeeping	24 CFR 576.403; 24 CFR 576.500	In your evaluation of your program participant will records show monthly and current budget, case notes that demonstrate program participants financial status which can include but not limited to most recent wage statement, unemployment compensation statement, public benefits statements, bank statement, as confirmation of annual income or other documents to illustrate lack of resources?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: PSH - Income calc form at intake. Any increase or decrease another income calc will be completed by the case manager. RRH - a breakdown of income is done monthly.</i>			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(6)(i)]	Where an occupancy charge or rent is charged to a household, do records reflect that the recipient or subrecipient retains an income evaluation form completed by the recipient or subrecipient, as confirmation of annual income?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(6)(iii)]	Where an occupancy charge or rent is charged to a household and source confirmations are unavailable, do records contain a written statement by the relevant third party or the written certification by the recipient's intake staff of the oral verification by the relevant third party of the income the program participant received over the most recent period?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Fair Market Rate Exhibit					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Recordkeeping	24 CFR 5.233 Mandated Use of HUD's Enterprise Income Verification (EIV) System; 24 CFR 5.659; 24 CFR 8.24, 8.32, 100.204	How is the recipient or subrecipient calculating or anticipating income of participant? Provide evidence of the current format that the recipient or subrecipient use to calculate the projected annual income. Income that may not last for a full 12 months (e.g., unemployment compensation) should be calculated assuming current circumstances will last a full 12 months. If changes occur later in the year, an interim recertification can be conducted to change the family's or individual's rent.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%
		Optional Notes:			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(6)(iv)]	Where an occupancy charge or rent is charged to a household and neither source confirmation nor third party verifications are available, do records contain a written certification by program participant of the amount of income that the program participant was reasonably expected to receive over the 3-month period following the evaluation?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR Part 84.23; 24 CFR Part 85.24; 24 CFR 578.73(b); 24 CFR 578.73(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient ensure that rents charged by owners to housing choice program participants are reasonable. This determination involves two comparisons. First, the recipient or subrecipient must compare the rent for the unit to rents for similar unassisted units in the marketplace. Second, the recipient or subrecipient must compare the rent to rents for similar units on the premises.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Fair Market Rate Exhibit				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD FMR	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	2
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	6	2
	N/A	1	1	2
	Total Count	6	6	5
	Total Available Points	42	42	35
	Total Score	42	42	31

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name: CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH			Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
			Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive HUD 29-1	Not at All/Never, 0%		0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%		0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%		0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%		0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%		0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%		0	0	5
	Always/Every time, 100%		17	4	10
	N/A		4	17	6
	Total Count		17	4	15
	Total Available Points		119	28	105
	Total Score		119	28	100
General Record Keeping	Not at All/Never, 0%		0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%		0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%		0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%		0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%		0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%		0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%		4	3	3
	N/A		0	1	1
	Total Count		4	3	3
	Total Available Points		28	21	21
	Total Score		28	21	21

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	5
	Always/Every time, 100%	13	1	7
	N/A	4	16	5
	Total Count	13	1	12
	Total Available Points	91	7	84
	Total Score	91	7	79
Comprehensive HUD 29-4	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	42	40	14
	N/A	10	12	37
	Total Count	42	40	15
	Total Available Points	294	280	105
	Total Score	294	280	103

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name: CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH			Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	8	8	0	
	N/A	3	3	10	
	Total Count	8	8	1	
	Total Available Points	56	56	7	
	Total Score	56	56	5	
Program Operations	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	10	10	9	
	N/A	0	0	1	
	Total Count	10	10	9	
	Total Available Points	70	70	63	
	Total Score	70	70	63	

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Recordkeeping	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	6	0
	N/A	1	1	7
	Total Count	6	6	0
	Total Available Points	42	42	0
	Total Score	42	42	0
HQS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Supportive Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	1
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	2	2	1
	Total Available Points	14	14	7
	Total Score	14	14	7
HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	1
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	2	2	1
	Total Available Points	14	14	7
	Total Score	14	14	7

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Financial and Internal Controls	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	5	4	0
	N/A	1	2	6
	Total Count	5	4	0
	Total Available Points	35	28	0
	Total Score	35	28	0
Match	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Program Income	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	3	3	3
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7
Limitation of Use of Funds	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	1	1	2
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name: CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH			Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Termination of Assistance	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	0	
	N/A	0	0	2	
	Total Count	2	2	0	
	Total Available Points	14	14	0	
	Total Score	14	14	0	
Conflict of Interest	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0	
	N/A	1	1	2	
	Total Count	1	1	0	
	Total Available Points	7	7	0	
	Total Score	7	7	0	

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Displacement, Relocation, and Acquisition	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	0	0
	N/A	0	1	1
	Total Count	1	0	0
	Total Available Points	7	0	0
	Total Score	7	0	0
Environmental Review	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive HUD 29-11	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	4	0
	N/A	3	2	6
	Total Count	3	4	0
	Total Available Points	21	28	0
	Total Score	21	28	0
Comprehensive HUD 29-12	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	27	27	0
	N/A	3	3	30
	Total Count	27	27	0
	Total Available Points	189	189	0
	Total Score	189	189	0

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Fair Market Rate Exhibit	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	2
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	6	2
	N/A	1	1	2
	Total Count	6	6	5
	Total Available Points	42	42	35
Total Score		42	42	31

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive CoC Main Monitoring Tool Score	Total Overall Section Points Available	665	567	245
	Total Overall Comprehensive Section Score	665	567	234
	Total Overall Points Available	1477		
	Total Overall Comprehensive Score	1466		

Article VII. Program Specific Monitoring Tool

SNHCoC External Monitoring PSH Program Specific Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool aims to assess and document how closely a housing and service provider adheres to the recommended best practice standards of the Housing First model, in the context of the broader work to implement a Housing First orientation at the system level. This tool specifically evaluates project level fidelity to Housing First, which directly impacts a system's fidelity to Housing First. In addition to the universal best practice standards identified in this tool, Continuums should also take into account their local community context and local written standards pertaining to Housing First when assessing projects. A Continuum of Care can use this tool to prompt discussion and to

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: Similar to the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, this tool seeks to identify available groupings or partitions of standards to be assessed, monitored, and evaluated during External Monitoring. For the purpose of this tool, standards are defined as specific component areas of the HUD Handbook, where available. Conducting this type of grouping allows for internal tool weighting practices, which assists with prioritization of monitoring and evaluation outcomes.

Project Type: This tool was developed for Permanent Supportive Housing Project Types to be conducted at the program level as a standalone tool from other developed tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization and program-specific perspectives.

SNHCoC External Monitoring PSH Program Specific Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing Permanent Supportive Housing projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

Scoring (modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool) : For each standard, there are three scoring criteria: "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" (as explained further below). To show that a project is in full compliance with each standard, the assessor (monitor) should mark "Always/Every time, 100%" for each scoring criteria. Use the drop down in the three columns to the right and select "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool includes a "N/A" option that will be available for all standards). Once an answer is chosen, the Report Summary tab will automatically update to reflect the answers.

~"Say It" means that project and agency staff can describe verbally what they do concerning each standard. The assessor (monitor) should be able to identify that the organizational culture supports the standard by how staff talks about what is done.

~"Document It" means that there is written documentation that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Written documentation could include Policies and Procedures, Personnel Handbooks, Profession Development Plans, Project Rules, etc.

~"Do It" means that the assessor (monitor) was able to find evidence that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Evidence could include information contained in client or other administrative files, client acknowledgement that something is being done, staff can point to documentation that supports implementation of the standard, etc.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where the information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is needed to be able to mark that standard as "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

SNHCoC External Monitoring PSH Program Specific Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	To promote transparency of the monitoring process and implementation and guide the monitoring of specific programs in collaboration with each provider and associated staff.
HUD Handbook 29-4	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-4 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent to PSH programs.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across PSH operations and program administration pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-4
HUD Handbook 29-7	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-7 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent to PSH programs.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across PSH operations and program administration pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-7
CoC PSH Program Specific Tool Scoring	Provides the program-specific outcome scores for the included Standards, Comprehensive Section, and Overall Tool Score.	Designed to calculate and display the program-specific total score for each Standard Comprehensive Section, and the Overall Comprehensive Score for final scoring and reporting procedures.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name(s)	STAR - PSH
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	28 February 2023; 17 April 2023; 13 May 2023

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HMIS		Does the recipient or subrecipient use project data to meet reporting requirements and to evaluate the success of the PSH program?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
<i>Topic Area</i>		<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-4		Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
		Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
		Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
		Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
		Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
		Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
		Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
		N/A	0	0	0
		Total Count	1	1	1
		Total Available Points	7	7	7
		Total Score	7	7	7
HMIS		Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
		Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
		Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
		Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
		Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
		Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
		Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
		N/A	0	0	0
		Total Count	1	1	1
		Total Available Points	7	7	7
		Total Score	7	7	7

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	[24 CFR 578.103]	Does the recipient or subrecipient ensure that program participants' eligibility is adequately documented in terms of their homeless status upon entry into the program?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	[NOFA for FY 2014 or FY 2013-2014; 24 CFR 578.103(a)(3)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to assess participants to ensure eligibility criteria (e.g., household was residing in a place not fit for human habitation, in an emergency shelter, or fulfilled the criteria for chronic homelessness) was met? (as established by the NOFA in the year of the original award)	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	[24 CFR 578.37(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to provide permanent supportive housing only to individuals with disabilities and families in which one adult or child has a disability?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Component-Specific Requirements	[24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to provide permanent housing assistance without a designated length of stay?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Component-Specific Requirements	[24 CFR 578.3; 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did program participants receiving permanent housing assistance have a signed lease agreement of at least 1 year that was renewable (for a minimum term of 1 month) and terminable only for cause?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Component-Specific Requirements	[24 CFR 578.53(b)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did program participants receive supportive services for the duration of their stay in a permanent supportive housing project?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Component-Specific Requirements	[24 CFR 578.75(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	In permanent supportive housing projects where a qualifying participant died, was incarcerated, or institutionalized for more than 90 days, do records document that surviving members of the household who were living in a CoC program-assisted housing at time of the qualifying member's death, long-term incarceration, or long-term institutionalization received rental assistance until the expiration of the lease in effect at the time of the qualifying member's death, long-term incarceration, or long-term institutionalization?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to not expend grant funds for rental assistance provided to a program participant who was already receiving rental assistance, or living in a housing unit receiving already rental assistance or operating assistance through other federal, state, or local sources?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.51(h)(4)(i); 24 CFR 578.51(j); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended for rental assistance only for some or all of the following eligible costs, or the staff and overhead costs directly related to carrying out these eligible costs: rental assistance, administering rental assistance, security deposits, first/last months' rent, vacancy payments, and damages?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were program participants allowed to choose housing of an appropriate size in which to reside?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If program participants were required to live in a specific area for their entire period of participation, or in a specific structure for the first year and in a specific area for the remainder of their period of participation, do documents establish that the restriction to a structure or area is necessary?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(5)(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(5)(ii)]	Did a household that was assisted with tenant-based rental assistance move outside of the geographic area for the household's health and safety based on the household's reasonable belief that they were imminently threatened for further domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking? If so, do records document that the household complied with all program requirements during their residency and were at imminent risk of further harm?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(d); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for sponsor-based rental assistance, were there contracts between the recipient or subrecipient and a sponsor organization of the program participant's housing owned or leased by the sponsor organization?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(e); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	For project-based rental assistance paid, were there contracts between the owner of an existing structure and the recipient or subrecipient where the owner agrees to lease the subsidized units to eligible participants?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(g); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	For rental assistance paid, did the recipient or subrecipient determine whether the rent charged for the unit receiving rental is reasonable in relation to rents being charged for comparable unassisted units? (Note: Reasonable rent must not exceed rents currently being charged by the same owner for comparable unassisted units.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(k); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Do case files confirm the recipient or subrecipient calculated the resident's rent as required in 24 CFR 578.77? (Recipient or subrecipient is not required to impose occupancy charges as a condition of housing; however, if they are imposed, they cannot exceed 30% of the family's monthly adjusted income, or 10% of the family's monthly income, or the portion designated as housing costs from welfare assistance.)	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	For security deposits paid for first/last months' rent, did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement that payments not exceed more than 2 months of actual rent?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If an assisted unit was vacated before the end of the lease, did the recipient or subrecipient pay rental assistance for vacancies for no more than 30 days from the end of the month in which the unit was vacated? (Note: Brief periods of stays in institutions (not to exceed 90 days) by program participants are not considered “vacant” and the recipient or subrecipient may continue to pay rent on the unit while the program participant in is the institution.)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(j); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	For property damages paid, were expenditures for a one-time cost per program participant AND did not exceed 1-month’s rent to pay for any damage to housing due to the action of a program participant?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(a); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	If supportive services were provided in a facility not contained in a housing structure, were funds expended only for supportive services delivery and costs classified as day-to-day operation of the supportive services facility, including maintenance, repair, building security, furniture, utilities and equipment as supportive services costs?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Were supportive services paid with grant funds necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(7)(i)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of all program participants and adjust services as necessary?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	One –time moving costs? (Note: Truck rental and hiring a moving company are eligible costs.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Case management?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Child care?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(5); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Education services?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(6); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Employment assistance and job training?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Food?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(8); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Housing search and counseling services?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(9); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Legal services?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(10); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Life Skills Training?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(11); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Mental health services provided by licensed professionals?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(12); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Outpatient health services provided by licensed medical professionals?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(iv); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Transportation: Costs of gas, insurance, taxes, and maintenance for a vehicle transporting staff serving program participants and/or staff serving program participants?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(v); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Transportation: Costs of recipient or subrecipient staff to accompany or assist program participants to utilize public transportation?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(vi); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Participant's vehicle repairs: A one-time payment for car repairs or maintenance where public transportation did not exist or was insufficient?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(vi)(A); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Participant's vehicle repairs: Costs did not exceed 10 percent of the Blue Book value of the vehicle?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(vi)(B); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Participant's vehicle repairs: Costs were paid by the recipient or subrecipient directly to the third party that repairs or maintains the car?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(vi)(C); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Participant's vehicle repairs: If recipient or subrecipient required program participants to share in the cost of car repairs or maintenance as a condition of receiving assistance with car repairs or maintenance, did the records document the program participant's contribution?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(16); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Utility deposits: A one-time fee paid directly to the utility companies?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(a); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended only for the costs of the day-to-day operation of permanent housing in a single unit for structure, including the staff and overhead costs directly related to carrying out the day-to-day operation of permanent housing, including maintenance and repair of housing, property taxes and insurance, scheduled payments to a replacement reserve, building security, utilities (electricity, gas, and water), furniture, and equipment?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(a)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were expended on building security, were payments for a structure where more than 50% of the units or area was paid for with grant funds?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were no grant funds used for the operating costs of an emergency shelter or supportive services-only facilities?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If operating costs were expended on the maintenance and repair of housing, do records confirm that the costs of maintaining and repairing the housing are not included in the lease (if a lease has been executed)?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If operating costs were expended on utilities for housing, do records confirm that the utilities were not included in the lease (if a lease has been executed)?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including HMIS	[24 CFR 578.57(a)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(b)]	If the recipient or subrecipient is a victim service provider, or legal services provider, and if they used grant funds to establish and operate a comparable database, do the records confirm that the comparable database complies with HUD's HMIS requirements?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including HMIS	[24 CFR 578.57(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to ensure grant funds were expended for HMIS costs in compliance with HMIS requirements published by HUD?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Project Administration	[24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were project administration funds expended only for the payment of project administration costs related to the planning and execution of CoC activities, including costs for staff and overhead directly related to carrying out overall program management, coordination, monitoring, and evaluation; providing training on CoC program requirements and attending HUD-sponsored CoC trainings; and carrying out environmental reviews?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Relocation Costs	[24 CFR 578.61(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended on relocation costs of complying with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970? (Recipient or subrecipient responsibilities for Real Property Acquisition and Residential Displacements.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Relocation Costs	[24 CFR 578.61(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended for eligible costs to provide relocation payments and other assistance to persons displaced by a project assisted with grant funds in accordance with 24 CFR 578.83? (Recipient or subrecipients must take all reasonable steps toward minimizing displacement, temporary relocation.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Leasing and acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Tenant-based rental assistance and acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Short- or medium-term rental assistance and acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Rental assistance and leasing?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(5); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Rental assistance and operating?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: Rental Assistance</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.43]	Were grant funds for acquiring real property used for the purpose of housing or of providing supportive services to homeless persons in the facility?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.43; 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds for acquisition used only for the cost of acquisition and of any staff and overhead costs directly related to carrying out eligible activities of acquiring real property?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.45(b); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended for the rehabilitation of structures expended for eligible costs (including installation of cost-effective energy measures and bringing an existing structure up to state and local government health and safety standards) as well as any staff and overhead costs directly related to carrying out these costs?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.45(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did records document that the recipient or subrecipient met the requirement and did not expend grant funds on the rehabilitation of leased property for the provision of supportive services for homeless person?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.47(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were used for new construction, do the documents reflect that the cost of new construction was substantially less than the cost of rehabilitation would have been?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.47(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did the records document that the recipient or subrecipient met the requirement where all funds expended for new construction were for the construction of housing?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.47(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If funds were used for new construction, did the recipient meet the requirement that all funds expended were either to construct a new building or to expand the floor area of an existing building by at least 100%?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.47(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were used for new construction, do documents reflect that there was a lack of available appropriate units that could have been rehabilitated at a cost less than the cost expended on new construction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.47(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were used for new construction, do the documents reflect that the cost of new construction was not used on leased land?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(a); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were expended for leasing structures, were they used to provide supportive services to homeless persons or for the staff and overhead costs directly related to leasing structures or individual units to provide housing or supportive services to homeless persons?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were expended for leasing structures or individual housing units, and if the structures or units were owned by the recipient or subrecipient, their parent organization(s), any other related organization(s), or organizations that are members of a partnership, did HUD authorize an exception for good cause?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(1); 24 CFR 578.49(b)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for leasing structures or units to provide housing or supportive services, was the rent paid reasonable in relation to rents being charged in the area for comparable space and did not exceed rents currently being charged by the same owner for comparable unassisted space? (Note: Where utilities are not included in the lease and, therefore, not covered by leasing dollars, the recipient or subrecipient must consider the cost of utilities when calculating whether the amount paid is reasonable.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: Rent reasonableness was met. Verification was found in HMIS</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for leasing structures or units to provide housing or supportive services, did the recipient or subrecipient exceed HUD-determined fair market rents (FMR)? (Note: Recipient or subrecipients may use other funds (not CoC program funds or tenant rents) to pay rents that are above FMR as long as the rent is still reasonable.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: Rent reasonableness was met. Verification was found in HMIS</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for leasing structures or units, did the recipient or subrecipient not exceed 2 months of actual rent for a program participants' security deposit or first/last month's rent?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(5); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for leasing structures or units to provide housing to homeless persons, did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to ensure lease agreements were in place between the recipient or subrecipient and property owner that supports the costs charged to the grant for leasing costs?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(6); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17); 24 CFR 578.77(b)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to calculate occupancy charges or rent collected from program participants as provided at 24 CFR 578.77? (Recipient or subrecipient is not required to impose occupancy charges as a condition of housing; however, if they are imposed, they cannot exceed 30% of the family's monthly adjusted income, or 10% of the family's monthly income, or the portion designated as housing costs from welfare assistance.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HQS	24 CFR 982 Subpart I and 24 CFR 982.507	When all life-threatening HQS deficiencies are found as a recipient or subrecipient are you ensuring each deficiency is corrected within twenty-four (24) hours of inspection and all other cited HQS deficiencies are corrected no more than 30 calendar days from the inspection unless an approved extension of time for correction was agreed to and does your records reflect such information?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HQS	24 CFR 982 Subpart I and 24 CFR 982.507	Did recipient or subrecipient conduct HQS inspections prior to program participant moving into permanent supportive housing and if so, how often are inspections completed?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HQS	24 CFR 982 Subpart I and 24 CFR 982.507	As a recipient or subrecipient of CoC funding; housing units must meet the minimum performance and acceptability criteria for each of the 13 key housing quality aspects. What steps does your organization take to ensure that Housing Quality Standards are implemented and carried out? Is this information found in your case files and program standards?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HQS	[CFR 982.404, 982.54(d)(14)]	As a recipient or subrecipient how often does staff meet with the landlord or property managers where program participants live and review tenant's responsibility for maintaining his or hers housing unit once it has passed inspection. This is classified as tenant-paid utilities not in service. Failure to provide or maintain tenant supplied appliances. Damage to the unit or premises caused by a household member or guest beyond normal wear and tear. "Normal wear and tear" are defined as items that could be charged against the tenant's security deposit under state law and court practice.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-7	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	32	22	21
	N/A	43	53	53
	Total Count	32	22	22
	Total Available Points	224	154	154
	Total Score	224	154	153
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	0	3	0
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Component-Specific Requirements	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	1	4	1
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	8	4	4
	N/A	4	8	7
	Total Count	8	4	5
	Total Available Points	56	28	35
	Total Score	56	28	34

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	1
	N/A	17	17	20
	Total Count	4	4	1
	Total Available Points	28	28	7
	Total Score	28	28	7
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	4	4	5
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	2	2	2
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Project Administration	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	3	0
	N/A	0	0	3
	Total Count	3	3	0
	Total Available Points	21	21	0
	Total Score	21	21	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Relocation Costs	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	2
	N/A	3	3	3
	Total Count	2	2	2
	Total Available Points	14	14	14
	Total Score	14	14	14
Restrictions on Combining Funds	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	8	8	8
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	4	4	4
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: STAR PSH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive HUD 29-4	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7
HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: STAR PSH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive HUD 29-7	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	32	22	21
	N/A	43	53	53
	Total Count	32	22	22
	Total Available Points	224	154	154
	Total Score	224	154	153
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	0	3	0
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: STAR PSH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Component-Specific Requirements	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	1	4	1
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	8	4	4
	N/A	4	8	7
	Total Count	8	4	5
	Total Available Points	56	28	35
	Total Score	56	28	34

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: STAR PSH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	1
	N/A	17	17	20
	Total Count	4	4	1
	Total Available Points	28	28	7
	Total Score	28	28	7
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	4	4	5
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: STAR PSH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	2	2	2
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Project Administration	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	3	0
	N/A	0	0	3
	Total Count	3	3	0
	Total Available Points	21	21	0
	Total Score	21	21	0

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: STAR PSH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Relocation Costs	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	2
	N/A	3	3	3
	Total Count	2	2	2
	Total Available Points	14	14	14
	Total Score	14	14	14
Restrictions on Combining Funds	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	8	8	8
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: STAR PSH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	4	4	4
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: STAR PSH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive CoC PSH Monitoring Tool Score	Total Overall Section Points Available	231	161	161
	Total Overall Comprehensive Section Score	231	161	160
	Total Overall Points Available	553		
	Total Overall Comprehensive Score	552		

Article VIII. Client Case File Monitoring Tool

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool was developed based on the logic and design of the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool as provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and modified by Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team in collaboration with Clark County Social Service and the SNHCoC Monitoring Working Group. The tool is designed for deployment by the External Monitoring Team at the recipient/subrecipient level for agency/organization-wide monitoring and compliance assessments. This tool is being deployed as one of a series of tools that have been developed by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team, a working group from the SNHCOC, BitFocus HMIS data reports, and HUD. The goal of this tool is to provide an overall outcome score for monitoring based on the HUD Handbook and CFR standards, regulations and requirements. Ultimately, the final score of this tool will inform the overall monitoring score for SNHCoC HUD funded programs for consideration and inclusion in the annual Scoring and Ranking process for available HUD grant funds.

