

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Clark County Social Service

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Clark County Social Service

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:	
	1. select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	No	No	No
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No	No	Yes
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	No	No	No
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	No	No	No
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Veteran service providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	Organizations serving former foster youth and Protective Child Welfare Agency	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 recognizes that underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population. When comparing our PIT Count to the American Community Survey (ACS) data, we discovered that a higher proportion of people of color are experiencing homelessness compared to their White counterparts. Specifically, people who identify as Black, African American, or African, make up 12 percent of the overall Clark County population but make up 42 percent of the individuals experiencing homelessness. The Lived Experience team conducted secret shopper experiences at 11 CE sites and provided a comprehensive report on their experiences and the limited services they received. This led to the current re-vamp of Coordinated Entry (CE) in partnership with the Coordinated Services Committee and the Long Term Project Team. The CSC and The Long Term Project team are continuously improving the coordinated entry assessment process and adjusting to meet the goals outlined by the CSC for equity by evaluating data points, evaluating disparities, and making sure the CE is person centered. The new CE assessment is currently being piloted in the community to ensure equitable placement of marginalized communities (black, indigenous, BIPOC, etc.) which includes a focus on housing problem solving, providing immediate services, and educating staff in the variety of community services being offered. The CSC and Long-Term Project Team have representatives who also identify in these underserved communities and NV-500 membership includes organizations led by and serving Black and Brown Communities such as Chicanos Por La Causa, etc. The new assessment is on a quarterly evaluation schedule reviewing both qualitative and quantitative data. Information from assessors and those receiving assessment along with reviewing HMIS data, assists with analyzation of data and process improvement.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;	
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The membership form is publicly posted to the NV-500 website. Each January, NV-500 solicits new members to join the CoC by leveraging the existing Board meeting as a platform to educate interested stakeholders on the role of the CoC and how to get involved. An agenda line item is reserved to discuss representation needed in the CoC with the current CoC Membership beginning in September. It is encouraged for current membership to inform those that are interested of the new member solicitations. In July, 38 new agencies attended a CoC Orientation to learn more about the local application process. Funding opportunities serve as a recruitment tool. As of September 2024, there are a total of 83 agencies that are members of the CoC Membership.

2) NV-500 does not discriminate against people with disabilities from engaging in CoC related activities. Most NV-500 Board and committee meetings occur through video-conferencing platforms with auxiliary aids available, like transcripts and subtitles. Requests for reasonable accommodations are made as needed. All materials are available through electronic format either on the website or email. Meeting agendas are sent out two to seven days in advance to allow participants a chance to review and understand the items scheduled for discussion.

3) NV-500 invited organizations that serve culturally specific communities such as race and ethnicity, religion, LGBTQ+, protected classes, tribes, and disabling conditions to maintain diverse membership. NV-500 focuses on engaging agencies that serve culturally specific communities and/or have executive leadership who identify within those specific communities. Agencies are contacted by phone, email, or in person and are informed of the services and agencies represented in the CoC. They are directed on how to sign up for membership and given information on leadership opportunities. Examples include Indian Voices, Chicanos por La Causa, Accessible Space, Inc., Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services, Jewish Family Services Agency, Nation's Finest, Veterans Administration, U.S. Vets, and Golden Rainbow. These organizations are some of the current organizations who represent and serve Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities in our geographic area.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;
3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 solicits feedback from a wide array of stakeholders and people with lived experience on ending homelessness in our community. Formal pathways are defined in the governance structure and include Board and Core Committee members with an expertise in preventing and ending homelessness. Formal meetings are a platform for stakeholders to facilitate discussions on how to systematically coordinate local approaches to prevent and end homelessness. Members serving culturally specific communities noted in 1B-2 and persons with lived experience are active in the Board and Committee groups which inform the strategies developed to end homelessness.

2) NV-500 solicits information from people with lived experience, community providers and the broader community include listening sessions, trainings, and workshops that inform system strategies. To ensure meetings are accessible to all people, Board meeting agendas and virtual document links are publicly posted in advance of the meeting. Policies, procedures and standards are posted for public comment, typically for 30 days, to allow for community perspective and feedback. Each committee group submits a report to the Board and discussion of these reports remains a standing agenda item. All reports are maintained on NV-500's website. Board and Committee monthly summaries are posted on the NV-500 website and are open to the public for comment.

3) Board and Committee meetings occur in person and through video-conferencing platforms which allow recording, transcripts, and chat boxes to allow those with impairments a chance to review materials multiple times, view/hear it in a different platform, or receive technical assistance from team members. Board meeting agendas and virtual document links, like policies and procedures and standards, are publicly posted in the NV-500 website.

4) NV-500 and the Lived Experience Consultants (Lived X) frequently engage in dialogue during public meetings and forums to address system improvements based on community input and collaboration with agency partners and people with lived experience which support the development of Quality Practices. Most recently the Lived X team conducted a secret shopper experience within the system and presented findings and recommendations. Partners have already begun implementing their recommendations. Client listening sessions often align with provider feedback and often supports recommendations to address improvements or new approaches.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 encourages new organizations to apply for CoC funding by hosting competition orientation prior to the local competition opening, weekly office hours to answer questions that arise during the application process and include a scoring rubric that is fair and equitable for agencies new to CoC program funding. Public notification of the local competition includes posting to NV-500 website, press releases to media, social media posts, announcement at NV-500/community meetings and word-of-mouth. NV-500 and partner agencies sent announcements to e-mail distribution lists which are broader than existing CoC membership. Twelve new agencies engaged in the local competition process this year as a result of these efforts.

2) NV-500 provides Detailed Project Application Instructions including how and when to submit; Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures; FAQs updated at least weekly; and scoring tools. These were posted to the NV-500 website prior to and throughout the competition period. Regular emails and technical assistance sessions kept the applicants informed on how to submit a competitive application. Weekly office hours allowed for questions to be answered in a dialogue/conversational manner and then were posted to FAQ's to allow for review and processing of information.

3) Both the NV-500 Membership and Board reviewed and approved Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures and tools to guide the Scoring and Ranking Team on the selection and ranking of projects included in the CoC application to HUD. All instructional and scoring guides are designed to prepare applicants, regardless of experience, for the local application competition. These are publicly posted so that organizations not previously funded are able to prepare/submit competitive applications. New projects scores are weighted differently than renewal projects to allow for the prioritization of high performing projects and the opportunity for new projects to be included in the priority listing. Threshold reviews were conducted by a third-party facilitator who offered non-conflicting technical support throughout the process.

4) The local application process has been conducted virtually this year. All trainings and meetings used video-conferencing platforms with closed captioning capability. All written materials and the webinar recording have been posted publicly so that all participants, including those with disabilities, can view the material.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	No
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.	Workforce Connect; United Way	Yes

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	Yes
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	No

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 partners with the local education agency and local school district, Clark County School District (CCSD). CCSD is the only school district serving NV-500's geographic region. CCSD's McKinney-Vento program, Title I HOPE, has been a member of the SNH CoC since 2009, representing education. Currently, the Liaison serves an active member of the Coordinated Services Committee (CSC) and Youth Working Group (YWG) that both convene bi-weekly and monthly respectively. Title I HOPE facilitates a system-level process to enroll students residing in shelters and other housing programs. CCSD and the CoC work in tandem to ensure that students that meet both the HUD and McKinney-Vento definition of homelessness are aware of their rights under federal law and receive the necessary support to exercise those rights. CoC programs that serve youth and families are required to ensure that youth are enrolled in school programs. The CoC worked with jurisdictional partners to ensure all local funding requires support for youth to be enrolled in school programs. Title I Hope supports all programs and works to ensure that all youth are enrolled in appropriate educational programs. Through partnership with the Title I HOPE liaison, the CoC establishes points of contact and regular meetings between community shelter contacts and trains shelter and housing program staff to share procedures that promote identification and enrollment of homeless students. The CoC finds schools are an access point to reach families and youth in need of housing. The Title I Hope staff promote across the district the coordinated entry process on behalf of the CoC. CCSD has a Family Support Center with team family advocates to assist families with making a smooth transition into the school district. The Family Support Center also offers other services to include welfare and supportive services, employment support through EmployNV, immunizations, and services from Nevada Legal Services. NV-500 also formally partners with youth education provider, Workforce Connections. This entity provides oversight of workforce funding, including education and job training programs for 16- to 24-year-old youth. Workforce Connections established One Stop Shops throughout the district in public libraries, allowing shelter and housing program staff to refer youth and individuals experiencing homelessness to the neighborhood.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.d.		

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Per NV-500 procedures, all CoC, ESG, and locally funded emergency and housing programs must inform individuals and families experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services. Monitoring is conducted annually of the CoC and ESG funded programs, to ensure each program has an education policy to inform individuals and families of their eligibility for education services. This annual process includes review of evidence of compliance of 24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(2) Records reflect that program participants qualified at as-risk of homelessness under paragraph 3 or under subtitle B of the title VII McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act had certifications of the status in the client file; 24 CFR 578.23(c)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17) Ensures program complied with establishing policies and procedures that are consistent with, and do not restrict, the exercise of rights provided by laws relating to the provision of educational and related services; and 24 CFR 578.23(c)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17) Ensures the program takes the educational needs of children into consideration when families are placed in housing and, to the maximum extent practicable, place families with children as close as possible to their school of origin so as not to disrupt such children’s education. NV-500, Clark County School District’s (CCSD) McKinney Vento’s program (Title I HOPE), and the CoC Programs Committee collaborate to ensure written policies and procedures are current, meet federal guidelines, and can be followed by all programs. Technical assistance is provided to agencies to ensure compliance. The Title I HOPE Department provides homeless service providers with printed materials including detailed information outlining the services provided by Title I HOPE, qualifications under the McKinney-Vento Act, and contact information for the department. Title I HOPE’s resources are available electronically on the public facing website and information hub on a Google Site for school district employees. This information is also promoted on the NV-500 website. The development of relationships has improved coordination and collaboration among HOPE liaisons with multiple jurisdictional and community partners, which enables Southern Nevada to further develop and strengthen a healthy system of care ensuring that the educational and personal needs of children and families experiencing homelessness are met.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

	MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1. Birth to 3 years	No	No
2. Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3. Early Childhood Providers	No	No
4. Early Head Start	No	No
5. Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6. Head Start	No	No
7. Healthy Start	No	No

8.	Public Pre-K	No	Yes
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.	The University of Nevada, LV HOPE Scholar Initiative. Covers tuition, housing and wrap around services for unstably housed students.	No	Yes

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking–Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	No
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	No
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.		

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The NV-500 Coordinated Services Committee continuously lead efforts to update the community-wide Emergency Transfer Process for clients needing to change housing units due to unsafe situations. Committee members of this project included VSP representatives, providers, and people with lived experience. The Emergency Transfer Plan from the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority was leveraged to ensure that policies are consistent between entities and offer similar protection to clients. After a plan is created, it is presented publicly at the monthly CoC Board Meeting and then is posted for 30 days for public comment. Feedback is then reviewed, the policy updated, and then brought back to the Board for approval. Training and education on the policy is then offered to ensure community providers are informed of the details and implementation expectations.

2)NV-500 contracts with an external evaluator to conduct annual monitoring of all CoC projects. They are experienced in not only evaluation strategies but are educated on trauma-informed practices and implementation of practices to ensure the needs of survivors are met. The evaluator also provides suggestions, guidance, and technical assistance to providers who are not providing housing and services in a trauma-informed, clients centered manner. This ensures that the CoC builds capacity among the providers to ensure continued growth, and improved services that meet the needs of clients are offered to all clients in the geographic area. When trends are identified among several providers, the Programs Committee provides community-wide assistance and training to ensure that all clients, including those who are fleeing DV and trafficking, are served in a compassionate manner. Multiple trainings are provided to include Intro to Trauma Informed Care, Self-Care for Trauma Survivors for Both Your Clients and Yourself, and Motivational Interviewing. Recently, NV-500 hosted 2 sessions of DV training, one in-person, and one virtual, to providers in the community.

1C-5b.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:	
1.	safety planning protocols; and	
2.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)NV-500's Coordinated Entry (CE) system has multiple safety protocols to protect survivors of domestic violence (DV), dating violence, sexual assault, stalking and human trafficking. Every person who interacts with the CE system is assessed for crisis intervention needs through the community-developed Short Assessment Triage Tool. The tool's questions related to safety are asked 1:1 to ensure all household members are not pressured to respond a certain way. Assessments are also done in a safe, private space. If an individual responds in a manner that signals a potential DV or trafficking situation, the client can stay in the safe, private space to speak to the 24-hour DV Hotline to determine next steps. CE Staff ensure the safety of the client from other household members ensuring the victim can connect with VSP support. Transportation to an alternative safe location is provided as necessary. Survivors choose the direction of their case and work with a case manager to develop personal safety planning and goal setting. Emphasis is placed on ways a survivor can access services faster, ensures the safety of their children, and identifies any challenges to safety, health, and confidentiality. Policies and procedures are evaluated according to client feedback and internal assessments. For long-term safety, survivors who find themselves in unsafe situations, may relocate immediately without fear of repercussions of breaking their lease.

2)CE assessors are trained on confidentiality protocol. As soon as DV is presumed during the intake process, no new information is entered into HMIS. All providers keep all DV information de-identified in an HMIS comparable database. Each victim service provider has access to the comparable database for their clients only. This information is maintained on stand-alone computer systems and not shared among any providers. VSPs provide confidential emergency shelter to survivors and their families, including pets. Providers coordinate rides to shelters and other safe housing options, ensure cell phones and vehicles do not have GPS capabilities and don't allow visitors in the shelter unless they are pre-authorized. To address both safety and confidentiality protocol, transitional housing units allow clients to remain anonymous as the lease is in the agency's name rather than the client's name.

1C-5c.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes
6.	Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes

Other? (limit 500 characters)			
7.	HMIS comparable database	Yes	Yes

** nbsp;**

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 in accordance with the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), allows residents and program participants who are victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking to request an emergency transfer from the tenant's current unit to another unit. The process for an emergency transfer plan is reviewed annually to ensure it continues to meet the needs of the community. Training and education for case managers and community providers follow the roll-out of all CoC-wide procedures.

2) To ensure that all individuals and families can request an emergency transfer, case managers explain the process for requesting an emergency transfer along with safety options that may be available to clients during the intake appointment. Clients are informed of where they can find CoC policies and procedures related to transfers, so they have that information available when they most need it. VSP staff providing direct client services receive training on trauma-informed care and have an understanding that certain legal processes involved with obtaining housing can put victims at risk. Staff are trained on the legal aspects of housing and statutes through VAWA that are in place to protect domestic violence survivors from unlawful evictions or nuisance charges that stem from abuse, preventing further barriers to survivors maintaining stable, independent housing. Staff communicate these housing aspects with all clients to ensure they remain aware of their rights.

3) All case managers and clients may access the emergency transfer plan process by visiting the NV-500 website which is shared openly and freely among the community. All parties have easy access to the transfer request form along with clearly written instructions on how and where to submit the form. A statement from the resident is required indicating belief of threat of imminent harm and that the assault occurred within the last 90 days.

4) Case managers may assist clients in completing the transfer request form. The document includes confidentiality protections and guidance to tenants on safety and security and includes the process to request internal and external emergency transfers. Clients can contact CoC staff for clarification on the CoC process if their case manager is unavailable when an urgent response is needed.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 ensures survivors of domestic violence (DV), dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking have access to the same housing and services available to their homeless counterparts. There is a confidential queue maintained by the Coordinated Entry (CE) Matchers that contains no personal identifying information. Clients on this queue are eligible for all housing vacancies afforded to clients on the general by name list queue. Assessment staff are trained to provide appropriate safety planning for survivors of DV throughout the assessment process. During the initial assessment, case managers work with survivors to identify their level of risk and conduct a trauma informed assessment. When a housing placement vacancy matches the client's needs, the referring agency is notified so that the VSP can identify and notify the client. The VSP can remain a support to the client in their housing program by addressing their physical, emotional, safety, privacy, and confidentiality needs. The VSP is also able to work with the agency's housing program staff to ensure that a trauma-informed approach is utilized in the delivery of housing and supportive services. When the survivor is in immediate danger and has the need to quickly gain access to housing, they are connected to SafeNest. SafeNest operates the only confidential DV emergency shelter in NV-500. The facility houses up to 100 individuals, has off-site units available, and addresses safety needs and supports survivors as they work toward healing from the trauma they have experienced. When the survivor wishes to transition to independent living, SafeNest refers the client to the Coordinated Entry (CE) System which manages the community queue and matches client needs with program vacancies. Referrals are made in alignment with client-driven choices and safety considerations.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:

1.	identifying barriers specific to survivors; and
2.	working to remove those barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 contracts with an external evaluator to conduct annual monitoring of all CoC projects. They are educated on trauma-informed practices and implementation of practices. When monitors find opportunities for capacity building, including systematic barriers and trends that prevent survivors from remaining stably housed, they assist in the development of quality practices/written standards to ensure the community consistently meets needs of clients. The Programs Committee then provides community-wide assistance and training to ensure that all case managers are equipped to serve clients, including those who are fleeing DV and trafficking, in a compassionate manner and receive equitable services.

2) NV-500 is continuously working on removing barriers for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Those practices to remove barriers to safe housing for those fleeing include using secured addresses that go to a PO box instead of a home, using an alias on lease agreements (if possible), and ensuring landlord does not give out any tenant information to anyone, etc. Case management staff are also trained to assist survivors and all clients with mainstream benefits, including SNAP, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Medicaid, job readiness training, and other tailored services to increase income, confidence, and self-sufficiency. HUD funded providers offer counseling/rehabilitation services to all survivors through in-house staff and community partners that are specifically trained to support survivors dealing with the trauma of domestic violence and/or sex trafficking. The full range of supportive services offered to survivors ensure that systemic barriers are reduced and/or eliminated.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+—Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy—Updating Policies—Assisting Providers—Evaluating Compliance—Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1)The LGBTQIA+ Community Center of Southern Nevada (The Center) has a formal agreement with NV-500 and has been engaged with the CoC over the past several years to ensure that community-wide policies and procedures remain trauma-informed and meet the needs of the LGBTQ+ population. The NV-500 governance charter maintains an anti-discrimination policy which is updated annually by the Board in collaboration with the General Membership. LGBTQ+ organizations such as Golden Rainbow sit on committees to ensure programs meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals.
- 2)To assist providers in developing project-level policies, NV-500 provides regular no-cost Fair Housing, Equal Access Final Rule and Gender Identity Final Rule trainings to ensure programs are knowledgeable with federal regulation and align with NV-500 anti-discrimination policy. NV-500 staff help direct programs to HUD Exchange as a resource for policy development. In learning collaboratives, staff have facilitated discussion around policy development.
- 3)NV-500 annually evaluates compliance with federal regulation through external monitoring, filed reports, and equity analysis. Programs are monitored for adherence to housing first principles including low-barrier practices, access regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, person-centered intake processes, etc. If a complaint were filed with NV-500, the Board would serve as the neutral investigative body and, if necessary, take corrective action to ensure an equitable and amenable solution. NV-500 conducted an equity analysis of coordinated entry to review system flow and identify barriers for underserved communities across project-types.
- 4)Monitoring non-compliance with federal, CoC-wide or organizational anti-discrimination policies is included in the annual monitoring exit interview, Monitoring Summary Report, and presented to the Board. A thorough examination is completed, and confidentiality maintained to the furthest extent possible. In equity analysis of system performance, the appropriate committee is assigned to identify root cause(s) of the disparity and determine steps to improve the outcome. Corrective action is taken based on the severity of the issue, including technical assistance, training, performance improvement plans, and reporting to HUD. As of this submission, all partnering agencies remain in compliance with the CoC and federal anti-discrimination policies.

1C-7.	<p>Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.</p> <p>NOFO Section V.B.1.g.</p>
	<p>You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.</p> <p>Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with–if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:</p>

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority	45%	Yes-Both	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA) is the only PHA for NV-500. Representatives from SNRHA participate in committees and present to the board as needed to understand the needs of the unsheltered population and tailor their preferences to support CoC. SNRHA, CoC and CoC partners have worked closely over the past year to diligently implement the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) program to 100% utilization and design and implement the Stability Voucher (SV) Program and the Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) initiative. Monthly partner meetings between SNRHA, the collaborative applicant (CA), the Coordinated Entry (CE) matchers, the child welfare system, medical providers and others have supported implementation of EHV, FUP, FYI, SV and Mainstream Housing Vouchers. These meetings strengthened the relationship between the PHA and CoC and act as an educational opportunity for all parties. The CoC has learned much about the housing voucher process and SNRHA has learned much about the unique needs of NV-500's homeless population and the great need to maintain and strengthen the current homeless admission preference. The CoC is appreciative of the efforts and flexibility SNRHA has demonstrated during this process; including adjusting eligibility requirements to be more flexible around required identification to build equity for underserved communities.

2)N/A

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.	programs incentivized by county for affordable housing	Yes

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry. NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	
--------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	No
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	No
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Stability Voucher; Foster Youth Independence Voucher	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness. NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	
--------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	EHV, Stability Vouchers, FYI, FUP

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV). NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	
--------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	29
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	29
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1)The CoC Programs Committee oversees facilitation of the local application process. Every project application is scored on its compliance with housing first standards. The process includes reviews of monitoring-level data for renewal projects where housing first compliance was addressed and review of policies and procedures for new project applications.
- 2)The monitoring data leveraged for the renewal projects is from HUD’s Housing First Assessment Tool. Factors are considered based on project type: coordinated entry and permanent housing programs. These are intended to assess participant access, project evaluation, performance management, compliance, and specific project standards, including specific sub-populations served. Some factors considered: low-barrier, client-choice, avoid exits to homelessness, lease equity, lease education on terms, failure to engage in services, substance use, and the rules and regulations are centered on the participants’ rights. New projects submit the agency’s policies and procedures to prove that a participant is not screened out based on income, substance use, criminal history, history of domestic violence, or failure to engage in services.
- 3)The CoC Programs Committee contracts with a third-party vendor to assist with annual monitoring of all CoC and ESG funded programs in Southern Nevada. HUD’s Housing First Assessment Tool was adopted to measure compliance with housing first. Once all monitoring has been completed, agencies participate in an exit interview to discuss scores on the various tools including the Housing First Assessment Tool. Providers are offered the opportunity to receive technical assistance on various aspects of monitoring where providers wish to improve their performance and scores, including alignment with Housing First principles. Providers receive scores with final tools attached outlining the expectation for where improvements can be made. The Coordinated Services Committee reviews referral denials to assess for housing first compliance as well. New projects are provided an orientation to these tools to ensure they are setting up projects using a housing first approach that is fully implemented.
- 4)To improve fidelity to Housing First, NV-500 monitors programs regularly, provides guidance via published quality practice handbooks, conducts client surveys, secret shopper experiences, and offer grievance processes for any clients who believe they are not offered housing first options.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Data—Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

To target those least likely to request assistance, NV-500 CoC funded partners and other outreach teams offer food, drinks, clothing, hygiene supplies and information about shelters and other community resources to build trusting relationships. The Homeless Encampment Response Strategy ensures all persons experiencing homelessness are identified and engaged. The Regional Outreach Coordinator deploys teams to high-need areas to engage individuals and families identified through data, trend tracking, and visual mapping. Rural communities have by-name lists of individuals and families experiencing homelessness. All street outreach teams enroll clients in HMIS and conduct housing assessments in the field for immediate connection to coordinated entry. To build and maintain trusting relationships, outreach teams continuously visit these areas and provide resources. A specialized team, HART, prevents incarceration through service provision.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

	Your CoC's Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1.	Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes
2.	Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes
3.	Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes
4.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.I.	

	HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	1,683	1,872

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	Education - Title I Hope, Urban League	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and
2.	promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 hosts a Learning Collaborative a free, monthly, training geared toward agencies who work directly with homeless or low-income individuals to increase access to resources, income, community resources, mainstream benefits and eligibility. In 2021, NV-500 began transferring these trainings to an online platform for full virtual access across the continuum. Recorded MPBT sessions will focus on client needs such as: Medical and Insurance; Behavioral Health; Families; Income Supports; Veterans; Housing; LGBTQIA+; Domestic Violence: Seniors; and Youth and Young Adults.

NV-500's Board consists of public and private healthcare organizations which supports ongoing collaboration. NV-500 engages MCOs in discharge planning to prevent clients from being released into homelessness. Nevada Health Centers, a Federally Qualified Health Center, and Nevada Behavioral Health, a substance abuse and mental health treatment agency, serve as intake sites and completing coordinated entry housing assessments. The Southern Nevada Health District participates in monthly outreach activities and pandemic response/recovery tasks. The Clark Regional Behavioral Health Policy Board formed a joint group with NV-500 to focus on cross-system collaborations to build capacity and ensure that all program participants can access healthcare services. The SNV Learning Collaborative also engages with organizations to increase awareness of programs and access for clients.

2) In the past 12 months, 19 trainees passed the SOAR self-paced online course. In the annual monitoring process, NV-500 scores a program's system performance measure 4: increase to income. SOAR activities are one strategy to improve this outcome for clients. Agencies are encouraged to have staff complete SOAR certification to support clients increase to income and non-cash income. The collaborative applicant has worked with locally-funded RRH and PSH providers to identify eligible funds to use to support the SOAR training of case managers to approve contracted rates with SOAR-certified professionals to ensure clients get through the SOAR process quickly and efficiently.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:	
1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The local public health agency, Southern Nevada Health District (SNHD), has proven useful when developing local responses to infectious disease outbreaks including but not limited to, the COVID-19 pandemic, HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis, Mpox, and parasites. SNHD supports homeless-specific preparedness strategies and intervention measures. In 2020, SNHD accompanied street outreach teams to conduct hundreds of COVID field screenings, provided protective equipment and created hand-washing stations at encampments. NV-500's Pandemic Response Program Summaries are detailed response plans that cover strategies to meet the needs of people experiencing homelessness during a public health crisis. This procedural tool acts as a roadmap that can be employed to respond to infectious disease outbreaks. NV-500 work groups have communication plans in place to appropriately provide information to prevent and respond to an outbreak. The health district publishes infectious control procedures that assist homeless service providers in their prevention and response to infectious disease outbreak. NV-500 works with the Clark County Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security to integrate homeless response into all County-level emergency plans.

2)Partners in the NV-500's infectious disease plan, include the local/state public health agency, medical/behavioral providers, supportive service agencies, housing providers, institutions of higher educations, an Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security etc. The 2020 pandemic highlighted the role of CoCs in coordination of preparedness (prevention), mitigation and response for infectious disease outbreaks. NV 500's partner SNHD has multiple programs with Community Health Workers, including the Nevada Homeless Alliance (NHA) who assess social determinates of health and provide education, resources, and linkage services while working collaboratively with other providers. SNHD's Immunization Project team collaboratively with community partners to provide vaccinations to individuals who are unhoused. The collaborative applicant participates in broader community protocol development to ensure the health/safety needs of unsheltered homeless and the prevention of future outbreaks. One example of these efforts is the revision of the CoC community matching system to support non-congregate shelter and visitor emergency lodging protocol as referenced in the County Recovery Framework Multi-Jurisdictional COVID-19 Visitor Isolation

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and	
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The COVID-19 pandemic identified effective communication protocols in sharing infectious disease information related to prevention and intervention public health strategies specific to homelessness. NV-500 and the local health district collaborated with subject matter experts in weekly meetings to adjust for the ever-evolving pandemic situation. Information was publicly disseminated through the NV-500 website and homeless service providers through targeted e-mail communication, including educational materials. NV-500 staff was instrumental in updating social media and press about safety measures that were recommended for all homeless service providers and community partners. Committees developed communication strategies specific to their target populations. People with lived experience created vaccine education materials specific to the homeless population to promote mobile vaccine clinics. In the event of an infectious disease outbreak, the role of NV-500 is to provide backbone coordination between public health professionals and homeless service providers. At a macro-level, NV-500 participates in regional planning specific to emergency support functions for homeless services, the Multi-Agency Communication Center. Homeless service providers recognize the collaborative applicant as the backbone agency to turn to for direction and coordination.

2)In addition to HUD funded housing programs, NV-500 convenes meetings, including Coordinated Outreach, Crisis Stabilization, and the Emergency Shelter Learning Collaborative to target key stakeholders and providers with planning, mitigation and response. Street outreach is the bridge between people experiencing unsheltered situations of homelessness and available shelter and housing resources. The Regional Outreach Coordinator has geo-mapped homeless movement to allow identification of “hot spots” which has facilitated timely communication for flash flooding warnings and can be leveraged to prevent and limit infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing unsheltered homelessness. HMIS and ARC GIS can be used to manage outbreak-specific reports as needed and promote prevention. The Southern Nevada Health District (SNHD) works in conjunction with CoC funded partners, Nevada Homeless Alliance (NHA) and HELP of Southern Nevada, to host clinics at pop-up events at outreach sites.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:

1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC’s geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. NV-500 Coordinated Entry System (CES) covers 100% of the CoC's geographic area, including the urban, suburban, and rural areas. Outreach staff provide assessments to unhoused individuals residing in uninhabitable locations allowing people to be served regardless of their geographic area.
2. CE Assessors are trained to use community developed standardized assessments including Southern Nevada CE Assessment Tool for adults without children, families with minor children, youth assessments, and any population with the experience of domestic violence. The tools gather enough client information to determine the severity of need and eligibility for housing and services and incorporate a person-centered approach, by being partly based on clients' strengths, risks, and protective factors. They are easily understood by clients and are sensitive to clients' experiences. Community navigators monitor the community queue and provide referrals to temporary and permanent housing destinations based on level of need.
3. CE Assessors collect information in a trauma informed way. This avoids invasive questions by only gathering enough client information to determine severity of need and eligibility. Integration of assessments into HMIS allows for provider-to-provider communication so clients don't repeat their information thereby reducing the number of times a client is asked to retell their story.
4. The Coordinated Services Committee (CSC) with the Long-Term Project Team are continuously improving the assessment process and adjusting to meet the goals outlined by the CSC for equity. The Lived Experience team conducted secret shopper experiences at 11 CE sites and provided a comprehensive report which led to a re-vamp of CE including a focus on housing problem solving, providing immediate services, and educating staff on community services being offered. The CE system prioritizes victims of domestic violence, mental health and physically impaired, substance use disorder, active Family Services cases, elderly, justice impacted, cognitively impaired, and family with children. This new assessment is being piloted to ensure equitable placement of marginalized communities. It is on a quarterly evaluation schedule reviewing qualitative and quantitative data inclusive of feedback from clients who have accessed CE services. NV-500 prioritizes receiving feedback from those participating households to ensure equity and that all voices are heard.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
	1. reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
	2. prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
	3. ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and	
	4. takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 operates one Coordinated Entry System (CES). 20 CE sites allow for easy access to services. Specialized sites for Veterans, survivors and those fleeing domestic violence (DV) or human trafficking, adults with children, and youth, are available and staff are cross trained to serve any client at any site to reduce barriers. Street outreach teams provide services to those least likely to apply for assistance and support Pop Up Events which provide concentrated services on a monthly basis. Assessments are conducted in the field connecting clients to the CE process. The CES framework includes standardized access, standardized assessment and coordinated referrals for all in need. All CoC-funded programs are required to participate in the CES.

2) The CES Policies and Procedures outlines prioritization is based on level of vulnerability. NV-500's definition of vulnerability is those that are least likely to exit homelessness on their own without assistance or intervention including several sheltered/unsheltered homeless episodes, evictions, hospitalizations, incarcerations, criminal history, no income, safety (DV, trauma emergency services, exploitation), and need (physical, mental health, substance use). Prioritization points and tracking of specific client needs helps to identify the most vulnerable members of our community.

3) The Coordinated Services Committee (CS) oversees all functions of CE including the assessment prioritization process, client case conferencing, housing placements, and the oversight of CE outcomes. Community matchers manage the community queue and connect people to housing programs as quickly as possible to match their level of need. The CS Committee reviews performance indicators, monitors system flow and equity.

4) The CES takes steps to reduce burdens on those seeking assistance by understanding the needs of the population being served. Coordinated entry can be accessed virtually for housing assessments and supportive services. Integration of assessments into HMIS allows for provider-to-provider communication eliminating the burden of having to retell information and allowing a client to stay active in the system if accessing any kind of supportive services eliminating the need to check in monthly as previously required. This also allows for multiple services to be provided such as documentation readiness, etc. Translations and touch tone lines are available as needed.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry—Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies—Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:	
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;	
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and	
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Southern Nevada Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures describes how the CoC affirmatively markets housing and supportive services to eligible persons who are least likely to apply in the absence of special outreach or who fall into homeless subpopulations. Marketing is conducted via multiple media outlets including print and electronic media. All marketing campaigns are designed to ensure that all people have fair and equal access to the CE process.

2)NV-500 provides training at least annually for organizations to understand participant rights and how to inform clients of the rights afforded to them under federal, state and other laws. All physical CE sites are accessible to individuals with disabilities, including wheelchair access and interpretation services for clients with hearing impairment and/or who need assistance in languages other than English. All staff administering CE assessments are trained in cultural and linguistic competency to reduce barriers to housing. Training on trauma-informed assessment techniques and safety planning are especially helpful for those serving survivors of domestic violence and those fleeing trafficking.

3)When a complaint relating to the CE process is received, the Coordinated Services (CS) Committee will complete an investigation within 30 days including interviews with persons likely to have relevant knowledge. The CS Committee will summarize their findings including their recommended actions to prevent further discrimination. The report is kept on file for 2 years. Jurisdictional partners responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan are members of the CS Committee. Case Managers also advocate on behalf of their clients and encourage them to utilize the Tenants Resolution Center through Nevada Legal Services.

1D-9.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	05/31/2021

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC’s Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC’s provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and |
| 2. | how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC’s provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)Equity analysis, done in collaboration with technical assistance providers is performed at all levels, within every committee, for every NV-500 process, and each data pull. To identify disparities within homeless services, data is disaggregated and analyzed. The NV-500 makes process adjustments as needed to ensure that our system is fair and equitable for all populations. The last formal, large-scale equity analysis was completed in May 2021 when NV-500 requested ICF International Inc., a HUD technical assistance provider, to assist in analysis of the different data sets of the homeless response system for racial equity. This assessment evaluated all aspects of the homeless system including entries and exits by race, ethnicity, gender, and age. The NV-500 Data Analyst and Data and HMIS Steering Committee regularly analyze data with an equity lens via Stella P, Point-In-Time and Housing Inventory Count.

2)Equity analysis was completed to identify services and outcomes for sub-populations compared against the overall population. The 2021 Racial Disparity Report indicated that while there were no clear indicators that racial disparity existed in the overall view of NV-500, there may be a disproportion in Clark County’s racial representation in poverty to homelessness. The Long Term Project Team and Coordinated Services (CS) Committee are evaluating equity as it relates to the new assessment and prioritization process. They look at the percent of people experiencing homelessness in Southern Nevada by race and ethnicity and comparing that to the percent of those same demographics in PSH, RRH, shelter placements, and exits. They have also combined intersectional identities like race, ethnicity and age; or race and ethnicity and sexual orientation to see how being a part of multiple marginalized communities affects how people are served. The team and committee are currently pulling this data to evaluate the first quarter of the new CE assessment. Additionally, they are evaluating who the assessors are and what demographics they represent to ensure that data collection on the front end is not biased.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.

1.	Are your CoC’s board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC’s geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes
6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	Yes
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes

9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 works to identify disparities, implement steps to improve outcomes and evaluate processes on a bi-annual basis with shorter more immediate assessments on a quarterly basis. People with lived experience are engaged in committees to ensure all perspectives are considered when making recommendations for system improvement related to addressing disparities and racial bias in the system. While a lack of affordable units is a barrier for all homeless populations, it is exacerbated for people with no/poor credit and criminal histories and who are disproportionately Black and brown (or BIPOC). The National Low-Income Housing Coalition recognizes Nevada as having the most severe shortage of units with only 18 per 100 units available for extremely low-income renters (30% area median income). The Landlord Engagement and Property Services team is composed of landlord specialists hired to deliver a curated message that educates landlords on fair housing, civil right requirements and works to reduce stigmas. In situations where a client presents barriers to housing, like criminal history, programs can refer to the specialist for placement. There are zip codes within NV-500 region where units are particularly hard to secure, and specialists work to build inventory of units across the geographic area to allow client preference. Through education, several landlords now waive certain requirements for program participants. The Public Housing Authority has applied for waivers to increase access and build equity for all populations. NV-500 recognizes the LGBTQ+ community is underserved, and a referral network is being established across medical providers, community service agencies and homeless service providers to support transition age youth with HIV/AIDS (20% of new HIV diagnoses occur in this age bracket). Through private funds Bright Star offers transitional, shared housing to LGBTQ+ people to prevent and alleviate homelessness. Youth who have been involved in foster care are at increased risk for homelessness. NV-500 partners with the Step-Up program to offer support to former foster care youth, ages 18 to 24 to prevent homeless entry. Many programs have client advisory groups or other formal ways to collect client feedback. Involvement at these levels improves delivery of services, program outcomes and success of clients to self-sufficiency, especially for underserved populations.

1D-9d.	Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The HMIS Steering Committee was established to review all data, including community indicators of increased risk of homelessness, to assist the CoC in making data informed recommendations and decisions. Data is disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender and age to evaluate where disparities exist. This group regularly communicates their findings and recommendations to the Board and relevant committees. Data collected, analyzed, and disseminated includes housing placements, exits, and system performance measures. The analysis from this group has led to ongoing equity work with multiple committees including the Coordinated Services (CS) Committee which focuses on client exits to self-sufficiency and the Operation Home! Initiative which set a goal for permanently housing more than 2000 individuals. Both groups now have disaggregated dashboards and other tools to ensure equity among these services. In June 2023, the HMIS Steering Committee brought key performance measures to the Board meeting and engaged the community in small and large group discussions on strategies to improve these measures while preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision of homeless services. The HMIS Steering Committee then summarized the information, and they will continue to move these strategies forward in committees, in project level communication, and community wide during Board updates. The CS Committee monitors the status of referrals in coordinated entry each month. The reason for denials is reviewed with a critical lens to ensure disparities are not perpetuated within coordinated entry.

2) NV-500 will use data from HIC, PIT, HMIS, and dashboards to help support the statistical analysis of these projects on preventing or eliminating racial disparities.

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC’s Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	
	Describe in the field below your CoC’s outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 prioritizes authentic collaborations with people who've recently experienced homelessness. Under direction of the Board, the Programs Committee developed a community plan to strengthen partnerships with those who have lived experience of homelessness during the most recent 7 years. This plan includes position descriptions, compensation details and an outreach plan so that NV-500 can engage those with lived experience in leadership roles and decision-making. The plan identifies additional supports like mentorship, professional development and trainings to help people with lived experience feel comfortable participating in discussions and formal meetings. NV-500 partners with the Nevada Homeless Alliance (NHA) to continue to recruit members. Consultants are recruited through word of mouth, flyers, and monthly newsletters. Those interested receive a questionnaire and are scheduled to meet with current Lived X team along with attending an NHA Pop Up event before being offered a decision. Currently, this team, Lived X Consultants has 10 members who meet regularly and participate in a variety of meetings that include decision-making on community-wide direction of services. Additionally, there are people with lived experience more than seven years ago who are active Board members, serve as directors of nonprofits, lead projects and participate in work groups. Through the Youth Action Board, NV-500 has provided professional development, employment, stipends, trainings, mentorship and pre/post-meeting debriefs for young people with lived experience to integrate them into the existing work groups and be part of planning from the start. The Youth Action Board consists of young people with lived experience who are Youth Working Group members. Youth attend Youth Working Group, hold a seat on the Board, and are welcome to participate in any other NV-500 meeting. The Quality Practice on Engagement of People with Lived Experience was co-created by the Lived X Consultants and provides a framework of engagement and minimum expectations for project-level implementation of activities that engage those with lived experience of homelessness. This includes hosting listening sessions and focus groups to improve service delivery, formalizing a grievance policy, and providing opportunities for clients to engage in program design and delivery.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	20	5
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	10	2
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	2	1
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	4	2

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NV-500 has contracted with Nevada Homeless Alliance (NHA) to provide support and professional development to the Lived X Consultants (Lived X) (individuals with lived experience) . NHA onboards, trains and encourages people with lived experience to take part in CoC activities and join Lived X. Stipends are provided via Planning Grant and other funds for all levels of engagement. Lived X members may be hired as independent contractors and are invited to attend training alongside case managers. Professional development courses such as Harm Reduction, Trauma-Informed Care, and Motivational Interviewing have prepared Lived X to be competitive in the hiring process and many have secured full-time employment with community providers including case manager positions, peer navigators, and street outreach workers. At a community level, position descriptions are reviewed to value experience in lieu of education to increase access and equity to employment. In January 2024, Lived X were prioritized for the Train the Trainer program for Housing Problem Solving. This opportunity has been identified to lead to fulltime employment for 3-5 Lived X. Additional positions have been created at NHA to lead programs recognizing that employing people with lived experience supports conversations with clients in the field, reduces client barriers, and supports the development of best practices, policies, and procedures. The Courtyard conducted a pilot program for 5 unsheltered individuals to receive training and employment support. Clients received 8 weeks of skill training, resume building, and mock interviews. Four have graduated and are employed full-time today. NV-500's collaborative applicant secured funding from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation for a two-year project to build youth voice and leaderships opportunities for youth with lived experience of homelessness as they engage in CoC Board, and other leadership positions to cultivate an inclusive approach to systems, policies, and procedures. This funding has created 2 full-time positions for young people with lived experience and 10 part-time positions as the first step. Their efforts will establish the collective expectations of our community on how to appropriately engage young people with lived experience, ensure adultism is not a factor when they present their views, and how to elevate youth voice among their peers while creating a platform to elevate real-time solutions in the community.

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

- | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness; |
| 2. | how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness; |

3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;
4.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
5.	steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 conducts a monthly meeting with the Coordinated Outreach Working Group (COWG) including attendance of all outreach teams, NV-500 funded agencies and all 4 jurisdictional partners. In this meeting, outreach teams discuss areas of improvement and obstacles being faced when conducting outreach. Because they are the first point of contact to those experiencing homelessness, the COWG can report feedback gathered during outreach. CoC Membership Meetings have a public comment opportunity where all community members, including those experiencing homelessness can address their concerns.

2) Outreach teams comprised of NV-500 funded agencies conduct outreach work daily. While conducting interviews, assessments and providing resources to those experiencing homelessness, outreach teams gather feedback. This feedback is shared during the COWG meetings that are held monthly with NV-500 leaders along with being shared at CoC Committee Meetings.

3) The CoC Programs Committee works with a contractor to develop client feedback tools in the formal monitoring process for CoC and ESG programs regarding client experience. Tools are used to create process improvement and monitoring. The CoC hosts client listening sessions and focus groups throughout the year to solicit feedback around system performance and improvements and gauge how service delivery is being delivered by providers. The NV-500 governance charter details a grievance policy should a client not be able to resolve concerns at the program level. The collaborative applicant accepts grievances from program participants if they are not able to reach resolution at the agency of service.

4) When people are exiting a CoC or ESG Program, exit interviews are conducted by agencies to identify concerns and challenges faced during the program. This feedback is used to brainstorm improvements and are shared in learning collaboratives and committees coordinated at the CoC level.

5) To address challenges and strengths about the coordinated entry (CE) system, an evaluation was conducted. Led by the Lived X Team, a secret shopper experience identified less than desired behaviors of frontline staff. Their findings were summarized at public meetings and the Board with suggestions made for system-wide improvements to ensure trauma-informed, client-centered practices were the minimum expectation of CE sites. A system revamp is in place due to the severity of the concerns brought forth by the Lived X Team.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	
	Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The collaborative applicant and other local jurisdictions worked diligently with BLM and HUD on an update to the Nevada Guidance document for processing BLM land reserved for Affordable Housing under SNPLMA 7(b). This new document was finalized in 2023 and the new, streamlined process enables the County to proceed with transactions more efficiently. Clark County currently has approximately 500-acres of BLM land reserved for the development of Affordable Housing and intends to facilitate the transfer of 2-3 of these sites annually for affordable housing under this newly adopted BLM/HUD guidance. As of May 2024, there are nearly 5,000 units of permanent affordable and supportive housing in the pipeline.

2)Clark County has drafted a rewrite of their Title 30 Development Code and will be presenting it for adoption later this year. The Community Housing Office (CHO) and other county staff have worked to incorporate incentives for the development of Affordable Housing. These incentives include:1) Density bonuses for all affordable housing projects, including senior housing, family housing, and supportive housing. These density bonuses are intended to lower the per unit cost of affordable housing and require certification by CHO staff; and 2) Reductions in parking requirements for Certified Affordable Housing projects to increase land use for housing options.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.	08/07/2024
2.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.	08/07/2024

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes
----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
--------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	100
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	20
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-RRH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	
--------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1) In the local project review and ranking process, NV-500 uses the Annual Performance Report, monitoring scores and program feedback to review system performance measures and other data metrics for clients in permanent housing (RRH, PSH, and Joint TH-RRH projects).
- 2) This past year, it has been difficult to secure permanent housing due to a tight housing market. Per the GAP report, there are only 17 out of 100 available and affordable units for extremely low-income renters. Many programs have used hotel/motel non-congregate shelters for clients enrolled in permanent housing programs until they are able to secure housing units. While this strategy does not shorten length of time homeless, it does ensure people are not living in unsheltered situations. NV-500 analyzed utilization rates and length of time homeless in the project review and ranking process.
- 3) Due to the above-mentioned housing challenges experienced in the NV-500 region, the majority of permanent supportive housing programs did not operate at full capacity during the most recent monitoring period. As such, Scoring and Ranking team had discussions with permanent supportive housing providers to better understand the optimal level so service that could be offered considering the community's current capacity for affordable housing units. This resulted in preservation of utilized beds to help continue to meet the needs of individuals with severe service needs and opportunities for technical assistance for projects.
- 4) In NV-500, single adults have the longest wait times on the community queue. This population makes up 90 percent of the homeless population. Through system modeling, the data shows there are not enough permanent housing (RRH and/or PSH) units to meet the needs of this population. Many are living in unsheltered situations, have adverse experience and continue to rise in vulnerability. Additionally, they have medical conditions that are exasperated in unsheltered conditions. New projects that leveraged health care options for clients were awarded bonus points for their commitment to serving the hardest to serve populations. Consideration was also given during the ranking process to preserve projects that served unique subpopulations such as youth. These projects have also been identified to improve the system flow and ensure clients have a variety of projects that match their myriads of needs.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;	
	2. how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
	3. how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Scoring and Ranking team is responsible to review, score and rank projects submitted in the local application process. The Programs Committee, who is responsible to oversee the local process worked to recruit diverse volunteers who also shared demographics of underserved communities that are over-represented in the homeless population. The team identified and selected a panel that represented the subpopulations served in projects including race, ethnicity, gender, identification as part of the LGBTQ+ community, and previous experience of homelessness or risk of homelessness. The Programs Committee was excited to see more diversity than previous years and will continue to strive for inclusive practices in the next year. Priorities used for the local competition were informed by provider surveys and client-level listening sessions.