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: Similar to the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, this tool seeks to identify available groupings or partitions of standards to be assessed, monitored, and evaluated during External Monitoring. For the purpose of this tool, standards are defined as specific component areas of the HUD Handbook, where available. Conducting this type of grouping allows for internal tool weighting practices, which assists with prioritization of monitoring and evaluation outcomes.

Project Type: This tool was developed for ALL Project Types to be conducted at the program level as a standalone tool from other developed monitoring tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization perspective.

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing ALL projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Scoring (modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool) : For each standard, there are three scoring criteria: "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" (as explained further below). To show that a project is in full compliance with each standard, the assessor (monitor) should mark "Always/Every time, 100%" for each scoring criteria. Use the drop down in the three columns to the right and select "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option). Once an answer is chosen, the Report Summary tab will automatically update to reflect the answers. The responses to these individual case file reviews will inform the monitoring result for the "Do It" responses of the Main Monitoring Tool, All HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1 questions. Additionally, the "Say It" and "Document It" questions in the Client Case File Tool will be based on the "Say It" and "Document It" results of the Main Monitoring Tool, All HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1 question responses.

~"Say It" means that project and agency staff can describe verbally what they do concerning each standard. The assessor (monitor) should be able to identify that the organizational culture supports the standard by how staff talks about what is done.

~"Document It" means that there is written documentation that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Written documentation could include Policies and Procedures, Personnel Handbooks, Professional Development Plans, Project Rules, etc.

~"Do It" means that the assessor (monitor) was able to find evidence that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Evidence could include information contained in client or other administrative files, client acknowledgement that something is being done, staff can point to documentation that supports implementation of the standard, etc.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where the information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is needed to be able to mark that standard as "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	To promote transparency of the monitoring process and implementation and guide the monitoring of specific programs in collaboration with each provider and associated staff.
Monitoring Program Roster	Provides a list of the selected client file information to be included in the client file monitoring process to include the following: Head of Household Last Name, UID#, Entry Date, Exit Date, # in Household, # of Assessments, # of Services, Case Worker First Initial and Last Name.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis.
Eligibility Compliance - Say It	Based on the Summary Table from the past monitoring period provides a tabular display of individual case file review components to accompany the Client File Checklist and support the review of client case files with specific focus on the "Say It" portion of the monitoring process.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for "Say It" review.
Eligibility Compliance - Document It	Based on the Summary Table from the past monitoring period provides a tabular display of individual case file review components to accompany the Client File Checklist and support the review of client case files with specific focus on the "Document It" portion of the monitoring process.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for "Document It" review.
Eligibility Compliance - Do It	Based on the Summary Table from the past monitoring period provides a tabular display of individual case file review components to accompany the Client File Checklist and support the review of client case files with specific focus on the "Do It" portion of the monitoring process.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for "Do It" review.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
General Eligibility 1-3	Based on the previous year Client File Checklist with questions focused on General Eligibility and applicable to most project types with scoring based on "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" scoring categories to include 3 questions.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for General Eligibility Review.
General Eligibility 4 & Program Verification 1-2	Based on the previous year Client File Checklist with questions focused on General Eligibility and Program Verification with applicability to most project types with scoring based on "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" scoring categories to include 1 question for General Eligibility and 2 questions for Program Verification.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for General Eligibility and Program Verification Review.
RRH & PSH ONLY 1-3	Based on the previous year Client File Checklist with questions focused on question types applicable to ONLY Rapid Rehousing and Permanent Supportive Housing project types with scoring based on "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" scoring categories to include 3 questions.	Developed to incorporate a summary of RRH and PSH monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis.
RRH & PSH ONLY 4	Based on the previous year Client File Checklist with questions focused on question types applicable to ONLY Rapid Rehousing and Permanent Supportive Housing project types with scoring based on "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" scoring categories to include 3 questions.	Developed to incorporate a summary of RRH and PSH monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis.
Client File Summary Table	Provides a tabular display of individual case file review components to accompany the Client File Checklist and support the review of client case files.	Designed to support the review of individual case files for compliance and consistency across hard (paper) and virtual (HMIS) files and ensure proper reporting of monitoring outcomes.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Client File Checklist Guide	Provides a holistic tool for assessing ALL monitored and reviewed case files.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis.
Client File Checklist Scoring	Provides the outcome scores for the included Standards as well as the Comprehensive Tool Score.	Designed to calculate and display the total score for each Standard as well as the Comprehensive Score for final scoring and reporting procedures.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name	STAR PSH

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	5 Feb 2023; 28 Feb 2023; 17 April 2023; 2 May 2023

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Monitoring Roster									
Complete the table below before beginning the Client Case File monitoring process as this is the monitoring roster. (Add new pages of rows as needed)									
No.	Head of Household Last Name	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	# in Household	# of Assessments	# of Services	Case Worker First Initial, Last Name	Notes:
1	Pugh, J	370AE1172	12/01/2018	08/08/2022	1	5	112	Abbott, W	
2	Razzano, M	38C1YHXUI	01/27/2022		1	1	172	Ferris, M	
3	Kebede, A	38M1R7ZQI	12/01/2018		1	7	159	Abbott, W	
4	Fayad, F	44E82E8FB	12/01/2018	05/10/2022	1	6	161	Abbott, W	
5	Bangle-Hester, S	5FD00EC7F	05/26/2020		1	2	74	Porter, E	
6	Waite, S	7D31B2083	12/01/2018	03/31/2022	1	3	196	Evans, T	
7	Harris, K	8E197A2DF	12/01/2018		1	3	183	Ferris, M	
8	Michael, D	9849FDD97	12/01/2018		1	4	262	Abbott, W	
9	Dickerson, J	B625AFEB2	04/19/2019	03/09/2022	2	2	115	Evans, T	
10	Okoye, C	FAF4CFCEE	12/01/2018		1	3	57	Evans, T	
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Monitoring Roster	
<i>Complete the table below beginning the Client Case File monitoring process as this is the monitoring roster. (Add new page of rows as needed)</i>	
<i>Additional Comments:</i>	

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Say It

Complete the table from the "Say It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)

No.	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	Clients meets definition of homeless or at-risk of homelessness	Which Program was identified within the CoC Program: ESG, PSH, RRH, TH, SH, SSO.	Are clients demographic and other characteristics of homeless status, by income and program use recorded	Files contain required proof of eligibility, lease agreements, and Supportive Services.	Evidence that Clients met with case manager at least monthly is recorded in file.	Applicant's records confirm that the requirement was met to ensure that all CoC planning activities paid for with grant funds were eligible per 24 CFR 578.39	Additional Notes (Yes or No, include Participant ID# and Note in Additional Comments.)
1	370AE1172	12/1/2018	8/8/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
2	38C1YHXUI	1/27/2022		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
3	38M1R7ZQI	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4	44E82E8FB	12/1/2018	5/10/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
5	5FD00EC7F	5/26/2020		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
6	7D31B2083	12/1/2018	3/31/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
7	8E197A2DF	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
8	9849FDD97	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
9	B625AFEB2	4/19/2019	3/9/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
10	FAF4CFCEE	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
11	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
12	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
13	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
14	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
15	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Say It	
Complete the table from the "Say It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)	
Additional Comments:	

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Say It									
	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Program Type</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Notes (Yes/No)</i>
Yes responses or Correctly Dated Files			10		10	10	10	10	0
No responses or Incorrectly Dated Files			0		0	0	0	0	0
N/A			0		0	0	0	0	0
Total Count			10		10	10	10	10	0
Total Potential Points (Client Count)			10		10	10	10	10	0
Total Desk Audit Points (Yes/Correctly Dated)			10		10	10	10	10	0

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Site Visit (Document It)										
Complete the table from the "Document It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)										
No.	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	Clients meets definition of homeless or at-risk of homelessness	Which Program was identified within the CoC Program: ESG, PSH, RRH, TH, SH, SSO.	Are clients demographic and other characteristics of homeless status, by income and program use recorded	Files contain required proof of eligibility, lease agreements, and Supportive Services.	Evidence that Clients met with case manager at least monthly is recorded in file.	Applicant's records confirm that the requirement was met to ensure that all CoC planning activities paid for with grant funds were eligible per 24 CFR 578.39	Additional Notes (Yes or No, include Participant ID# and Note in Additional Comments.)
1	370AE1172	12/1/2018	8/8/2022	N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	38C1YHXUI	1/27/2022		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3	38M1R7ZQI	12/1/2018		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4	44E82E8FB	12/1/2018	5/10/2022	N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5	5FD00EC7F	5/26/2020		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6	7D31B2083	12/1/2018	3/31/2022	N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7	8E197A2DF	12/1/2018		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8	9849FDD97	12/1/2018		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
9	B625AFEB2	4/19/2019	3/9/2022	N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
10	FAF4CFCEE	12/1/2018		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
11	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
12	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
13	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
14	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
15	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Site Visit (Document It)	
Complete the table from the "Document It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)	
Additional Comments:	

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Site Visit (Document It)									
	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Program Type</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Notes (Yes/No)</i>
Yes responses or Correctly Dated Files			0		0	0	0	0	0
No responses or Incorrectly Dated Files			0		0	0	0	0	0
N/A			10		10	10	10	10	0
Total Count			0		0	0	0	0	0
Total Potential Points (Client Count)			0		0	0	0	0	0
Total Desk Audit Points (Yes/Correctly Dated)			0		0	0	0	0	0

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Desk Audit (Do It)										
Complete the table from the "Do It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)										
No.	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	Clients meets definition of homeless or at-risk of homelessness	Which Program was identified within the CoC Program: ESG, PSH, RRH, TH, SH, SSO.	Are clients demographic and other characteristics of homeless status, by income and program use recorded	Files contain required proof of eligibility, lease agreements, and Supportive Services.	Evidence that Clients met with case manager at least monthly is recorded in file.	Applicant's records confirm that the requirement was met to ensure that all CoC planning activities paid for with grant funds were eligible per 24 CFR 578.39	Additional Notes (Yes or No, include Participant ID# and Note in Additional Comments.)
1	370AE1172	12/1/2018	8/8/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	38C1YHXUI	1/27/2022		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
3	38M1R7ZQI	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4	44E82E8FB	12/1/2018	5/10/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
5	5FD00EC7F	5/26/2020		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
6	7D31B2083	12/1/2018	3/31/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
7	8E197A2DF	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
8	9849FDD97	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
9	B625AFEB2	4/19/2019	3/9/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
10	FAF4CFCEE	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
11	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
12	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
13	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
14	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
15	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Desk Audit (Do It)	
Complete the table from the "Do It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)	
Additional Comments:	UID's - 38C1YHXUI; B625AFEB2 lack of case notes.

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Desk Audit (Do It)									
	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Program Type</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Notes (Yes/No)</i>
Yes responses or Correctly Dated Files			10		10	10	8	10	2
No responses or Incorrectly Dated Files			0		0	0	2	0	0
N/A			0		0	0	0	0	0
Total Count			10		10	10	10	10	2
Total Potential Points (Client Count)			10		10	10	10	10	2
Total Desk Audit Points (Yes/Correctly Dated)			10		10	10	8	10	2

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Client Interview Survey

Complete the table as part of the "Do It" score part of the Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)

No.	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	Is the Client currently Active in the Program? (Say It)	If not, can the Client be contacted for Survey Participation? (Say It)	Did the Client receive an online version of the survey? (Document It)	If not, did the Client receive a paper-based version of the survey or have Case Manager Assistance? (Document It)	Did the provider submit proof of client outreach? (Do It)	Did the Client complete the survey? (Not Scored)	Additional Notes (Yes or No, include Participant ID# and Note in Additional Comments.)
1	370AE1172	12/1/2018	8/8/2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	38C1YHXUI	1/27/2022		Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	
3	38M1R7ZQI	12/1/2018		Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	
4	44E82E8FB	12/1/2018	5/10/2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5	5FD00EC7F	5/26/2020		Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	
6	7D31B2083	12/1/2018	3/31/2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7	8E197A2DF	12/1/2018		Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	
8	9849FDD97	12/1/2018		Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	
9	B625AFEB2	4/19/2019	3/9/2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
10	FAF4CFCEE	12/1/2018		Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	
11	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
12	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
13	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
14	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
15	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Client Interview Survey	
Complete the table as part of the "Do It" score part of the Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)	
Additional Comments:	

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Client Interview Survey									
	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Say It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Say It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Document It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Document It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Do It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (No Score)</i>	<i>Notes (Yes/No)</i>
Yes responses or Correctly Dated Files			6	6	4	2	6	0	0
No responses or Incorrectly Dated Files			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N/A			4	4	6	8	4	10	0
Total Count			6	6	4	2	6	0	0
Total Potential Points (Client Count)			6	6	4	2	6	0	0
Total Desk Audit Points (Yes/Correctly Dated)			6	6	4	2	6	0	0

General Eligibility (All Programs)										
Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)										
No.	Participant UID#	Do the recipient or subrecipient's written intake procedures and client file management include Coordinated Entry including referral and other documentation?			Do the recipient or subrecipient case management procedures and client file management follow person-centered planning, and the coordination of wrap-around services related to housing, employment, relationships, financial management, treatment & health monitoring, and recreation.			Do the recipient or subrecipient's written intake procedures establish the order of priority for obtaining evidence of homelessness and/or at-risk of homelessness as: (1) third party documentation; (2) intake worker observations; and (3) self-certification?		
		Say It	Document It	Do It	Say It	Document It	Do It	Say It	Document It	Do It
1	370AE1172	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
2	38C1YHXUI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
3	38M1R7ZQI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
4	44E82E8FB	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
5	5FD00EC7F	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
6	7D31B2083	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
7	8E197A2DF	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
8	9849FDD97	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
9	B625AFEB2	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%

10	FAF4CFCEE	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
11	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
12	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
13	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
14	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
15	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select

General Eligibility (All Programs)	
Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)	
Additional Comments:	

General Eligibility & Program Verification (All Programs)									
	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Always/Every time, 100%	10	0	10	10	0	10	10	0	10
N/A	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	10	0
Total Count	10	0	10	10	0	10	10	0	10
Total Potential Points	70	0	70	70	0	70	70	0	70
Total Points	70	0	70	70	0	70	70	0	70

General Eligibility (1) & Program Verification (2) (All Programs)										
Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)										
No.	Participant UID#	If the recipient/subrecipient is using HMIS or a comparable database to document program participants' homeless status, does the HMIS or comparable database retain an auditable history of all entries, including identification of the person who entered the data, the date of entry, and the change made, and does the HMIS prevent overrides or changes of the dates on which entries are made?	For program participants who qualified because their primary night time residence was a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground, or because they were living in a supervised shelter designed to provide temporary living arrangements, does a review of program participant files			Does the recipient or subrecipient ensure that program participants' eligibility is adequately documented in terms of their homeless status upon entry into the program? Where the program participant qualified under paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless and was served by a victim service provider, do the records show that either the program or the intake worker certified that the individual or head of household:				
		Say It	Document It	Do It	Say It	Document It	Do It	Say It	Document It	Do It
1	370AE1172	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	38C1YHXUI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	38M1R7ZQI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	44E82E8FB	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	5FD00EC7F	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	7D31B2083	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	8E197A2DF	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	9849FDD97	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	B625AFEB2	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	FAF4CFCEE	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
11	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
12	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
13	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
14	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
15	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select

General Eligibility & Program Verification (All Programs)	
Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)	
Additional Comments:	

General Eligibility & Program Verification (All Programs)									
	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Always/Every time, 100%	10	0	10	10	0	10	1	0	1
N/A	0	10	0	0	10	0	9	10	9
Total Count	10	0	10	10	0	10	1	0	1
Total Potential Points	70	0	70	70	0	70	7	0	7
Total Points	70	0	70	70	0	70	7	0	7

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)										
Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review for RRH & PSH Programs ONLY. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)										
No.	Participant UID#	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven? Check requirements for documenting an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation:	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based Breaks in Homelessness? The requirements for documenting Breaks in Homelessness chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation and (2) Individual's self-certification;			Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on Institutional Stays? Check the requirements for documenting Institutional Stays chronicity:				
		Say It	Document It	Do It	Say It	Document It	Do It	Say It	Document It	Do It
1	370AE1172	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
2	38C1YHXUI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
3	38M1R7ZQI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
4	44E82E8FB	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Not at All/Never, 0%
5	5FD00EC7F	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	7D31B2083	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	8E197A2DF	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
8	9849FDD97	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
9	B625AFEB2	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
10	FAF4CFCEE	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
11	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
12	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
13	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
14	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
15	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)	
Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review for RRH & PSH Programs ONLY. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)	
Additional Comments:	

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)									
	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Always/Every time, 100%	8	1	8	8	1	7	8	1	6
N/A	2	9	2	2	9	2	2	9	2
Total Count	8	1	8	8	1	8	8	1	8
Total Potential Points	56	7	56	56	7	56	56	7	56
Total Points	56	7	56	56	7	55	56	7	49

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)				
Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review for RRH & PSH Programs ONLY. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)				
No.	Participant UID#	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity pursuant to a documented disability? Check the requirements for documenting disability for chronicity includes: <i>Note: This is considered to be limited to rare and extreme cases impacting no more than 25 percent of households served in an operating year</i>		
		Say It	Document It	Do It
1	370AE1172	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
2	38C1YHXUI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
3	38M1R7ZQI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
4	44E82E8FB	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	5FD00EC7F	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	7D31B2083	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
7	8E197A2DF	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
8	9849FDD97	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
9	B625AFEB2	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
10	FAF4CFCEE	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
11	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
12	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
13	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
14	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
15	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)	
Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review for RRH & PSH Programs ONLY. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)	
Additional Comments:	

Chronicity Score (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)			
	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
Always/Every time, 100%	8	1	8
N/A	2	9	2
Total Count	8	1	8
Total Potential Points	56	7	56
Total Points	56	7	56

Client File Checklist					
Project Name:			Grant Year:		
General Eligibility (All Programs)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
General Eligibility	24 CFR 578.7(a)(8); 24 CFR 76.500(b); 24 CFR 576.500(c)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient's written intake procedures and client file management include Coordinated Entry including referral and other documentation?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			
General Eligibility	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]; [24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient case management procedures and client file management follow person-centered planning, and the coordination of wrap-around services related to housing, employment, relationships, financial management, treatment & health monitoring, and recreation.	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			
General Eligibility	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient's written intake procedures establish the order of priority for obtaining evidence of homelessness and/or at-risk of homelessness as: (1) third party documentation; (2) intake worker observations; and (3) self-certification?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			

General Eligibility (All Programs)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
General Eligibility	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	If the recipient/subrecipient is using HMIS or a comparable database to document program participants' homeless status, does the HMIS or comparable database retain an auditable history of all entries, including identification of the person who entered the data, the date of entry, and the change made, and does the HMIS prevent overrides or changes of the dates on which entries are made?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			

Program Verification (All Programs)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(1)]	For program participants who qualified because their primary night time residence was a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground, or because they were living in a supervised shelter designed to provide temporary living arrangements, does a review of program participant files include one of the following: (1) a written referral by another housing or service provider; (2) a printed record from HMIS or a comparable database used by a victim service or legal service provider; (3) a written observation by an outreach worker of the conditions where the individual or family was living; or (4) a written certification by the individual or head of household seeking assistance.	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			