2)While a contracted entity facilitated the scoring and ranking process, the team was responsible for all decisions related to the review, score and ranking of project applications. The contracted entity made recommendations based on the NOFO and best practice. This team carefully considered each proposal and its impact, carefully deliberated amongst one another, and came to consensus for accepted strategies and practices. Monthly presentations were made to the Board to keep them apprised of the intended process.

3)The Scoring and Ranking team considered Annual Performance Reports, monitoring scores and project feedback when scoring applications including race, ethnicity, gender, and other barriers to participation. The monitoring process also assesses if the program served the hardest to serve clients, such as individuals experiencing chronic homelessness, and the strategies used to eliminate barriers to program enrollment. A historic look back at project trends allowed the team to more fully understand the capacity of projects and their abilities to perform and reduce barriers for clients.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 encourages renewal projects to consider capacity, performance and need to determine if a voluntary reallocation is appropriate. Scoring and Ranking Policies and Procedures dictate that the Scoring and Ranking team may exercise discretion to reallocate funds from underperforming renewal projects if deemed necessary. Reasons for reallocation must be based on underperformance include, but are not limited to, a significant history of unspent funds and low utilization rates. The goal of reallocation is to create new, higher performing projects instead of continuing to fund underperforming projects. The project proposals are scored using objective criteria around system performance measures. On the Scoring and Ranking Day, the team reviews the Annual Performance Report's spend down and utilization metrics from the past three years. This information is displayed in visual graphs to clearly show patterns of past performance, need and capacity. After the local competition, NV-500 was notified of a project's ARA that needed to be updated. This caused for need to reallocate over \$8,000 from Tier 1 to Tier 2.

2) On Scoring and Ranking Day, based on data from annual progress reports, one project was identified as historically underperforming and having unspent funds. Based on the update of the ARD based on a project's updated ARA, one project voluntarily offered to reallocate the funding needed to avoid straddling projects between Tiers 1 and 2.

3) In this year's competition, the CoC through the Scoring and Ranking team partially reallocated one project. NV-500 credits this to the use of objective metrics to determine performance need and underspent funding and ultimately decision-making. This partially reallocated project allowed the team to prioritize new projects. One project voluntarily requested to fully reallocate their funding. This allowed for 4 new projects to be ranked in Tier 1.

4) n/a

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	Yes
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced—Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	No
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/02/2024

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/02/2024
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	10/21/2024
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC’s website or partner’s website.	10/24/2024
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Bitfocus, Inc.
--	----------------------------------------------------------------	----------------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	----------------------------------------------------------	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	05/09/2024
--	---------------------------------------------------------------	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
	1. describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and	
	2. state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 domestic violence dedicated providers are currently utilizing a private implementation of Clarity Human Services that serves as a comparable database, provided by Bitfocus, Inc., the Nevada HMIS vendor and system administrator. The comparable database, used to assess the scope of community needs related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking. The HMIS Lead meets monthly with Bitfocus, Inc. leadership to ensure HUD data standards are met. In addition, custom assessments have been added to the database, unique to survivors. The first of these is a Danger/Lethality Assessment, which is administered to survivors fleeing dangerous situations to identify risk factors and the degree of imminent danger to the client. The level of robust data within the Comparable Database allows for de-identified aggregate reporting of victim/survivor specific elements as well as community focused priorities, such as chronicity and system performance measures, while ensuring the safety and anonymity of the client. It also allows the community to more accurately examine fluctuations in severity for survivors seeking assistance, over time. This de-identified aggregate data is available to CoC, HMIS leads, and to the CoC working groups that analyze performance measures to support project outcomes.

2) DV housing and service providers in NV-500 are using a HUD-compliant comparable database which is compliant with FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards. NV-500's HMIS system administrator, Bitfocus implemented changes to specific program elements, project information, auto-functions, language updates, gender, funding sources and coordinated entry to ensure compliance with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards for all projects statewide.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	3,482	238	3,482	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	703	0	703	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	1,872	50	1,872	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	2,142	0	2,142	100.00%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	628	0	628	100.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
NOFO Section V.B.3.c.		
For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:		
1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and	
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
NOFO Section V.B.3.d.		
You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.		

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/25/2024
--	-------------------------------------------------------	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	04/09/2024
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC’s Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process;	
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process; and	
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC’s most recent unsheltered PIT count.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The 2024 Point-In-Time (PIT) Lead engaged youth service providers through the monthly Youth Working Group meetings. The Youth Working Group consists of the youth emergency shelter, drop-in center and permanent housing projects as well as interested stakeholders, like Title I Hope, child welfare, employment partners, etc. The PIT count was a standing agenda item in the months leading up to January and members were able to provide input on youth-specific strategies. Two of the larger youth providers also joined planning sessions hosted by the PIT lead as well.

2)Using street outreach data from HMIS, a geographic information system (ARC GIS), and data from youth serving organizations, tracts where young people congregated in higher density were identified. Those tracts where homeless youth are most likely to be identified were covered by agencies specializing in youth services and the young people they serve.

3)Agencies were able to incentivize youth counters and those with lived experience of homelessness with \$50 gift cards for each shift they covered in the FY 2024 PIT.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
3.	describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
5.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1) There were no changes made to the sheltered PIT count.
- 2) There were no methodology changes in this year's unsheltered PIT count compared to the previous year.
- 3) We were not affected from a natural disaster in this year's PIT count.
- 4) Not Applicable
- 5) Not Applicable

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC Programs Committee analyzes homeless and community data and its trends to understand homelessness and implement systemwide improvement strategies. A lead driver of homelessness is the lack of affordable housing. The GAP Report cited Nevada as only having 14 out of 100 units available for extremely low-income renters and 27 per 100 for low-income renters. The lack of affordable housing and an aging population has contributed to the risk factors of households experiencing first-time homelessness.

2)NV-500 is expanding Housing Problem Solving to prevent first time homelessness. This strategy supports prevention, diversion, and rapid exit of the homeless continuum of care by working with clients to identify personal resources to remain stably housed and increase successful exits to permanent destinations using non-system solutions. A Housing Problem Solving Train the Trainer session on October 23, 2023, will support the expansion of this initiative to a larger number of agencies in NV-500 including faith-based organizations, street outreach teams, and non-traditional providers. Shared Housing has also been identified as a tool to reduce the risk of individuals and families becoming homeless. With the increasing housing costs. In the 3rd year of implementation, the NV-500 Shared Housing Coordinator and Peer Housing Navigator work collaboratively with households, case managers, and landlords to find suitable units and households with similar characteristics indicating a potential match. The voluntary matching process is for households that have not pre-identified a potential housemate and is moderated by the Peer Housing Navigator. Flexible funding supports these matches by providing landlord incentives and deposits when necessary. These 2 examples along with coordination of local, state, federal and private funds are strategies to address the needs of those at risk of becoming homeless.

3)The CoC Programs Committee is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s performance measures

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC’s Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	natural disasters?	No
2.	having recently arrived in your CoC’s geographic area?	No

2C-2.	Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)While the average length of time homeless (LOTH) has remained stable over the past year, NV-500 has recognized an increased number of households experiencing homelessness since the COVID pandemic. The end of the eviction moratorium, low wages, and a large influx of people moving into NV-500 has contributed to an increase in homelessness. To mitigate the long wait times to be matched with a housing vacancy program via the community queue, non-congregate shelter (NCS) expanded to reduce the number of unsheltered households. The lack of affordable units and landlord expectations that clients have income at least double of the rent has made it difficult for clients to transition from NCS to permanent housing. To address this barrier, most programs including local, state and federally funded, offer similar landlord incentives and 24/7 landlord support to encourage leasing up of our clients. Additional voucher programs such as Emergency Housing Voucher and the Stability Voucher Program have also been used to reduce LOTH. permanent housing units. The coordinated entry system’s assessment tools identify individuals and families with the longest LOTH, the most vulnerable, and those who have recently re-entered homelessness to offer the most appropriate level of intervention to end homelessness as quickly as possible. The most intensive programs (permanent supportive housing) are reserved for the most vulnerable clients with at least 12 months of homelessness accompanied by a disabling condition.

2)NV-500 targets long stayers of shelters to support exits to permanent supportive housing by reducing barriers to exit and providing a warm hand-off to program staff. The Coordinated Outreach Working Group hosts bi-weekly meetings with outreach teams for the “Top 20”. The Top 20 are the next 20 clients identified for PSH housing. By conducting outreach in anticipation of a program vacancy, the client can prepare mentally, emotionally, and physically for a move into a housing program. This may include getting documents necessary for signing a lease and identifying potential housemates for shared housing options.

3)The Coordinated Outreach Working Group and CoC Programs Committee are the two primary agencies who monitor LOTH and provide recommendations for system improvements.

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The rate of exits from emergency shelters, transition housing and rapid rehousing to permanent housing destination increased between 2023/2024. NV-500's strategies to increase rate of exits to permanent destinations are multi-faceted. First, local funders require shelters and housing providers to implement housing first. In provider conversations, the shift to housing first allowed transformation within service delivery, increasing capacity for comprehensive supportive services to promote participant self-sufficiency. Housing first focuses on getting people into housing, above all other service strategies, such as recovery etc. It is also a retention strategy as it works with landlords and service providers to avoid evictions. NV-500 supports the housing problem solving that includes prevention, diversion, and rapid exit. Systemwide implementation avoids first time homeless and reduce LOTH as it encourages accessing unique and personal resources. NV-500 promotes peer-to-peer support and local jurisdictions provide funding support to engage people with lived experience in service delivery.

2)NV-500's has 5 primary strategies to increase the rate permanent housing clients obtain permanent housing and retain their unit upon exit or are exited to a permanent destination. First, increase affordable housing units through development and supportive policies. Second, align rapid rehousing units to client budget to ensure self-sufficiency at the end and staff must provide the necessary supportive services to achieve this independence. Third, connect eligible people to their SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOAR) benefits as a sustainable source of income. Fourth, Coordinated Services Committee employs Mainstream Voucher Program and Emergency Housing Vouchers to create system churn and support exits to permanent destinations. Fifth, the Landlord Engagement and Property Services team works to educate landlord and embrace housing first to avoid evictions whenever possible.

3)The Emergency Shelter and Rapid Rehousing Learning Collabs., and HMIS Steering Committee report to the NV-500 Board for their implemented strategies. SOAR-related activities are reviewed by the Programs Committee. County Resource and Development staff monitor housing first of temporary shelters, peer-to-peer efforts, and implementation of housing problem solving.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Street outreach and coordinated entry assessment tools collect information around returns to homelessness from the client at engagement. The coordinated entry call-line allows past clients familiar with the system and who may have limited transportation to call for a housing assessment. This information is also posted to the NV-500 website. HMIS allows NV-500 to analyze demographic, trends and rates of persons and families who return to homelessness. In addition to evaluating system-wide recidivism results displayed in HUD system performance measures, individual agencies can monitor their own programs' rates of return. By running a Program Recidivism Report from HMIS, programs can determine the number of clients who exited during a specific date range, the number of clients that exited to permanent destinations, and the number of clients returning to homelessness. Programs can also review the average number of days from program exit to re-entry. These agency-level reports encourage agencies to evaluate their approach to housing people, including considering permanency of exit destination and post follow-up supports.

2) The local jurisdictions work to leverage additional funds to support robust supportive services that empower clients to become self-sufficient. Case managers work to connect clients to supportive services including counseling, treatment and other services that support client stability. To reduce returns to homelessness, clients receive assistance in accessing public benefits and other community resources they can rely on after program exit. Providers offer tenancy training that teaches clients landlord interaction and be a successful tenant. Program graduates are encouraged to remain in touch with case managers. CoC providers continue to check-in and provide supportive services for 6 months post discharge. Several providers plan social events, like going to a baseball game, to normalize a client's transition from homelessness to housing.

3) The HMIS Steering Committee tracks recidivism rates at the system-level. The Programs Committee evaluates project performance, including returns to homelessness, through annual external monitoring. Each provider has a Partner Agency Data Lead who regularly meets with the system administrator, Bitfocus, receives technical assistance and training. This person can pull the necessary HMIS report. At least annually, the Board reviews system performance/returns to homelessness.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) NV-500 is constantly working with stakeholders to provide workforce development and training to clients experiencing homelessness. Clark County Social Service (CCSS) launched two workforce development projects to aid in quality-of-life improvements for clients: The Culinary Academy of Las Vegas and the BETterment Program. NV-500 continues working with Workforce Connections to strengthen partnerships and implement strategies to provide broader access to employment/training services to individuals experiencing homelessness including new library access points for training and employment. NV-500 works with CoC funded agencies who offer employment services such as Lutheran Social Services and The Salvation Army. Youth providers have established a hiring preference for their clients at area Terrible Herbst gas stations. One emergency shelter has set aside 65 beds for clients who are employed to allow them a consistent place to stay while they work towards self-sufficiency through the Renewing Hope Project.

2) The City of Las Vegas partners with a staffing agency to employ individuals accessing shelters at the Courtyard Homeless Resource Center in positions such as operations worker or peer navigator. Providers ResCare and HELP of SNV receive WIOA funds from workforce training and skills development. Vegas PBS provides vocational assistance and adult education to increase access to employment. To help individuals and families increase their cash income, agency advocates work with clients to address barriers to employment such as lack of identification, job training, criminal history, and assistance obtaining needed work cards, health cards and other certifications. Intensive case managers address employment at the start of, during and after exiting the program. Clients are referred to NV Partners, Workforce Connections, and others job skill training and employment assistance agencies. NV-500 hosts monthly Learning Collaboratives in which organizations come and present on their job resources available. Opportunity Village, Desra Industries, and RTDS Trucking are a few examples.

3) Oversight of the NV-500's strategy to increase job and income growth from employment is provided by the CoC Programs Committee. The CoC Programs Committee evaluates project performance, including cash income/employment, through annual external monitoring. This measure is also used by the Scoring and Ranking Team in the scoring of project applications in the local competition.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) There was a 17.3% increase for non-employment cash income when compared against the previous year. SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOAR) trained case managers encourage all who qualify for mainstream benefits to apply and assist clients with applications for program enrollment. In addition to the SSI/SSDI, Mainstream Programs Basic Training teaches providers on the application process for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF). Many providers offer on-site application support to clients. For former foster care youth who participate in the Step-Up program, a monthly stipend of \$971 helps to support these young people. Homeless service providers refer Veterans to specific Veterans Affairs resources. If applicable, providers can refer to nonprofit legal services to help single parents obtain child support, as well as alimony, other spousal support and unemployment benefits.

2) The Nevada Department of Health and Human Services process all the SOAR applications. All SOAR-related activities at the program-level are tracked through HMIS. The state Division of Welfare and Supportive Services provides oversight for the TANF benefit. The state Division of Child and Family Services oversees the former foster care stipend. Nevada Homeless Alliance provides oversight of the Mainstream Programs Basic Training. Homeless service providers are responsible through case management to provide legal referrals to clients as appropriate. The Programs Committee evaluates project performance, including non-employment cash income, through annual external monitoring. This measure is also used by the Scoring and Ranking Team in the scoring of project applications in the local competition. Since 2021, the collaborative applicant works alongside the State Dept. of Public and Behavioral Health's Medicaid Office on the 1915i waiver, including standards of care, that once approved will allow SOAR activities to be reimbursed by Medicaid.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
Healthy Living - ...	PH-PSH	16	Healthcare
Healthy Living - ...	PH-PSH	17	Healthcare
Healthy Living - ...	PH-PSH	18	Healthcare
Permanent Support...	PH-PSH	26	Housing

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? Healthy Living - Silver Summit
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): LXMNWUXQYUB6
3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 16
5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? Healthy Living - Anthem
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): LXMNWUXQYUB6
3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 17
5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? Healthy Living - Health Plan of Nevada
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): LXMNWUXQYUB6

3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 18

5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? Permanent Supportive Housing

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): GTACCD2L8YP8

3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 26

5. Select the type of leverage: Housing

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

3C-2.	Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?		Yes
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Data Assessing Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) and I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	1,344
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	1,107
3.	Unmet Need:	237

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c)	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and	
	2. the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or	
	3. if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)HMIS reports 1,107 households that have reported DV being served in NV-500 programs. During the 2024 point in time (PIT) count, 237 individuals experiencing homelessness expressed they had experienced domestic violence.

2)HMIS and the comparable database were used to generate these numbers related to clients currently being served in programs. HMIS, ARC GIS, and Survey 123 were used to identify the number of DV survivors needing housing or services during the PIT count.

3)The barrier to housing all DV survivors needing housing or services is a lack of resources. Since 2020, the demand for housing has required that providers serve only the highest scoring clients on the lethality score threshold. This means that the demand for shelter is so limited that only survivors at the highest risk of being killed by their partner are able to be served by the current housing programs. According to the 2023 Domestic Violence Counts Report Nevada Summary, on a single day in Nevada, 692 victims were served. This included more than 40 requests for housing and emergency shelter that were unable to be provided due to a lack of resources. As NV-500 accounts for more than 75% of the population of Nevada, this equates to approximately 30 requests a day from survivors that go unmet. For SafeNest, a confidential DV shelter in Las Vegas, this has resulted in them operating at or over capacity consistently for the past 3 years. As the population of NV-500 continues to grow, the need for comprehensive, confidential, supportive services and safe housing for those fleeing abuse continues to be greater than what is available. This data has resulted in NV-500 prioritizing the need for services in our funding request.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	
	Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.	

Applicant Name
Safe Nest: Tempor...
FirstMed Health a...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis, Inc.
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors—Percentage	100%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors—Percentage	70%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

- 1)The calculation is based on cumulative totals directly from annual progress reports generated by HMIS comparable database reports.
- 2)Yes, the rates account for exits to safe housing destinations.
- 3))The calculation is based on cumulative totals directly from annual progress reports generated by HMIS comparable database reports. Safe Nest will calculate the rate of housing retention by completing a comparison analysis of the total number of survivors entering our RRH program compared to the number of the survivors reported as successfully graduating from the program.
- 4)Safe Nest currently uses NewOrg as our case management reporting system. NewOrg is a secure cloud-based data management system where all our survivor services are reported, and outcomes are managed. All survivors receiving services through Safe Nest to include our RRH program, will be entered into NewOrg. All services provided and outcomes will be documented, to maintain quality data and verify program compliance.

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:
1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan;
3.	determined survivors' supportive services needs;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1) Hope for Survivors (HFS) is a scattered site Rapid Rehousing (RRH) service model aimed at serving survivors of domestic violence and their families. Units may be in urban, suburban, or rural settings throughout Clark County. A total of 22 households made up of 1-, 2-, and 3-bedroom units are occupied on any given day; these additional homes will help reduce the burden currently placed on domestic violence shelters that are often at capacity. An estimated 44-65 individuals (adults and children) are served each year.
- 2) All participants are referred from the Southern Nevada Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordinated Entry (CE) System. The CE system utilizes specific rating criteria that preserve client confidentiality while determining that the individual is homeless and that they have experienced DV or trafficking.
- 3) Survivors experiencing homelessness receive intensive case management and supportive services that meet their individual needs.
- 4) Each survivor is linked to a Hope Advocate who works with them throughout the program and assist them in removing the individual's barriers to permanent housing. Support services provided to the participants include mental health and substance use therapy, employment and education opportunities, childcare, transportation, and all items necessary to immediately begin living independently and provide for the safety of themselves and their children.
- 5) Through active participation in the program, DV and trafficking survivors gain confidence and access to resources that empower them to have a reduced likelihood of returning to homelessness and becoming involved in a relationship where they can again be re-victimized. The goal of the program is that 70% of those exiting the program exit into a safe environment and do not return to living with an abusive partner.

4A-3d.	Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;
3.	keeping survivors' information and locations confidential;
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1) All referrals received from the DV Coordinated Entry queue are through a process that secures confidentiality. All client information is entered into HMIS using a unique numerical identifier, and no client demographics are included in their file. During intake appointments for households with multiple adults, they are interviewed individually and privately to prevent potential coercion.
- 2) All clients participate in the selection of a housing unit. Using a scattered-site option, clients may select from several local areas where they feel safe and unknown to their abuser. Safety planning is done with all clients.
- 3) Enrollment in the Confidential Address Program (CAP) is optional, and staff are trained in the precepts and guidelines dealing with confidentiality and preservation of client records and anonymity. Clients are schooled in safe use of social media and personal protection safeguards.
- 4) Safe Nest staff receives the latest trauma-informed care and best practices training for domestic violence, including the Violence Against Women Act, Honoring Survivors & Victims, Legal Protections for Survivors, Housing Services for DV and Assault Victims, and CAP Certification. Safe Nest provides quarterly training and collaborates with program staff on an ongoing basis.
- 5) Scattered-site units wherein survivor households may be placed must meet conditions of a safety checklist, and it is not uncommon to provide other window and door locks with the landlord's consent. All placed households are trained in safety plans and exit strategies in the event of discovery by an abuser. Clients may be enrolled in the Confidential Address Program to maintain confidentiality around their location.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Safe Nest is the leading DV provider in NV-500, and have a focus on trauma-informed care and best practices. SafeNest provides refresher and updated trainings every quarter to ensure the most relevant information and training is available to all case managers. All data related to DV clients is entered into HMIS using a unique identifier for anonymous tracking of services. If there are multiple adults in the household, they are interviewed individually and privately to ensure safety. For clients who need an address, the "Confidential Address Program" is provided for use so that clients aren't able to be located by a published address. If a client is found by their abuser, protocols are in place to immediately transfer them to an agency shelter and then move to a different property.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:
1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;
2.	placing survivors in permanent housing;
3.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and
4.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1) Safe Nest has been delivering services to survivors of domestic violence for more than 47 years by providing safe, confidential shelter, crisis response, advocacy, counseling, and extensive supportive services to help survivors and their families on a path toward healing and abuse-free lives with the goals of increasing safety and breaking the generational cycle of violence. When a survivor identifies needing safe housing, staff complete the process of adding this survivor and children (if applicable) to the community coordinated entry lead agency to access community prioritized housing supports. Survivors are assigned a Hope Advocate (case managers) who provide wrap around supportive and care coordination services.
- 2) Safe Nest's RRH program, Operation Fresh Start, has provided scattered-site apartments subsidized by the agency for survivors of domestic violence who are transitioning from shelter to independent living. In 2023, Safe Nest served 13 households, consisting of 37 primary and secondary survivors in Operation Fresh Start. This totaled to 1,616 bed-nights of RRH to survivors of domestic violence, sexual violence, and/or sex trafficking, who were experiencing HUD Homelessness Category 4.
- 3) All Safe Nest's programs prioritize utilizing a trauma-informed, person-centered approach where survivors are active leaders in their care and the types of services they receive. Safe Nest RRH program utilizes a scattered housing approach, which will promote survivor choice in the type of rental unit and neighborhoods they prefer to live. Safe Nest Hope Advocates continue to develop landlord partnerships throughout the service area, to support survivor choice and safety in where they live.
- 4) Throughout every service Safe Nest provides, needs assessments and safety planning are continually completed with the survivor by staff by utilizing motivational interviewing and person-centered approach model. Hope Nest supports survivors in the process of self-identifying and advocating for their needs to be met. As Safe Nest Hope Advocates work with survivors entered in their RRH program, they continue to complete and reference these needs assessments and safety plans to identify, recommend, and provide the services and housing which best meets the survivors' reported needs.

4A-3f.	Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:	
1.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;	
2.	providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;	
3.	emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	

4.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1) Safe Nest has multiple staff members who are domestic violence certified and have successfully completed 40-hour training courses that allow them to provide confidential support services. Survivors experiencing homelessness will receive intensive case management and supportive services that meet their individual needs. Safe Nest has adopted the evidence-based framework of Trauma-Informed Care across all direct service programs, and through trauma-informed advocacy staff members work to build rapport with program participants. All Safe Nest services are confidential, trauma-informed, individualized, and survivor-driven. Safe Nest also directly provides funds for continuing training opportunities each year for staff to keep current on Trauma-Informed Care service provisions.

2) Survivors will be linked to a Hope Advocate who will work with them throughout the program and assist them in removing the individual's barriers to permanent housing. Support services provided to the participants include mental health and substance use therapy, employment and education opportunities, childcare, transportation, and all items necessary to immediately begin living independently and provide for the safety of themselves and their children.

3) A major facet of Safe Nest's approach to direct service delivery focuses on empowerment-based programming, where survivors create individualized plans and goals with their advocates and counselors who support them to make their own choices as they work to recover from abuse and regain their independence. Through active participation in the program, DV and trafficking survivors will gain confidence and access to resources that will empower them to have a reduced likelihood of returning to homelessness and becoming involved in a relationship where they can again be re-victimized.

4) Safe Nest works with Immigrant Home Foundation, the LGBTQ+ Center of Southern Nevada, St. Jude's Ranch for Children, Signs of Hope, Salvation Army, The Animal Foundation, Wagging Tails Rescue, tribal communities, and many others, to help increase needed resources for survivors & their families.

5) Safe Nest's counseling program provides individual and group therapy for participants when active in the program and anytime thereafter as needed. For additional substance use or mental health counseling, Safe Nest's staff provide clients with referrals and transportation to local recovery groups, Westcare, and Seven Hills, as well as advocacy for clients to help them maintain their sobriety, thus increasing their ability to achieve self-sufficiency. Safe Nest also has an MOU with Desert Parkway, who assists Safe Nest with mental health crisis assessments and emergency referrals as needed.

6) Safe Nest partners with Las Vegas Urban League, Boys and Girls Club, and Goodwill of Southern Nevada to provide clients with childcare assistance, resume assistance, and job placement opportunities. They also partner with law enforcement, criminal justice, immigration, and specific programs including Las Vegas Metro Police Department, Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada and Immigrant Home Foundation.

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Safe Nest has provided domestic violence services in Southern Nevada for nearly 5 decades, and therefore Safe Nest's numerous collaborations and longstanding relationships in the community with varied types of agencies help contribute to Safe Nest's wrap-around service provision. In the Hope For Survivors program, staff will provide clients with direct connections to community resources that help to meet their needs by inviting partners on-site to connect with clients, utilizing social service hubs, participating in Nevada 211, and employing Safe Nest's numerous MOUs with local agencies that provide a range of supportive services. It is crucial that program participants quickly access income, especially when rental subsidies are limited. Through intensive case management, Safe Nest provides clients with assistance in accessing public benefits immediately upon entering the program, if benefits haven't already been secured while the client was in the shelter program. Safe Nest works closely with Nevada DHHS and Clark County DFS to connect clients with TANF and other supportive services to provide basic needs for their families. Safe Nest's collaborative partnerships with Unshakeable and Nevada Partners provide participants with career counseling and job readiness training. Safe Nest also partners with Las Vegas Urban League, Boys and Girls Club, and Goodwill of Southern Nevada to provide clients with childcare assistance, resume assistance, and job placement opportunities. These community resources are an essential element of Safe Nest's goal in providing clients with individualized support to lead them on a path of independence. For healthcare, Safe Nest partners with Neighborhood Clinic, who provides free medical care to Safe Nest clients. Safe Nest also has an MOU with FirstMed, who refers trafficking clients to Safe Nest for shelter & supportive services. Safe Nest's counseling program provides individual and group therapy for participants when active in the program and anytime thereafter as needed. For additional substance use or mental health counseling, Safe Nest's staff provide clients with referrals and transportation to local recovery groups, Westcare, and Seven Hills, as well as advocacy for clients to help them maintain their sobriety, thus increasing their ability to achieve self-sufficiency. Safe Nest also has an MOU with Desert Parkway, who assists Safe Nest with mental health crisis assessments and emergency referrals as needed. Safe Nest works with law enforcement, criminal justice, immigration, and culturally specific programs including Las Vegas Metro Police Department, Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Immigrant Home Foundation, the LGBTQ+ Center of Southern Nevada, St. Jude's Ranch for Children, Signs of Hope, Salvation Army, The Animal Foundation, Wagging Tails Rescue, UNLV, tribal communities, and many others, to help improve safety and increase needed resources for survivors and their families.

4A-3h.	Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:	
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;
2.	place program participants in permanent housing;
3.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and
4.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Safe Nest will continue to provide safe, confidential shelter, crisis response, advocacy, counseling, and extensive supportive services to help survivors and their families on a path toward healing and abuse-free lives with the goals of increasing safety and breaking the generational cycle of violence. When a survivor identifies needing safe housing, staff will complete the process of adding this survivor and children (if applicable) to the community coordinated entry lead agency to access community prioritized housing supports. Survivors are assigned a Hope Advocate (case managers) who will provide wrap around supportive and care coordination services.

2) Safe Nest's RRH program, Operation Fresh Start, has provided scattered-site apartments subsidized by the agency for survivors of domestic violence who are transitioning from shelter to independent living. In 2023, Safe Nest served 13 households, consisting of 37 primary and secondary survivors in Operation Fresh Start. This totaled to 1,616 bed-nights of RRH to survivors of domestic violence, sexual violence, and/or sex trafficking, who were experiencing HUD Homelessness Category 4. This new DV Bonus project will allow for Safe Nest to serve more survivors and those that previously were not able to be served due to lack of availability.

3) All Safe Nest's programs will continue to prioritize utilizing a trauma-informed, person-centered approach where survivors are active leaders in their care and the types of services they receive. Safe Nest RRH program will utilize a scattered housing approach, which will promote survivor choice in the type of rental unit and neighborhoods they prefer to live. Safe Nest Hope Advocates will continue to develop landlord partnerships throughout the service area, to support survivor choice and safety in where they live.

4) Throughout every service Safe Nest provides, needs assessments and safety planning will be completed with the survivor by utilizing motivational interviewing and a person-centered approach model. Hope Nest supports survivors in the process of self-identifying and advocating for their needs to be met. As Safe Nest Hope Advocates work with survivors entered in their RRH program, they will continue to complete and reference these needs assessments and safety plans to identify, recommend, and provide the services and housing which best meets the survivors' reported needs.

4A-3i.	Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:

1.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

- 1) Safe Nest has multiple staff members who are domestic violence certified and have successfully completed 40-hour training courses that allow them to provide confidential support services. Survivors experiencing homelessness will receive intensive case management and supportive services that meet their individual needs. Safe Nest has adopted the evidence-based framework of Trauma-Informed Care across all direct service programs, and through trauma-informed advocacy staff members work to build rapport with program participants. All Safe Nest services are confidential, trauma-informed, individualized, and survivor-driven. Safe Nest also directly provides funds for continuing training opportunities each year for staff to keep current on Trauma-Informed Care service provisions.
- 2) Survivors will be linked to a Hope Advocate who will work with them throughout the program and assist them in removing the individual's barriers to permanent housing. Support services provided to the participants include mental health and substance use therapy, employment and education opportunities, childcare, transportation, and all items necessary to immediately begin living independently and provide for the safety of themselves and their children.
- 3) A major facet of Safe Nest's approach to direct service delivery focuses on empowerment-based programming, where survivors create individualized plans and goals with their advocates and counselors who support them to make their own choices as they work to recover from abuse and regain their independence. Services are guided by the client and then supported by case manager.
- 4) Safe Nest works with Immigrant Home Foundation, the LGBTQ+ Center of Southern Nevada, St. Jude's Ranch for Children, Signs of Hope, Salvation Army, The Animal Foundation, Wagging Tails Rescue, tribal communities, and many others, to help increase needed resources for survivors & their families.
- 5) Safe Nest's counseling program provides individual and group therapy for participants when active in the program and anytime thereafter as needed. For additional substance use or mental health counseling, Safe Nest's staff provide clients with referrals and transportation to local recovery groups, Westcare, and Seven Hills, as well as advocacy for clients to help them maintain their sobriety, thus increasing their ability to achieve self-sufficiency.
- 6) Safe Nest partners with Las Vegas Urban League, Boys and Girls Club, and Goodwill of Southern Nevada to provide clients with childcare assistance, resume assistance, and job placement opportunities. They also partner with law enforcement, criminal justice, immigration, and specific programs including Las Vegas Metro Police Department, Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada and Immigrant Home Foundation.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | with a range of lived expertise; and |
| 2. | in policy and program development throughout the project's operation. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Individuals who have been affected by domestic violence and homelessness have been a part of Safe Nest throughout its nearly 5 decades of service as employees, contractors, executive staff, and volunteers. Currently, multiple Board and team members have been victims of or affected by domestic, sexual violence, and homelessness; either as an adult or during their childhood, and two current Board members are former clients of Safe Nest. Safe Nest engages with lived experience experts in multiple ways that help inform positive, survivor-centered changes to programming. Safe Nest is committed to hearing their stories and incorporating their experiences into programs and services to address what is needed. Safe Nest hosts a monthly “Coffee with the CEO” for current shelter clients to engage with the CEO face-to-face to discuss their needs, barriers, and suggestions, and house meetings for shelter residents and staff are held at least monthly. Safe Nest collaborates with community homeless service providers and other human service partners in efforts to stop the cycle of domestic violence and homelessness. Safe Nest also operates a VOICES Speaker’s Bureau that is comprised of former clients and survivors of domestic violence. The speakers help give victims a voice by providing community education and advocating for community & policy changes that support survivors.

2) Safe Nest will ask clients to complete an anonymous survey to track whether clients have a better understanding of community resources available to assist them, if they feel they can better plan for their safety, overall quality of services they received, likelihood that they would recommend Safe Nest’s services to someone they know and a comment section where they can give feedback. Agency leadership reviews survey data monthly and utilize this information to assess the efficacy of services, identify gaps, gauge training opportunities for staff, and implement program changes. Safe Nest has assembled a project work group examining issues of service accessibility including staff, volunteers, and current/past clients being served. The group will be tasked with reviewing survey and client outcome data and implementing changes to agency procedures to better support clients.

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC’s FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	FirstMed Health and Wellness Center
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	100%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	80%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:	
	1. how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;	
	2. whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;	
	3. how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and	
	4. the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).	

(limit 1,500 characters)

- 1)The calculation is based on cumulative totals directly from annual progress reports generated by HMIS comparable database reports.
- 2)Yes, the rates account for exits to safe housing destinations.
- 3))The calculation is based on cumulative totals directly from annual progress reports generated by HMIS comparable database reports. FirstMed will calculate the rate of housing retention by completing a comparison analysis of the total number of survivors entering the program compared to the number of the survivors reported as successfully graduating from the program. Successful graduation from the program is defined as a survivor who maintains housing throughout their time in the program and then shows ability to sustain housing even after exiting.
- 4)HMIS secure, cloud-based, comparable database for DV providers where all survivor services are reported, and outcomes are managed.

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:	
	1. ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;	
	2. prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan;	
	3. determined survivors' supportive services needs;	
	4. connected survivors to supportive services; and	
	5. moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1) FirstMed will use a scattered site Rapid Rehousing (RRH) service model aimed at serving survivors of domestic violence and their families. Units may be in urban, suburban, or rural settings throughout Clark County. A total of 16-17 households will be occupied on any given day; these additional homes will help reduce the burden currently placed on domestic violence shelters that are often at capacity. An estimated 50 households will be served each year.
- 2) All participants will be referred from the Southern Nevada Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordinated Entry (CE) System. The CE system will utilize specific rating criteria that preserve client confidentiality while determining that the individual is homeless and that they have experienced DV or trafficking.
- 3) Survivors experiencing homelessness will receive intensive case management and supportive services that meet their individual needs.
- 4) FirstMed’s five-pronged service model includes primary care, behavioral healthcare, medically assisted treatment for substance use, HIV prevention, and supportive services. The Cupcake Girls will provide trauma-informed care, crisis intervention, advocacy, and emotional support.
- 5) Beyond healthcare, FirstMed’s program will offer assistance with rent, moving expenses, and furnishings to remove financial barriers to housing. We will also provide education services in partnership with K-12 Stride, vocational training opportunities, monthly stipends for food and hygiene products, and quarterly outreach events to foster community engagement and personal growth.

4A-3d.	Applicant’s Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors’ Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
	1. taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
	2. making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
	3. keeping survivors’ information and locations confidential;	
	4. training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
	5. taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors’ physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1) All referrals received from the DV Coordinated Entry queue are through a process that secures confidentiality. All client information is entered into HMIS using a unique numerical identifier, and no client demographics are included in their file. During intake appointments for households with multiple adults, they are interviewed individually and privately to prevent potential coercion.
- 2) All clients participate in the selection of a housing unit. Using a scattered-site option, clients may select from several local areas where they feel safe and unknown to their abuser. Safety planning is done with all clients.
- 3) Enrollment in the Confidential Address Program (CAP) is optional, and staff are trained in the precepts and guidelines dealing with confidentiality and preservation of client records and anonymity. Clients are schooled in safe use of social media and personal protection safeguards.
- 4) FirstMed staff receives the latest trauma-informed care and best practices training for domestic violence, including the Violence Against Women Act, Honoring Survivors & Victims, Legal Protections for Survivors, Housing Services for DV and Assault Victims, and CAP Certification. FirstMed provides quarterly training and collaborates with program staff on an ongoing basis.
- 5) Scattered-site units wherein survivor households may be placed must meet conditions of a safety checklist, and it is not uncommon to provide other window and door locks with the landlord's consent. All placed households are trained in safety plans and exit strategies in the event of discovery by an abuser. Clients may be enrolled in the Confidential Address Program to maintain confidentiality around their location.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

FirstMed has a focus on trauma-informed care and best practices and partners with other CoC agencies with the same focus of assisting DV survivors. FirstMed provides refresher and updated trainings every quarter to ensure the most relevant information and training is available to all case managers. All data related to DV clients is entered into HMIS using a unique identifier for anonymous tracking of services. If there are multiple adults in the household, they are interviewed individually and privately to ensure safety. For clients who need an address, the "Confidential Address Program" is provided for use so that clients aren't able to be located by a published address. If a client is found by their abuser, protocols are in place to immediately transfer them to an agency shelter and then move to a different property.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:

1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;	
----	--------------------------------------------------------	--

2.	placing survivors in permanent housing;
3.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and
4.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1)FirstMed prioritizes the safety and well-being of survivors by focusing on rapid placement into stable, permanent housing. They collaborate with local shelters, property managers, and transitional living programs to ensure survivors are placed in housing environments that provide immediate stability. Their trauma-informed approach addresses their holistic needs, including mental health services and access to healthcare, reducing the likelihood of re-traumatization.
- 2)FirstMed has developed within the last year strong partnerships with property owners and landlords across the community to facilitate permanent housing options for survivors. They utilize a Housing First approach, which places survivors into permanent housing as quickly as possible, without preconditions, and provides the necessary supportive services to maintain that housing.
- 3)FirstMed prioritizes survivor choice in all aspects of the housing placement. Survivors are actively involved in selecting the location, type of housing, and other factors important to their safety and comfort. FirstMed ensures that survivors have options that reflect their preferences, such as proximity to work, school, or support networks, ensuring that housing placement aligns with their broader life goals.
- 4)The specific needs of each survivor guide the housing placement process, assessed through a comprehensive intake and assessment process. This allows FirstMed to customize services, including medical care, behavioral health support, legal services, and financial assistance, to address individual challenges. This survivor-centric approach ensures that housing and supportive services are responsive to their unique needs.

4A-3f.	Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

- 1)FirstMed's healthcare services, include primary care, psychiatry, and therapy. They efficiently leverage their funds to maintain and grow their healthcare offerings for Medicaid-eligible individuals, including those experiencing homelessness.
- 2)FirstMed's mental health professionals, including LCSWs, LMFTs, LADCs, LCPCs, and two board-certified psychiatrists, provide survivors with access to information and education on trauma. This information is shared through therapy sessions, peer-to-peer groups, and interactions with community health workers and medical staff, all of whom have received specialized training in trauma-informed care. Survivors can also receive individualized information regarding how trauma impacts their physical and mental health, enabling them to understand their experiences and paths toward healing, emphasizing survivor strengths through strength-based coaching and assessments.
- 3)FirstMed recognizes the well-being of patients to include non-medical support. They can provide assistance beyond health and medical needs including but not limited to clothes for employment, bus/rideshare passes, referrals for: childcare assistance, education, employment, and housing. FirstMed utilizes strength-based coaching and assessment tools that highlight the survivor's unique strengths and resilience. Each survivor works with the care team to create individualized treatment plans that reflect their personal goals and aspirations. Whether it's achieving housing stability, improving mental health, or gaining employment, our approach is built on identifying and nurturing the strengths of each survivor, empowering them to define their future.
- 4)FirstMed's staff and case managers are trained on not only trauma-informed, client-centered approaches but also on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, and improve services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed. Non-discrimination, equal access to care, and language services are emphasized to ensure all survivors feel safe, respected, and heard. This includes training on how to be sensitive to the cultural and ethnic needs of survivors, particularly those who are disproportionately affected by domestic violence.
- 5)FirstMed offers comprehensive mental health services that range from individual and group therapy to psychosocial rehabilitation and psychiatry for both adults and children. Peer-to-peer counseling is particularly effective in promoting resilience and reducing feelings of isolation along with creating opportunities for a supportive community where survivors can exchange advice, encouragement, and emotional support.
- 6)Through their organization, FirstMed offers programs on child and adolescent health. This includes coordination of care, anticipatory guidance and health promotion education, parental coaching and health education, and individualized care to help families make lifestyle behavioral changes. FirstMed connects survivors with legal services to ensure they have the resources necessary to protect their rights and their children's well-being.

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

First Med Health and Wellness Center (FirstMed) is committed to enhancing support for program participants by actively coordinating and integrating with mainstream health and social services. Their approach leverages leadership engagement, strategic partnerships, and collaborative initiatives to ensure comprehensive care. FirstMed’s Chief Medical Officer sits on the advisory committee for two of the community’s Managed Care Organizations (MCOs), providing valuable insights and ensuring alignment between FirstMed’s services and broader healthcare initiatives. Additionally, their CEO and COO are actively involved in the state legislature, particularly during the bi-annual legislative session, advocating for policies that support vulnerable populations and improve access to essential services.

Key Strategies:

- **Leadership Engagement:** Leveraging the positions of the CMO on MCO advisory committees, and the CEO and COO’s legislative involvement, they ensure that FirstMed is at the forefront of shaping healthcare policy and aligning services with community needs.
- **Community Collaboration:** As Director of Development, Alexis Poe, plays a pivotal role in fostering collaborations with key community partners. They plan to invite representatives from various health and social service organizations to their clinics to discuss the comprehensive services offered and explore collaboration opportunities in outreach events. These interactions aim to strengthen partnerships, identify synergies, and enhance support systems for the participants.
- **Seamless Referrals:** FirstMed will establish clear communication channels with partner organizations to facilitate seamless referrals, ensuring that our participants have access to a continuum of care that meets their diverse needs.
- **Active Participation:** FirstMed will actively participate in community meetings focused on health and social service integration. These platforms provide opportunities to exchange best practices, address systemic challenges, and collectively advocate for improved services and resources for participants. By actively engaging with mainstream health and social service providers, FirstMed aims to create a robust support network that enhances the overall well-being and outcomes of participants. They believe in the power of collaboration and are dedicated to building a cohesive community network to better serve those in need.

4A-3h.	Applicant’s Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:	
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;
2.	place program participants in permanent housing;
3.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and
4.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1)FirstMed will continue to prioritize the safety and well-being of survivors by focusing on rapid placement into stable, permanent housing. They will collaborate with local shelters, property managers, and transitional living programs to ensure survivors are placed in housing environments that provide immediate stability. Their trauma-informed approach addresses their holistic needs, including mental health services and access to healthcare, reducing the likelihood of re-traumatization.
- 2)FirstMed has developed within the last year strong partnerships with property owners and landlords across the community to facilitate permanent housing options for survivors. They will continue to utilize a Housing First approach, which places survivors into permanent housing as quickly as possible, without preconditions, and provides the necessary supportive services to maintain that housing.
- 3)FirstMed will prioritize survivor choice in all aspects of the housing placement. Survivors will be actively involved in selecting the location, type of housing, and other factors important to their safety and comfort. FirstMed will ensure that survivors have options that reflect their preferences, such as proximity to work, school, or support networks, ensuring that housing placement aligns with their broader life goals.
- 4)The specific needs of each survivor guide the housing placement process, assessed through a comprehensive intake and assessment process. This will allow FirstMed to customize services, including medical care, behavioral health support, legal services, and financial assistance, to address individual challenges. This survivor-centric approach will ensure that housing and supportive services are responsive to their unique needs.

4A-3i.	Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:	
1.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

- 1)Engaging clients in services begins with a client-centered, trauma-informed approach. Case Managers collaborate directly with participants to assess their needs and explore services that align with their goals for achieving self sufficiency. Clients are empowered to choose which services they want to engage in, fostering a sense of autonomy and personal investment in their care plan.
- 2)Immediate support is provided in crises, such as mental health crises or substance use relapses, to prevent escalation that could lead to eviction. Our team offers on-site counseling, therapy, and medication-assisted therapy to address these issues promptly.
- 3)Clients are empowered to choose which services they want to engage in, fostering a sense of autonomy and personal investment in their care plan. Bus passes and ride-share services are offered to ensure clients can attend appointments and engage in essential services. Assistance is provided to address unemployment and limited income issues. This includes job readiness training, employment referrals, and connecting participants with resources for income support.
- 4)FirstMed's staff and case managers are trained on not only trauma-informed, client-centered approaches but also on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, and improve services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed.
- 5)Licensed therapists who are trained in trauma-informed care conduct individual sessions as well as group sessions for survivors of domestic violence.
- 6)FirstMed partnered with K-12 Stride an education company that provides online and blended education programs. Educating participants about their rights as tenants and landlord-tenant laws helps empower them to advocate for themselves and prevent unfair eviction practices. FirstMed will actively assist individuals with avoiding evictions by building strong relationships with landlords, as well as actively communicating with landlords on the participant's behalf if they are unable to due to medical or mental health fragility.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:

- | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | with a range of lived expertise; and |
| 2. | in policy and program development throughout the project's operation. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)FirstMed values the lived experience and expertise of both domestic violence and homelessness survivors as such, has involved them in various aspects of their organization. While multiple members of their board have lived experience, one individual in particular who resides in a tent in rural Nevada, by default, brings firsthand experience of homelessness or housing insecurity to the decision-making processes. FirstMed has two active members who were formerly homeless and part of Clark County’s foster care system in their youth, one which entered foster care because of domestic violence in the family. FirstMed recently welcomed a new development director who offers a personal journey shaped by childhood homelessness following a devastating house fire, providing a profound perspective on collaboration among organizations towards a unified goal of aiding to achieve housing stability quickly.