Program Verification (All Programs)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(5)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient ensure that program participants’ eligibility is adequately documented in terms of their homeless status upon entry into the program? Where the program participant qualified under paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless and was served by a victim service provider, do the records show that either the program or the intake worker certified that the individual or head of household (1) was fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or staking, or other dangerous or life threatening conditions that relate to violence; (2) lacked the resources or support networks necessary to obtain other permanent housing; and (3) had not identified other subsequent residence?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			

Chronic Homelessness (RRH and PSH Only)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven? The requirements for documenting an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation, (2) A written record of intake workers due diligence to obtain, and (3) Intake worker's documentation of the living situation, and (4) Individual's self-certification of the living situation (Up to 3 months can be documented through self-certification with additional limited circumstances for up to the full 12 months);	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based Breaks in Homelessness? The requirements for documenting Breaks in Homelessness chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation and (2) Individual's self-certification;	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Chronic Homelessness (RRH and PSH Only)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on Institutional Stays? The requirements for documenting Institutional Stays chronicity are: (1) Discharge paperwork or written/oral referral from a social worker, case manager, or other appropriate official stating beginning and end dates of time residing in the institutional care facility, (2) A written record of intake workers due diligence to obtain, AND (3) Individual's self-certification that he/she is exiting an institutional care facility (less than 90 days);	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			

Chronic Homelessness (RRH and PSH Only)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	<p>Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on 12-months cumulative homeless history? The requirements for documenting 12-months cumulative homeless history for chronicity are:</p> <p>(1) Review HMIS to determine if there are 12 months of cumulative homelessness over the last 3 years.</p> <p>(2) If there are not 12 months in HMIS but client reports that they have been homeless for the last 12 months in the last three years.</p> <p>(3) Identify other third-party sources (i.e., outreach worker, other professional source).</p> <p>(d) Identify any documented breaks in HMIS (i.e., stay in transitional housing).</p> <p>(e) If at least 9 months of homelessness (cumulative or continuous) cannot be obtained by third-party documentation, up to the full 12 months can be documented via self-certification only:</p> <p>(i) <i>Must thoroughly document attempt(s) to obtain third-party documentation and</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>Document why third-party documentation was not obtained,</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>Obtain a written certification from individual or head of household of the living situation of the undocumented time period .</i></p>	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			

Chronic Homelessness (RRH and PSH Only)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity pursuant to a documented disability? The requirement for documenting disability for chronicity includes: (1) written verification of the disability from a professional licensed by the state to diagnose and treat the disability and his or her certification that the disability is expected to be long continuing or of indefinite duration and substantially impedes the individual's ability to live independently; (2) written verification from the Social Security Administration; (3) the receipt of a disability check (Social Security Disability Insurance, Veteran Disability Compensation); (4) intake staff-recorded observation of disability that, no later than 45 days of the application for assistance, is confirmed by written verification of the disability, or (5) other documentation approved by HUD (Please note other documentation in the Optional Notes section below if applicable). <i>Note: This is considered to be limited to rare and extreme cases impacting no more than 25 percent of households served in an operating year</i>	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			

Overall Eligibility Compliance (All Programs)					
Eligibility Compliance		Does this client file meet requirements of confirming participant eligibility AND contain the appropriate documentation based on the information presented in the Summary Table?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		Optional Notes:			

Client File Checklist - All Programs

Topic Area	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
General Eligibility	N/A	0	0	0
	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	N/A	0	0	0
	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

<i>Chronic Homelessness RRH and PSH Only</i>				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	N/A	0	0	0
	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

<i>Comprehensive Score</i>				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive	N/A	0	0	0
	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

Client File Checklist - All Programs					
Project Name:		STAR PSH	Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligibility Compliance		Client Homelessness Definition - Total Score	10	0	10
		Client Homelessness Definition - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Demographics & Income Status - Total Score	10	0	10
		Demographics & Income Status - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Proof of Eligibility - Total Score	10	0	10
		Proof of Eligibility - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Evidence of Case Management - Total Score	10	0	8
		Evidence of Case Management - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Funding Eligibility Confirmed - Total Score	10	0	10
		Funding Eligibility Confirmed - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Client Interview Survey - Total Score	12	6	6
		Client Interview Survey - Total Available Points	12	6	6
		Eligibility Compliance - Total Score	62	12	54
		Eligibility Compliance - Total Available Points	62	12	56
		Total Score for Eligibility Compliance	1	1	0.96428571
General Eligibility		General Eligibility 1 - Total Score	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 1 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 2 - Total Score	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 2 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 3 - Total Score	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 3 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 4 - Total Score	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 4 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		General Eligibility - Total Score	280	0	280
		General Eligibility - Total Available Points	280	0	280
		Total Score for General Eligibility	1	#DIV/0!	1

<i>Program Verification & Chronic Homelessness RRH and PSH Only</i>					
<i>Project Name:</i>			<i>Grant Year:</i>		
STAR PSH			1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Program Verification		Program Verification 1 - Total Score	70	0	70
		Program Verification 1 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		Program Verification 2 - Total Score	7	0	7
		Program Verification 2 - Total Available Points	7	0	7
		Program Verification - Total Score	77	0	77
		Program Verification - Total Available Points	77	0	77
		Total Score for Program Verification	1	#DIV/0!	1
RRH & PSH ONLY		RRH & PSH 1 - Total Score	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 1 - Total Available Points	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 2 - Total Score	56	7	55
		RRH & PSH 2 - Total Available Points	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 3 - Total Score	56	7	49
		RRH & PSH 3 - Total Available Points	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 4 - Total Score	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 4 - Total Available Points	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH - Total Score	224	28	216
		RRH & PSH - Total Available Points	224	28	224
		Total Score for RRH & PSH	1	1	0.96428571

<i>Comprehensive Score</i>				
<i>Project Name:</i>		<i>Grant Year:</i>		
STAR PSH		1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive	Eligibility Compliance - Total Score	62	12	54
	Eligibility Compliance - Total Available Points	62	12	56
	General Eligibility - Total Score	280	0	280
	General Eligibility - Total Available Points	280	0	280
	Program Verification - Total Score	77	0	77
	Program Verification - Total Available Points	77	0	77
	RRH & PSH - Total Score	224	28	216
	RRH & PSH - Total Available Points	224	28	224
	Comprehensive - Total Score	643	40	627
	Comprehensive - Total Available Points	643	40	637
	Comprehensive - Total Count	145	16	139
	Total Score for Comprehensive	1	1	0.98430141

<i>Final Tool Score</i>				
<i>Project Name:</i>		<i>STAR PSH</i>		<i>Grant Year:</i> 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Final Tool Score	Comprehensive - Total Score	643	40	627
	Comprehensive - Total Available Points	643	40	637
	Comprehensive - Total Count	145	16	139
	Total Overall Score	1310		
	Total Overall Available Points	1320		
	Total Overall Count	300		
	Total Overall Comprehensive Percentage Score	0.992424242		

Housing First Standards Assessment Permanent Supportive Housing Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool aims to assess and document how closely a housing and service provider adheres to the recommended best practice standards of the Housing First model, in the context of the broader work to implement a Housing First orientation at the system-level. This tool specifically evaluates project-level fidelity to Housing First, which directly impacts a system's fidelity to Housing First. In addition to the universal best practice standards identified in this tool, Continuums should also consider their local community context and local written standards pertaining to Housing First when assessing projects. A Continuum of Care can use this tool to prompt discussion and to make recommendations for improvement to projects that identify themselves as meeting the Housing First Model.

Provider Info Tab: The Provider Information tab should be completed prior to beginning the assessment. Specifically, the Project Name, Project Type, Target Sub-Population served, and Date of Assessment fields need to be completed in order to populate the assessment standards and report summary with questions that are specific to the project type and population. Please complete this section prior to printing any standards for assessment.

Standards: The standards have been arranged into the following categories: Access, Evaluation, Services, Housing, Leases, and Project-Specific. The "Tab" chart at the bottom of this page describes each of the categories in more detail. Some of the categories are not applicable for all project types, and those standards do not need to be completed, (see "Project Type/Applicable standards" chart for the list of which standards need to be completed for each project type.)

<u>Project Type</u>	<u>Applicable Standards</u>
Coordinates Entry	Access & Evaluation; Project-specific
Street Outreach	Access & Evaluation; Project-specific
Emergency Shelter	Access & Evaluation; Project-specific
Transitional Housing	Access & Evaluation; Service & Housing; Leases; Project-specific
Rapid Rehousing	Access & Evaluation; Service & Housing; Leases; Project-specific
Joint TH/RRH	Access & Evaluation; Service & Housing; Leases; Project-specific
Permanent Housing	Access & Evaluation; Service & Housing; Leases; Project-specific

Housing First Standards Assessment Permanent Supportive Housing Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: Please keep in mind safeguarding concerns when assessing projects. In particular, we advise Continuums of Care to work with projects with victims of domestic violence to make sure that adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are in place before beginning assessments.

Scoring: For each standard, there are three scoring criteria: “Say It”, “Document It”, and “Do It” (as explained further below). To show that a project is in full compliance with each standard, the assessor should mark “Always/Every time, 100%” for each scoring criteria. Use the drop down in the three columns to the right to select “Always/Every time, 100%”, “Usually, 80% to less than 100%”, “Frequently, 60% to less than 80%”, “Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%”, “Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%”, “Rarely, less than 20%”, or “Not at All/Never, 0%” (NOTE: this tool also includes a “N/A” option).

~“*Say It*” means that project and agency staff can describe verbally what they do concerning each standard. The assessor (monitor) should be able to identify that the organizational culture supports the standard by how staff talks about what is done.

~“*Document It*” means that there is written documentation that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Written documentation could include Policies and Procedures, Personnel Handbooks, Professional Development Plans, Project Rules, etc.

~“*Do It*” means that the assessor (monitor) was able to find evidence that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Evidence could include information contained in client or other administrative files, client acknowledgement that something is being done, staff can point to documentation that supports implementation of the standard, etc.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is needed to be able to mark that standard as “Always/Every time, 100%”, “Usually, 80% to less than 100%”, “Frequently, 60% to less than 80%”, “Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%”, “Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%”, “Rarely, less than 20%”, or “Not at All/Never, 0%” (NOTE: this tool also includes a “N/A” option).

Housing First Standards Assessment Permanent Supportive Housing Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Instructions	Tool overview and aim	Offer instructions to users on the assessment tool
Provider Info	Input provider, project and general assessment information	Determines project-specific standards for considerations
Standards-Access & Evaluation	Input compliance with standards concerning participant access to the project and input, project evaluation and performance management	Assesses whether access and evaluation are compliant with Housing First principles
Standards-Leases	Input compliance with standards concerning the lease and occupancy agreements, where applicable	Assesses whether leases and occupancy agreements are compliant with Housing First principles
Standards-Services & Housing	Input compliance with standards concerning the service and housing models and structure, where applicable	Assesses whether services and housing are compliant with Housing First principles
Standards-Project-Specific	Prompts assessment standards based on project type and targeted sub-populations served by the project, where applicable	Assesses whether specific project standards are compliant with Housing First principles
Standards-Population-Specific	Prompts assessment standards based on specific populations served by the project to include: People in Recovery, Domestic Violence Survivors, and Youth & Young Adults.	Assesses whether specific project standards are compliant with Housing First principles
Project Scoring Tool	Displays assessment scores and conclusions, and highlights non-compliant standards	Printable summary of the assessment

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name(s)	STAR PSH
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	28 Feb 2023; 17 Apr 2023; 13 May 2023

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Access 1	Projects are low-barrier	Admission to projects is not contingent on pre-requisites such as abstinence of substances, minimum income requirements, health or mental health history, medication adherence, age, criminal justice history, financial history, completion of treatment, participation in services, “housing readiness,” history or occurrence of victimization, survivor of sexual assault or an affiliated person of such a survivor or other unnecessary conditions unless required by law or funding source.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Access 2	Projects do not deny assistance for unnecessary reasons	Procedures and oversight demonstrate that staff do everything possible to avoid denying assistance or rejecting an individual or family for the reasons listed in Access Standard #1.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Access 3	Access regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status	Equal access is provided in accordance with the 2012 and 2016 Equal Access Rules, meaning that any project funded by HUD must ensure equal access for persons regardless of one’s sexual orientation or marital status, and in accordance with one’s gender identity. Adult only households, regardless of marital status, should have equal access to projects (if these project types are not available within a CoC, the CoC should conduct an assessment to determine if these project types are needed and work with providers to accommodate the need). Please see Equal Access Rules here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Access 4	Admission process is expedited with speed and efficiency	Projects have expedited admission processes, to the greatest extent possible, including helping participants obtain documentation required by funding sources, as well as processes to admit participants regardless of the status of their eligibility documentation whenever applicable.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Access 5	Intake processes are person-centered and flexible	Intake and assessment procedures are focused on the individual's or family's strengths, needs, and preferences. Projects do not require specific appointment times, but have flexible intake schedules that ensure access to all households. Assessments are focused on identifying household strengths, resources, as well as identifying barriers to housing that can inform the basis of a housing plan as soon as a person is enrolled in the project.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Access 6	The provider/project accepts and makes referrals directly through Coordinated Entry	Projects actively participate in the CoC-designated Coordinated Entry processes as part of streamlined community-wide system access and triage. If these processes are not yet implemented, projects follow communities' existing referral processes. Referrals from Coordinated Entry are rarely rejected, and only if there is a history of violence, the participant does not want to be in the project, there are legally valid grounds (such as restrictions regarding sex offenders) or some other exceptional circumstance that is well documented.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Access 7	Exits to homelessness are avoided	Projects that can no longer serve particular households utilize the coordinated entry process, or the communities' existing referral processes if coordinated entry processes are not yet implemented, to ensure that those individuals and families have access to other housing and services as desired, and do not become disconnected from services and housing. Households encounter these exits under certain circumstances, such as if they demonstrate violent or harassing behaviors, which are described within agencies' regulation-adherent policies.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Participant Input 1	Participant education is ongoing	Project participants receive ongoing education on Housing First principles as well as other service models employed in the project. In the beginning of and throughout tenancy, participants are informed about their full rights and responsibilities as lease holders, including the potential causes for eviction.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%
		Optional Notes:			
Participant Input 2	Projects create regular, formal opportunities for participants to offer input	Input is welcomed regarding the project's policies, processes, procedures, and practices. Opportunities include involvement in: quality assurance and evaluation processes, a participant leadership/advisory board, processes to formally communicate with landlords, the design of and participation in surveys and focus groups, planning social gatherings, integrating peer specialists and peer-facilitated support groups to compliment professional services.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input				
Topic Area	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive Access & Input	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	2
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	9	7	7
	N/A	0	2	0
	Total Count	9	7	9
	Total Available Points	63	49	63
	Total Score	63	49	59
Access	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	7
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	49

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Participant Input	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	2
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	0	0
	N/A	0	2	0
	Total Count	2	0	2
	Total Available Points	14	0	14
	Total Score	14	0	10

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Leases 1	Housing is considered permanent (not applicable for Transitional Housing)	Housing is not time-limited (though rent assistance may be) and leases are automatically renewable upon expiration, except with prior notice by either party.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Leases 2	Participant choice is fundamental	A participant has, at minimum, choices in deciding the location and type of housing based on preferences from a range of housing types and among multiple units, as available and as practical. In project-based settings, participants should be offered choice of units within a particular building, or within the portfolio of single site properties. In projects that use shared housing, i.e. housing with unrelated roommates, participants should be offered choice of roommates, as available and as practical. Additionally, as applicable, participants are able to choose their roommates when sharing a room or unit.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Leases 3	Leases are the same for participants as for other tenants	Leases do not have any provisions that would not be found in leases held by any other tenant in the property or building and is renewable per the participants' and owner's choice. People experiencing homelessness who receive help moving into permanent housing should have leases that confer the full rights, responsibilities, and legal protections under Federal, state, and local housing laws. For transitional housing, there may be limitations on length of stay, but a lease/occupancy agreement should look like a lease that a person would have in the normal rental market.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Leases 4	Participants receive education about their lease or occupancy agreement terms	Participants are also given access to legal assistance and encouraged to exercise their full legal rights and responsibilities. Landlords and providers abide by their legally-defined roles and responsibilities.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Leases 5	Measures are used to prevent eviction	Property or building management, with services support, incorporates a culture of eviction avoidance, reinforced through practices and policies that prevent lease violations and evictions among participants, and evict participants only when they are a threat to self or others. Clear eviction appeal processes and due process is provided for all participants. Lease bifurcation is allowed so that a tenant or lawful occupant who is a victim of a criminal act of physical violence committed against them by another tenant or lawful occupant is not evicted, removed or penalized if the other is evicted.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Leases 6	The provider/project accepts and makes referrals directly through Coordinated Entry	Projects actively participate in the CoC-designated Coordinated Entry processes as part of streamlined community-wide system access and triage. If these processes are not yet implemented, projects follow communities' existing referral processes. Referrals from Coordinated Entry are rarely rejected, and only if there is a history of violence, the participant does not want to be in the project, there are legally valid grounds (such as restrictions regarding sex offenders) or some other exceptional circumstance that is well documented.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Leases 7	Exits to homelessness are avoided	Projects that can no longer serve particular households utilize the coordinated entry process, or the communities' existing referral processes if coordinated entry processes are not yet implemented, to ensure that those individuals and families have access to other housing and services as desired, and do not become disconnected from services and housing. Households encounter these exits under certain circumstances, such as if they demonstrate violent or harassing behaviors, which are described within agencies' regulation-adherent policies.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive Leases	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	7
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	49

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing

Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Services 1	Projects promote participant choice in services	Participants are able to choose from an array of services. Services offered are housing focused and include the following areas of support: employment and income, childhood and education, community connection, and stabilization to maintain housing. These should be provided by linking to community-based services.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Services 2	Person Centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process	Person-centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Services 3	Service support is as permanent as the housing	Wherever possible, participants continue to be offered services even if they lose their housing unit or bed (for congregate projects), or if they are placed in a short-term inpatient treatment. Ideally, the service relationship should continue, despite a service hiatus during some institutional stays.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Services 4	Admission process is expedited with speed and efficiency	Projects have expedited admission processes, to the greatest extent possible, including helping participants obtain documentation required by funding sources, as well as processes to admit participants regardless of the status of their eligibility documentation whenever applicable.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Services 5	Participant engagement is a core component of service delivery	Staff provide effective services by developing relationships with participants that provide immediate needs and safety, develop trust and common ground, making warm hand-offs to other mainstream service providers, and clearly explain staff roles. Engagement is regular and relationships are developed over time.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Services 6	Services are culturally appropriate with translation services available, as needed	Project staff are sensitive to and support the cultural aspects of diverse households. Wherever possible, staff demographics reflect the participant population they serve in order to provide appropriate, culturally-specific services. Translation services are provided when needed to ensure full comprehension of the project. Projects that serve families with children should have family-friendly rules that allow for different schedules based on work and school hours and have services that allow parents to participate in activities without having to constantly supervise their children themselves (i.e. can use the bathroom or take a shower without their children being in the bathroom with them).	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Services 7	Staff are trained in clinical and non-clinical strategies (including harm reduction, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approaches, strength-based)	Services support a participant's ability to obtain and retain housing regardless of changes in behavior. Services are informed by a harm-reduction philosophy, such as recognizing that substance use and addiction are a part of some participants' lives. Participants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding their behavior and are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Housing 1	Housing is not dependent on participation in services	Participation in permanent and temporary housing settings, as well as crisis settings such as emergency shelter, is not contingent on participating in supportive services or demonstration of progress made on a service plan. Services must be offered by staff, but are voluntary for participants.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Housing 2	Substance use is not a reason for termination	Participants are only terminated from the project for violations in the lease or occupancy agreements, as applicable. Occupancy agreements or an addendum to the lease do not include conditions around substance use or participation in services. If the project is a recovery housing model focused on people who are in early recovery from drugs or alcohol (as outlined in HUD's Recovery Housing Brief), different standards related to use and subsequent offer of treatment may apply. See HUD's Recovery Housing brief here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/4852/recovery-housingpolicy-brief/	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Housing 3	The rules and regulations of the project are centered on participants' rights	Project staff have realistic expectations and policies. Rules and regulations are designed to support safe and stable communities and should never interfere with a life in the community. Participants have access to the project at all hours (except for nightly in and out shelter) and accommodation is made for pets.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
Housing 4	Participants have the option to transfer to another project	Transfers should be accommodated for tenants who reasonably believe that they are threatened with imminent harm from further violence if the tenant remains in the same unit. Whenever possible, transfers occur before a participant experiences homelessness.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing				
Topic Area	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive Services and Housing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	11	11	10
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	11	11	11
	Total Available Points	77	77	77
	Total Score	77	77	76
Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	6
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	48

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Housing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28

Housing First Assessment PSH Project Specific

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Project 1	Quick access to RRH assistance	A transitional housing project ensures quick linkage to a unit and services based on participant choice.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Project 2	PSH is focused on ending homelessness for those with the most severe barriers to maintaining housing	Participants and staff understand that a primary goal of permanent supportive housing is to end homelessness for people with the most severe service needs and help participants stay housed, regardless of other perceived barriers.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Project Specific					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Project 3	Property Management duties are separate and distinct from services/case management	In order to provide clear roles of staff for participants in terms of lease and rules enforcement as well as tenant advocacy, property management and service provider staff should be separate roles. However, they should work together on a regular basis through regular communications and meetings regarding Participants to address tenancy issues in order to preserve tenancy.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Project Specific					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive Project Specific		Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
		Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
		Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
		Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
		Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
		Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
		Always/Every time, 100%	3	3	3
		N/A	0	0	0
		Total Count	3	3	3
		Total Available Points	21	21	21
		Total Score	21	21	21