2)FirstMed will create an ad-hoc committee for the Board of Directors (BOD) that will encompass current program participants who are also prior victims of domestic violence, a team member of the Cupcake Girls, a team member of education partner, K12Stride, a current FirstMed BOD member who have lived experience and a new program participant. FirstMed plans to continue to actively solicit input from clients to inform changes and improvements in their organization and programs. This would include regular surveys, focus groups, and advisory councils where individuals with lived experience contribute their insights on service delivery, accessibility, and program effectiveness.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	10/21/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	Lived Experience ...	10/23/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	10/22/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	10/22/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	10/23/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	10/23/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	10/22/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	10/24/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	2024 HDX Competit...	10/22/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	10/22/2024
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	10/24/2024
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Lived Experience Support Letter

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing First Evaluation

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2024 HDX Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Certification of Consistency with Con Plan

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	10/03/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/22/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/23/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/24/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	10/24/2024
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/16/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/16/2024
2C. System Performance	10/21/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/21/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/21/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/22/2024

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	10/23/2024
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1C-7 PHA Homeless Preference

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority's Administrative Plan	2



SNRHA uses the following Local Preferences:

Homeless Referral Preference: Families who are homeless (family lacks a fixed regular and adequate night time residence, or has a primary night time residence that is a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations, such as welfare voucher hotels, congregate shelters or transitional housing designed for homeless persons, or a public or private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings) and are participating in case management with an approved referral agency. This preference shall be limited to up to 150 referrals per year from Clark County Social Service.....60 points. Unit offers to applicants referred for this preference will be made as follows: for every two applicants from the waiting list receiving a unit offer, one applicant referred under this preference will receive a unit offer.

Homeless Families that live with friends or relatives who are not currently in the transition system, will be encourage to apply to other available SNRHA programs that they may qualify for.

Federally Declared Disasters: Victims who are holders of Section 8 Vouchers or other subsidized programs as defined as eligible units by HUD, in another jurisdiction within 120 days of the President declaring a federal disaster. **55 points**

Working Preference: Head, spouse or co-head who is employed at least 20 hours per week at the equivalent of minimum wage, or who are active participants in accredited educational and training programs designed to prepare the individual for the job market. This preference is extended equally to elderly families or disabled families, including but not limited to those whose head or spouse is receiving SSI, SSD, or who can be verified to be unable to work, if both the head of household and spouse is either elderly or disabled..... **30 points**

Veteran Preference defined by the State of Nevada: A Veteran/Disabled Veteran may submit an admissions application at any time for any housing program, whether the waiting list is open or closed – with the exception of the Housing Choice Voucher Program. The Veteran/Disabled Veteran must be the head, spouse, or co-head member of the household. At the time of eligibility, the Veteran/Disabled Veteran must submit their DD214 (or other official discharge documents from the Official Military Personnel File) which shows enlistment date, discharge dates, branch of service, social security number, birthdate, net active service, and type of discharge. If the military documents are not submitted accordingly, the Veteran’s/Disabled Veteran’s name will be withdrawn from the waiting list.....**35 points**

Disabled veteran or family (defined as dependent son, daughter, and spouse) of a veteran with a service-connected disability. **5 points**

Family of (defined as spouse) a **deceased veteran** with a service-connected death.**33 points**

Residency preferences for head, co-head or spouse, who live, work, have been hired to work, or are enrolled full time in an accredited school in Clark County... **5 points**

ADDITION: Displacement Preference means any applicant who is or will be involuntarily displaced if the applicant has vacated or will have to vacate the unit where the applicant lives because of one or more of the following reasons (1) displacement by disaster; (2) displacement

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Lived Experience Support Letter	2



RE: Lived X Letter of Support

**Board of
Directors:**

Sandra Shulman
Credit One Bank
President

Robert Reynolds
Reynolds & Associates
Vice President

Senator David Parks
Retired
Treasurer

Travis Bleazard
LV Fire and Rescue
Board Member

Henry Snead
Little Lucky Chapel
**Honorary Board
Member**

To Whom It May Concern:

Lived X Consultants, part of Southern Nevada's Homelessness Continuum of Care was established in 2022. Our mission within the Continuum of Care is to offer strategic guidance and support in both system-wide and programmatic decision-making while advocating for essential frontline services and addressing critical gaps. Lived X Consultants now hold two positions on the CoC Board effective 2024.

Continued funding of the Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care projects will afford the Clark County community opportunities to expand services for the community while elevating the voice of marginalized populations, including People with Live Experience. Many of the projects serve the vulnerable population of persons experiencing homelessness and expand the capacity along with awareness of the community to prevent and end homelessness. Southern Nevada will also be able to serve as a model for programs supporting persons experiencing homelessness or at risk of experiencing homelessness at a regional and state level. The Lived X Consultants provide an expertise of homelessness to the SNH CoC's work plan and identified actions to be taken.

As a team, Lived X Consultants have actively participated in SNH CoC's Committees with an emphasis in the Youth Action Board and Youth CE Core Working groups and were an active participant in the refinement and Scoring and Ranking team for the local competition since the team's inception. Lived X reviewed, advised, and approved the consolidated application. This includes the prioritization of programs that serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in NV-500. We have reviewed and approved submission of the Consolidated Application, Priority Listing, and all Project Applications.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Catrina Grigsby-Thedford".

Dr. Catrina Grigsby-Thedford MPA, LSW, CADC-S
Executive Director, Nevada Homeless Alliance
director@nevadahomelessalliance.org
702-265-1153

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David Parks".

And Southern Nevada Lived X Consultants,

Two handwritten signatures in black ink, one above the other, representing Lived X Consultants.

Two handwritten signatures in black ink, one above the other, representing Lived X Consultants.

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
CoC Statement	2
Local Competition Housing First Question <ul style="list-style-type: none">• For STAR PSH	3
Monitoring Report for STAR PSH Including: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Housing First Assessment Feedback on page 5• Housing First Assessment Tool on 186	4-223

To Whom This May Concern,

NV-500 conducted an in-depth housing first evaluation for applicants during the 2023 Local Competition. Based on the information and details gathered from the 2023 housing first evaluation, NV-500 did not conduct an evaluation for the 2024 local competition, but did provide questions on the local competition application to monitor housing first. An example for both has been attached in the following pages.

Thank you.

Housing First Practices and Protocols

33. Describe how this program operationalizes the Housing First model into practices and protocols.

Describe any changes or improvements you have made in your Housing First-related practices to better support long-term housing stability and/or implement lessons learned, if applicable.

The STAR Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) program has implemented comprehensive guidelines and policies aligned with the Housing First approach. All staff members have received specialized training from the Corporation for Supportive Housing to ensure a thorough understanding and effective implementation of this model across all program aspects.

STAR PSH caters to vulnerable individuals experiencing homelessness who have disabling conditions and have experienced chronic homelessness. The program does not impose prerequisites such as income or engagement in substance abuse treatment or medication adherence for housing eligibility. To expand housing opportunities, STAR PSH actively engages with property owners and landlords, encouraging them to relax their requirements. This collaboration has been crucial in securing safe, affordable, and suitable housing options for participants.

The program prioritizes client choice in selecting permanent housing units, fostering a client-centered environment. STAR PSH does not disqualify clients based on factors such as low income, substance use history, criminal records, or past victimization. These principles are clearly outlined in the program's standard operating procedures. In addition, participants are not removed from the program due to non-participation in supportive services, lack of progress on case plans, or inability to maintain income. STAR PSH employs motivational interviewing techniques and personalized case plans to help clients maintain their housing placements while minimizing barriers.

Although participation in supportive services is not mandatory, case managers are trained in motivational interviewing, de-escalation, trauma informed care, and receive ongoing education to effectively communicate available supports and connect clients with appropriate interventions. This approach is tailored to meet each client's individual needs and help them progress towards self-identified goals. As a result, STAR PSH has successfully aided clients in achieving self-sufficiency and reduced instances of individuals returning to homelessness.



Southern Nevada Homeless Continuum of Care Annual Report: Year 5 External Monitoring Clark County Social Service: STAR PSH 2023

Developed by:
Strategic Progress, LLC SNHCoC External Monitoring Team,
Southern Nevada Homeless Continuum of Care (SNHCoC) Board
Monitoring Working Group

Article I. Table of Contents

Article I. Table of Contents.....	i
Article II. Monitoring Profile Checklist.....	2
Article III. Project Scoring Tool.....	5
Article IV. Performance Monitoring Report.....	16
Article V. Spending Drawdown & Utilization Report.....	25
Article VI. Main Monitoring Tool	34
Article VII. Program Specific Monitoring Tool	97
Article VIII. Client Case File Monitoring Tool	134
Article IX. Housing First Standards Assessment Tool.....	184

Article II. Monitoring Profile Checklist



Clark County: STAR PSH

1D-2a Housing First Evaluation - 5

Monitoring Dates: February 5, 2023 – June 10, 2023

Number of Clients Reviewed: 10

Monitoring Total Score: 83.9

Appeal Status: *Appeal Completed*



Action Items to Improve Future Scoring Outcomes:

- Action Item 1: Coordinated Entry issues resulting in less clients than anticipated or expected. The Coordinated Entry system needs to be assessed to limit the number of denials and remove impediments to enrollment through Coordinated Entry system.**



Potential Needs for Technical Assistance (TA):

- TA Need 1: Coordinated Entry system adjustment or modification assessments need to be conducted.**

Performance Compliance Section

Reviewer(s):
Dr. Justin Gardner



Performance Monitoring Report v3 Feedback:

- STAR PSH team submitted an appeal to assess APR Worksheet using Head of Household in lieu of all program participants. This appeal resulted in a 0.5-point increase in the V3 Tool Score.
- This appeal was applied to all PSH projects as a result of scoring change observed with STAR PSH program.

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
90.5	100	30%	27.15



Spending & Drawdown Rate Feedback:

- Spending/Drawdown of initial award was 12.7% unspent, an increase award was provided for \$375,245.50 that increased % unspent.
- Rental assistance could not be spent as planned with barriers created by Coordinated Entry that limited number of clients entry into the program.

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
0	10	10%	0.00



Utilization Rate Feedback:

- The Utilization Rate was impacted negatively by barriers of Coordinated Entry with only 12 clients navigating Coordinated Entry system for placement in PSH.
- There were continuous efforts to enroll more clients in the program, but barriers to entry were recurrently problematic.

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
7	10	10%	7.00



Performance Compliance Subtotal

Score
34.15

Overall rank #9 of CoC-wide projects, Performance Compliance score 68.3% of available points.



Clark County: STAR PSH

1D-2a Housing First Evaluation - 6

Monitoring Dates: February 5, 2023 – June 10, 2023

Number of Clients Reviewed: 10

Monitoring Total Score: 83.9

Appeal Status: *Appeal Completed*

Monitoring Compliance Section

Reviewer(s): Dr. Justin Gardner & Maurice Page

External Monitoring Main Tool Feedback:



- HUD Handbook 29-1:
 - Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements: Do It, 79 out of 84 points scored
- HUD Handbook 29-4:
 - Overall Grant Management Oversight: Do It, 5 points out of 7 scored
- Fair Market Rate Exhibit: Do it, 31 out of 35 points scored

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
1466	1477	18.75%	18.61

External Monitoring Program Specific Tool Feedback:



- Comprehensive HUD 29-7:
 - Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance: Do It, 34 out of 35 points scored

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
552	553	12.5%	12.48

Participant Eligibility & Client File Tool Feedback:



- Eligibility Compliance: Do It, 54 out of 56 points scored
- RRH & PSH ONLY Chronicity: Do It, 216 out of 224 points scored

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
1310	1320	6.25%	6.20

Housing First Standards Assessment Tool Feedback:



- Comprehensive Access and Input: Do It, 59 points out of 63 scored
 - Participant Input: Do It, 10 points out of 14 scored
- Comprehensive Services & Housing: Do It, 76 out of 77 scored
 - Services: Do It, 48 out of 49 points scored

Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
674	679	12.5%	12.41



Monitoring Compliance Subtotal

Score			
49.70			

Overall rank #9 of CoC-wide projects, Monitoring Compliance score 99.4% of available points.

Article III. Project Scoring Tool

SNHCoC External Monitoring Project Scoring Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool was developed based on the logic and design of the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool as provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team in collaboration with Clark County Social Service and the SNHCoC Monitoring Working Group. The tool is designed for deployment by the External Monitoring Team at the recipient/subrecipient level for agency/organization-wide monitoring and compliance assessments for final scoring. This tool is being deployed as one of a series of tools that have been developed by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team, a working group from the SNHCOC, BitFocus HMIS data reports, and HUD. The goal of this tool is to provide the overall outcome score for monitoring based on HUD Handbook and CFR standards, regulations and requirements from all other developed and deployed tools. Ultimately, the final score presented in this tool will inform the overall monitoring score for SNHCoC HUD funded programs for consideration and inclusion in the annual Scoring and Ranking process for available HUD grant funds.

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: Similar to the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, this tool seeks to identify available groupings or partitions of standards to be assessed, monitored, and evaluated during External Monitoring. For the purpose of this tool, standards are defined as specific component areas of the HUD Handbook, where available. Conducting this type of grouping allows for internal tool weighting practices, which assists with prioritization of monitoring and evaluation outcomes.

Project Type: This tool was developed for ALL Project Types to be inclusive of all monitoring conducted at the agency/organization system level as a standalone tool from program specific tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization perspective. This was identified as a fundamental need in External Monitoring tool design because many recipients/subrecipients in the SNHCoC have multiple programs funded annually.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Project Scoring Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing ALL projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where the information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is need to be able to mark that standard as "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	To promote transparency of the monitoring process and implementation and guide the monitoring of specific programs in collaboration with each provider and associated staff.
Project Scoring Report	Lists each of the different tools deployed as part of monitoring and available points (percentage basis) for each tool as well as embedded calculation.	To determine the total project performance score for each monitored project based on all incorporated tools as well as a miscellaneous comment section to explain any scoring determinations or outcomes.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Services
Acronym (if Applicable)	CCSS
Year Incorporated	1909
EIN	88-6000028
Street Address	1600 Pinto Lane
Zip Code	Las Vegas, NV 89106

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Project Information	
Project Name	STAR PSH Project
Project Budget	
Grant Number	
Name of Project Director	Krishell Hadsell
Project Director Email Address	krishell.hadsell@ClarkCountyNv.gov
Project Director Phone Number	725.274.0186
Which best describes the project*	Permanent Supportive Housing
<p><i>Through supportive housing, tenancy support, access to treatment & health care benefits, STAR restores lives & provides resources to allow households obtain self-sufficiency. Utilizing a housing first client centered strategy, households achieve stability & wellness while addressing the underlying causes of homelessness.</i></p>	
<p>Are your services targeted to any of the following populations specifically? Please select one if so, as this impacts your assessment questions.</p>	All of the Above
<p>*Please note that when you select a project type, particular standards may not be relevant.</p>	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Management Information	
Name of CEO	Randy Reinoso & Teresa Etcheberry
CEO Email Address	RKR@ClarkCountyNV.gov
CEO Phone Number	702.455.5709
Name of Staff Member Guiding Assessment	Danita Osborne & Natasha Nickles
Staff Email Address	natasha.nickles@clarkcountynv.gov
Staff Phone Number	725-289-2581

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Monitoring Team Technical Lead	Maurice Page
Technical Lead Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Lead Phone Number	702-340-4813
Monitoring Team Member	Mark Hackett
Team Member Email Address	mark.hackett@innov8reanalysis.com
Team Member Phone Number	
Monitoring Team Communication Lead	Ashley Gardner
Communication Lead Email Address	ashley.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Communication Lead Phone Number	702-630-7763
Monitoring Team Contract Manager	Cyndy Ortiz Gustafson
Contract Manager Email Address	cyndy@strategicprogress.com
Contract Manager Phone Number	702-241-8033
Date of Assessment(s)	5 February 2023; 28 February 2023; 17 April 2023; 25 April 2023; 27 April 2023; 2 May 2023; 13 May 2023; 10 June 2023

Project Performance Monitoring Scoring Tool Results							
Performance Compliance Section							
Topic Area	Standards	Reviewer(s)	Date of Review	Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
Performance Monitoring Report v3	What is the total of points on the program's Performance Monitoring Report-v3? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	Dr. JS Gardner	27-Apr-23; 10-Jun-23	90.5	100	30%	27.15
	<i>30% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx30%)</i>						
Spending Rate/ Drawdown Rate	What is the total of points of the program's Spending Rate/Drawdown Rate? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	Dr. JS Gardner	25-Apr-23; 10-Jun-23	0	10	10%	0.00
	<i>10% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx10%)</i>						
Utilization Rate	What is the total of points of the program's Utilization Rate? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	Dr. JS Gardner	25-Apr-23	7	10	10%	7.00
	<i>10% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx10%)</i>						
Performance Compliance Subtotal	What is the total of all points on this section of the monitoring tool?	Dr. JS Gardner					34.15
	<i>50 points possible</i>						

Project Performance Monitoring Scoring Tool Results							
Monitoring Compliance Section							
Topic Area	Standards	Reviewer(s)	Date of Review	Tool Score	Total Tool Points	Scoring Weight	Score
External Monitoring Main Tool	What is the total of points of the program's External Monitoring Main Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	M. Page	28-Feb-23; 17-Apr-23; 2-May-23; 13-May-23	1466	1477	18.75%	18.61
	<i>18.75% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx18.75%)</i>						
External Monitoring Program Specific Tool	What is the total of points of the program's External Monitoring Program Specific Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	M. Page	28-Feb-23; 17-Apr-23; 13-May-23	552	553	12.5%	12.48
	<i>12.5% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx12.5%)</i>						
Participant Eligibility & Client File Tool	What is the total of points of the program's Participant Eligibility & Client File Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	M. Page	5-Feb-23; 28-Feb-23; 17-Apr-23; 2-May-23	1310	1320	6.25%	6.20
	<i>6.25% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx6.25%)</i>						
Coordinated Entry Tool	What is the total of points of the program's Coordinated Entry Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	<i>NOT INCLUDED IN 1 JULY 2021 - 30 JUNE 2022 MONITORING</i>		0	100	0%	0.00
	<i>0% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx00%)</i>						
Housing First Standards Assessment Tool	What is the total of points of the program's Housing First Standards Assessment Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	M. Page	28-Feb-23; 17-Apr-23; 13-May-23	674	679	12.5%	12.41
	<i>12.5% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx12.5%)</i>						
HMIS Data Quality & Compliance Tool	What is the total of points of the program's HMIS Data Quality & Compliance Tool? Enter the score based on the calculation below.	<i>NOT INCLUDED IN 1 JULY 2021 - 30 JUNE 2022 MONITORING</i>		0	100	0%	0.00
	<i>0% of Total Score (PTS AWARDED/PTS POSSIBLEx0%)</i>						
Monitoring Compliance Subtotal	What is the total of all points on this section of the monitoring tool?	M. Page					49.70
	<i>50 points possible</i>						

Project Performance Monitoring Scoring Tool Results							
<i>Project Performance Monitoring Tool Score</i>							
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Standards</i>	<i>Reviewer(s)</i>	<i>Date of Review</i>	<i>Tool Score</i>	<i>Total Tool Points</i>	<i>Scoring Weight</i>	<i>Score</i>
<i>Total Score</i>	What is the total of all points on this monitoring tool?	Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team					83.8
	<i>100 points possible</i>						

Miscellaneous Comments or Explanations of Scoring Components
<i>Project Performance Monitoring Tool Additional Comments</i>
<i>Please use this space to list any additional comments, concerns, or observations.</i>

Article IV. Performance Monitoring Report

PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT V3			
Agency/Agencies:	<i>Clark County Social Service</i>		
Program / Programs	<i>STAR PSH</i>		
Program Type	<i>PSH</i>		
Report Run Date:	25-Apr-23	Monitored By:	Dr. JS Gardner
Date Range: 07/01/2021 - 06/30/2022			

Project Serves "Harder to Serve" Homeless Populations	%	Points Available	Points Received
% of households served at entry reported:			
Mental Illness	97.89%		
Alcohol Abuse	49.47%		
Drug Abuse	62.11%		
Chronic Health Condition	32.63%		
HIV	2.11%		
Developmental Disabilities	20.00%		
Physical Disabilities	32.63%		
Domestic Violence	20.00%		
Unaccompanied youth under 18	0.00%		
Unaccompanied TAY 18-24 years	0.00%		
% of households meet any one of the above criteria, must meet 60% marker to receive 12 points	100.00%	12	
% of households meet any two of the above criteria, must meet 50% marker to receive 16 points	90.53%	16	
% of households meet any three or more of the above criteria, must meet 40% marker to receive 20 points	65.26%	20	20
Total Score "Harder to Serve Homeless Populations:			20
<i>**Note: Agencies may receive a maximum of 20 points in this section. They can receive either 12, 16, OR 20 points based on which markers they achieve. If they have met 0 markers, they receive 0 points.</i>			

Reduce length of homeless episodes and new and return entries into homelessness	%	Points Available	Points Received
Point allocations: 100%-20 points; 99%-19.5 points; 98%-19.0 points; 97%-18.5 points; 96%-18.0 points; 95%-17.5 points; 94%-17.0 points; 93%-16.5 points; 92%-16.0 points; 91%-15.5 points; 90%-15 points; 89%-14.5 points; 88%-14.0 points; 87%-13.5 points; 86%-13.0 points; 85%-12.5 points; 84%-12.0 points; 83%-11.5 points; 82%-11.0 points; 81%-10.5 points; 80%-10.0 points. Any score 79% or less will be awarded 0 points.			
(PH Programs Only) % of persons in PH over six months, or exited to PH. *Found in Annual Performance Report (APR); see attached worksheet.	87.78%	20	13.5
(TH programs only) - % of persons in TH who exited to PH, only score if programs total is 80% or greater. Anything under 80% receives 0 points.		20	
(RRH programs only) - % of persons in RRH who exited to PH, only score if programs total is 80% or greater. Anything under 80% receives 0 points.		20	
(TH programs only) Average days from entry to exit into PH.	Not Scored		
Total score "Reduce length of homeless episodes" :			13.5

Reduce new and return entries into homelessness	# of Clients	Points Available	Points Received
Point allocations: 0-5% - 20 points; 5.5-8% - 19 points; 8.5-11% -18 points; 11.5-14% - 17 points; 14.5-17% - 16 points; 17.5-20% - 15 points; 20.5-23% - 14 points; 23.5-26% - 13 points; 26.5-29% - 12 points; 29.5-32% - 11 points; 32.5-35% - 10 points. Any score higher than 35% will be awarded 0 points.			
PH Programs (If no clients exited during the date range, the program automatically receives 20 points)			
Number of clients who exited within the date range	57	Not Scored	
Number of clients who exited to permanent destinations	38	Not Scored	
Percent of clients returning to homelessness	0.00%	20	20
Average number of days from project exit to re-entry	0	Not Scored	
TH Programs			
Number of clients who exited within the date range		Not Scored	
Number of clients who exited to permanent destinations		Not Scored	
Percent of clients returning to homelessness		20	
Average number of days from project exit to re-entry		Not Scored	
RRH Programs			
Number of clients who exited within the date range		Not Scored	
Number of clients who exited to permanent destinations		Not Scored	
Percent of clients returning to homelessness		20	
Average number of days from project exit to re-entry		Not Scored	
Total score "Reduce new and return entries into homelessness":			20

Increase jobs, income and self-sufficiency	%	Points Available	Points Received
% of adults with increased and/or sustained employment	5.71%		
% of adults with increased and/or sustained other cash income	52.38%		
% of persons with improved education	17.80%		
% of persons who were processed through SOAR	0.00%		
If total for above three measures is greater than 65%, award 10 points	75.89%	10	10
% of adults with increased and/or sustained mainstream non-cash benefits, receives 10 points if agency reaches 65% marker.	81.90%	10	10
Total Score "Increase jobs, income and self-sufficiency":			20

HMIS Participation and Data Quality	%	Points Available	Points Received
% of persons who exit to known destinations, must hit 90% marker to receive 4 points. Anything lower than 90% receives 0 points.	100.00%	4	4
% of persons with income data updated at least annually; project must score a 100% to receive 4 points. Anything less than 100% will receive 0 points.	100.00%	4	4
% of program data response = don't know or refused; project must score less than 10% to receive 4 points. Anything more than 10% will receive 0 points.	0.00%	4	4
Program Entry Average Data Entry Timeliness (in days); utilize scale; 4 points (0-7 days), 3 points (8-15 days), 2 points (16-23 days), 1 point (24-31 days), 0 points (32+)	11	4	3
Program Exit Average Data Entry Timeliness (in days); utilize scale, same scale as entry timeliness	19	4	2
Total Score "HMIS Participation and Data Quality":			17

TOTAL PERFORMANCE MONITORING REPORT V3 SCORE	
TOTAL POINTS AWARDED ON PERFORMANCE MONITORING:	
90.5	
Program of Concern: Yes or No (Programs which score a 50 or higher - No; programs which score a 49 or lower - Yes)	
No	
Recommendations for Improvement:	N/A
Notes:	The initial APR was run on 25 April 2023 to include all stayers and leavers. After an appeal related to the APR utilization was made for PSH projects and applied to all PSH projects, the APR was rerun on 10 June 2023 to include Head of Household stayers and leavers. The initial result on 25 April 2023 was a score of 86.21% (56, 38, 2, 68, 6, 62) worth 13 points. The revised version shown below resulted in a score of 87.78% worth 13.5 points. The final score reflects the additional points with no additional changes to the Performance Monitoring Report V3 reported data.

APR PERMANENT HOUSING WORKSHEET		
(A) Review question 5a on APR- determine total # of leavers	Total:	41
(B) Review Question 23c - determine # of persons who exited to a permanent destination	Total:	28
(C) Review Questions 23c - determine total number of deceased persons	Total:	2
(D) Total from (B)	Total:	28
(E) Subtract (C) total from (A); record new # of leavers	Total:	39
(F) Review question 5a on APR - determine total # of stayers	Total:	55
(G) Review Question 22a1 - determine total number of stayers with length of participation less than 6-months (180 days or less) and an enrollment date within 6-months of the end of monitoring period who were enrolled through the end of the monitoring period.	Total:	4
(H) Review Question 22a1 - determine total number of stayers with length of participation exceeding 6-months (181 days or more)	Total:	51
(I) Add Total from (D) and Total from (H)	Total:	79
(J) Add Total from (E) and Total from (F) and subtract Total from (G)	Total:	90
(K) Divide (I) by (J)	Total:	0.877777778
(L) Multiply by 100 to determine % of leavers that exit to a permanent destination and stayers with longer than 6-months stay in PH	Total:	87.78%

Article V. Spending Drawdown & Utilization Report

SNHCoC External Monitoring Spending/Drawdown & Utilization Rate Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool was developed to align with the logic and design of the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool as provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team in collaboration with Clark County Social Service and the SNHCoC Monitoring Working Group. The tool is designed for deployment by the External Monitoring Team at the recipient/subrecipient level for ALL program monitoring and compliance assessments. This tool is being deployed as one of a series of tools that have been developed by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team, a working group from the SNHCoC, BitFocus HMIS data reports, and HUD. The goal of this tool is to provide a program-specific outcome score for monitoring based on HUD Handbook and CFR standards, regulations and requirements. Ultimately, the final score of this tool will inform the overall monitoring score for SNHCoC HUD funded programs for consideration and inclusion in the annual Scoring and Ranking process for available HUD grant funds.

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: The Standards for this Utilization & Spending/Drawdown Rate Tool are effectively defined by the rates being measured: Utilization Rate & Spending/Drawdown Rate.

Project Type: This tool was developed for ALL Project Types to be conducted at the program level as a standalone tool from other developed tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization and program-specific perspectives.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Spending/Drawdown & Utilization Rate Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing Safe Haven projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

Scoring: For each standard, the scoring criteria is based on either HMIS, eLOCCS, or HUD provided data along with associated calculation to determine effective rates of either Utilization or Spending/Drawdown. The percentage calculated outcome is then awarded points based on a designed scale for both the Utilization Rate and Spending/Drawdown Rate individually.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard.

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	To promote transparency of the monitoring process and implementation and guide the monitoring of specific programs in collaboration with each provider and associated staff.
Spending/Drawdown Rate	The spending/drawdown rate as defined as the percentage of unspent funds for the monitoring period using HUD eLOCCS/drawdown rate report.	To assess and measure the effective spending/drawdown of awarded funds as a function of unspent funds.
Utilization Rate	The percentage of program operation based on full capacity of program bed nights calculated using HMIS data.	To assess and measure the effective program utilization rate as a function of the capacity of program service.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name(s)	STAR PSH
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	25 April 2023; 10 June 2023

Spending/Drawdown Rate Grant Award					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Grant Number</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Awarded Funds</i>	<i>Unspent Funds</i>	<i>Spending Drawdown Rate</i>
Spending Drawdown Rate	Dec 2020 - Nov 2021	What was the unspent funds rate for the monitoring period? (based on MWG approved CCSS monthly eLOCCS tracking report) Scoring: 0% = 10 points, 0.01-4.99% = 7 points, 5-10% = 5 points, >10% = 0 points (10 points possible) <i>(Refer to Spending Drawdown Calculations worksheet(s) for scoring documentation.)</i>	\$673,863.75	\$85,716.72	12.7%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Spending Drawdown Rate	Dec 2021 - Nov 2022	What was the unspent funds rate for the monitoring period? (based on MWG approved CCSS monthly eLOCCS tracking report) Scoring: 0% = 10 points, 0.01-4.99% = 7 points, 5-10% = 5 points, >10% = 0 points (10 points possible) <i>(Refer to Spending Drawdown Calculations worksheet(s) for scoring documentation.)</i>	\$1,049,109.25	\$374,009.50	35.7%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Spending/Drawdown Rate Monitoring Period					
Topic Area	Grant Number	Definition/Evidence	Awarded Funds	Unspent Funds	Spending Drawdown Rate
Spending Drawdown Rate		What was the unspent funds rate for the monitoring period? (based on MWG approved CCSS monthly eLOCCS tracking report). Scoring: 0% = 10 points, 0.01-4.99% = 7 points, 5-10% = 5 points, >10% = 0 points (10 points possible) (Refer to Spending Drawdown Calculations worksheet(s) for scoring documentation.)	\$1,722,973.00	\$459,726.22	26.7%
		<p><i>Optional Notes: This calculation combines two award years as funded by HUD from December - November. The award in the Dec 2020 - Nov 2021 included 5-months of eligible monitoring data and the Dec 2021 - Nov 2022 included 7-months of eligible monitoring data. From an aggregate comparison, the program is not spending at a rate that will result in full drawdown during the grant award. There was also an unspent dollar amount of \$257,585.87 from Dec 2020 - Nov 2021, a equitable portion of which was assigned to the overall combined holistic budget. The Spending/Drawdown Rate Tool score was appealed, which is provided below with no scoring change as there was not sufficient documentation or justification to adjust the scoring. One note on categorial scoring, this tool accounts for only the overall spending rate although monthly expenditures are often tracked by categories monthly, they are only scored on total award compared to total expenditures. From CCSS, "For the Spending Drawdown Report, the amount left unspent for Grant year Dec. 2020 to November 2021, was only 12.7%, meaning that the program came close to scoring some points on the 0-10 scale that was created for this measure. The following grant year, December 2021 to November 2022, the amount of money that was awarded to the program increased by \$375,245.50. It should be noted that the program only left 12.7% on the table in 2021 and the next year it would have spent 100% of the awarded amount, if it weren't for the increase. In other words, the amount of the increase was \$375,245 and the amount left unspent was \$374,009 from December 2021 to November 2022. Without that extra awarded amount, the program would have spent 100% of its awarded funds. Another factor to note is that the report showing the scores on the Spending Drawdown and Utilization Rate Monitoring Tool only shows the total monthly budget amounts but does not break down these amounts by category. Based on other drawdown reports, it appears that the STAR PSH program spends close to the awarded amounts for Supportive Services and Administrative Costs. The problem area is with Rental Assistance. Since the STAR PSH program's goal is to provide stability and permanency, its clients are often stable. There is generally not a lot of turnover, which lessens the amount necessary to pay rent and other housing costs. The program has been utilizing the rent reasonableness tool to justify paying higher rents to include utilities. This helps to increase the drawdowns from the rental assistance bucket. Lastly, if the program were at capacity, it could come closer to spending the amount allocated for rental assistance. It would be closer but would still not be close enough to the amount awarded. The program consistently tries to get referrals from Coordinated Entry. However, there are many obstacles along the path from Coordinated Entry to Bridge Housing, to getting document ready, and then finally getting enrolled in STAR PSH. There are many clients who never get the necessary documentation to move forward. Some clients disappear and all efforts to locate them again fail. There are clients who cannot follow the rules in Bridge Housing and are exited at that stage. For specific numbers, the STAR PSH program has requested clients almost continuously from December 2022 until now; but, of the 27 referrals received in that time, only 12 made it through the process to become an enrolled client in STAR PSH."</i></p>			
Spending/Drawdown Rate Score:					0

Spending/Drawdown Calculations							
<i>Grant Number</i>	<i>Budget Line Item</i>						
Dec 2020 - Nov 2021	<i>Leasing</i>	<i>Rental</i>	<i>Operating</i>	<i>Supportive Services</i>	<i>Admin</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Percent Total</i>
Authorized						\$673,863.75	
July						\$118,846.14	17.6%
August						\$119,830.85	17.8%
September						\$111,124.76	16.5%
October						\$123,437.89	18.3%
November						\$114,907.39	17.1%
December						\$0.00	0
January						\$0.00	0
February						\$0.00	0
March						\$0.00	0
April						\$0.00	0
May						\$0.00	0
June						\$0.00	0
Dispersed	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$588,147.03	87.3%
Available Balance	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$85,716.72	12.7%
Percentage Calculation	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	12.7%	

Spending/Drawdown Calculations							
<i>Grant Number</i>	<i>Budget Line Item</i>						
Dec 2021 - Nov 2022	<i>Leasing</i>	<i>Rental</i>	<i>Operating</i>	<i>Supportive Services</i>	<i>Admin</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Percent Total</i>
Authorized Remaining						\$1,049,109.25	
July						\$0.00	0
August						\$0.00	0
September						\$0.00	0
October						\$0.00	0
November						\$0.00	0
December						\$85,868.48	8.2%
January						\$119,762.35	11.4%
February						\$82,997.29	7.9%
March						\$122,838.64	11.7%
April						\$83,271.95	7.9%
May						\$82,520.41	7.9%
June						\$97,840.63	9.3%
Dispersed	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$675,099.75	64.3%
Available Balance	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$374,009.50	35.7%
Percentage Calculation	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	35.7%	

Utilization Rate					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Available Bed Nights	Actual Bed Nights	Utilization Rate
Utilization Rate		Does the program operate at full capacity, with low vacancy rate, and quickly fills vacancies? Scoring: ≥90% = 10 points, 70%-89.99% = 7 points, 50%-69.99% = 4 points, <50% = 0 points. (10 points possible)	43,800	34,240	78%
		<i>Optional Notes: The Utilization Rate score was appealed with the following explanation that did not result in a scoring change as a result of insufficient available documentation to adjust the outcome scores. From CCSS, "For the utilization rate, it should be noted there are many challenges of getting clients from the coordinated entry stage to actual enrollment in STAR PSH. Some of the specific challenges are listed above. Despite continuous effort to enroll eligible clients, only 12 out of 27 were able to be enrolled from December 1, 2022, to present."</i>			
Utilization Rate Score:					7

Article VI. Main Monitoring Tool

SNHCoC External Monitoring Main Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool was developed based on the logic and design of the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool as provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team in collaboration with Clark County Social Service and the SNHCoC Monitoring Working Group. The tool is designed for deployment by the External Monitoring Team at the recipient/subrecipient level for agency/organization-wide monitoring and compliance assessments. This tool is being deployed as one of a series of tools that have been developed by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team, a working group from the SNHCoC, BitFocus HMIS data reports, and HUD. The goal of this tool is to provide an overall outcome score for monitoring based on HUD Handbook and CFR standards, regulations and requirements. Ultimately, the final score of this tool will inform the overall monitoring score for SNHCoC HUD funded programs for consideration and inclusion in the annual Scoring and Ranking process for available HUD grant funds.

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: Similar to the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, this tool seeks to identify available groupings or partitions of standards to be assessed, monitored, and evaluated during External Monitoring. For the purpose of this tool, standards are defined as specific component areas of the HUD Handbook, where available. Conducting this type of grouping allows for internal tool weighting practices, which assists with prioritization of monitoring and evaluation outcomes.

Project Type: This tool was developed for ALL Project Types to be conducted at the agency/organization system level as a standalone tool from program specific tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization perspective. This was identified as a fundamental need in External Monitoring tool design because many recipients/subrecipients in the SNHCoC have multiple programs funded annually. The agency/organizational level tool allows the External Monitoring Team to apply this tool outcome to ALL programs monitored during the annual External Monitoring in lieu of repeating for each and every funded program.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Main Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing ALL projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

Scoring (modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool): For each standard, there are three scoring criteria: "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" (as explained further below). To show that a project is in full compliance with each standard, the assessor (monitor) should mark "Always/Every time, 100%" for each scoring criteria. Use the drop down in the three columns to the right and select "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool includes a "N/A" option that will be available for all standards). Once an answer is chosen, the Report Summary tab will automatically update to reflect the answers.

~"Say It" means that project and agency staff can describe verbally what they do concerning each standard. The assessor (monitor) should be able to identify that the organizational culture supports the standard by how staff talks about what is done.

~"Document It" means that there is written documentation that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Written documentation could include Policies and Procedures, Personnel Handbooks, Professional Development Plans, Project Rules, etc.

~"Do It" means that the assessor (monitor) was able to find evidence that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Evidence could include information contained in client or other administrative files, client acknowledgement that something is being done, staff can point to documentation that supports implementation of the standard, etc.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where the information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is needed to be able to mark that standard as "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

SNHCoC External Monitoring Main Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	This section will be captured on the Project Scoring Tool and each tool will capture the Provider and Project Names in addition to the monitoring dates and team member information.
HUD Handbook 29-1	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-1 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-1
HUD Handbook 29-4	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-4 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-4
HUD Handbook 29-11	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-11 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-11
HUD Handbook 29-12	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-12 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-12
Fair Market Rent Exhibit	Lists each standard for assessment from the FMR related and associated regulations and requirements.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across all operations and programs pursuant to FMR wherever applicable.
CoC Main Tool Scoring	Provides the outcome scores for the included Standards, Comprehensive Section, and Overall Tool Score.	Designed to calculate and display the total score for each Standard Comprehensive Section, and the Overall Comprehensive Score for final scoring and reporting procedures.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name(s)	STAR - PSH
Project Name(s)	STAR - RRH
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	28 February 2023; 17 April 2023; 2 May 2023; 13 May 2023

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
General Record Keeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	Does a representative sample of records suggest compliance with the requirement that lack of third-party documentation must not prevent an individual or family from receiving street outreach services or receiving services provided by a victim service provider?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
General Record Keeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3)-(4); 24 CFR 76.500(b); 24 CFR 576.500(c)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient have written intake procedures in place to ensure that documentation of program participants' homeless status is established, verified, and maintained in accordance with the program requirements?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
General Record Keeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient's written intake procedures establish the order of priority for obtaining evidence of homelessness and/or at-risk of homelessness as: (1) third party documentation; (2) intake worker observations; and (3) self-certification?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
General Record Keeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	<p>If the recipient or subrecipient is using HMIS or a comparable database to document program participants' homeless status, does the HMIS or comparable database retain an auditable history of all entries, including identification of the person who entered the data, the date of entry, and the change made, and does the HMIS prevent overrides or changes of the dates on which entries are made? (Per Clarity, both HMIS and the DV system meet all requirements in this question. All CoC subrecipients are required to use Clarity HMIS or the DV system; therefore, all CoC subrecipients can answer 'Yes' to this question. In other cases, if the provider is not using Clarity HMIS, how is this requirement being met? What is the process?)</p> <p><i>Note: Recipient or subrecipients are not required to use their HMIS as evidence of homeless status but, if they do use their HMIS, the HMIS must meet these requirements. The HUD reviewer can determine whether the HMIS was used by asking the recipient or subrecipient, or by reviewing the case file and identifying whether HMIS printed records were included in the record.</i></p>	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(1)]	<p>For program participants who qualified because their primary night time residence was a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground, or because they were living in a supervised shelter designed to provide temporary living arrangements, does a review of program participant files include one of the following:</p> <p>(1) a written referral by another housing or service provider;</p> <p>(2) a printed record from HMIS or a comparable database used by a victim service or legal service provider;</p> <p>(3) a written observation by an outreach worker of the conditions where the individual or family was living; or</p> <p>(4) a written certification by the individual or head of household seeking assistance.</p>	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(5)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient ensure that program participants' eligibility is adequately documented in terms of their homeless status upon entry into the program? Where the program participant qualified under paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless and was served by a victim service provider, do the records show that either the program or the intake worker certified that the individual or head of household: (1) was fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking, or other dangerous or life threatening conditions that relate to violence; (2) lacked the resources or support networks necessary to obtain other permanent housing; and (3) had not identified other subsequent residence?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven? The requirements for documenting an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation, (2) A written record of intake workers due diligence to obtain, and (3) Intake worker's documentation of the living situation, and (4) Individual's self-certification of the living situation (Up to 3 months can be documented through self-certification with additional limited circumstances for up to the full 12 months);	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: This is based on STAR - PSH/ STAR RRH does not use this document. - UID ending in 72Q1 Chronicity verified</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based Breaks in Homelessness? The requirements for documenting Breaks in Homelessness chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation and (2) Individual's self-certification	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: UID ending in 72Q1 Chronicity verified</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on Institutional Stays? The requirements for documenting Institutional Stays chronicity are: (1) Discharge paperwork or written/oral referral from a social worker, case manager, or other appropriate official stating beginning and end dates of time residing in the institutional care facility, (2) A written record of intake workers due diligence to obtain, AND (3) Individual's self-certification that he/she is exiting an institutional care facility (less than 90 days);	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: Depends on program requirements</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	<p>Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on 12-months cumulative homeless history? The requirements for documenting 12-months cumulative homeless history for chronicity are:</p> <p>(1) Review HMIS to determine if there are 12 months of cumulative homelessness over the last 3 years.</p> <p>(2) If there are not 12 months in HMIS but client reports that they have been homeless for the last 12 months in the last three years.</p> <p>(3) Identify other third-party sources (i.e., outreach worker, other professional source).</p> <p>(4) Identify any documented breaks in HMIS (i.e., stay in transitional housing).</p> <p>(5) If at least 9 months of homelessness (cumulative or continuous) cannot be obtained by third-party documentation, up to the full 12 months can be documented via self-certification only:</p> <p><i>(a) Must thoroughly document attempt(s) to obtain third-party documentation and</i></p> <p><i>(b) Document why third-party documentation was not obtained,</i></p> <p><i>(c) Obtain a written certification from individual or head of household of the living situation of the undocumented time period .</i></p>	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
<i>Optional Notes: UID ending in 72Q1 Chronicity verified</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(2)]	<p>For program participants who qualified under paragraph (2) of the homeless definition, does a review of program participant files contain the following evidence that program participants would have lost their primary nighttime residence (including housing they own, rent, or share with others) within 14 days of the date of application for homeless assistance:</p> <p>(1) a court order resulting from eviction notifying the individual or family that they must leave within 14 days of the date of their application for homeless assistance, or the equivalent notice under state law, a Notice to Quit, or a Notice to Terminate issued under state law;</p> <p>(2) if the primary nighttime residence was a hotel or motel room not paid for by federal, state, or local government programs for low-income program participants or by charitable organizations, evidence that the individual or family lacked the resources necessary to reside there for more than 14 days from the date of application for homeless assistance, or</p> <p>(3) an oral statement by the individual or head of household seeking assistance that the owner or renter of the housing in which they currently reside will not allow them to stay for more than 14 days from the date of application for homeless assistance? The statement must be documented by the intake worker. Also, the oral statement must have been found credible by one of the following:</p> <p>(a) a written certification by the owner or renter of the housing or the intake worker's documentation of the owners or renter's oral statement, or</p> <p>(b) if the owner or renter of the housing cannot be reached, a written description and certification of the intake worker's due diligence in contacting the owner or renter and a written certification by the head of household seeking the assistance that their statement is true and complete.</p>	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(3)(i)]	For program participants who qualified under paragraph (2) of the homeless definition in 24 CFR 578.103, does a review of program participant files contain: (a) certification from the individual or head of household that no subsequent residence has been identified; and (b) certification or other written documentation that the individual or family lacks the resources or support networks to obtain other permanent housing?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(4)(ii)]	For youth and families who qualified under paragraph (3) of the homeless definition, does a review of program participant files confirm that the program participants did not have a lease, ownership interest, or occupancy agreement in permanent housing at any time during the 60 days immediately preceding the date of application for homeless assistance, as evidenced by the following: (1) written certification by the head of household seeking assistance, or (2) written observation by an outreach worker, or (3) referral by a housing or service provider?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500 (b)(4)(iii)]	For youth and families who qualified under paragraph (3) of the homeless definition, does a review of the program participant files indicate that each programs participant’s records contain a written self-certification that the program participant moved two or more times during the 60-day period immediately before the program participant applied for homeless assistance AND one or more of the following documentation, as applicable, to support the self-certification: (1) recorded statements or records obtained from each owner or renter of housing; provider of shelter or housing; or social worker, case worker; or other appropriate official of a hospital or institution in which the individual or family resided; (2) a written record of the intake worker’s due diligence in attempting to obtain the above records; (3) where a move was due to the individual or family fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, written self-certification that they were fleeing that situation and they resided at that address?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(4)(iv)]	<p>For youth and families who qualified under paragraph (3) of the homeless definition, does are view of program participant files confirm that the program participants' persistent inability was likely to continue for an extended period of time because of: chronic disabilities; chronic physical health or mental health conditions; substance addiction; histories of domestic violence or dating violence or childhood abuse; the presence of a child or youth with a disability; two or more barriers to employment (including lack of high school degree or GED; illiteracy; low English proficiency; a history of incarceration; or detention for criminal activity; and/or a history of unstable employment as evidenced by:</p> <p>(1) for chronic disabilities, chronic physical health or mental health conditions, and substance addiction – a written diagnosis from a professional who is licensed by the state to diagnose or treat those conditions; or</p> <p>(2) for barriers to employment – employment records, literacy or English proficiency tests, department of corrections records; or</p> <p>(3) any other reasonable documentation of any of the conditions in the criteria (e.g., disability letter)?</p>	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(5)]	Where the program participant qualified under paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless and was served by a victim service provider, do the records show that either the program or the intake worker certified in writing that the individual or head of household made an oral statement that the program participant: (1) was fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking, or other dangerous or life threatening conditions that relate to violence; (2) lacked the resources or support networks necessary to obtain other permanent housing; and (3) had not identified other subsequent residence?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(1)(i)]	Where the program participant qualified as an at-risk of homelessness under paragraph (1) of the definition, do the records reflect that the household's income is below 30% of the area median income, as evidenced by an income evaluation form containing HUD's minimum requirements and at least one of the following: (1) source documents for the assets held by the program participant and source document of the income received over the most recent period for which representative data is available before the date of intake; or (2) written statement by the relevant third party (e.g., employer) or the written certification by the recipient or subrecipient's intake staff of the oral verification by the relevant third-party of the income of the program participant received over the most recent period for which representative data are available; or (3) written certification by the program participant of the amount of income the program participant received for the most recent period representative of the income that the program participant is reasonably expected to receive over the 3-month period following the evaluation?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(1)(ii)]	Where the program participant qualified as at-risk of homelessness under paragraph (1) of the definition, do the records reflect the program participant's written certification that the program participant has insufficient financial resources and support networks immediately available to attain housing stability and meets one or more of the conditions under paragraph(1)(iii) of the "at risk of homelessness" definition?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(1)(iv)]	<p>Where the program participant qualified as at-risk homelessness under paragraph (1) of the definition, do the records show reasonable efforts to verify that the program participant met one of the seven conditions under paragraph (1)(iii), as evidenced by one of the following:</p> <p>(1) source documents that evidence one or more of the conditions;</p> <p>(2) a written statement by the relevant third party or the written certification by the recipient or subrecipient’s intake staff of the oral verification by the relevant third party that the applicant meets one or more of the conditions; or</p> <p>(3) a written statement by the recipient/subrecipient intake staff that they visited the applicant’s residence and determined that the applicant meets one or more of the conditions or, if a visit is not feasible (relevant to the determination), a written statement by recipient/subrecipient staff describing efforts taken to obtain required evidence?</p> <p><i>Note: the conditions are:</i></p> <p>(1) has moved because of economic reasons two or more times during the 60 days immediately preceding the application for assistance;</p> <p>(2) is living in the home of another because of economic hardship;</p> <p>(3) has been notified in writing that their right to occupy their current housing or living situation will be terminated within 21 days after the date of application for assistance;</p> <p>(4) lives in a hotel or motel and the cost is not paid by charitable organizations, federal, state, or local government programs for low-income individuals;</p> <p>(5) lives in an SRO or efficiency apartment in which there resides more than two persons, or lives in a larger housing unit in which there resides more than 1.5 persons per room, as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau;</p> <p>(6) is exiting a publicly funded institution, or system of care, or</p> <p>(7) otherwise lives in housing that has characteristics associated with the instability and an increased risk of homelessness as identified in the ESG recipient’s Consolidated Plan.</p>	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(2)]	<p>Where the program participant qualified as at-risk of homelessness under paragraph (2), do the records reflect that the program participant met the definition of homeless under one of the following Federal statutes, as evidenced by a certification of the child’s or youth’s homeless status by the agency or organization responsible for administering assistance under the statute:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Runaway and Homeless Youth Act; (2) Subtitle N of the Violence Against Women Act of 1994; (3) Section 330 of the Public Health Service Act; (4) The Food and Nutrition Act of 2008; (5) Section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966; (6) Head Start Act? 	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(4); 24 CFR 576.500(c)(2)]	<p>Where the program participant qualified as at-risk of homelessness under paragraph (3), do the records reflect that child or youth in the household qualified as homeless under subtitle B of title VII of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, as evidenced by certification of the child or youth’s homeless status by an agency or organization that administers assistance under the Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program?</p> <p><i>Note: Under this paragraph of At-Risk of Homelessness, the certification need only specify the child meets the definition under subtitle B of title VII of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.</i></p>	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1				
Topic Area	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive HUD 29-1	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	5
	Always/Every time, 100%	17	4	10
	N/A	4	17	6
	Total Count	17	4	15
	Total Available Points	119	28	105
	Total Score	119	28	100
	General Record Keeping	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0
Rarely, less than 20%		0	0	0
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%		0	0	0
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%		0	0	0
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%		0	0	0
Usually, 80% to less than 100%		0	0	0
Always/Every time, 100%		4	3	3
N/A		0	1	1
Total Count		4	3	3
Total Available Points		28	21	21
Total Score		28	21	21
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements		Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	5
	Always/Every time, 100%	13	1	7
	N/A	4	16	5
	Total Count	13	1	12
	Total Available Points	91	7	84
	Total Score	91	7	79