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific

Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
People in Recovery 1	Recovery housing is offered as one choice among other housing opportunities	Connection to recovery housing reflects individual choice for this path toward recovery. Abstinence-only spaces are incorporated into a Housing First model wherever possible, thus providing this type of recovery option to those who choose it. Recovery supports are offered, particularly connections to community-based treatment options.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
People in Recovery 2	Services include relapse support	Housing and services include relapse support that does not automatically evict or discharge a participant from the project for temporary relapse. Relapse support might include referrals to outpatient treatment or direct provision of outpatient services or the ability to hold a unit for a certain period of time (30-90 days) while the participant undergoes residential treatment.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			
People in Recovery 3	Services support sustained recovery	Recovery housing projects provide services that align with participants' choice and prioritization of recovery, including but not limited to abstinence from substances (if that is a personal goal), long-term permanent housing stability, and stable income through employment or benefits. Support is offered through connections to community-based treatment options.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Domestic Violence Survivors 1	Participant safety is a priority at all points of engagement and in all planning processes	Led by the survivor, a safety plan is developed that includes an assessment of danger, particular points of vulnerability, and best approaches to increasing safety.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Domestic Violence Survivors 2	Survivor-driven advocacy is available	The unique needs and strengths of each individual survivor and their children are taken into account with regard to the types of services that are available and offered. Project uses flexible and survivor-focused approaches to overcome barriers survivors may face in accessing services through traditional models.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Domestic Violence Survivors 3	Housing stability is a priority	Providers support survivors and their children to retain or obtain safe, stable housing. Survivors choose the type of housing and location of housing. Housing is located in an area that is considered safe from the abusive relationship.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Youth & Young Adults 1	Services are offered	Services are focused on ensuring that youth transition to independence.	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
Youth & Young Adults 2	Projects include positive youth development principles	Youth projects should include positive youth development orientation. Positive youth development builds on strengths and resiliency. By focusing on strengths and assets, rather than what might be “wrong,” youth are empowered and are equipped to make positive decisions.	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
Youth & Young Adults 3	Staff use harm reduction practices and approaches	Youth models employ a harm reduction and recovery orientation, including those developed for youth and young adults with substance use and addictions. Projects may make abstinence-based models available for youth and young adults; however, the choice should lie with the participant, not with the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Optional Notes:			
Youth & Young Adults 4	Project design accounts for the age of youth and young adults to be served	Developmentally-appropriate project design ensures that project entry and on-going participation is not predicated on behaviors or experiences that youth and young adults may not currently have or if they were older would not result in consequences. For example, projects should accept and allow continued participation for youth who do not have income or immediate prospects of income because their age has not allowed them the opportunity to gain employment.	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Optional Notes:			

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific

<i>Topic Area</i>		<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive Population Specific	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	0	3
	N/A	4	10	7
	Total Count	6	0	3
	Total Available Points	42	0	21
	Total Score	42	0	21
People in Recovery	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	0	3	0
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific

<i>Topic Area</i>		<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Domestic Violence Survivors	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	0
	N/A	0	3	3
	Total Count	3	0	0
	Total Available Points	21	0	0
	Total Score	21	0	0
Youth & Young Adults	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	4	4	4
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: <i>STAR PSH</i>		Grant Year: <i>1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022</i>		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive Access and Input	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	2
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	9	7	7
	N/A	0	2	0
	Total Count	9	7	9
	Total Available Points	63	49	63
	Total Score	63	49	59
Access	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	7
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	49

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: <i>STAR PSH</i>		Grant Year: <i>1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022</i>		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Participant Input	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	2
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	0	0
	N/A	0	2	0
	Total Count	2	0	2
	Total Available Points	14	0	14
	Total Score	14	0	10
Comprehensive Leases	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	7
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	49

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: <i>STAR PSH</i>		Grant Year: <i>1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022</i>		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive Services & Housing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	11	11	10
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	11	11	11
	Total Available Points	77	77	77
	Total Score	77	77	76
Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	6
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	48

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: <i>STAR PSH</i>		Grant Year: <i>1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022</i>		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Housing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28
Comprehensive Project Specific	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	3	3
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	3	3	3
	Total Available Points	21	21	21
	Total Score	21	21	21

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: <i>STAR PSH</i>		Grant Year: <i>1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022</i>		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive Population Specific	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	0	3
	N/A	4	10	7
	Total Count	6	0	3
	Total Available Points	42	0	21
	Total Score	42	0	21
People in Recovery	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	0	3	0
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: <i>STAR PSH</i>		Grant Year: <i>1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022</i>		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Domestic Violence Survivors	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	0
	N/A	0	3	3
	Total Count	3	0	0
	Total Available Points	21	0	0
	Total Score	21	0	0
Youth and Young Adults	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	4	4	4
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: <i>STAR PSH</i>		Grant Year: <i>1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022</i>		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Score	Total Overall Section Points Available	252	196	231
	Total Overall Comprehensive Section Score	252	196	226
	Total Overall Points Available	679		
	Total Overall Comprehensive Score	674		

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-1 Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
Screenshot HelpHopeHome.org Posting	2
• Landing Page and URL with date	2
• Project Application Due Date Statement with URL and date	2
• Project Due Date and Timeline with URL and date	3

Funding Opportunities

2023 Southern Nevada Local HUD CoC Application

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) releases an annual Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for the HUD Continuum of Care Homeless Funds. The Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care (CoC) Evaluation Working Group releases a CoC Local Application in the spring for renewal projects in preparation of the HUD local process to begin in the summer. Information from this local application will be used to determine inclusion in the Consolidated Application to HUD for the CoC Homeless Assistance funds.

The Continuum of Care Local Application is mandatory for anyone who wishes to participate in this year's Southern Nevada Consolidated Application.

Current Timeline and Important Documents (subject to change depending on information provided by HUD)

July 5, 2023: HUD Releases the **2023 CoC Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)**

July 12: Local **Timeline** Released

July 13: 2022 Update to **Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures** and **2023 CoC Local Priorities**

July 20: Project Applications Open on ZoomGrants

- **Local Project Application Instructions**
- **ZoomGrants Instructions**
- **ZoomGrants Application Link**
- **FMR Budget Worksheet**

July 20: Applicants Technical Assistance Workshop at 3:00-5:00 pm **Presentation Materials**

Weekly Technical Assistance Office Hours:

- **July 27** 2:00-3:00 pm, 867 8026 5474, code 528574: General Questions
- **August 3** 1:00-2:00 pm, 831 1172 2969: Budgets
- **August 10** 9:00-10:00 am, 762 753 6661: Final Questions

FAQs to be updated weekly

August 14: Projects Applications Due at 5:00 pm

August 30: Project Ranking Day TBD


Funding Opportunities - Help Home

helphome.org/funding-opportunities/

https://esnaps.hud... | ERV... | Southern Nevada S... | New Tab | One Drive | RAD Sr Team - Perf... | Club Ride - Ways t... | (10) Shared Housin... | Sage: Log in | RHY-HMIS | 2022 narrative.docx | Code of Federal Re...

2-1-1 or (966) 535 5654 | Text 898211 | Read the Latest | News by Email

CoC Homeless Assistance funds.

**Help Home**
Engaging Homelessness in Southern Nevada

Local Application is now open for projects in this area's Southern Nevada Consolidated Application Home!

Current Timeline and Important Documents (subject to change depending on information provided by HUD)

July 5, 2023: HUD Releases the [2023 CoC Notice of Funding Opportunity \(NOFO\)](#)

July 12: Local [Timeline](#) Released

July 13: 2022 Update to [Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) and [2023 CoC Local Priorities](#)

July 20: Project Applications Open on ZoomGrants

- [Local Project Application Instructions](#)
- [ZoomGrants Instructions](#)
- [ZoomGrants Application Link](#)
- [FMR Budget Worksheet](#)-updated August 3rd

July 20: Applicants Technical Assistance Workshop at 3:00-5:00 pm [Presentation Materials](#)

Weekly Technical Assistance Office Hours:

- [July 27](#) 2:00-3:00 pm, 867 8026 5474, code 528574: General Questions
- [August 3](#) 1:00-2:00 pm, 831 1172 2969: Budgets
- [August 10](#) 9:00-10:00 am, 762 753 6661: Final Questions

[FAQs](#) updated weekly

August 14: Projects Applications Due at 5:00 pm

August 30: Project Ranking Day TBD

- [Reviewer Instructions](#)
- Applicant notification of preliminary inclusion or exclusion from the priority ranking

August 31: [eSNAPS Office Hour](#) 8:30-9:30 am, 2480 996 4495, password:esnaps

September 5-7: Appeals Process (if needed) for applicants excluded from the priority ranking

September 14: Approval of Priority Ranking Recommendations by the SNHCoC Board at 2:00 pm

- Applicant notification of confirmation of inclusion or exclusion from priority ranking

September 10: eSNAPS applications from projects due to the Collaborative Applicant

September 28: Posting of the Consolidated Application and the Priority Listing from eSNAPS by the Collaborative Applicant

September 30: Consolidated Application Due to HUD

107°F Windy | 3:55 PM 8/6/2023

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-2 Local Competition Scoring Tool

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1-2
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Detailed Scoring Factors for Renewal Applications including:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Maximum Points available for Objective Criteria for the renewal project application by question. (Highlighted in yellow)○ Maximum Points available for System Performance for the renewal project application by question (Highlighted in purple)○ Maximum Points available for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services. (Highlighted in red)○ Total points available for renewal project applications. (Highlighted in blue)	3-5
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Detailed Scoring Factors for New Applications including:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Maximum Points available for Objective Criteria for the New project application by question. (Highlighted in yellow)○ Maximum Points available for System Performance for the New project application by question (Highlighted in purple)○ Maximum Points available for projects that addressed both Objective Criteria and System Performance, if available, for New applications. (Highlighted in green)	6-12

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Total points available for New project applications. (Highlighted in blue) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NOFO Prescoring Report for Renewal Project Applications that details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Scoring Factor ○ Documentation used for obtaining the project score ○ Maximum Points Available in Reporting for Scoring Factor ○ Actual Score from Reporting ○ Adjustment Scale ○ Maximum NOFO Score Breakdown ○ Applicant's NOFO Score ○ Total Scores for Reporting ○ Total Scores for NOFO 	13
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewer's Scoring Workbook for New Applications including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Maximum Points available for Objective Criteria for the New project application by question. (Highlighted in yellow) ○ Maximum Points available for projects that addressed both Objective Criteria and System Performance, if available, for New applications. (Highlighted in green) ○ Total points available for New project applications. (Highlighted in red) 	14-16
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewer's Scoring Workbook for Renewal Applications including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Maximum Points available for Objective Criteria for the renewal project application by question. (Highlighted in yellow) ○ Total points available for renewal project applications. (Highlighted in red) 	17

Note: Applications are due on August 14, 2023, by 5:00 PM PST via ZoomGrants. Paper applications will not be accepted.

DETAILED SCORING FACTORS

DETAILED SCORING FACTORS – RENEWAL PROJECTS

Factor	How Evaluated	Maximum Points	Points Breakdown
A. Grant Administration		15	
Timely APR Submission	Facilitator will review monitoring report to determine if APR submission was timely	5	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
Regular ELOCCS Draw Downs	Facilitator will pro-rate monitoring score for ELOCCS draws (score x 0.5)	5	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
Expenditure of Grant Funds	Facilitator will pro-rate monitoring score for expenditure (score x 0.5)	5	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
B. HUD and Local Policy Priorities		45	
Housing First	Facilitator will pro-rate Housing First monitoring score (score x 0.8)	10	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
Racial Equity	SRT will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to identify and remove barriers to access or ongoing participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities—particularly those overrepresented by the local homeless population, including efforts to gather and analyze data (either quantitative or qualitative) to understand whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes from their program participation. Narrative should cover organizational efforts and may optionally cover program-level efforts	10	<p>Strong response = 8 to 10 points</p> <p>Average response = 5 to 7 points</p> <p>Weak response = 4 points or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for.</i></p>

Factor	How Evaluated	Maximum Points	Points Breakdown
Engaging People with Lived Experience	SRT will score applicant narrative describing efforts to meaningfully involve people with lived experience (in the past 7 years) with homelessness, especially unsheltered persons, in organizational decision-making and in the design, implementation and evaluation of programs. Narrative should cover organizational efforts and may optionally cover program-level efforts	8	<p>Strong response = 6 to 8 points</p> <p>Average response = 4 to 5 points</p> <p>Weak response = 3 points or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p>
Person Centered and Trauma Informed Care	SRT will score applicant narrative describing how the applicant uses utilize evidence-based practices to provide services that are trauma-informed and person-centered. Narrative should cover organizational efforts and may optionally cover program-level efforts.	6	<p>Strong response = 5 to 6 points</p> <p>Average response = 3 to 4 points</p> <p>Weak response = 2 points or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p>
Participation in Regional Efforts	<p>Applicants will indicate which of the following regional efforts they have participated in during the past year and describe their participation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Project Homeless Connect and/or Family Connect 2. Homeless Census (PIT) 3. Mainstream Programs Basic Training (MPBT) - 4. NHA Provider Meeting 5. Coordinated Entry System Planning Working Group 6. SNH CoC Board Meetings 7. SNH CoC Membership meetings 8. SNH CoC Working Groups 9. Other: <p>SRT will score this question.</p>	6	<p>Active participation in 3 groups = 6 points</p> <p>Active participation in 2 groups = 4 points</p> <p>Active participation in 1 group = 2 points</p>

Factor	How Evaluated	Maximum Points	Points Breakdown
Connections to Mainstream Systems	SRT will score applicant narrative describing specific efforts to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which program participants may be eligible. Narrative should cover organizational efforts and may optionally cover program-level efforts.	5	Strong response = 4 to 5 points Average response = 3 points Weak response = 2 points or less <i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for.</i>
C. Project Performance		40	
Obtain or Maintains Permanent housing	Facilitator will pro-rate V3 score on this factor (score x 0.65)	13	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
Exits from Project Back to Homelessness	Facilitator will pro-rate V3 score on this factor (score x 0.4)	8	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
Maintains or Increases Income	Facilitator will pro-rate V3 score on this factor (score x 0.7)	7	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
Serves High Barrier Populations	Facilitator will pro-rate V3 score on this factor (score x 0.2)	4	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
HMIS Data Quality	Facilitator will pro-rate V3 score on this factor (score x 0.2)	4	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
Occupancy or Utilization	Facilitator will pro-rate V3 score on this factor (score x 0.4)	4	Determined by pro-rated monitoring score
D. Bonus Points		5	
Voluntary Reallocation	Projects that voluntarily reallocate a portion of their grant will receive bonus points.	5	Reallocation of 15% or more of grant = 5 points Reallocation of 11 to 14% of grant = 4 points Reallocation of 10% or less of grant = 3 points
TOTAL POINTS		100	

DETAILED SCORING FACTORS – NEW PROJECTS

Factor	How Evaluated	Maximum Points	Points Breakdown
A. Applicant Capacity		16	
Experience Serving Target Population	SRT will score applicant narrative describing the organization's experience working with the proposed target population for the proposed project, including any relevant expertise with the specific subpopulation to be served (e.g., youth, families, DV, people with behavioral health conditions, etc.)	4	<p>Strong response = 4 points</p> <p>Average response = 2 to 3 points</p> <p>Weak response = 1 point or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p>
Experience Operating a Comparable Program	SRT will score applicant narrative describing agency (and subrecipient if applicable) experience with comparable projects, including experience with program operations and service delivery. Examples should illustrate experience such as: (a) working with and addressing the target population(s) identified housing and supportive service needs; (b) developing and implementing relevant program systems, services, and/or residential property construction and rehabilitation; (c) identifying and securing matching funds from a variety of sources; and (d) managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems.	4	<p>Strong response = 4 points</p> <p>Average response = 2 to 3 points</p> <p>Weak response = 1 point or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p>
Experience Managing Federal or Other Complex Funding	SRT will score applicant narrative describing the agency's experience leveraging and managing Federal funding or other sources. For federal funding, applicants will name the funding sources and provide examples of how they have used federal funding to provide	4	<p>Strong response = 4 points</p> <p>Average response = 2 to 3 points</p> <p>Weak response = 1 point or less</p>

Factor	How Evaluated	Maximum Points	Points Breakdown
	services and/or housing to the target population you are proposing. Applicants will describe the experience of any subrecipient(s) and partner organizations (e.g., developers, key contractors, subcontractors, service providers) with Federal funding, if applicable.		<i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i>
Financial Management Capacity	The SRT will score applicant narrative describing the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) financial management structure. This will include how the organization has a functioning accounting system that is operated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or has designated a fiscal agent that will maintain a functioning accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. If the project application includes a subrecipient(s), include the subrecipient(s) fiscal control and accounting procedures to assure proper dispersal of and accounting for federal funds in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR part 200. Score will also factor in financial audit, with a clean audit (and/or fully-resolved findings) part of a high score.	4	<p>Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p>

B. HUD and Local Policy Priorities		34	
Housing First	<p>Part A: Checklist: Applicants must check whether they align to the HUD definition of Housing First:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Will this project quickly move people into permanent housing? 2. Will the project enroll program participants who have the following barriers? Check all that apply. 3. Will the project prevent program termination for the following reasons? Check all that apply. <p>Part B: Narrative: The SRT will score applicant narrative describing the organization's commitment to and implementation of Housing First principles, including how the agency ensures low-barriers and streamlined access to program and services, takes steps to minimize program exits to homelessness by limiting grounds for program termination; and provides support for rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing.</p>	10	<p>Part A: All boxes checked "yes" = 5 points Any boxes checked "no" = 0 points</p> <p>Part B: Strong response = 4 to 5 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p>
Racial Equity	SRT will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to identify and remove barriers to access or ongoing participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities—particularly those overrepresented by the local homeless population, including efforts to gather and analyze data (either quantitative or qualitative) to understand whether people of different races or	10	<p>Strong response = 8 to 10 points Average response = 5 to 7 points Weak response = 4 points or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for.</i></p>

	ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes from their program participation. Narrative should cover organizational efforts and may optionally cover program-level efforts		
Engaging People with Lived Experience	SRT will score applicant narrative describing efforts to meaningfully involve people with lived experience (in the past 7 years) with homelessness, especially unsheltered persons, in organizational decision-making and in the design, implementation and evaluation of programs. Narrative should cover organizational efforts and may optionally cover program-level efforts	8	<p>Strong response = 6 to 8 points</p> <p>Average response = 4 to 5 points</p> <p>Weak response = 3 points or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p>
Participation in Regional Efforts	<p>Applicants will indicate which of the following regional efforts they have participated in during the past year and describe their level of participation:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Project Homeless Connect and/or Family Connect 2. Homeless Census (PIT) 3. Mainstream Programs Basic Training (MPBT) - 4. NHA Provider Meeting 5. Coordinated Entry System Planning Working Group 6. SNH CoC Board Meetings 7. SNH CoC Membership meetings 8. SNH CoC Working Groups 9. Other: <p>SRT will score this question.</p>	6	<p>Active participation in 3 groups = 6 points</p> <p>Active participation in 2 groups = 4 points</p> <p>Active participation in 1 group = 2 points</p>

C. Objectives/Outcomes		26	
<p>Participants Assisted to Secure or Retain Housing and Not Exit to Homelessness</p>	<p><u>Part A: Narrative</u> SRT will score applicant narrative describing how participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing, and how they will measure performance and evaluate this goal.</p> <p><u>Part B: Performance Report</u> If available, facilitator will review and score performance report from a comparable project (CoC APR or other report) to assess whether applicant has successfully assisted participants to secure and maintain housing and not exit to homelessness.</p>	10	<p><u>Part A:</u> Strong response = 4 to 5 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p> <p><u>Part B:</u> Strong performance = 4 to 5 points Average performance = 2 to 3 points Weak performance = 1 point or less</p> <p>If available for a comparable project, facilitator will use V3 scores to generate a score for this factor.</p>
<p>Participants Assisted to Secure Jobs and Income</p>	<p><u>Part A: Narrative</u> The SRT will score applicant narrative describing the organization's specific plan to assist participants to secure employment and/or income from cash and non-cash benefits programs, including any connections to mainstream employment and benefits systems.</p> <p><u>Part B: Performance Report</u> If available, facilitator will review and score performance report from a comparable project (CoC APR or other report) to assess whether applicant has successfully assisted</p>	8	<p><u>Part A:</u> Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p> <p><u>Part B:</u> Strong performance = 4 points Average performance = 2 to 3 points</p>

	participants to secure and maintain employment and/or benefits.		<p>Weak performance = 1 point or less</p> <p>If available for a comparable project, facilitator will use V3 scores to generate a score for this factor.</p>
Project Connects Participants to Mainstream Systems	<p>SRT will score applicant narrative describing the organization's specific efforts to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and other programs and services for which participants may be eligible.</p> <p><u>Part B: Performance Report</u> If available, facilitator will review and score performance report from a comparable project (CoC APR or other report) to assess whether applicant has successfully assisted participants to connect with mainstream systems of care.</p>	8	<p><u>Part A:</u> Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less</p> <p><i>See Scoring Guide for additional details on what SRT will look for</i></p> <p><u>Part B:</u> Strong performance = 4 points Average performance = 2 to 3 points Weak performance = 1 point or less</p> <p>If available for a comparable project, facilitator will use V3 scores to generate a score for this factor.</p>

D. Budget and Leverage		24	
Project Budget and Narrative	SRT will review and score budget provided by applicant in required HUD format and budget narrative. SRT will assess whether the budget is adequate and cost effective (i.e., not too high or too low), and includes appropriate staffing structure.	8	<p>Budget is adequate, cost effective and appropriate = 6 to 8 points</p> <p>Budget is somewhat over or under funded and/or lacks idea staffing = 4 to 5 points</p> <p>Budget is very inadequate or cost effective and/or has inappropriate staffing structure = 3 points or less</p>
Non-CoC Housing Leverage	Facilitator will assess whether applicant has documented (via a letter or MOU) leverage of non-CoC funded housing in the amount required for HUD bonus points (25% of units for PSH and 25% of participants for RRH)	8	<p>Leverage meets HUD standards and is documented = 8 points</p> <p>Leverage is documented but does not meet HUD standard = 4 points</p> <p>Leverage is not documented = 0 points</p>
Health Care Leverage	Facilitator will assess whether applicant has documented (via a letter or MOU) leverage of health system resources in the amount required for HUD bonus points (25% of HUD funding requested or in the case of substance use treatment disorder, access is available to all program participants who qualify and chose those services).	8	<p>Leverage meets HUD standards and is documented = 8 points</p> <p>Leverage is documented but does not meet HUD standard = 4 points</p> <p>Leverage is not documented = 0 points</p>
TOTAL POINTS		100	

2023 NOFO Local Competition
Application Scores from Monitoring Reports

Applicant Name:

Program Name:

In this year's local NOFO competition, several scoring factors for renewal projects drew upon the monitoring scores that the program had received as part of the annual monitoring process conducted by the CoC. The purpose of this was to streamline the process of preparing renewal applications, since much of the information to be scored in the local competition was already scored as part of the monitoring process. In addition, the monitoring scores have been reviewed already and are known/familiar to applicant organizations, so relying upon that information would ideally prevent confusion or unpleasant surprises.