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.103(a)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient established and maintained standard operating procedures that ensure CoC funds are used in accordance with the provisions of the McKinney-Vento and HEARTH Acts?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(4)(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient complied with maintaining the confidentiality of records pertaining to any individual or family that was provided family violence prevention or treatment services through the project? (Provider must have written procedures regarding confidentiality of clients who are victims of domestic violence.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(4)(ii); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient complied with not making the address or location of any family violence project assisted public, except with the express written authorization of the person responsible for the operation of such project? (Provider must have written procedures regarding confidentiality of clients who are victims of domestic violence.)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient complied with establishing policies and procedures that are consistent with, and do not restrict, the exercise of rights provided by Subtitle B of Title VII of the Act and other laws relating to the provision of educational and related services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 84.42; 24 CFR 85.36]	If the recipient or subrecipient procures program services from another entity, do they have a written standard of conduct governing the performance of their employees engaged in the award and administration of contracts? (Contract-associated policies & procedures)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.75(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Do records confirm that housing and facilities constructed or rehabilitated with CoC program funds meet state or local building codes, and in the absence of state or local building codes, the International Residential Code or International Building Code (as applicable to the type of structure) of the International Code Council? (Refer to permits, inspections)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(9); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient use the centralized or coordinated assessment system established by the CoC as set forth in 24 CFR 578.7 (a)(8)? Note: Victim service providers may choose not to use the CoC's centralized or coordinated assessment system, provided that victim service providers use a comparable centralized or coordinated assessment system that meets HUD's minimum requirements.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes: Referrals are provided from the matcher (this is for PSH & RRH) - Vivo project was closed (11/30/2018) and clients were transferred to STAR PSH on 12/1/18. Documentation will sent over for review.</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight		Does the recipient or subrecipient follow the written standards for providing CoC program assistance developed by the CoC, including the minimum requirements set forth in 24 CFR 578.7 (a)(9)? (Recipient or subrecipient consistently follows the standards of providing CoC)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight		If monitored by the recipient, did the recipient or subrecipient respond to actively resolve monitoring deficiencies? (Question to be answered by program reviewer and referenced in post-monitoring report letter/summary.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(4)(iv); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	For projects that provide housing or services to families, has the recipient or subrecipient designated a staff person to be responsible for ensuring that children who were served in the program were enrolled in school and connected to appropriate services in the community, including early childhood programs such as Head Start, part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Act, and program authorized under Subtitle B of Title VII of the Act?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient take the educational needs of children into consideration when families are placed in housing and, to the maximum extent practicable, place families with children as close as possible to their school of origin so as not to disrupt such children's education?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(b)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient physically inspect each unit to assure that the unit meets HQS (Housing Quality Standards) before any CoC program leasing assistance or rental assistance is provided to assist program participants?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(b)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient inspect all units at least annually during the grant period to ensure that the units continue to meet HQS?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient's records confirm that each CoC program-assisted dwelling unit has at least one bedroom or living/sleeping room for each two persons?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(c)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient's records confirm that children of the opposite sex, other than very young children, are not required to occupy the same bedroom or living/sleeping room?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(c)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8)]	If household composition changes during the term of assistance, do the recipient or subrecipient's records confirm that they relocated the household to a more appropriately sized unit and continued access to appropriate supportive services?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(d); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient provides supportive housing for homeless persons with disabilities, do their records confirm that meals or meal preparation facilities are provided for program participants? (Reference HQS Assessment)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(e); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient conduct an ongoing assessment of the supportive services needed by program participants, the availability of such services, and the coordination of services needed to ensure long-term housing stability and adjustments, as appropriate?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes: For STAR RRH - monthly contact will be noted in HMIS in the service section.</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(f); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient provide residential supervision as necessary to facilitate the adequate provision of supportive services to the residents of the housing through the term of the commitment to operate supportive housing? (Determining case management intensity in the field.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.21(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.75(g); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(12)]	Does the recipient, to the maximum extent practicable, involve homeless individuals and families through employment; volunteer services; or otherwise in constructing, rehabilitating, maintaining, and operating the project, and in providing supportive services for the project?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Operations	[24 CFR 578.75(h); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient requires program participants to take part in supportive services, do the records confirm that any required supportive services are not disability-related services provided through the project as a condition of continued participation in the program?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(16)(iii)]	Does the subrecipient retain copies of all procurement contracts and confirmation of compliance with the procurement requirements in 24 CFR 85.36 and 24 CFR 84? (Recipient or subrecipient complies with codes of conduct and conflict of interest requirements.)	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(16)(ii)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient retain copies of all monitoring records, including any monitoring findings and corrective actions required?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes: They are on the computer - password protected.</i>					
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(b)(1)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient developed and implemented written procedures to ensure that all records containing protected identifying information of any individual or family who applied or receives CoC program assistance will be kept secure and confidential?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(b)(2)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient developed and implemented written procedures to ensure that the address or location of any family violence project assisted with CoC program funds would not be made public, except with the express written authorization of the person responsible for the operation of the project?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(b)(3)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient developed and implemented written procedures to ensure that the address or location of any housing of a program participant would not be made public, except as provided under preexisting privacy policy of the recipient and consistent with State and local laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(16)(i)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient retain copies of all solicitations and agreements with recipients, records of all payment requests by and dates of payments received from recipient, as well as confirmation of all monitoring and sanctions issued by the recipient, as applicable?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient retain confirmation of each program participant’s qualification as a family or individual at risk of homelessness or as a homeless family or individual, and other program participant records which must be retained for 5 years after the expenditure of all funds from the grant under which the program was served?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HQS		When all life-threatening HQS deficiencies are found as a recipient or subrecipient are you ensuring each deficiency is corrected within twenty-four (24) hours of inspection and all other cited HQS deficiencies are corrected no more than 30 calendar days from the inspection unless an approved extension of time for correction was agreed to and does your records reflect such information?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i); 24 CFR 578.53(a); 24 CFR 578.103]	Are available supportive services provided by the recipient or subrecipient designed to address the needs of program participants?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.53(e)(1); 24 CFR 578.103]	Do recipient or subrecipients conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants and adjust services accordingly?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HMIS	[24 CFR 578.57(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Do records confirm grant funds expended for HMIS costs complied with HMIS requirements published by HUD?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HMIS	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient submitted client data in HMIS for all program participants assisted?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 84.21(b)(2); 24 CFR 85.20]	Does the recipient or subrecipient maintain a policy manual covering the authority for approving financial transactions to include guidelines for controlling expenditures, such as purchasing requirements and travel authorizations?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b)(3)]	Do fiscal records indicate evidence that the recipient or subrecipient has effective internal control over, and accountability of, all grant funds, property, and other assets and that fiscal records and valuables are secured in a limited-access area? (How grant funds are managed, look for systems of checks & balances, inventory controls, etc. to ensure a process exists to foster effective internal control.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 578.37; 24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b)]	Does sample transaction records review indicate grant expenditures were eligible costs under regulations, were necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient program administration, were allocated to the program, & supported by adequate documentation (invoices, contracts, purchase orders)? (Invoice reviews)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Financial and Internal Controls		Did a review of the recipient or subrecipient's financial records demonstrate that cash payments were not being provided directly to the program beneficiaries? (Note: Cash payments may not be made directly to program beneficiaries.)	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 578.99(g); 24 CFR 84.26]	If invoice reviews are required for the recipient or subrecipient, is there documentation that the invoice has been reviewed for compliance and that the recipient or subrecipient has taken appropriate follow-up actions, if necessary?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes: All invoices are approved by two people internally and then SAP approval system for payment.</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Financial and Internal Controls	[24 CFR 578.63(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If any indirect costs are charged to the grant, do records confirm that the allocations to each eligible activity are consistent with an indirect cost proposal developed in accordance with applicable requirements?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Match	[42 U.S.C. 11386, Section 430; 24 CFR 578.73(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	Do records document the recipient/subrecipient matched at least 25% of all funds (except leasing)?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Income	[24 CFR 578.87(b)(1)(i); 24 CFR 578.87(b)(1)(ii); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(13)]	In providing services and outreach activities related to such services supported in whole or part with CoC program funds, did the recipient or subrecipient discriminate against program participants or prospective program participants on the basis of religion, a religious belief, a refusal to hold a religious belief, or a refusal to attend or participate in a religious practice?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Income	[24 CFR 578.97(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient engages in grant-supported activities that generate program income, do records confirm that program income earned during the grant term was retained by the recipient and committed to the project?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Program Income	[24 CFR 578.97(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient engages in grant-supported activities that generate program income, do records confirm that program income was expended on eligible costs?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Program Income	[24 CFR 578.97(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If the recipient or subrecipient engages in grant-supported activities that generate program income, do records confirm that costs incident to the generation of program income were deducted from gross income to calculate the program income, provided the costs have not been charged to grant funds?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Limitation of Use of Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(b)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(13)]	Did a review show that CoC program funds were not used to support or engage in explicitly religious activities?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Limitation of Use of Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(b)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(13)]	If a program participant or prospective program participant objected to the religious character of the recipient or subrecipient that provided services, did the recipient or subrecipient undertake reasonable efforts to identify and refer the program participant to an alternative provide to which the program participant has no objection?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Termination of Assistance	[24 CFR 578.93(b)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(7)(ii)]	Do records confirm that the recipient or subrecipient has a formal termination of assistance process that includes a written copy of the program rules and the termination process provided to the participant before the participant began to receive assistance and it provides a clear statement of the reasons for termination?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

Termination of Assistance	[24 CFR 578.91(b)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(7)(ii)]	Do records confirm that the recipient/subrecipient has a formal termination of assistance process that includes a decision review, in which the participant had the opportunity to present written/oral objections to a person other than the staff (or subordinate) who made/approved the termination decision?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Conflict of Interest	[24 CFR 578.95(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(11)]	If records document that the recipient or subrecipient did not render impartial assistance in the provision of any type or amount of assistance because of activities or relationships with other persons or organizations, do records document that the conflict of interest was resolved to HUD’s satisfaction?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Conflict of Interest	[24 CFR 578.95(d)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(11)]	If records document that the recipient or subrecipient has not complied with the individual conflict of interest requirements at 24 CFR 578.95(d) or did not obtain an exception from HUD, do records document that the conflict of interest was resolved to HUD’s satisfaction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Displacement, Relocation, and Acquisition	[24 CFR 578.83; 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If a CoC program household was displaced during the grant term, do records confirm that the recipient or subrecipient complied with the requirements of 24 CFR 578.83? (Includes minimizing displacement, temporary relocation with reimbursement for all reasonable costs, and appropriate advisory services, etc. See full section for detailed information.)	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes: This was not reflected in the HMIS</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4

Environmental Review	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(17); Sec V.E.1 of CoC FY 2014 NOFA]	Do records confirm an environmental review was completed prior to committing or expending CoC program funds or local funds on any eligible program activities or acquiring, rehabilitating, converting, leasing, repairing, disposing of, demolishing, or constructing property?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-4	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	42	40	14
	N/A	10	12	37
	Total Count	42	40	15
	Total Available Points	294	280	105
	Total Score	294	280	103
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	8	8	0
	N/A	3	3	10
	Total Count	8	8	1
	Total Available Points	56	56	7
	Total Score	56	56	5
Program Operations	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	10	10	9
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	10	10	9
	Total Available Points	70	70	63
	Total Score	70	70	63

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Recordkeeping	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	6	0
	N/A	1	1	7
	Total Count	6	6	0
	Total Available Points	42	42	0
	Total Score	42	42	0
HQS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7
Supportive Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	1
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	2	2	1
	Total Available Points	14	14	7
	Total Score	14	14	7

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	1
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	2	2	1
	Total Available Points	14	14	7
	Total Score	14	14	7
Financial and Internal Controls	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	5	4	0
	N/A	1	2	6
	Total Count	5	4	0
	Total Available Points	35	28	0
	Total Score	35	28	0
Match	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Program Income	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	3	3	3
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7
Limitation of Use of Funds	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	1	1	2
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0
Termination of Assistance	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	0
	N/A	0	0	2
	Total Count	2	2	0
	Total Available Points	14	14	0
	Total Score	14	14	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Conflict of Interest	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	1	1	2
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0
Displacement, Relocation, and Acquisition	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	0	0
	N/A	0	1	1
	Total Count	1	0	0
	Total Available Points	7	0	0
	Total Score	7	0	0
Environmental Review	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-11					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-11	[24 CFR 578.73(a) and (b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10); 24 CFR Part 84.23; 24 CFR Part 85.24; 24 CFR 578.97(b)]	Were match contributions allowable activities (e.g., non-CoC program contribution to an eligible project(s) made in an eligible form – cash or in-kind)? (Note: Program income is not an eligible match in the CoC program.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-11	[Sec. 430 of the McKinney-Vento Act; 24 CFR 578.73(a); 24 CFR 578.73(c)(1)]	Were match contributions from the recipient or subrecipient eligible for CoC program costs(e.g., cash or in-kind contributions for costs of activities that were eligible under Subpart D of the CoC program interim rule)?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-11	[24 CFR Part 84.23; 24 CFR Part 85.24; 24 CFR 578.73(b); 24 CFR 578.73(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	Do records confirm that the value of each matching contribution was calculated properly?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-11	[24 CFR 578.73(c)]	Do records confirm that the value of any real property, equipment, goods, or services contributed to the project as match, would have been eligible?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-11	[42 U.S.C. 11386, Section 430; 24 CFR 578.73(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	If recipient or subrecipient is contributing match to the project, do records confirm that the match contribution was equal to the match documented in the memorandum of understanding (MOU)?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-11	[24 CFR Part 84.23; 24 CFR Part 85.24; 24 CFR 578.73(b); 24 CFR 578.73(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient documented for the eligibility and value of the cash and in-kind match contributions for the operating year(s) reviewed (e.g., all eligible confirmation letters for cash contributions, real property, goods, equipment, volunteer services, and memorandums of understanding (MOUs) for third-party services that were executed prior to the grant agreement execution)?	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-11				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-11	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	4	0
	N/A	3	2	6
	Total Count	3	4	0
	Total Available Points	21	28	0
	Total Score	21	28	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.103(a)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient have written policies and procedures ensuring that CoC program funds are used in accordance with requirements and sufficient records to enable HUD and the recipients to determine whether CoC requirements are being met?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 84.21(b)(6); 24 CFR 85.22]	Did the recipient or subrecipient demonstrate that program expenses are allowable, allocable, and reasonable?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b)(3); 24 CFR 85.20]	Do the fiscal records document that the recipient or subrecipient has effective internal control over, and accountability of, all grant funds, property, and other assets?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Does the recipient or subrecipient's chart of accounts include a complete listing of the account numbers used to support the controls required to ensure that resources used do not exceed resources authorized?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Do the controls over the design and use of documents and records provide reasonable assurance that transactions and events are properly documented, recorded, and auditable?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Does the recipient or subrecipient have an organization chart that illustrates the actual lines of authority/responsibility?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Are primary duties for key employees of the recipient or subrecipient defined?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: In the normal course of the position's requirements, are duties segregated to effectively reduce the opportunity for some to perpetrate or conceal errors or irregularities?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Is it clear that all personnel are responsible for communicating to appropriate supervisory officials the recipient or subrecipient's operating problems and noncompliance with laws and regulations?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Do the approval controls provide reasonable assurance that appropriate individuals approve recorded transactions in accordance with management's general or specific criteria?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 85.20]	Internal Controls: Do the internal control procedures support the ability to prepare financial statements that are accurately presented in conformity with generally accepted or other relevant and appropriate accounting principles and regulatory requirements? (Note: One level of assurance of the accuracy and integrity of data is provided by the recipient attaining an unqualified opinion on the audited annual financial statements and internal controls.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.99(e); OMB Circular A-87 (for governments) or OMB Circular A-122 (for nonprofit organizations)]	Does a review of program expenditures indicate the absence of any unallowable costs including entertainment, contributions and donations, fines and penalties, or general governmental expenditures, including salary and expenses of the chief executive officer of the recipient or subrecipient?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.99(e); OMB Circular A-87 (for governments) or OMB Circular A-122 (for nonprofit organizations)]	Does a review of the expenditures indicate that costs related to lobbying political activities have not been charged to the program?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 84.21(b)(2); 24 CFR 85.20]	Does the recipient or subrecipient maintain records that identify adequately the source and application of funds for Federally sponsored activities? (Note: These records shall contain information pertaining to Federal Awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, outlays, income, and interest.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.37; 24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b)(2); 24 CFR 85.20(a)(2)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient identify expenditures in its accounting records according to eligible program activities identified in the grant agreement, which incorporates the application for funds?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.103; 24 CFR 84.21(b); 24 CFR 85.20(b)]	Does the source documentation support the amount drawn down from the payment requests?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 84.21(b); 24 CFR 85.20(b)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient’s financial records (e.g., drawdowns, unexpended balances) match the information in HUD’s financial management systems (e.g., Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS), Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)), for the period under review?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-122, Attachment B, 8(m); OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, 8(h)]	Are payments for salaries and wages supported by documented payrolls and personnel activity reports as specified in the applicable cost principles?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.59; OMB Circular A-122, Attachment B, 8(m); OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, 8(h)]	Are charges to the grant by both governmental units and organizations for salaries and wages, whether treated as direct or indirect costs, based on payrolls documented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and approved by a responsible official(s) of the organization being monitored?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, 8(h)(3)]	For government employees working solely on the grant, are charges for their salaries and wages supported by periodic certification that the employees worked solely on that program for the period covered by the certification?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, 8(h)(3)]	For government employees only, were the certifications prepared at least semi-annually and signed by the employee or a supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.59; 24 CFR 84.21; 24 CFR 85.20]	Does a random selection of administrative costs reflect that grant funds were used for eligible administrative costs?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-122; OMB Circular A-87]	Are all of the administrative costs reviewed allocable to the program, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient administration of the program?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.89; 24 CFR 578.41]	Is the recipient or subrecipient in compliance with the component or activity caps imposed by program regulations, and are all costs subject to the expenditure caps properly classified?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HUD 29-12	[OMB Circular A-87 (for governments) or OMB Circular A-122 (for nonprofit organizations)]	If indirect costs are charged to the grant program by the recipient or subrecipient, are the costs supported by an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal, or Cost Allocation Plan prepared in accordance with OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Section F (for units of state and local government) or OMB Circular A-122, Attachment A, Section C (for nonprofit organizations) or OMB Circular A-21, J.8 (for institutions of higher education?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.99(g); 24 CFR 84.26(a); 24 CFR 85.26(b)]	If single audits are required, does the recipient or subrecipient have a system or methodology to ensure such audits are conducted? (Note: See non-federal audit requirements at 24 CFR 84.26(a) and 24 CFR 85.26(b).	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 84.25; 24 CFR 85.26]	If single audits are required for any recipient or subrecipient, is there documentation that the audits have been reviewed for compliance and that recipient or subrecipient has taken appropriate follow-up actions if necessary?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.97]	Does the recipient or subrecipient maintain records of the receipt and use of program income?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.97; 24 CFR 84.24(b); CFR 85.25(g)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient use program income as a nonfederal share of eligible cost? (Note: Recipient or subrecipients must apply program income toward eligible costs.)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HUD 29-12	[24 CFR 578.23(c)(4)(v); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient confirmed that they, their officers, and their employees are not debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government? (Recommendation: www.Sam.gov tracks suspension or debarment. Also refer to http://www.gsa.gov/portal/content/192903 for additional information.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-12				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-12	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	27	27	0
	N/A	3	3	30
	Total Count	27	27	0
	Total Available Points	189	189	0
	Total Score	189	189	0