The facilitation team made one adjustment related to grant administration factors. In the Monitoring Report, each organization's performance related to fully expending their grant and making regular/timely draws are combined into 10 points. In the NOFO scoring, those are treated as two separate factors, each worth 5 points. Because the points totaled the same amount, the facilitation team decided to use the 10 combined points from the Monitoring Report, and to just divide them evenly across the two factors for the purposes of scoring. As a result, every organization's Expenditure score is equal to their Drawdown score, as it just represents an "average" of the two factors together.

The other adjustments made by the facilitation team were to scale the monitoring scores to fit the points assigned to each factor for the NOFO competition. For instance, if a factor in the Monitoring Report was worth 10 points but it was worth 5 points in the NOFO scoring, the score would be divided in half. So, if an organization scored 7/10 in the monitoring report, they would have received 3.5/5 in the local NOFO competition.

The scoring for the factors related to the monitoring report for the project named above are as follows:

Scoring Factor (Location of Original Score in v3/Monitoring Report)	Max. Points (in Monitoring/ v3)	Monitoring/ v3 Score	Adjustment to Scale to NOFO	Maximum NOFO Score	NOFO Score
Grant Management (Spending/Drawdown Rate Tool)	10		Split evenly between Expenditure and Drawdown	Expenditure = 5 Draws = 5	Expenditure = Draws =
Housing First Score (Housing First Standards Assessment Tool)	12.5		Adjust to 10-point scale (multiply score x 0.8)	10	
Obtains/Maintains Permanent Housing (v3: Reduce Length of Homeless Episodes)	20		Adjust to 13-point scale (multiply score x 0.65)	13	
Exits to Homelessness (v3: Reduce New/Return Entries to Homelessness)	20		Adjust to 8-point scale (multiply score x 0.4)	8	
Maintains or Increases Income (v3: Increase Jobs, Income, and Self-Sufficiency)	20		Adjust to 7-point scale (multiply score x 0.35)	7	
Serves Hard to Serve Populations (v3: Project Serves Harder-to-Serve Populations)	20		Adjust to 4-point scale (multiply score x 0.2)	4	
HMIS Data Quality (V3: HMIS Participation and Data Quality)	20		Adjust to 4-point scale (multiply score x 0.2)	4	
Occupancy/Utilization (Performance Compliance – Utilization Rate)	10		Adjust to 4-point scale (multiply score x 0.4)	4	
TOTALS	132.5			60	

NEW PROJECT SCORING TOOL

Instructions: Please use the form below to score each application. Please note that this form includes only the elements that the Scoring and Ranking Team is responsible for scoring. Other pre-scored elements are not reflected here, which is why the factors do not add up to 100 points. Points from the pre-scored factors will be added to the project total after your score is complete. To score each factor, please refer to the Zoom Grants questions noted to the left of the question description. Please stay within the point limits noted and record your score in the small green box. Feel free to add notes or comments in the blank box on the right.

APPLICANT CAPACITY		Maximum Points	Reviewer Score	NOTES
Experience Serving Target Population		4		
Q3, Q12	Does the narrative describe how long the organization has been providing assistance to homeless clients? Does is clearly demonstrate applicant's experience serving the specific population to be served, including an understanding of their common barriers to housing, service needs, and effective interventions? (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
Experience Operating Comparable Program		4		
Q3, Q13	Does the narrative describe the agency's experience providing comparable services and programs? Do they describe prior success with the proposed model or program, including developing and implementing relevant program systems and services? (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
Experience Managing Federal or Other Complex Funding		4		
Q14	Does the narrative describe the agency's familiarity with managing large government grants, providing examples (e.g. HUD/CoC, SAMHSA, SSVF or other VA funding, HOPWA, etc.)? Do they describe their ability to provide Federally-funded services and meet compliance and reporting requirements and satisfy all contracting requirements? (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
Financial Management Capacity		4		
Q15	Does the narrative describe the agency's financial management infrastructure, including accounting systems and financial oversight? If they are proposing partnerships/subrecipients, is it clear how they will manage them? Do they have the ability to meet matching requirements? (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
SUBTOTAL - APPLICANT CAPACITY		16	0	
HUD HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES		Maximum Points	Reviewer Score	NOTES
Housing First		5		

Q16 - Q19	Does their narrative clearly demonstrate the agency's commitment to and implementation of the Housing First philosophy, including minimizing barriers and ensuring streamlined access to services? Do they take steps to minimize program exits to homelessness? Are they focused on providing support for rapid placement and stabilization in housing? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)		
Racial Equity		10	
Q20	Does the narrative describe how the agency will work to identify and remove barriers to access or participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? Do they note how they will gather and utilize data to determine whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes within programs? Do they provide examples of how they have done this already? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 8-10 pts, Average = 5-7 pts, Weak = 0-4 pts)		
Engaging People with Lived Experience		8	
Q21	Does the narrative describe meaningful efforts to involve people with lived experience of homelessness (in the last 7 years) in organizational and programmatic decision making? Are people with lived experience involved in program design, implementation, and evaluation of programs? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)		
SUBTOTAL - HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES		23	0
OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES		Maximum Points	Reviewer Score
Participants Assisted to Secure or Retain Housing and Not Exit to Homelessness		5	
Q24	Does the narrative provide specific examples of the steps that the program will take to connect participants quickly to housing and support them to remain stably housed? Does the narrative explain how they will measure success and evaluate their performance? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)		
Participants Assisted to Secure Jobs and Income		4	
Q25	Does the narrative provide specific examples of the steps that the program will take to assist participants with securing employment and/or increasing income from cash and non-cash sources, including mainstream employment and benefits programs? Does the narrative explain how they will measure success and evaluate their performance? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)		
Participants Connects Participants to Mainstream Systems		4	

Q26-27	Does the narrative describe specific efforts the program takes to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social service, and other programs and services for which participants are eligible? Does the narrative explain how they will measure success and evaluate their performance? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)		
SUBTOTAL - OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES		13	0
BUDGET AND LEVERAGE		Maximum Points	Reviewer Score
Project Budget and Narrative		8	
Refer to Budget Form	Does the budget seem reasonable in relation to the number of people to be served? Are staff positions well-justified and explained? Are the costs listed in the budget appropriate for the services and activities proposed?		
SUBTOTAL - BUDGET AND LEVERAGE		8	0
TOTAL (Does not include pre-scored factors)		60	0

Project Name	
Project Type	

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL				
Instructions: Please use the form below to score each application. Please note that this form includes only the elements that the Scoring and Ranking Team is responsible for scoring. Other pre-scored elements are not reflected here, which is why the factors do not add up to 100 points. Points from the pre-scored factors will be added to the project total after your score is complete. To score each factor, please refer to the Zoom Grants questions noted to the left of the question description. Please stay within the point limits noted and record your score in the small green box. Feel free to add notes or comments in the blank box on the right.				
Racial Equity		10		Comments
Q20	Does the narrative describe how the agency will work to identify and remove barriers to access or participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? Do they note how they will gather and utilize data to determine whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes within programs? Do they provide examples of how they have done this already? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 8-10 pts, Average = 5-7 pts, Weak = 0-4 pts)			
Engaging People with Lived Experience		8		Comments
Q21	Does the narrative describe meaningful efforts to involve people with lived experience of homelessness (in the last 7 years) in organizational and programmatic decision making? Are people with lived experience involved in program design, implementation, and evaluation of programs? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			
Person-Centered and Trauma-Informed Care		6		Comments
Q30	Does the narrative describe how the applicant uses evidence based practices to provide services that re trauma-informed and person-centered? Do they cite specific interventions and models? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can include program-specific efforts as well. (Strong = 5-6 pts, Average = 3-4 pts, Weak = 0-2 pts)			
Connection to Mainstream Services		5		Comments
Q31	Does the narrative describe specific efforts the program takes to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social service, and other programs and services for which participants are eligible? Does the narrative explain how they will measure success and evaluate their performance? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
TOTAL (not including pre-scored elements)		29	0	

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-2a Scored Forms for One Project

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring Summary for US Vets PSH Project including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Project Name ○ Project Type ○ Objective Criteria and maximum point values (highlighted in yellow) ○ System Performance criteria and maximum point values (highlighted in green) ○ Actual points the CoC awarded from all reviewers via their score forms. (highlighted in purple) 	2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Individual Reviewer Score Sheets for US Vets PSH Project including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Project Name ○ Project Type ○ Objective Criteria, scoring descriptions, scoring range and maximum point values (highlighted in yellow) ○ Individual Reviewer scores for Objective Criteria (highlighted in purple) 	3-6

Applicant Name	US Veterans Initiative
Project Name	PSH 2019
Project Type	PH

A GRANT ADMINISTRATION		Maximum Points	PREScore					FINAL SCORE
1	Timely APR Submission	5	5					5
2	Regular eLOCCs Draws	5	5					5
3	Expenditure of Grant Funds	5	5					5
SUBTOTAL - APPLICANT CAPACITY		15	15					15
B HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES		Maximum Points	PREScore	Reviewer #5 Score	Reviewer #10 Score	Reviewer #11 Score	Reviewer #6 Score	AVERAGE
1	Housing First Narrative - PRESCORED BY FACILITATORS	10	9.96					9.96
2	Racial Equity	10		8	10	7	7	8.00
3	Engaging People with Lived Experience	8		8	8	5	7	7.00
4	Person-Centered and Trauma-Informed Care	6		4	5	4	6	4.75
5	Participation in Regional Efforts - PRESCORED BY FACILITATORS	6	6					6.00
6	Connection to Mainstream Services	5		4	2	4	5	3.75
SUBTOTAL - HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES		45	15.96	24	25	20	25	39.46
C OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES		Maximum Points	PREScore					FINAL
1	Obtains or Maintains Permanent Housing	13	11.38					11.38
2	Exits from Project Back to Homelessness	8	6					6
3	Maintains or Increases Income	7	3.5					3.5
4	Serves High Barrier Populations	4	4					4
5	HMIS Data Quality	4	3					3
6	Occupancy/Utilization	4	4					4
SUBTOTAL - OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES		40	31.88					31.88
D VOLUNTARY REALLOCATION		Maximum Points	PREScore					FINAL
1	Reallocation	5	0					0
SUBTOTAL - VOLUNTARY REALLOCATION		5	0					0
TOTAL APPLICATION SCORE		105	62.84					86.34

Applicant Name	United States Veterans Initiative
Project Name	PSH 2019
Project Type	PH

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL				
Instructions: Please use the form below to score each application. Please note that this form includes only the elements that the Scoring and Ranking Team is responsible for scoring. Other pre-scored elements are not reflected here, which is why the factors do not add up to 100 points. Points from the pre-scored factors will be added to the project total after your score is complete. To score each factor, please refer to the Zoom Grants questions noted to the left of the question description. Please stay within the point limits noted and record your score in the small green box. Feel free to add notes or comments in the blank box on the right.				
Racial Equity		10	8	Comments
Q20	Does the narrative describe how the agency will work to identify and remove barriers to access or participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? Do they note how they will gather and utilize data to determine whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes within programs? Do they provide examples of how they have done this already? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 8-10 pts, Average = 5-7 pts, Weak = 0-4 pts)			
Engaging People with Lived Experience		8	8	Comments
Q21	Does the narrative describe meaningful efforts to involve people with lived experience of homelessness (in the last 7 years) in organizational and programmatic decision making? Are people with lived experience involved in program design, implementation, and evaluation of programs? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			
Person-Centered and Trauma-Informed Care		6	4	Comments
Q30	Does the narrative describe how the applicant uses evidence based practices to provide services that re trauma-informed and person-centered? Do they cite specific interventions and models? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can include program-specific efforts as well. (Strong = 5-6 pts, Average = 3-4 pts, Weak = 0-2 pts)			
Connection to Mainstream Services		5	4	Comments
Q31	Does the narrative describe specific efforts the program takes to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social service, and other programs and services for which participants are eligible? Does the narrative explain how they will measure success and evaluate their performance? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			No measure of success and evaluate their performance
TOTAL (not including pre-scored elements)		29	24	

Applicant Name	United States Veterans Initiative
Project Name	PSH 2019
Project Type	PH

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL				
Instructions: Please use the form below to score each application. Please note that this form includes only the elements that the Scoring and Ranking Team is responsible for scoring. Other pre-scored elements are not reflected here, which is why the factors do not add up to 100 points. Points from the pre-scored factors will be added to the project total after your score is complete. To score each factor, please refer to the Zoom Grants questions noted to the left of the question description. Please stay within the point limits noted and record your score in the small green box. Feel free to add notes or comments in the blank box on the right.				
Racial Equity		10	10	Comments
Q20	Does the narrative describe how the agency will work to identify and remove barriers to access or participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? Do they note how they will gather and utilize data to determine whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes within programs? Do they provide examples of how they have done this already? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 8-10 pts, Average = 5-7 pts, Weak = 0-4 pts)			they hit all points
Engaging People with Lived Experience		8	8	Comments
Q21	Does the narrative describe meaningful efforts to involve people with lived experience of homelessness (in the last 7 years) in organizational and programmatic decision making? Are people with lived experience involved in program design, implementation, and evaluation of programs? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			PLE valued and implemented
Person-Centered and Trauma-Informed Care		6	5	Comments
Q30	Does the narrative describe how the applicant uses evidence based practices to provide services that re trauma-informed and person-centered? Do they cite specific interventions and models? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can include program-specific efforts as well. (Strong = 5-6 pts, Average = 3-4 pts, Weak = 0-2 pts)			maybe just a few more details on agency-level and program-specific efforts
Connection to Mainstream Services		5	2	Comments
Q31	Does the narrative describe specific efforts the program takes to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social service, and other programs and services for which participants are eligible? Does the narrative explain how they will measure success and evaluate their performance? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			not specific on who they coordinate with, or how they will evaluate thier performance and will measure their success
TOTAL (not including pre-scored elements)		29	25	

Applicant Name	United States Veterans Initiative
Project Name	PSH 2019
Project Type	PH

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL				
Instructions: Please use the form below to score each application. Please note that this form includes only the elements that the Scoring and Ranking Team is responsible for scoring. Other pre-scored elements are not reflected here, which is why the factors do not add up to 100 points. Points from the pre-scored factors will be added to the project total after your score is complete. To score each factor, please refer to the Zoom Grants questions noted to the left of the question description. Please stay within the point limits noted and record your score in the small green box. Feel free to add notes or comments in the blank box on the right.				
Racial Equity		10	7	Comments
Q20	Does the narrative describe how the agency will work to identify and remove barriers to access or participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? Do they note how they will gather and utilize data to determine whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes within programs? Do they provide examples of how they have done this already? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 8-10 pts, Average = 5-7 pts, Weak = 0-4 pts)			1) Organization list policy, data collection, cultural competency, and national director; 2) Has not cite specific finding of issues with barriers within the program
Engaging People with Lived Experience		8	5	Comments
Q21	Does the narrative describe meaningful efforts to involve people with lived experience of homelessness (in the last 7 years) in organizational and programmatic decision making? Are people with lived experience involved in program design, implementation, and evaluation of programs? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			1) Provides opportunities for clients to offer input. 2) Unclear if veterans who participate who are homeless or who are general veterans. 3) Veteran graduates return to program and offer insight and feedback.
Person-Centered and Trauma-Informed Care		6	4	Comments
Q30	Does the narrative describe how the applicant uses evidence based practices to provide services that re trauma-informed and person-centered? Do they cite specific interventions and models? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can include program-specific efforts as well. (Strong = 5-6 pts, Average = 3-4 pts, Weak = 0-2 pts)			1) List many mental health and therapeutic strategies. 2) Perhaps need more context why these mental health mode of practice are employed versus other evidence based practices for homeless and unhoused population.
Connection to Mainstream Services		5	4	Comments
Q31	Does the narrative describe specific efforts the program takes to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social service, and other programs and services for which participants are eligible? Does the narrative explain how they will measure success and evaluate their performance? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			1) Case management approach. Provides a way for people to track services. 2) Works with medical clinics, VA, housing.
TOTAL (not including pre-scored elements)		29	20	

Applicant Name	United States Veterans Initiative
Project Name	PSH 2019
Project Type	PH

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL				
Instructions: Please use the form below to score each application. Please note that this form includes only the elements that the Scoring and Ranking Team is responsible for scoring. Other pre-scored elements are not reflected here, which is why the factors do not add up to 100 points. Points from the pre-scored factors will be added to the project total after your score is complete. To score each factor, please refer to the Zoom Grants questions noted to the left of the question description. Please stay within the point limits noted and record your score in the small green box. Feel free to add notes or comments in the blank box on the right.				
Racial Equity		10	7	Comments
Q20	Does the narrative describe how the agency will work to identify and remove barriers to access or participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? Do they note how they will gather and utilize data to determine whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes within programs? Do they provide examples of how they have done this already? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 8-10 pts, Average = 5-7 pts, Weak = 0-4 pts)			good list, lacking specifics
Engaging People with Lived Experience		8	7	Comments
Q21	Does the narrative describe meaningful efforts to involve people with lived experience of homelessness (in the last 7 years) in organizational and programmatic decision making? Are people with lived experience involved in program design, implementation, and evaluation of programs? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			Definitely honoring the lived experiences, could be more specific
Person-Centered and Trauma-Informed Care		6	6	Comments
Q30	Does the narrative describe how the applicant uses evidence based practices to provide services that re trauma-informed and person-centered? Do they cite specific interventions and models? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can include program-specific efforts as well. (Strong = 5-6 pts, Average = 3-4 pts, Weak = 0-2 pts)			Strong evidence of best practices used
Connection to Mainstream Services		5	5	Comments
Q31	Does the narrative describe specific efforts the program takes to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social service, and other programs and services for which participants are eligible? Does the narrative explain how they will measure success and evaluate their performance? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			The returning veterans to volunteer and other indications of success are interesting and nice to see
TOTAL (not including pre-scored elements)		29	25	

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-5 Notification of Project Rejected-Reduced

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1-3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter of Explanation 	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Catholic Charities: Notification of Rejected Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name Reason for rejection 	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPLC: Notification of Reduction of Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name Reason for reduction Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment and link to posting on website 	6-7
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HopeLink: Notification of Reduction of Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name Reason for reduction Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment and link to posting on website 	8-9
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SafeNest and St. Jude's: Notification of Reduction of Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Emails addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name Reason for reduction Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment and link to posting on website 	10-11
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Original CCSS: Notification of Reduction of Application including: 	12-13

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Email addresses for recipients ○ Date and time ○ Project Name ○ Reason for reduction ○ Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment and link to posting on website 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Women of Strength: Notification of Rejected Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Email addresses for recipients ○ Date and time ○ Project Name ○ Reason for rejection 	14
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • El Shaddai: Notification of Rejected Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Email addresses for recipients ○ Date and time ○ Project Name ○ Reason for rejection 	15
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permanency: Notification of Rejected Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Email addresses for recipients ○ Date and time ○ Project Name ○ Reason for rejection 	16
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Next Step: Notification of Rejected Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Email addresses for recipients ○ Date and time ○ Project Name ○ Reason for rejection 	17
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ORIGINAL NV-500 Priority Listing Including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Rank ○ Applicant Name ○ Project Name ○ Project Type ○ New/Renewal ○ Funding Amount ○ Score ○ Tiers and Totals ○ Funding Reductions 	18-19
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Just One Project: Notification of Rejected Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Email addresses for recipients ○ Date and time 	20

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Project Name ○ Reason for rejection ○ Updated Project Priority Listing link 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UPDATED CCSS: Notification of Reduction of Application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Email addresses for recipients ○ Date and time ○ Project Name ○ Reason for reduction ○ Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment and link to posting on website 	21
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UPDATED NV-500 Priority Listing Including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Updated Date ○ Rank ○ Applicant Name ○ Project Name ○ Project Type ○ New/Renewal ○ Funding Amount ○ Score ○ Tiers and Totals ○ Funding Reductions 	22-23

To Whom It May Concern,

As a result of an error in the end dates of one of the projects included in the accepted project applications for the 2023 CoC Competition, the Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care had to adjust the ranking and amounts on the project Priority Listing prior to submission.

While the project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing, the date error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023 members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance, and remove this project from the competition.

To ensure transparency and follow HUD policy of notification, all agencies that were affected by this change were notified on September 13, 2023 and an updated Project Priority Listing was posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

All notifications and priority listings have been included in this attachment for HUD review.

Thank You,

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as
Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Grants-CathChar](#)
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; [Kate Bristol](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Notification
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 3:16:30 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Dear Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada Team –

This message serves to notify Catholic Charities that that your application for a new Rapid Rehousing project not been selected for inclusion on the Project Priority List. The reason for this rejection is that this project did not score high enough to be included.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your application and we encourage you to consider applying in 2024.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: grants@cplc.org; leah.drummeter@cplc.org
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; [Kate Bristol](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Notice of Inclusion and Reduction
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:55:53 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Dear CPLC Team -

This message serves to notify CPLC that your application for CLPC Nevada RRH (new RRH project) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List, but the funding request has been reduced from \$681,876 to \$355,039, a reduction of \$326,837. This reduction was to ensure that the total amount requested by our CoC does not exceed the amount available for CoC bonus funding. This project has been placed in Tier 2 based on its score and the SRT's decision to prioritize funding for renewal applications.

Clark County Social Service (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

esnaps Office Hour: Thursday, August 31, 2023, 8:30 – 9:380 am

Join from the meeting link

[https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?](https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859)

[MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859](https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859)

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 2480 996 4495

Meeting password: esnaps

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [stacey](#); [Aaron Sheets](#); [don@link2hope.org](#)
Cc: [elainedecoligny@gmail.com](#); [Kate Bristol](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Notice of Inclusion and Reduction
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:57:56 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon HopeLink Team –

This message serves to notify HopeLink of Southern Nevada that your application for HomeLink Thrive (new DV bonus project) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List, but the funding request has been reduced from \$740,858 to \$722,964, a reduction of \$17,894. This reduction was made to ensure that the total amount requested by our CoC does not exceed the amount available for DV bonus funding. This project has been placed in Tier 2 based on its score and the SRT's decision to prioritize funding for renewal applications.

Clark County Social Service (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

esnaps Office Hour: Thursday, August 31, 2023, 8:30 – 9:380 am

Join from the meeting link

<https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?>

[MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859](https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859)

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 2480 996 4495

Meeting password: esnaps

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Christina Vela](#); [jblake](#); [Denise Charles](#); [csha](#); "smol@safenest.org"
Cc: [Elaine de Coligny](#); [Kate Bristol](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Notice of Inclusion and Reduction
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 3:00:10 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon St. Jude's and SafeNest Team –

This message serves to notify St. Jude's Ranch that your application for Hope for Survivors (new DV bonus project) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List, but the funding request has been reduced from \$934,156 to \$920,136, a reduction of \$17,894. This reduction was made to ensure that the total amount requested by our CoC does not exceed the amount available for DV bonus funding. This project has been placed in Tier 2 based on its score and the SRT's decision to prioritize funding for renewal applications.

Clark County Social Service (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

esnaps Office Hour: Thursday, August 31, 2023, 8:30 – 9:380 am

Join from the meeting link

<https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?>

[MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859](https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859)

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 2480 996 4495

Meeting password: esnaps

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Teresa Etcheberry](#); [Tara Ulmer](#); [Mary Cannizzaro](#); [Danita Osborne](#)
Cc: [friley](#); [Ridge Claridy](#); [Kate Bristol](#); [elainedecoligny@gmail.com](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Project Notification of reallocation
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:46:40 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon Clark County Social Service Team –

This message serves to notify Clark County Social Service that your application for Healthy Living Consolidated (RRH) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List, but the funding requested has been reduced from \$1,490,493 to \$1,368,908, a reduction of \$121,585. The reason for the reduction is the grant's history of underspending. This grant is also straddling the line between Tier 1 and Tier 2, with \$233,454 in Tier 2.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative

Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: womenofstrenghtnv@mail.com
Subject: Women of Strength, Transitional Living Program
Date: Monday, August 21, 2023 4:43:36 PM

Dear Anita Muwanika,

Thank you for your submission to the Help Hope Home local application process for HUD Continuum of Care funding. Interest in this year's NOFO was very high and we received requests for more than twice the amount of funding available. All new applications went through a threshold review conducted by our external consultant, EdeColigny Consulting, to determine if the application was eligible to be scored. Unfortunately, your application is not eligible for the following reasons.

1. You did not submit an annual independent audit as required.
2. You proposed a Transitional Housing project, which is an ineligible project type for new CoC funding

The Scoring and Ranking Team was so pleased to have a number of new organizations express interest in expanding our community's assistance to unhoused people through Continuum of Care participation and funding. This is a complex and demanding funding source, and the CoC wants to see more organizations be able to access it. Although your application did not meet the threshold to be considered this year, we encourage you to get actively involved in Continuum of Care committees, which can be accessed at the Help Hope Home [website](#), and to take advantage of technical assistance from Clark County Social Service Project Development Team. They are available to review and give feedback on your application and recommend organizational capacity building steps you can take to prepare for next year's competition. They can be accessed by emailing HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov.

You can also reach out to that email address if you have any questions about the decision not to advance your application for scoring.

Thank you for the hard work you did on this application, and for the work you do every day to assist unhoused and at-risk people. We look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely,

SNH CoC Evaluation Working Group

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Angela Beal](#)
Subject: El Shaddai Refuge Homes Community Development Corp
Date: Monday, August 21, 2023 4:40:38 PM

Dear Angela Beal,

Thank you for your submission to the Help Hope Home local application process for HUD Continuum of Care funding. Interest in this year's NOFO was very high and we received requests for more than twice the amount of funding available. All new applications went through a threshold review conducted by our external consultant, EdeColigny Consulting, to determine if the application was eligible to be scored. Unfortunately, your application is not eligible for the following reasons.

1. You did not submit an annual independent audit as required
2. You proposed a Transitional Housing project, which is an ineligible project type for new CoC funding

The Scoring and Ranking Team was so pleased to have a number of new organizations express interest in expanding our community's assistance to unhoused people through Continuum of Care participation and funding. This is a complex and demanding funding source, and the CoC wants to see more organizations be able to access it. Although your application did not meet the threshold to be considered this year, we encourage you to get actively involved in Continuum of Care committees, which can be accessed at the Help Hope Home [website](#), and to take advantage of technical assistance from Clark County Social Service Project Development Team. They are available to review and give feedback on your application and recommend organizational capacity building steps you can take to prepare for next year's competition. They can be accessed by emailing HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov.

You can also reach out to that email address if you have any questions about the decision not to advance your application for scoring.

Thank you for the hard work you did on this application, and for the work you do every day to assist unhoused and at-risk people. We look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely,

SNH CoC Evaluation Working Group

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: info@thepermanency.org
Subject: Permanency, JOINT-TH-RRH Preventing Homelessness Among Youth
Date: Monday, August 21, 2023 4:46:24 PM

Dear Samara Adams,

Thank you for your submission to the Help Hope Home local application process for HUD Continuum of Care funding. Interest in this year's NOFO was very high and we received requests for more than twice the amount of funding available. All new applications went through a threshold review conducted by our external consultant, EdeColigny Consulting, to determine if the application was eligible to be scored. Unfortunately, your application is not eligible for the following reasons.

1. You did not submit an annual independent audit as required.
2. Though you checked the TH-RRH box for project type, the description consisted entirely of transitional housing activities, and there was no evidence of the RRH component in the application. TH without RRH is an ineligible project type for new CoC funding.
3. Youth at risk of homelessness were mentioned throughout the application, as was the term "prevention". At-risk youth are generally not an eligible population to be served with this funding, and homelessness prevention is not an eligible activity.

The Scoring and Ranking Team was so pleased to have a number of new organizations express interest in expanding our community's assistance to unhoused people through Continuum of Care participation and funding. This is a complex and demanding funding source, and the CoC wants to see more organizations be able to access it. Although your application did not meet the threshold to be considered this year, we encourage you to get actively involved in Continuum of Care committees, which can be accessed at the Help Hope Home [website](#), and to take advantage of technical assistance from Clark County Social Service Project Development Team. They are available to review and give feedback on your application and recommend organizational capacity building steps you can take to prepare for next year's competition. They can be accessed by emailing HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov.

You can also reach out to that email address if you have any questions about the decision not to advance your application for scoring.

Thank you for the hard work you did on this application, and for the work you do every day to assist unhoused and at-risk people. We look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely,

SNH CoC Evaluation Working Group

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: alfred.meza@nextsteplv.org
Subject: Next Step, Continues to Care for Nevada
Date: Monday, August 21, 2023 4:48:15 PM

Dear Alfred Meza,

Thank you for your submission to the Help Hope Home local application process for HUD Continuum of Care funding. Interest in this year's NOFO was very high and we received requests for more than twice the amount of funding available. All new applications went through a threshold review conducted by our external consultant, EdeColigny Consulting, to determine if the application was eligible to be scored. Unfortunately, your application is not eligible for the following reasons.

1. You did not submit an annual independent audit as required.

The Scoring and Ranking Team was so pleased to have a number of new organizations express interest in expanding our community's assistance to unhoused people through Continuum of Care participation and funding. This is a complex and demanding funding source, and the CoC wants to see more organizations be able to access it. Although your application did not meet the threshold to be considered this year, we encourage you to get actively involved in Continuum of Care committees, which can be accessed at the Help Hope Home [website](#), and to take advantage of technical assistance from Clark County Social Service Project Development Team. They are available to review and give feedback on your application and recommend organizational capacity building steps you can take to prepare for next year's competition. They can be accessed by emailing HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov.

You can also reach out to that email address if you have any questions about the decision not to advance your application for scoring.

Thank you for the hard work you did on this application, and for the work you do every day to assist unhoused and at-risk people. We look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely,
SNH CoC Evaluation Working Group

SNHCOC 2023 CoC Project Ranking
August 30, 2023

Rank	Applicant	Project	Project Type	New or Renewal	Grant Amount	Score
1	HELP of Southern Nevada	SN CE Matching	SSO	Renewal	\$202,502	NA
2	Clark County	HMIS Southern Nevada 2022	HMIS	Renewal	\$980,154	NA
3	United States Veterans Initiative	PSH 2019	PSH	Renewal	\$1,537,769	86.34
4	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink RRH Consolidation 2022	RRH	Renewal	\$1,212,885	84.55
5	Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth	NPHY Independent Living Program	TH	Renewal	\$226,210	80.43
6	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Stepping Stones	PSH	Renewal	\$57,215	77.61
7	United States Veterans Initiative	VIP - 2019	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$122,854	76.61
8	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis,	Operation Fresh Start	RRH	Renewal	\$320,484	73.55
9	HELP of Southern Nevada	Shannon West Homeless Youth Center	TH	Renewal	\$210,282	73.40
10	HELP of Southern Nevada	HELP THEM HOME Combined	PSH	Renewal	\$802,958	72.10
11	Clark County	STAR RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$1,611,475	71.81
12	Clark County	New Beginnings	PSH	Renewal	\$1,480,696	71.61
13	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start	PSH	Renewal	\$682,397	71.25
14	Clark County	STAR PSH	PSH	Renewal	\$1,661,444	70.91
15	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	A Place Called Home	RRH	Renewal	\$422,670	70.81
16	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	New Crossings	RRH	Renewal	\$373,223	68.61
17	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Crossings TH-RRH	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$510,573	65.95
18	The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Housing The Homeless	RRH	Renewal	\$337,722	65.40
19	The Just One Project	Community Connect	RRH	Renewal	\$600,888	NA
20	Clark County	Healthy Living Consolidated*	PSH	Renewal	\$1,568,508	55.13
21	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start Expansion (PH Bonus)	PSH	New	\$535,166	62.33
22	Salvation Army	Housing The Homeless 2 (PH Bonus)	RRH	New	\$381,550	56.63
23	CPLC	CPLC Nevada RRH (PH Bonus)**	RRH	New	\$355,039	55.75
24	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink Thrive (DV Bonus)***	RRH	New	\$722,964	72.00
25	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Hope for Survivors (DV Bonus)****	RRH	New	\$920,136	60.88
26	Clark County	Healthy Living RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$735,595	0.00
TOTAL Available = \$18,373,759					\$18,373,759	

CUMULATIVE AMOUNT
\$202,502
\$1,182,656
\$2,720,425
\$3,933,310
\$4,159,520
\$4,216,735
\$4,339,589
\$4,660,073
\$4,870,355
\$5,673,313
\$7,284,788
\$8,765,484
\$9,447,881
\$11,109,325
\$11,531,995
\$11,905,218
\$12,415,791
\$12,753,513
\$13,354,401
\$14,723,309
\$15,258,475
\$15,640,025
\$15,995,064
\$16,718,028
\$17,638,164
\$18,373,759

* Project reduced by \$121,585; \$233,454 is in Tier 2
 ** Project reduced by \$326,837
 *** Project reduced by \$17,894
 **** Project reduced by \$14,020

AVAILABLE FUNDING	AMOUNTS
Annual Renewal Demand	\$15,580,489
ARD in Tier 1	\$14,489,855
ARD in Tier 2	\$1,090,634
Bonus Funding available	\$2,793,270
PH bonus	\$1,150,170
DV bonus	\$1,643,100
Total Available Through Local Competition	\$18,373,759
Planning Grant	\$821,550
Total for Consolidated App	\$19,195,309

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR PRIORITY LISTING	
NOT INCLUDED - DID NOT MEET THRESHOLD	
El Shaddai Refuge Homes CDC	El Shaddai Empowerment Program
Permanency	Preventing Homelessness Among Youth
Women of Strength Nevada	Women of Strength Nevada
Next Step	Continues to Care for Las Vegas
NOT INCLUDED BASED ON SCORE	
Catholic Charities	Rapid Rehousing
HELD HARMLESS - TOP OF TIER 1	
Clark County	HMIS
HELP of Southern Nevada	CES
HELD HARMLESS - BOTTOM OF TIER 1 (too new)	
The Just One Project	Community Connect
NOT SCORED - PUT INTO TIER 2	
Clark County	Healthy Living RHH

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Marisa Cervantes](#); [Tristan Hightower](#); brooke@thejustoneproject.org
Subject: 2023 NOFO Priority Listing Update
Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 5:02:48 PM

Good afternoon The Just One Project Team –

This message serves to notify The Just One Project that your application for Community Connect (RRH) has been removed from inclusion on the Project Priority List, as the end date falls outside of the 2024 deadline.

While the project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing, the date error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023 members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance, and remove this project from the competition. While not included in the 2023 competition, your agency is welcome and encouraged to apply for renewal funding in 2024.

The updated Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work. If you have any questions about this decision and process, please email us at HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.org.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Danita Osborne](#)
Cc: [Mary Cannizzaro](#); [Tara Ulmer](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO Priority Listing
Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 5:04:29 PM

Good afternoon, Clark County Social Service Team –

This message serves to notify Clark County Social Service that your application for Healthy Living Consolidated (PSH) has been adjusted on the Project Priority List, as a project had to be removed from the Project Priority Listing as the end date fell outside of the 2024 project year required to be eligible for a renewal in the 2023 competition.

This project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing and the error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023, members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance and remove this project from the competition. While not included in the 2023 competition, this agency is welcome and encouraged to apply for renewal funding in 2024.

With these changes Healthy Living Consolidated has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List with the total funding amount of \$1,368,908, reduced from the application amount of \$1,490,493. This grant is also straddling the line between Tier 1 and Tier 2, with \$191,392 in Tier 2.

The updated Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work. If you have any questions about this decision and process, please email us at HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.org.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

SNHCoc 2023 Coc Project Ranking

Accepted Projects

September 11, 2023

Rank	Applicant	Project	Project Type	New or Renewal	Grant Amount	Score
1	HELP of Southern Nevada	SN CE Matching	SSO	Renewal	\$202,502	NA
2	Clark County	HMIS Southern Nevada 2022	HMIS	Renewal	\$980,154	NA
3	United States Veterans Initiative	PSH 2019	PSH	Renewal	\$1,537,769	86.34
4	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink RRH Consolidation 2022	RRH	Renewal	\$1,212,885	84.55
5	Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth	NPHY Independent Living Program	TH	Renewal	\$226,210	80.43
6	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Stepping Stones	PSH	Renewal	\$57,215	77.61
7	United States Veterans Initiative	VIP - 2019	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$122,854	76.61
8	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis	Operation Fresh Start	RRH	Renewal	\$320,484	73.55
9	HELP of Southern Nevada	Shannon West Homeless Youth Center	TH	Renewal	\$210,282	73.40
10	HELP of Southern Nevada	HELP THEM HOME Combined	PSH	Renewal	\$802,958	72.10
11	Clark County	STAR RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$1,611,475	71.81
12	Clark County	New Beginnings	PSH	Renewal	\$1,480,696	71.61
13	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start	PSH	Renewal	\$682,397	71.25
14	Clark County	STAR PSH	PSH	Renewal	\$1,661,444	70.91
15	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	A Place Called Home	RRH	Renewal	\$422,670	70.81
16	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	New Crossings	RRH	Renewal	\$373,223	68.61
17	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Crossings TH-RRH	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$510,573	65.95
18	The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Housing The Homeless	RRH	Renewal	\$337,722	65.40
19	Clark County	Healthy Living Consolidated *	PSH	Renewal	\$1,177,516.00	55.13
	Tier 2	Healthy Living Consolidated *			\$191,392.00	
20	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start Expansion (PH Bonus)	PSH	New	\$535,166	62.33
21	Salvation Army	Housing The Homeless 2 (PH Bonus)	RRH	New	\$381,550	56.63
22	CPLC	CPLC Nevada RRH (PH Bonus)**	RRH	New	\$355,039	55.75
23	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink Thrive (DV Bonus)***	RRH	New	\$722,964	72.00
24	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Hope for Survivors (DV Bonus)****	RRH	New	\$920,136	60.88
25	Clark County	Healthy Living RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$735,595	0.00
TOTAL Available = \$18,594,421					\$17,772,871	

Project Straddles Tier 1 and Tier 2
Project in Tier 2

- * Project reduced by \$121,585; \$191,392 is in Tier 2
- ** Project reduced by \$326,837
- *** Project reduced by \$17,894
- **** Project reduced by \$14,020

CUMULATIVE AMOUNT
\$202,502
\$1,182,656
\$2,720,425
\$3,933,310
\$4,159,520
\$4,216,735
\$4,339,589
\$4,660,073
\$4,870,355
\$5,673,313
\$7,284,788
\$8,765,484
\$9,447,881
\$11,109,325
\$11,531,995
\$11,905,218
\$12,415,791
\$12,753,513
\$13,931,029
\$14,122,421
\$14,657,587
\$15,039,137
\$15,394,176
\$16,117,140
\$17,037,276
\$17,772,871

AVAILABLE FUNDING	AMOUNTS
Annual Renewal Demand	\$14,979,601
ARD in Tier 1	\$13,931,029
ARD in Tier 2	\$1,048,572
Bonus Funding available	\$2,793,270
PH bonus	\$1,150,170
DV bonus	\$1,643,100
Total Available Through Local Competition	\$17,772,871
Planning Grant	\$821,550
Total for Consolidated App	\$18,594,421

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR PRIORITY LISTING	
NOT INCLUDED - DID NOT MEET THRESHOLD	
El Shaddai Refugee Homes CDC	El Shaddai Empowerment Program- \$75,772
Permanency	Preventing Homelessness Among Youth- \$596,848
Women of Strength Nevada	Women of Strength Nevada \$647,175
Next Step	Continues to Care for Las Vegas- \$920,754
NOT INCLUDED/ REJECTED BASED ON SCORE (LESS THAN 50 POINTS)	
Catholic Charities	Rapid Rehousing- \$574,121
HELD HARMLESS - TOP OF TIER 1	
Clark County	HMIS
HELP of Southern Nevada	CES
NOT ELIGIBLE TO APPLY BASED ON RENEWAL DATE OF 2025	
The Just One Project	Community Connect- \$600,888
NOT SCORED - PUT INTO TIER 2	
Clark County	Healthy Living RRH

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-5a Notification of Projects Accepted

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1-6
Letter of Explanation	7
<p>Notification of Projects Accepted in Tier 1 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for all applicants • Date and time • All Project Names, Ranking, Scores, and Funding Amounts- Included in Priority Listing Attachment • All Project Names, Ranking, Scores, and Funding Amounts- Included in Priority Listing posted on website and available via link • Listing of Tier 1 Agencies and Projects 	8-9
<p>ORIGINAL CCSS (HLCP) Notification of Project Accepted/ Reduced in Tier 1/ Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Priority Listing Attachment ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	10-11
<p>ORIGINAL CCSS (HL RRH) Notification of Project Accepted in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via 	12

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Priority Listing Attachment ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	
<p>ORIGINAL HOSN (ANS) Notification of Project Accepted in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Emails identified for applicant ● Date and time ● Project Name ● Funding Amount ● Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Priority Listing Attachment ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	13-14
<p>ORIGINAL Salvation Army (HTH) Notification of Project Accepted in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Emails identified for applicant ● Date and time ● Project Name ● Funding Amount ● Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Priority Listing Attachment ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	15-16
<p>ORIGINAL CPLC (CPLC Nevada RRH) Notification of Project Accepted/ Reduced in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Emails identified for applicant ● Date and time ● Project Name ● Funding Amount ● Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Priority Listing Attachment ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	17-18

<p>ORIGINAL HopeLink (HomeLink Thrive) Notification of Project Accepted/ Reduced in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Priority Listing Attachment ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	19-20
<p>ORIGINAL St. Jude's/ Safe Nest (Hope for Survivors) Notification of Project Accepted/ Reduced in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Priority Listing Attachment ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	21-22
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ORIGINAL NV-500 Priority Listing Including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Rank ○ Applicant Name ○ Project Name ○ Project Type ○ New/Renewal ○ Funding Amount ○ Score ○ Tiers and Totals ○ Funding Reductions 	23-24
<p>UPDATED CCSS (HLCP) Notification of Project Accepted/ Reduced in Tier 1/ Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time 	25

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Inclusion in email ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	
<p>UPDATED CCSS (HL RRH) Notification of Project Accepted in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Inclusion in email ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	26
<p>UPDATED HOSN (ANS) Notification of Project Accepted in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Inclusion in email ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	27
<p>UPDATED Salvation Army (HTH2) Notification of Project Accepted in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Inclusion in email 	28

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	
<p>UPDATED CPLC (CPLC Nevada RRH) Notification of Project Accepted/ Reduced in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Inclusion in email ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	29
<p>UPDATED HopeLink (HomeLink Thrive) Notification of Project Accepted/ Reduced in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Inclusion in email ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	30
<p>UPDATED St. Jude's/ Safe Nest (Hope for Survivors) Notification of Project Accepted/ Reduced in Tier 2 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emails identified for applicant • Date and time • Project Name • Funding Amount • Project Ranking, Scores and Funding Amounts identified via <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Inclusion in email ○ Link to Priority Listing posted to website and available via link 	31

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• UPDATED NV-500 Priority Listing Including<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Rank○ Applicant Name○ Project Name○ Project Type○ New/Renewal○ Funding Amount○ Score○ Tiers and Totals○ Funding Reductions	32-33

To Whom It May Concern,

As a result of an error in the end dates of one of the projects included in the accepted project applications for the 2023 CoC Competition, the Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care had to adjust the ranking and amounts on the project Priority Listing prior to submission.

While the project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing, the date error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023 members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance, and remove this project from the competition.

To ensure transparency and follow HUD policy of notification, all agencies that were affected by this change were notified on September 13, 2023 and an updated Project Priority Listing was posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

All notifications and priority listings have been included in this attachment for HUD review.

Thank You,

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as
Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Catherine Huang](#); [Teresa Etcheberry](#); [Tara Ulmer](#); [Danita Osborne](#); [Mary Cannizzaro](#); [Brenda Herbstman](#); [Shalimar Cabrera](#); [Msimangan@usvets.org](#); [Joseph O'Neil](#); [Jerica Bolusan](#); [Aaron Sheets](#); [don@link2hope.org](#); [stacey](#); [Arash Ghafoori](#); [melissa](#); [Jennifer L. Huse](#); [Luis Espinoza](#); [rwhitley@health.nv.gov](#); [lort](#); [csa](#); [Smol@safeness.org](#); [friley](#); [Ridge Claridy](#); [Krishell Hadsell](#); [Alicia Cintron](#); [Lauren Hornaday](#); [Andrea Canales](#); [Christina Vela](#); [Denise Charles](#); [jblake](#); [Elsie.Lewis](#); [Harold.Laubachjr@usw.salvationarmy.org](#); [Brooke Neubauer](#); [tristan@thejustoneproject.org](#); [marisa@thejustoneproject.org](#)
Cc: [Kate Bristol](#); [elainedecoligny@gmail.com](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Inclusion in Tier 1
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:34:17 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon Continuum of Care Renewal Project Applicants -

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing Tier 1, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#) and attached to this email. The appeals process may be found in the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Sunday, September 10th.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday, August 31st from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while negotiating the abbreviated timeline this year. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

Sincerely,
EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service serving as Collaborative Applicant

esnaps Office Hour: Thursday, August 31, 2023, 8:30 – 9:380 am

Join from the meeting link

<https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859>

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 2480 996 4495

Meeting password: esnaps

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

This email has been sent to the following projects included in Tier 1 by agency:

Clark County – HMIS, STAR RRH, New Beginnings, STAR PSH

HELP of SNV – CE Matching, SWHYC, HELP THEM HOME, A New Start

HopeLink – HomeLink RRH

NPHY – NPHY ILP

SafeNest – Operation Fresh Start

SNAMHS – Stepping Stones

St Jude's – A Place Called Home, New Crossings, Crossings TH-RRH

TJOP – Community Connect

TSA - Housing the Homeless

US Vets – PSH 2019, VIP 2019

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Teresa Etcheberry](#); [Tara Ulmer](#); [Mary Cannizzaro](#); [Danita Osborne](#)
Cc: [friley](#); [Ridge Claridy](#); [Kate Bristol](#); [elainedecoligny@gmail.com](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Project Notification of reallocation
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:46:40 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon Clark County Social Service Team –

This message serves to notify Clark County Social Service that your application for Healthy Living Consolidated (RRH) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List, but the funding requested has been reduced from \$1,490,493 to \$1,368,908, a reduction of \$121,585. The reason for the reduction is the grant's history of underspending. This grant is also straddling the line between Tier 1 and Tier 2, with \$233,454 in Tier 2.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative

Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Danita Osborne](#); [Tara Ulmer](#); [Mary Cannizzaro](#); [Teresa Etcheberry](#)
Cc: [Elaine de Coligny](#); [Kate Bristol](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Inclusion in Tier 2
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 3:02:20 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon CCSS Team –

This message serves to notify Clark County Social Service that that your application for Healthy Living RRH has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List at the full funding amount of \$735,595. This project has been placed in Tier 2 based on its score and the delay in project implementation.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [friley; Ridge Claridy](#)
Cc: [Kate Bristol](#); elainedecoligny@gmail.com
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Inclusion in Tier 2
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:48:48 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon HELP of Southern Nevada Team

This message serves to notify Help of Southern Nevada that your application for a New Start Expansion (new PSH project) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List at the full request amount of \$535,166. This project has been placed in Tier 2 based on its score and the SRT's decision to prioritize funding for renewal applications.

Clark County Social Service (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Elsie.Lewis](#); Harold.Laubachjr@usw.salvationarmy.org
Cc: [Kate Bristol](#); elainedecoligny@gmail.com
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Notice of Inclusion
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:52:47 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon The Salvation Army,

This message serves to notify the Salvation Army that your application for Housing the Homeless 2 (new RRH project) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List at the full request amount of \$381,550. This project has been placed in Tier 2 based on its score and the SRT's decision to prioritize funding for renewal applications.

Clark County Social Service (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

esnaps Office Hour: Thursday, August 31, 2023, 8:30 – 9:380 am

Join from the meeting link

<https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?>

[MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859](https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859)

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 2480 996 4495

Meeting password: esnaps

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: grants@cplc.org; leah.drummeter@cplc.org
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; [Kate Bristol](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Notice of Inclusion and Reduction
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:55:53 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Dear CPLC Team -

This message serves to notify CPLC that your application for CLPC Nevada RRH (new RRH project) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List, but the funding request has been reduced from \$681,876 to \$355,039, a reduction of \$326,837. This reduction was to ensure that the total amount requested by our CoC does not exceed the amount available for CoC bonus funding. This project has been placed in Tier 2 based on its score and the SRT's decision to prioritize funding for renewal applications.

Clark County Social Service (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

esnaps Office Hour: Thursday, August 31, 2023, 8:30 – 9:380 am

Join from the meeting link

<https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?>

[MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859](https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859)

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 2480 996 4495

Meeting password: esnaps

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [stacey](#); [Aaron Sheets](#); [don@link2hope.org](#)
Cc: [elainedecoligny@gmail.com](#); [Kate Bristol](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Notice of Inclusion and Reduction
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 2:57:56 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon HopeLink Team –

This message serves to notify HopeLink of Southern Nevada that your application for HomeLink Thrive (new DV bonus project) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List, but the funding request has been reduced from \$740,858 to \$722,964, a reduction of \$17,894. This reduction was made to ensure that the total amount requested by our CoC does not exceed the amount available for DV bonus funding. This project has been placed in Tier 2 based on its score and the SRT's decision to prioritize funding for renewal applications.

Clark County Social Service (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

esnaps Office Hour: Thursday, August 31, 2023, 8:30 – 9:380 am

Join from the meeting link

<https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?>

[MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859](https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859)

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 2480 996 4495

Meeting password: esnaps

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Christina Vela](#); [jblake](#); [Denise Charles](#); [csha](#); "smol@safenest.org"
Cc: [Elaine de Coligny](#); [Kate Bristol](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO - Notice of Inclusion and Reduction
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2023 3:00:10 PM
Attachments: [SNHCoC 2023 CoC Project Ranking List 08_30_2023.pdf](#)

Good afternoon St. Jude's and SafeNest Team –

This message serves to notify St. Jude's Ranch that your application for Hope for Survivors (new DV bonus project) has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List, but the funding request has been reduced from \$934,156 to \$920,136, a reduction of \$17,894. This reduction was made to ensure that the total amount requested by our CoC does not exceed the amount available for DV bonus funding. This project has been placed in Tier 2 based on its score and the SRT's decision to prioritize funding for renewal applications.

Clark County Social Service (CCSS) will host e-snaps office hours on Thursday from 8:30 – 9:30 am. The Zoom meeting details are at the end of this email. Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

The Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

This application is eligible for appeal according to the [2023 Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures](#) (page 9).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Friday, September 1st at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work!

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

esnaps Office Hour: Thursday, August 31, 2023, 8:30 – 9:380 am

Join from the meeting link

<https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?>

[MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859](https://clarkcountynv.webex.com/clarkcountynv/j.php?MTID=m888d8a3df53eef32ea4da8ed00144859)

Join by meeting number

Meeting number (access code): 2480 996 4495

Meeting password: esnaps

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)

SNHCOC 2023 CoC Project Ranking
August 30, 2023

Rank	Applicant	Project	Project Type	New or Renewal	Grant Amount	Score
1	HELP of Southern Nevada	SN CE Matching	SSO	Renewal	\$202,502	NA
2	Clark County	HMIS Southern Nevada 2022	HMIS	Renewal	\$980,154	NA
3	United States Veterans Initiative	PSH 2019	PSH	Renewal	\$1,537,769	86.34
4	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink RRH Consolidation 2022	RRH	Renewal	\$1,212,885	84.55
5	Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth	NPHY Independent Living Program	TH	Renewal	\$226,210	80.43
6	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Stepping Stones	PSH	Renewal	\$57,215	77.61
7	United States Veterans Initiative	VIP - 2019	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$122,854	76.61
8	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis,	Operation Fresh Start	RRH	Renewal	\$320,484	73.55
9	HELP of Southern Nevada	Shannon West Homeless Youth Center	TH	Renewal	\$210,282	73.40
10	HELP of Southern Nevada	HELP THEM HOME Combined	PSH	Renewal	\$802,958	72.10
11	Clark County	STAR RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$1,611,475	71.81
12	Clark County	New Beginnings	PSH	Renewal	\$1,480,696	71.61
13	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start	PSH	Renewal	\$682,397	71.25
14	Clark County	STAR PSH	PSH	Renewal	\$1,661,444	70.91
15	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	A Place Called Home	RRH	Renewal	\$422,670	70.81
16	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	New Crossings	RRH	Renewal	\$373,223	68.61
17	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Crossings TH-RRH	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$510,573	65.95
18	The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Housing The Homeless	RRH	Renewal	\$337,722	65.40
19	The Just One Project	Community Connect	RRH	Renewal	\$600,888	NA
20	Clark County	Healthy Living Consolidated*	PSH	Renewal	\$1,568,508	55.13
21	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start Expansion (PH Bonus)	PSH	New	\$535,166	62.33
22	Salvation Army	Housing The Homeless 2 (PH Bonus)	RRH	New	\$381,550	56.63
23	CPLC	CPLC Nevada RRH (PH Bonus)**	RRH	New	\$355,039	55.75
24	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink Thrive (DV Bonus)***	RRH	New	\$722,964	72.00
25	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Hope for Survivors (DV Bonus)****	RRH	New	\$920,136	60.88
26	Clark County	Healthy Living RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$735,595	0.00
TOTAL Available = \$18,373,759					\$18,373,759	

Project Straddles Tier 1 and Tier 2
Project in Tier 2

- * Project reduced by \$121,585; \$233,454 is in Tier 2
- ** Project reduced by \$326,837
- *** Project reduced by \$17,894
- **** Project reduced by \$14,020

CUMULATIVE AMOUNT
\$202,502
\$1,182,656
\$2,720,425
\$3,933,310
\$4,159,520
\$4,216,735
\$4,339,589
\$4,660,073
\$4,870,355
\$5,673,313
\$7,284,788
\$8,765,484
\$9,447,881
\$11,109,325
\$11,531,995
\$11,905,218
\$12,415,791
\$12,753,513
\$13,354,401
\$14,723,309
\$15,258,475
\$15,640,025
\$15,995,064
\$16,718,028
\$17,638,164
\$18,373,759

AVAILABLE FUNDING	AMOUNTS
Annual Renewal Demand	\$15,580,489
ARD in Tier 1	\$14,489,855
ARD in Tier 2	\$1,090,634
Bonus Funding available	\$2,793,270
PH bonus	\$1,150,170
DV bonus	\$1,643,100
Total Available Through Local Competition	\$18,373,759
Planning Grant	\$821,550
Total for Consolidated App	\$19,195,309

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR PRIORITY LISTING	
NOT INCLUDED - DID NOT MEET THRESHOLD	
El Shaddai Refuge Homes CDC	El Shaddai Empowerment Program
Permanency	Preventing Homelessness Among Youth
Women of Strength Nevada	Women of Strength Nevada
Next Step	Continues to Care for Las Vegas
NOT INCLUDED BASED ON SCORE	
Catholic Charities	Rapid Rehousing
HELD HARMLESS - TOP OF TIER 1	
Clark County	HMIS
HELP of Southern Nevada	CES
HELD HARMLESS - BOTTOM OF TIER 1 (too new)	
The Just One Project	Community Connect
NOT SCORED - PUT INTO TIER 2	
Clark County	Healthy Living RHH

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Danita Osborne](#)
Cc: [Mary Cannizzaro](#); [Tara Ulmer](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO Priority Listing
Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 5:04:29 PM

Good afternoon, Clark County Social Service Team –

This message serves to notify Clark County Social Service that your application for Healthy Living Consolidated (PSH) has been adjusted on the Project Priority List, as a project had to be removed from the Project Priority Listing as the end date fell outside of the 2024 project year required to be eligible for a renewal in the 2023 competition.

This project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing and the error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023, members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance and remove this project from the competition. While not included in the 2023 competition, this agency is welcome and encouraged to apply for renewal funding in 2024.

With these changes Healthy Living Consolidated has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List with the total funding amount of \$1,368,908, reduced from the application amount of \$1,490,493. This grant is also straddling the line between Tier 1 and Tier 2, with \$191,392 in Tier 2.

The updated Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work. If you have any questions about this decision and process, please email us at HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.org.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Danita Osborne](#)
Cc: [Mary Cannizzaro](#); [Tara Ulmer](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO Priority Listing Update
Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 5:05:16 PM

Good afternoon, Clark County Social Service Team –

This message serves to notify Clark County Social Service that your application for Healthy Living RRH (RRH) has been adjusted on the Project Priority List, as a project had to be removed from the Project Priority Listing as the end date fell outside of the 2024 project year required to be eligible for a renewal in the 2023 competition.

This project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing and the error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023, members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance and remove this project from the competition. While not included in the 2023 competition, this agency is welcome and encouraged to apply for renewal funding in 2024.

With these changes Healthy Living RRH is still accepted for inclusion on Tier 2 of the Project Priority List with the total funding amount of \$735,595 but has moved up in rank from 26 to 25.

The updated Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work. If you have any questions about this decision and process, please email us at HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.org.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Ridge Claridy](#); [friley](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO Priority Listing Update
Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 5:06:12 PM

Good afternoon, HELP of Southern Nevada Team –

This message serves to notify HELP of Southern Nevada that your application for A New Start Expansion (PH Bonus) has been adjusted on the Project Priority List, as a project had to be removed from the Project Priority Listing as the end date fell outside of the 2024 project year required to be eligible for a renewal in the 2023 competition.

This project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing and the error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023, members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance and remove this project from the competition. While not included in the 2023 competition, this agency is welcome and encouraged to apply for renewal funding in 2024.

With these changes A New Start Expansion is still accepted for inclusion on Tier 2 of the Project Priority List with the total funding amount of \$535,166 but has moved up in rank from 21 to 20.

The updated Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work. If you have any questions about this decision and process, please email us at HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.org.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Elsie.Lewis](#)
Subject: 2023 NOFO Priority Listing Update
Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 5:07:33 PM

Good afternoon, Salvation Army Team –

This message serves to notify The Salvation Army that your application for Housing the Homeless 2 (PH Bonus) has been adjusted on the Project Priority List, as a project had to be removed from the Project Priority Listing as the end date fell outside of the 2024 project year required to be eligible for a renewal in the 2023 competition.

This project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing and the error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023, members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance and remove this project from the competition. While not included in the 2023 competition, this agency is welcome and encouraged to apply for renewal funding in 2024.

With these changes Housing the Homeless is still accepted for inclusion on Tier 2 of the Project Priority List with the total funding amount of \$381,550 but has moved up in rank from 22 to 21.

The updated Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work. If you have any questions about this decision and process, please email us at HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.org.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: leah.drummeter@cplc.org; grants@cplc.org
Subject: 2023 NOFO Priority Listing Update
Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 5:08:11 PM

Good afternoon, CPLC Team –

This message serves to notify CPLC that your application for CPLC Nevada RRH (PH Bonus) has been adjusted on the Project Priority List, as a project had to be removed from the Project Priority Listing as the end date fell outside of the 2024 project year required to be eligible for a renewal in the 2023 competition.

This project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing and the error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023, members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance and remove this project from the competition. While not included in the 2023 competition, this agency is welcome and encouraged to apply for renewal funding in 2024.

With these changes CPLC Nevada RRH is still accepted for inclusion on Tier 2 of the Project Priority List with the total funding amount of \$355,039 but has moved up in rank from 23 to 22.

The updated Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work. If you have any questions about this decision and process, please email us at HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.org.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [Aaron Sheets](#)
Cc: don@link2hope.org
Subject: 2023 NOFO Priority Listing Update
Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 5:08:54 PM

Good afternoon, HopeLink Team –

This message serves to notify HopeLink of Southern Nevada that your application for HomeLink Thrive (DV Bonus) has been adjusted on the Project Priority List, as a project had to be removed from the Project Priority Listing as the end date fell outside of the 2024 project year required to be eligible for a renewal in the 2023 competition.

This project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing and the error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023, members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance and remove this project from the competition. While not included in the 2023 competition, this agency is welcome and encouraged to apply for renewal funding in 2024.

With these changes HomeLink Thrive is still accepted for inclusion on Tier 2 of the Project Priority List with the total funding amount of \$722,964 but has moved up in rank from 24 to 23.

The updated Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work. If you have any questions about this decision and process, please email us at HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.org.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

From: [Help Hope Home](#)
To: [jblake](#); [Christina Vela](#); [csa](#); "smol@safenest.org"
Subject: 2023 NOFO Priority Listing Update
Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2023 5:09:40 PM

Good afternoon, St. Jude's and SafeNest Team –

This message serves to notify St. Jude's Ranch and SafeNest that your application for Hope for Survivors (DV Bonus) has been adjusted on the Project Priority List, as a project had to be removed from the Project Priority Listing as the end date fell outside of the 2024 project year required to be eligible for a renewal in the 2023 competition.

This project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing and the error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023, members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance and remove this project from the competition. While not included in the 2023 competition, this agency is welcome and encouraged to apply for renewal funding in 2024.

With these changes Hope for Survivors is still accepted for inclusion on Tier 2 of the Project Priority List with the total funding amount of \$920,136 but has moved up in rank from 25 to 24.

The updated Project Priority Listing is now posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

Thank you for your continued participation and hard work. If you have any questions about this decision and process, please email us at HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.org.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as Collaborative Applicant

SNHCoc 2023 Coc Project Ranking

Accepted Projects

September 11, 2023

Rank	Applicant	Project	Project Type	New or Renewal	Grant Amount	Score
1	HELP of Southern Nevada	SN CE Matching	SSO	Renewal	\$202,502	NA
2	Clark County	HMIS Southern Nevada 2022	HMIS	Renewal	\$980,154	NA
3	United States Veterans Initiative	PSH 2019	PSH	Renewal	\$1,537,769	86.34
4	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink RRH Consolidation 2022	RRH	Renewal	\$1,212,885	84.55
5	Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth	NPHY Independent Living Program	TH	Renewal	\$226,210	80.43
6	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Stepping Stones	PSH	Renewal	\$57,215	77.61
7	United States Veterans Initiative	VIP - 2019	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$122,854	76.61
8	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis	Operation Fresh Start	RRH	Renewal	\$320,484	73.55
9	HELP of Southern Nevada	Shannon West Homeless Youth Center	TH	Renewal	\$210,282	73.40
10	HELP of Southern Nevada	HELP THEM HOME Combined	PSH	Renewal	\$802,958	72.10
11	Clark County	STAR RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$1,611,475	71.81
12	Clark County	New Beginnings	PSH	Renewal	\$1,480,696	71.61
13	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start	PSH	Renewal	\$682,397	71.25
14	Clark County	STAR PSH	PSH	Renewal	\$1,661,444	70.91
15	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	A Place Called Home	RRH	Renewal	\$422,670	70.81
16	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	New Crossings	RRH	Renewal	\$373,223	68.61
17	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Crossings TH-RRH	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$510,573	65.95
18	The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Housing The Homeless	RRH	Renewal	\$337,722	65.40
19	Clark County	Healthy Living Consolidated *	PSH	Renewal	\$1,177,516.00	55.13
	Tier 2	Healthy Living Consolidated *			\$191,392.00	
20	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start Expansion (PH Bonus)	PSH	New	\$535,166	62.33
21	Salvation Army	Housing The Homeless 2 (PH Bonus)	RRH	New	\$381,550	56.63
22	CPLC	CPLC Nevada RRH (PH Bonus)**	RRH	New	\$355,039	55.75
23	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink Thrive (DV Bonus)***	RRH	New	\$722,964	72.00
24	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Hope for Survivors (DV Bonus)****	RRH	New	\$920,136	60.88
25	Clark County	Healthy Living RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$735,595	0.00
TOTAL Available = \$18,594,421					\$17,772,871	

Project Straddles Tier 1 and Tier 2
Project in Tier 2

- * Project reduced by \$121,585; \$191,392 is in Tier 2
- ** Project reduced by \$326,837
- *** Project reduced by \$17,894
- **** Project reduced by \$14,020

CUMULATIVE AMOUNT
\$202,502
\$1,182,656
\$2,720,425
\$3,933,310
\$4,159,520
\$4,216,735
\$4,339,589
\$4,660,073
\$4,870,355
\$5,673,313
\$7,284,788
\$8,765,484
\$9,447,881
\$11,109,325
\$11,531,995
\$11,905,218
\$12,415,791
\$12,753,513
\$13,931,029
\$14,122,421
\$14,657,587
\$15,039,137
\$15,394,176
\$16,117,140
\$17,037,276
\$17,772,871

AVAILABLE FUNDING	AMOUNTS
Annual Renewal Demand	\$14,979,601
ARD in Tier 1	\$13,931,029
ARD in Tier 2	\$1,048,572
Bonus Funding available	\$2,793,270
PH bonus	\$1,150,170
DV bonus	\$1,643,100
Total Available Through Local Competition	\$17,772,871
Planning Grant	\$821,550
Total for Consolidated App	\$18,594,421

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR PRIORITY LISTING	
NOT INCLUDED - DID NOT MEET THRESHOLD	
El Shaddai Refugee Homes CDC	El Shaddai Empowerment Program- \$75,772
Permanency	Preventing Homelessness Among Youth- \$596,848
Women of Strength Nevada	Women of Strength Nevada \$647,175
Next Step	Continues to Care for Las Vegas- \$920,754
NOT INCLUDED/ REJECTED BASED ON SCORE (LESS THAN 50 POINTS)	
Catholic Charities	Rapid Rehousing- \$574,121
HELD HARMLESS - TOP OF TIER 1	
Clark County	HMIS
HELP of Southern Nevada	CES
NOT ELIGIBLE TO APPLY BASED ON RENEWAL DATE OF 2025	
The Just One Project	Community Connect- \$600,888
NOT SCORED - PUT INTO TIER 2	
Clark County	Healthy Living RRH

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-5b Local Competition Selection Results

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1-2
Letter of Explanation	3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ORIGINAL Accepted Projects Including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Name ○ Score ○ Ranking ○ Funding Amounts/ Reallocated Funds 	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ORIGINAL Rejected Projects and Projects that did not meet threshold Including- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Name ○ Score ○ Ranking ○ Funding Amount Requested • Projects that did not meet threshold were not considered in ranking. • Projects that scored below 50% of points were not ranked and included as competitive. 	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UPDATED Accepted Projects Including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Name ○ Score ○ Ranking ○ Funding Amounts/ Reallocated Funds 	6
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UPDATED Rejected Projects and Projects that did not meet threshold Including- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Name ○ Score ○ Ranking ○ Funding Amount Requested 	7

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Projects that did not meet threshold were not considered in ranking.• Projects that scored below 50% of points were not ranked and included as competitive.	
--	--

To Whom It May Concern,

As a result of an error in the end dates of one of the projects included in the accepted project applications for the 2023 CoC Competition, the Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care had to adjust the ranking and amounts on the project Priority Listing prior to submission.

While the project was originally included on the Project Priority Listing, the date error was noted on September 7, 2023 during application reviews. Discussions and guidance from HUD CPD office suggested that the project should be removed from the competition this year and on September 11, 2023 members from the Scoring and Ranking Team voted to follow HUD guidance, and remove this project from the competition.

To ensure transparency and follow HUD policy of notification, all agencies that were affected by this change were notified on September 13, 2023 and an updated Project Priority Listing was posted at <https://helphopehome.org/funding-opportunities/>.

All notifications and priority listings have been included in this attachment for HUD review.

Thank You,

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Service as
Collaborative Applicant

SNHCOC 2023 Coc Project Ranking - Accepted Projects
August 30, 2023

Rank	Applicant	Project	Project Type	New or Renewal	Grant Amount	Score
1	HELP of Southern Nevada	SN CE Matching	SSO	Renewal	\$202,502	NA
2	Clark County	HMIS Southern Nevada 2022	HMIS	Renewal	\$980,154	NA
3	United States Veterans Initiative	PSH 2019	PSH	Renewal	\$1,537,769	86.34
4	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink RRH Consolidation 2022	RRH	Renewal	\$1,212,885	84.55
5	Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth	NPHY Independent Living Program	TH	Renewal	\$226,210	80.43
6	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Stepping Stones	PSH	Renewal	\$57,215	77.61
7	United States Veterans Initiative	VIP - 2019	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$122,854	76.61
8	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis,	Operation Fresh Start	RRH	Renewal	\$320,484	73.55
9	HELP of Southern Nevada	Shannon West Homeless Youth Center	TH	Renewal	\$210,282	73.40
10	HELP of Southern Nevada	HELP THEM HOME Combined	PSH	Renewal	\$802,958	72.10
11	Clark County	STAR RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$1,611,475	71.81
12	Clark County	New Beginnings	PSH	Renewal	\$1,480,696	71.61
13	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start	PSH	Renewal	\$682,397	71.25
14	Clark County	STAR PSH	PSH	Renewal	\$1,661,444	70.91
15	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	A Place Called Home	RRH	Renewal	\$422,670	70.81
16	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	New Crossings	RRH	Renewal	\$373,223	68.61
17	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Crossings TH-RRH	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$510,573	65.95
18	The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Housing The Homeless	RRH	Renewal	\$337,722	65.40
19	The Just One Project	Community Connect	RRH	Renewal	\$600,888	NA
20	Clark County	Healthy Living Consolidated*	PSH	Renewal	\$1,568,908	55.13
21	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start Expansion (PH Bonus)	PSH	New	\$535,166	62.33
22	Salvation Army	Housing The Homeless 2 (PH Bonus)	RRH	New	\$381,550	56.63
23	CPLC	CPLC Nevada RRH (PH Bonus)**	RRH	New	\$355,039	55.75
24	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink Thrive (DV Bonus)***	RRH	New	\$722,964	72.00
25	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Hope for Survivors (DV Bonus)****	RRH	New	\$920,136	60.88
26	Clark County	Healthy Living RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$735,595	0.00
TOTAL Available = \$18,373,759					\$18,373,759	

Project Straddles Tier 1 and Tier 2
Project in Tier 2

* Project reduced by \$121,585; \$233,454 is in Tier 2
 ** Project reduced by \$326,837
 *** Project reduced by \$17,894
 **** Project reduced by \$14,020

CUMULATIVE AMOUNT
\$202,502
\$1,182,656
\$2,720,425
\$3,933,310
\$4,159,520
\$4,216,735
\$4,339,589
\$4,660,073
\$4,870,355
\$5,673,313
\$7,284,788
\$8,765,484
\$9,447,881
\$11,109,325
\$11,531,995
\$11,905,218
\$12,415,791
\$12,753,513
\$13,354,401
\$14,723,309
\$15,258,475
\$15,640,025
\$15,995,064
\$16,718,028
\$17,638,164
\$18,373,759

AVAILABLE FUNDING	AMOUNTS
Annual Renewal Demand	\$15,580,489
ARD in Tier 1	\$14,489,855
ARD in Tier 2	\$1,090,634
Bonus Funding available	\$2,793,270
PH bonus	\$1,150,170
DV bonus	\$1,643,100
Total Available Through Local Competition	\$18,373,759
Planning Grant	\$821,550
Total for Consolidated App	\$19,195,309

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR PROJECT LISTING	
NOT INCLUDED / REJECTED- DID NOT MEET THRESHOLD	
El Shaddai Refugee Homes CDC	El Shaddai Empowerment Program- \$75,772
Pernanency	Preventing Homelessness Among Youth- \$596,848
Women of Strength Nevada	Women of Strength Nevada- \$647,175
Next Step	Continues to Care for Las Vegas- \$920,754
NOT INCLUDED/ REJECTED- BASED ON SCORE (less Than 50 points)	
Catholic Charities	Rapid Rehousing- \$574,121
HELD HARMLESS - TOP OF TIER 1	
Clark County	HMIS
HELP of Southern Nevada	CES
HELD HARMLESS - BOTTOM OF TIER 1 (too new)	
The Just One Project	Community Connect
NOT SCORED - PUT INTO TIER 2	
Clark County	Healthy Living RHH

SNHCoc 2023 Coc Project Ranking

Accepted Projects

September 11, 2023

Rank	Applicant	Project	Project Type	New or Renewal	Grant Amount	Score
1	HELP of Southern Nevada	SN CE Matching	SSO	Renewal	\$202,502	NA
2	Clark County	HMIS Southern Nevada 2022	HMIS	Renewal	\$980,154	NA
3	United States Veterans Initiative	PSH 2019	PSH	Renewal	\$1,537,769	86.34
4	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink RRH Consolidation 2022	RRH	Renewal	\$1,212,885	84.55
5	Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth	NPHY Independent Living Program	TH	Renewal	\$226,210	80.43
6	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Stepping Stones	PSH	Renewal	\$57,215	77.61
7	United States Veterans Initiative	VIP - 2019	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$122,854	76.61
8	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis	Operation Fresh Start	RRH	Renewal	\$320,484	73.55
9	HELP of Southern Nevada	Shannon West Homeless Youth Center	TH	Renewal	\$210,282	73.40
10	HELP of Southern Nevada	HELP THEM HOME Combined	PSH	Renewal	\$802,958	72.10
11	Clark County	STAR RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$1,611,475	71.81
12	Clark County	New Beginnings	PSH	Renewal	\$1,480,696	71.61
13	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start	PSH	Renewal	\$682,397	71.25
14	Clark County	STAR PSH	PSH	Renewal	\$1,661,444	70.91
15	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	A Place Called Home	RRH	Renewal	\$422,670	70.81
16	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	New Crossings	RRH	Renewal	\$373,223	68.61
17	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Crossings TH-RRH	TH/RRH	Renewal	\$510,573	65.95
18	The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Housing The Homeless	RRH	Renewal	\$337,722	65.40
19	Clark County	Healthy Living Consolidated *	PSH	Renewal	\$1,177,516.00	55.13
	Tier 2	Healthy Living Consolidated *			\$191,392.00	
20	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start Expansion (PH Bonus)	PSH	New	\$535,166	62.33
21	Salvation Army	Housing The Homeless 2 (PH Bonus)	RRH	New	\$381,550	56.63
22	CPLC	CPLC Nevada RRH (PH Bonus)**	RRH	New	\$355,039	55.75
23	Hopelink of Southern Nevada	Homelink Thrive (DV Bonus)***	RRH	New	\$722,964	72.00
24	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Hope for Survivors (DV Bonus)****	RRH	New	\$920,136	60.88
25	Clark County	Healthy Living RRH	RRH	Renewal	\$735,595	0.00
TOTAL Available = \$18,594,421					\$17,772,871	

Project Straddles Tier 1 and Tier 2
Project in Tier 2

- * Project reduced by \$121,585; \$191,392 is in Tier 2
- ** Project reduced by \$326,837
- *** Project reduced by \$17,894
- **** Project reduced by \$14,020

CUMULATIVE AMOUNT
\$202,502
\$1,182,656
\$2,720,425
\$3,933,310
\$4,159,520
\$4,216,735
\$4,339,589
\$4,660,073
\$4,870,355
\$5,673,313
\$7,284,788
\$8,765,484
\$9,447,881
\$11,109,325
\$11,531,995
\$11,905,218
\$12,415,791
\$12,753,513
\$13,931,029
\$14,122,421
\$14,657,587
\$15,039,137
\$15,394,176
\$16,117,140
\$17,037,276
\$17,772,871

AVAILABLE FUNDING	AMOUNTS
Annual Renewal Demand	\$14,979,601
ARD in Tier 1	\$13,931,029
ARD in Tier 2	\$1,048,572
Bonus Funding available	\$2,793,270
PH bonus	\$1,150,170
DV bonus	\$1,643,100
Total Available Through Local Competition	\$17,772,871
Planning Grant	\$821,550
Total for Consolidated App	\$18,594,421

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR PRIORITY LISTING	
NOT INCLUDED - DID NOT MEET THRESHOLD	
El Shaddai Refugee Homes CDC	El Shaddai Empowerment Program- \$75,772
Permanency	Preventing Homelessness Among Youth- \$596,848
Women of Strength Nevada	Women of Strength Nevada \$647,175
Next Step	Continues to Care for Las Vegas- \$920,754
NOT INCLUDED/ REJECTED BASED ON SCORE (LESS THAN 50 POINTS)	
Catholic Charities	Rapid Rehousing- \$574,121
HELD HARMLESS - TOP OF TIER 1	
Clark County	HMIS
HELP of Southern Nevada	CES
NOT ELIGIBLE TO APPLY BASED ON RENEWAL DATE OF 2025	
The Just One Project	Community Connect- \$600,888
NOT SCORED - PUT INTO TIER 2	
Clark County	Healthy Living RRH

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2023 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

2A-6 HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
NV-500 2023 HDX Report	2

2023 HDX Competition Report PIT Count Data for NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County Coc

Total Population PIT Count Data

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	5283	5083	5645	6566
Emergency Shelter Total	1,273	1,779	2,229	2104
Safe Haven Total	7	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	542	510	549	550
Total Sheltered Count	1822	2289	2778	2654
Total Unsheltered Count	3461	2794	2867	3912

Chronically Homeless PIT Counts

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	1131	1673	2363	1866
Sheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	319	535	681	570
Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	812	1,138	1,682	1296

2023 HDX Competition Report

PIT Count Data for NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County Coc

Homeless Households with Children PIT Counts

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Households with Children	87	93	161	219
Sheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	82	93	156	174
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	5	0	5	45

Homeless Veteran PIT Counts

	2011 PIT	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Veterans	1169	734	644	571	912
Sheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	651	398	370	410	395
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	518	336	274	161	517

*For CoCs that did not conduct an unsheltered count in 2021, 2020 data were used.

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Current, Year-Round, HMIS Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds*	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round Beds	Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds**	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds	Total Current, Year-Round, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES Beds	2,067	1,913	1,913	100.00%	154	154	100.00%	2,067	100.00%
SH Beds	0	0	0	NA	0	0	NA	0	NA
TH Beds	642	642	642	100.00%	0	0	NA	642	100.00%
RRH Beds	1,683	1,683	1,683	100.00%	0	0	NA	1,683	100.00%
PSH Beds	2,328	2,328	2,328	100.00%	0	0	NA	2,328	100.00%
OPH Beds	1,265	1,265	1,265	100.00%	0	0	NA	1,265	100.00%
Total Beds	7,985	7,831	7,831	100.00%	154	154	100.00%	7,985	100.00%

2023 HDX Competition Report
HIC Data for NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County Coc

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

Notes

*For OPH Beds, this does NOT include any beds that are Current, Non-VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

**For OPH Beds, this does NOT include any beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded. In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("OV Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds"). In the HIC, Current beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").

PSH Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

Chronically Homeless Bed Counts	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons identified on the HIC	1140	1180	976	1007

Rapid Rehousing (RRH) Units Dedicated to Persons in Household with Children

Households with Children	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
RRH units available to serve families on the HIC	207	204	248	281

Rapid Rehousing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

All Household Types	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
RRH beds available to serve all populations on the HIC	1006	953	1382	1683

2023 HDX Competition Report
HIC Data for NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County Coc

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Summary Report for NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County Coc

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than October, 1, 2012.

Metric 1.1: *Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.*
Metric 1.2: *Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.*

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)				Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)			
	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
1.1. Persons in ES and SH	10539	12055	63	61	71	10	22	22	27	5
1.2. Persons in ES, SH, and TH	11961	13265	79	77	85	8	30	30	36	6

b. This measure is based on data element 3.17.

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)				Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)			
	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
1.1 Persons in ES, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	11080	12997	475	473	443	-30	147	144	140	-4
1.2 Persons in ES, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	12669	14384	495	490	467	-23	160	157	154	-3

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 2: The Extent to which Persons who Exit Homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations Return to Homelessness

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

	Total # of Persons who Exited to a Permanent Housing Destination (2 Years Prior)			Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months				Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months				Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months				Number of Returns in 2 Years	
	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022		Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	% of Returns		Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	% of Returns		Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	% of Returns		FY 2022	% of Returns
Exit was from SO	569	1023		70	120	12%		23	45	4%		25	47	5%		212	21%
Exit was from ES	1318	1368		179	216	16%		69	69	5%		90	136	10%		421	31%
Exit was from TH	766	838		104	115	14%		60	64	8%		50	80	10%		259	31%
Exit was from SH	24	9		14	3	33%		0	1	11%		3	1	11%		5	56%
Exit was from PH	1225	1363		125	67	5%		76	54	4%		68	131	10%		252	18%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	3902	4601		492	521	11%		228	233	5%		236	395	9%		1149	25%

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

This measures the change in PIT counts of sheltered and unsheltered homeless person as reported on the PIT (not from HMIS).

	January 2021 PIT Count	January 2022 PIT Count	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons	5083	5645	562
Emergency Shelter Total	1779	2229	450
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	510	549	39
Total Sheltered Count	2289	2778	489
Unsheltered Count	2794	2867	73

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	12350	12483	13878	1395
Emergency Shelter Total	10966	11130	12711	1581
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	2135	2079	1893	-186

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	493	413	328	-85
Number of adults with increased earned income	19	15	29	14
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	4%	4%	9%	5%

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	493	413	328	-85
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	128	119	84	-35
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	26%	29%	26%	-3%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	493	413	328	-85
Number of adults with increased total income	141	131	112	-19
Percentage of adults who increased total income	29%	32%	34%	2%

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	660	450	495	45
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	94	63	75	12
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	14%	14%	15%	1%

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	660	450	495	45
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	88	76	121	45
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	13%	17%	24%	7%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	660	450	495	45
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	175	137	190	53
Percentage of adults who increased total income	27%	30%	38%	8%

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 5: Number of persons who become homeless for the 1st time

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH or TH during the reporting period.	11370	11330	12239	909
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	2545	2580	3396	816
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	8825	8750	8843	93

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	12897	12960	14577	1617
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	2954	3074	4014	940
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	9943	9886	10563	677

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

This Measure is not applicable to CoCs in FY2022 (Oct 1, 2021 - Sept 30, 2022) reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

Metric 7a.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	7279	7757	8164	407
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	272	289	356	67
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	476	474	576	102
% Successful exits	10%	10%	11%	1%

Metric 7b.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons in ES, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	10135	9937	10839	902
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	2213	1995	2131	136
% Successful exits	22%	20%	20%	0%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in exit to or retention of permanent housing

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	658	2031	1955	-76
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	585	1829	1783	-46
% Successful exits/retention	89%	90%	91%	1%

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - SysPM Data Quality

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

	All ES, SH			All TH			All PSH, OPH			All RRH			All Street Outreach		
	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022
1. Number of non-DV Beds on HIC	1486	1751	1816	687	724	764	2317	2283	2112	923	944	1339			
2. Number of HMIS Beds	1486	1751	1816	687	724	764	2317	2283	2112	923	944	1339			
3. HMIS Participation Rate from HIC (%)	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00			
4. Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	7956	11169	12726	2051	2135	1893	1110	1217	3445	2837	3328	4345	75558	8806	11862
5. Total Leavers (HMIS)	6575	8999	10361	1537	1534	1336	400	306	509	1463	1667	2248	6281	7295	8180
6. Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	2398	4780	5458	170	210	93	3	1	107	52	42	69	4063	6194	6618
7. Destination Error Rate (%)	36.47	53.12	52.68	11.06	13.69	6.96	0.75	0.33	21.02	3.55	2.52	3.07	64.69	84.91	80.90

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - SysPM Data Quality

2023 HDX Competition Report

Submission and Count Dates for NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

Date of PIT Count

	Date	Received HUD Waiver
Date CoC Conducted 2023 PIT Count	1/25/2023	

Report Submission Date in HDX

	Submitted On	Met Deadline
2023 PIT Count Submittal Date	4/28/2023	Yes
2023 HIC Count Submittal Date	4/28/2023	Yes
2022 System PM Submittal Date	2/8/2023	Yes