Fair Market Rate Exhibit					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Recordkeeping	24 CFR 5.609 and 24 CFR 5.611(a); 24 CFR 576.403; 24 CFR 576.500; 24 CFR 576.106(d)	If your project provides PSH or RRH; as a recipient or subrecipient does your records contain a methodology for documenting comparable rents, and standards for certifying comparable rents as reasonable?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Recordkeeping	24 CFR 576.403; 24 CFR 576.500	In your evaluation of your program participant will records show monthly and current budget, case notes that demonstrate program participants financial status which can include but not limited to most recent wage statement, unemployment compensation statement, public benefits statements, bank statement, as confirmation of annual income or other documents to illustrate lack of resources?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
<i>Optional Notes: PSH - Income calc form at intake. Any increase or decrease another income calc will be completed by the case manager. RRH - a breakdown of income is done monthly.</i>					
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(6)(i)]	Where an occupancy charge or rent is charged to a household, do records reflect that the recipient or subrecipient retains an income evaluation form completed by the recipient or subrecipient, as confirmation of annual income?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(6)(iii)]	Where an occupancy charge or rent is charged to a household and source confirmations are unavailable, do records contain a written statement by the relevant third party or the written certification by the recipient's intake staff of the oral verification by the relevant third party of the income the program participant received over the most recent period?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

Fair Market Rate Exhibit					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Recordkeeping	24 CFR 5.233 Mandated Use of HUD's Enterprise Income Verification (EIV) System; 24 CFR 5.659; 24 CFR 8.24, 8.32, 100.204	How is the recipient or subrecipient calculating or anticipating income of participant? Provide evidence of the current format that the recipient or subrecipient use to calculate the projected annual income. Income that may not last for a full 12 months (e.g., unemployment compensation) should be calculated assuming current circumstances will last a full 12 months. If changes occur later in the year, an interim recertification can be conducted to change the family's or individual's rent.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(6)(iv)]	Where an occupancy charge or rent is charged to a household and neither source confirmation nor third party verifications are available, do records contain a written certification by program participant of the amount of income that the program participant was reasonably expected to receive over the 3-month period following the evaluation?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Recordkeeping	[24 CFR Part 84.23; 24 CFR Part 85.24; 24 CFR 578.73(b); 24 CFR 578.73(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(10)]	Has the recipient or subrecipient ensure that rents charged by owners to housing choice program participants are reasonable. This determination involves two comparisons. First, the recipient or subrecipient must compare the rent for the unit to rents for similar unassisted units in the marketplace. Second, the recipient or subrecipient must compare the rent to rents for similar units on the premises.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

Fair Market Rate Exhibit				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD FMR	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	2
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	6	2
	N/A	1	1	2
	Total Count	6	6	5
	Total Available Points	42	42	35
	Total Score	42	42	31

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year:
				1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive HUD 29-1	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	5
	Always/Every time, 100%	17	4	10
	N/A	4	17	6
	Total Count	17	4	15
	Total Available Points	119	28	105
	Total Score	119	28	100
General Record Keeping	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	3	3
	N/A	0	1	1
	Total Count	4	3	3
	Total Available Points	28	21	21
	Total Score	28	21	21

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
		<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	5
	Always/Every time, 100%	13	1	7
	N/A	4	16	5
	Total Count	13	1	12
	Total Available Points	91	7	84
	Total Score	91	7	79
Comprehensive HUD 29-4	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	42	40	14
	N/A	10	12	37
	Total Count	42	40	15
	Total Available Points	294	280	105
	Total Score	294	280	103

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Overall Grant Management & Oversight	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	8	8	0	
	N/A	3	3	10	
	Total Count	8	8	1	
	Total Available Points	56	56	7	
	Total Score	56	56	5	
Program Operations	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	10	10	9	
	N/A	0	0	1	
	Total Count	10	10	9	
	Total Available Points	70	70	63	
	Total Score	70	70	63	

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	
Recordkeeping	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	6	0	
	N/A	1	1	7	
	Total Count	6	6	0	
	Total Available Points	42	42	0	
	Total Score	42	42	0	
HQS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1	
	N/A	0	0	0	
	Total Count	1	1	1	
	Total Available Points	7	7	7	
	Total Score	7	7	7	

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		Grant Year:		
CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Supportive Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	1
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	2	2	1
	Total Available Points	14	14	7
	Total Score	14	14	7
HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	1
	N/A	0	0	1
	Total Count	2	2	1
	Total Available Points	14	14	7
	Total Score	14	14	7

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Financial and Internal Controls	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	5	4	0	
	N/A	1	2	6	
	Total Count	5	4	0	
	Total Available Points	35	28	0	
	Total Score	35	28	0	
Match	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0	
	N/A	0	0	1	
	Total Count	1	1	0	
	Total Available Points	7	7	0	
	Total Score	7	7	0	

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		Grant Year:		
CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
		Say It	Document It	Do It
Program Income	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	3	3	3
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
Total Score	7	7	7	
Limitation of Use of Funds	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	1	1	2
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
Total Score	7	7	0	

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Termination of Assistance	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	0	
	N/A	0	0	2	
	Total Count	2	2	0	
	Total Available Points	14	14	0	
	Total Score	14	14	0	
Conflict of Interest	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0	
	N/A	1	1	2	
	Total Count	1	1	0	
	Total Available Points	7	7	0	
	Total Score	7	7	0	

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Displacement, Relocation, and Acquisition	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	0	0	
	N/A	0	1	1	
	Total Count	1	0	0	
	Total Available Points	7	0	0	
	Total Score	7	0	0	
Environmental Review	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1	
	N/A	0	0	0	
	Total Count	1	1	1	
	Total Available Points	7	7	7	
	Total Score	7	7	7	

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Comprehensive HUD 29-11	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	4	0	
	N/A	3	2	6	
	Total Count	3	4	0	
	Total Available Points	21	28	0	
	Total Score	21	28	0	
Comprehensive HUD 29-12	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	27	27	0	
	N/A	3	3	30	
	Total Count	27	27	0	
	Total Available Points	189	189	0	
	Total Score	189	189	0	

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022	
		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Fair Market Rate Exhibit	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	1	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	2	
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	6	2	
	N/A	1	1	2	
	Total Count	6	6	5	
	Total Available Points	42	42	35	
Total Score	42	42	31		

CoC Main Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		CCSS STAR PSH & STAR RRH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022	
		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Comprehensive CoC Main Monitoring Tool Score	Total Overall Section Points Available	665	567	245	
	Total Overall Comprehensive Section Score	665	567	234	
	Total Overall Points Available	1477			
	Total Overall Comprehensive Score	1466			

Article VII. Program Specific Monitoring Tool

SNHCoC External Monitoring PSH Program Specific Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool aims to assess and document how closely a housing and service provider adheres to the recommended best practice standards of the Housing First model, in the context of the broader work to implement a Housing First orientation at the system level. This tool specifically evaluates project level fidelity to Housing First, which directly impacts a system's fidelity to Housing First. In addition to the universal best practice standards identified in this tool, Continuums should also take into account their local community context and local written standards pertaining to Housing First when assessing projects. A Continuum of Care can use this tool to prompt discussion and to

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: Similar to the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, this tool seeks to identify available groupings or partitions of standards to be assessed, monitored, and evaluated during External Monitoring. For the purpose of this tool, standards are defined as specific component areas of the HUD Handbook, where available. Conducting this type of grouping allows for internal tool weighting practices, which assists with prioritization of monitoring and evaluation outcomes.

Project Type: This tool was developed for Permanent Supportive Housing Project Types to be conducted at the program level as a standalone tool from other developed tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization and program-specific perspectives.

SNHCoC External Monitoring PSH Program Specific Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing Permanent Supportive Housing projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

Scoring (modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool) : For each standard, there are three scoring criteria: "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" (as explained further below). To show that a project is in full compliance with each standard, the assessor (monitor) should mark "Always/Every time, 100%" for each scoring criteria. Use the drop down in the three columns to the right and select "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool includes a "N/A" option that will be available for all standards). Once an answer is chosen, the Report Summary tab will automatically update to reflect the answers.

~"Say It" means that project and agency staff can describe verbally what they do concerning each standard. The assessor (monitor) should be able to identify that the organizational culture supports the standard by how staff talks about what is done.

~"Document It" means that there is written documentation that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Written documentation could include Policies and Procedures, Personnel Handbooks, Profession Development Plans, Project Rules, etc.

~"Do It" means that the assessor (monitor) was able to find evidence that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Evidence could include information contained in client or other administrative files, client acknowledgement that something is being done, staff can point to documentation that supports implementation of the standard, etc.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where the information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is needed to be able to mark that standard as "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

SNHCoC External Monitoring PSH Program Specific Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	To promote transparency of the monitoring process and implementation and guide the monitoring of specific programs in collaboration with each provider and associated staff.
HUD Handbook 29-4	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-4 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent to PSH programs.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across PSH operations and program administration pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-4
HUD Handbook 29-7	Lists each standard for assessment from the HUD Handbook 29-7 with associated CFR or other regulatory entity as pertinent to PSH programs.	Assesses the relative compliance of the provider across PSH operations and program administration pursuant to HUD Handbook 29-7
CoC PSH Program Specific Tool Scoring	Provides the program-specific outcome scores for the included Standards, Comprehensive Section, and Overall Tool Score.	Designed to calculate and display the program-specific total score for each Standard Comprehensive Section, and the Overall Comprehensive Score for final scoring and reporting procedures.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name(s)	STAR - PSH
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	28 February 2023; 17 April 2023; 13 May 2023

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-4					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
HMIS		Does the recipient or subrecipient use project data to meet reporting requirements and to evaluate the success of the PSH program?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
<hr/>					
Topic Area		Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive HUD 29-4		Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
		Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
		Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
		Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
		Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
		Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
		Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
		N/A	0	0	0
		Total Count	1	1	1
		Total Available Points	7	7	7
		Total Score	7	7	7
<hr/>					
HMIS		Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
		Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
		Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
		Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
		Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
		Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
		Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
		N/A	0	0	0
		Total Count	1	1	1
		Total Available Points	7	7	7
		Total Score	7	7	7

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7

<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	[24 CFR 578.103]	Does the recipient or subrecipient ensure that program participants' eligibility is adequately documented in terms of their homeless status upon entry into the program?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	[NOFA for FY 2014 or FY 2013-2014; 24 CFR 578.103(a)(3)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to assess participants to ensure eligibility criteria (e.g., household was residing in a place not fit for human habitation, in an emergency shelter, or fulfilled the criteria for chronic homelessness) was met? (as established by the NOFA in the year of the original award)	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	[24 CFR 578.37(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to provide permanent supportive housing only to individuals with disabilities and families in which one adult or child has a disability?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Component-Specific Requirements	[24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to provide permanent housing assistance without a designated length of stay?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Component-Specific Requirements	[24 CFR 578.3; 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did program participants receiving permanent housing assistance have a signed lease agreement of at least 1 year that was renewable (for a minimum term of 1 month) and terminable only for cause?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Component-Specific Requirements	[24 CFR 578.53(b)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did program participants receive supportive services for the duration of their stay in a permanent supportive housing project?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Component-Specific Requirements	[24 CFR 578.75(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	In permanent supportive housing projects where a qualifying participant died, was incarcerated, or institutionalized for more than 90 days, do records document that surviving members of the household who were living in a CoC program-assisted housing at time of the qualifying member's death, long-term incarceration, or long-term institutionalization received rental assistance until the expiration of the lease in effect at the time of the qualifying member's death, long-term incarceration, or long-term institutionalization?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to not expend grant funds for rental assistance provided to a program participant who was already receiving rental assistance, or living in a housing unit receiving already rental assistance or operating assistance through other federal, state, or local sources?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.51(h)(4)(i); 24 CFR 578.51(j); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended for rental assistance only for some or all of the following eligible costs, or the staff and overhead costs directly related to carrying out these eligible costs: rental assistance, administering rental assistance, security deposits, first/last months' rent, vacancy payments, and damages?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were program participants allowed to choose housing of an appropriate size in which to reside?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If program participants were required to live in a specific area for their entire period of participation, or in a specific structure for the first year and in a specific area for the remainder of their period of participation, do documents establish that the restriction to a structure or area is necessary?	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(5)(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(5)(ii)]	Did a household that was assisted with tenant-based rental assistance move outside of the geographic area for the household's health and safety based on the household's reasonable belief that they were imminently threatened for further domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking? If so, do records document that the household complied with all program requirements during their residency and were at imminent risk of further harm?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(d); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for sponsor-based rental assistance, were there contracts between the recipient or subrecipient and a sponsor organization of the program participant's housing owned or leased by the sponsor organization?	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(e); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	For project-based rental assistance paid, were there contracts between the owner of an existing structure and the recipient or subrecipient where the owner agrees to lease the subsidized units to eligible participants?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(g); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	For rental assistance paid, did the recipient or subrecipient determine whether the rent charged for the unit receiving rental is reasonable in relation to rents being charged for comparable unassisted units? (Note: Reasonable rent must not exceed rents currently being charged by the same owner for comparable unassisted units.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(k); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Do case files confirm the recipient or subrecipient calculated the resident's rent as required in 24 CFR 578.77? (Recipient or subrecipient is not required to impose occupancy charges as a condition of housing; however, if they are imposed, they cannot exceed 30% of the family's monthly adjusted income, or 10% of the family's monthly income, or the portion designated as housing costs from welfare assistance.)	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	For security deposits paid for first/last months' rent, did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement that payments not exceed more than 2 months of actual rent?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(i); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	<p>If an assisted unit was vacated before the end of the lease, did the recipient or subrecipient pay rental assistance for vacancies for no more than 30 days from the end of the month in which the unit was vacated? (Note: Brief periods of stays in institutions (not to exceed 90 days) by program participants are not considered “vacant” and the recipient or subrecipient may continue to pay rent on the unit while the program participant in is the institution.)</p>	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	[24 CFR 578.51(j); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	<p>For property damages paid, were expenditures for a one-time cost per program participant AND did not exceed 1-month’s rent to pay for any damage to housing due to the action of a program participant?</p>	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(a); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	<p>If supportive services were provided in a facility not contained in a housing structure, were funds expended only for supportive services delivery and costs classified as day-to-day operation of the supportive services facility, including maintenance, repair, building security, furniture, utilities and equipment as supportive services costs?</p>	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	<p>Were supportive services paid with grant funds necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing?</p>	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(7)(i)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of all program participants and adjust services as necessary?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	One –time moving costs? (Note: Truck rental and hiring a moving company are eligible costs.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Case management?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Child care?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(5); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Education services?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(6); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Employment assistance and job training?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(7); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Food?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(8); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Housing search and counseling services?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(9); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Legal services?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(10); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Life Skills Training?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(11); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Mental health services provided by licensed professionals?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(12); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Outpatient health services provided by licensed medical professionals?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(iv); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Transportation: Costs of gas, insurance, taxes, and maintenance for a vehicle transporting staff serving program participants and/or staff serving program participants?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(v); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Transportation: Costs of recipient or subrecipient staff to accompany or assist program participants to utilize public transportation?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(vi); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Participant’s vehicle repairs: A one-time payment for car repairs or maintenance where public transportation did not exist or was insufficient?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(vi)(A); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Participant’s vehicle repairs: Costs did not exceed 10 percent of the Blue Book value of the vehicle?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(vi)(B); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Participant’s vehicle repairs: Costs were paid by the recipient or subrecipient directly to the third party that repairs or maintains the car?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(15)(vi)(C); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Participant’s vehicle repairs: If recipient or subrecipient required program participants to share in the cost of car repairs or maintenance as a condition of receiving assistance with car repairs or maintenance, did the records document the program participant’s contribution?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	[24 CFR 578.53(e)(16); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(9)]	Utility deposits: A one-time fee paid directly to the utility companies?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(a); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended only for the costs of the day-to-day operation of permanent housing in a single unit for structure, including the staff and overhead costs directly related to carrying out the day-to-day operation of permanent housing, including maintenance and repair of housing, property taxes and insurance, scheduled payments to a replacement reserve, building security, utilities (electricity, gas, and water), furniture, and equipment?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(a)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were expended on building security, were payments for a structure where more than 50% of the units or area was paid for with grant funds?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were no grant funds used for the operating costs of an emergency shelter or supportive services-only facilities?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If operating costs were expended on the maintenance and repair of housing, do records confirm that the costs of maintaining and repairing the housing are not included in the lease (if a lease has been executed)?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	[24 CFR 578.55(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If operating costs were expended on utilities for housing, do records confirm that the utilities were not included in the lease (if a lease has been executed)?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including HMIS	[24 CFR 578.57(a)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(b)]	If the recipient or subrecipient is a victim service provider, or legal services provider, and if they used grant funds to establish and operate a comparable database, do the records confirm that the comparable database complies with HUD's HMIS requirements?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including HMIS	[24 CFR 578.57(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to ensure grant funds were expended for HMIS costs in compliance with HMIS requirements published by HUD?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Project Administration	[24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were project administration funds expended only for the payment of project administration costs related to the planning and execution of CoC activities, including costs for staff and overhead directly related to carrying out overall program management, coordination, monitoring, and evaluation; providing training on CoC program requirements and attending HUD-sponsored CoC trainings; and carrying out environmental reviews?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Relocation Costs	[24 CFR 578.61(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended on relocation costs of complying with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970? (Recipient or subrecipient responsibilities for Real Property Acquisition and Residential Displacements.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Relocation Costs	[24 CFR 578.61(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended for eligible costs to provide relocation payments and other assistance to persons displaced by a project assisted with grant funds in accordance with 24 CFR 578.83? (Recipient or subrecipients must take all reasonable steps toward minimizing displacement, temporary relocation.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Leasing and acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Tenant-based rental assistance and acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(3); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Short- or medium-term rental assistance and acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Rental assistance and leasing?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Restrictions on Combining Funds	[24 CFR 578.87(c)(5); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Rental assistance and operating?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: Rental Assistance</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.43]	Were grant funds for acquiring real property used for the purpose of housing or of providing supportive services to homeless persons in the facility?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.43; 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds for acquisition used only for the cost of acquisition and of any staff and overhead costs directly related to carrying out eligible activities of acquiring real property?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.45(b); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Were grant funds expended for the rehabilitation of structures expended for eligible costs (including installation of cost-effective energy measures and bringing an existing structure up to state and local government health and safety standards) as well as any staff and overhead costs directly related to carrying out these costs?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.45(c); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did records document that the recipient or subrecipient met the requirement and did not expend grant funds on the rehabilitation of leased property for the provision of supportive services for homeless person?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.47(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were used for new construction, do the documents reflect that the cost of new construction was substantially less than the cost of rehabilitation would have been?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.47(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Did the records document that the recipient or subrecipient met the requirement where all funds expended for new construction were for the construction of housing?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.47(a)(1); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If funds were used for new construction, did the recipient meet the requirement that all funds expended were either to construct a new building or to expand the floor area of an existing building by at least 100%?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	[24 CFR 578.47(a)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were used for new construction, do documents reflect that there was a lack of available appropriate units that could have been rehabilitated at a cost less than the cost expended on new construction?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.47(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were used for new construction, do the documents reflect that the cost of new construction was not used on leased land?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(a); 24 CFR 578.59(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were expended for leasing structures, were they used to provide supportive services to homeless persons or for the staff and overhead costs directly related to leasing structures or individual units to provide housing or supportive services to homeless persons?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(a); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	If grant funds were expended for leasing structures or individual housing units, and if the structures or units were owned by the recipient or subrecipient, their parent organization(s), any other related organization(s), or organizations that are members of a partnership, did HUD authorize an exception for good cause?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(1); 24 CFR 578.49(b)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for leasing structures or units to provide housing or supportive services, was the rent paid reasonable in relation to rents being charged in the area for comparable space and did not exceed rents currently being charged by the same owner for comparable unassisted space? (Note: Where utilities are not included in the lease and, therefore, not covered by leasing dollars, the recipient or subrecipient must consider the cost of utilities when calculating whether the amount paid is reasonable.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: Rent reasonableness was met. Verification was found in HMIS</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(2); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for leasing structures or units to provide housing or supportive services, did the recipient or subrecipient exceed HUD-determined fair market rents (FMR)? (Note: Recipient or subrecipients may use other funds (not CoC program funds or tenant rents) to pay rents that are above FMR as long as the rent is still reasonable.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes: Rent reasonableness was met. Verification was found in HMIS</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(4); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for leasing structures or units, did the recipient or subrecipient not exceed 2 months of actual rent for a program participants' security deposit or first/last month's rent?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(5); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17)]	Where grant funds were expended for leasing structures or units to provide housing to homeless persons, did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to ensure lease agreements were in place between the recipient or subrecipient and property owner that supports the costs charged to the grant for leasing costs?	N/A	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	[24 CFR 578.49(b)(6); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(17); 24 CFR 578.77(b)]	Did the recipient or subrecipient meet the requirement to calculate occupancy charges or rent collected from program participants as provided at 24 CFR 578.77? (Recipient or subrecipient is not required to impose occupancy charges as a condition of housing; however, if they are imposed, they cannot exceed 30% of the family's monthly adjusted income, or 10% of the family's monthly income, or the portion designated as housing costs from welfare assistance.)	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HQS	24 CFR 982 Subpart I and 24 CFR 982.507	When all life-threatening HQS deficiencies are found as a recipient or subrecipient are you ensuring each deficiency is corrected within twenty-four (24) hours of inspection and all other cited HQS deficiencies are corrected no more than 30 calendar days from the inspection unless an approved extension of time for correction was agreed to and does your records reflect such information?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HQS	24 CFR 982 Subpart I and 24 CFR 982.507	Did recipient or subrecipient conduct HQS inspections prior to program participant moving into permanent supportive housing and if so, how often are inspections completed?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
HQS	24 CFR 982 Subpart I and 24 CFR 982.507	As a recipient or subrecipient of CoC funding; housing units must meet the minimum performance and acceptability criteria for each of the 13 key housing quality aspects. What steps does your organization take to ensure that Housing Quality Standards are implemented and carried out? Is this information found in your case files and program standards?	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
HQS	[CFR 982.404, 982.54(d)(14)]	As a recipient or subrecipient how often does staff meet with the landlord or property managers where program participants live and review tenant's responsibility for maintaining his or hers housing unit once it has passed inspection. This is classified as tenant-paid utilities not in service. Failure to provide or maintain tenant supplied appliances. Damage to the unit or premises caused by a household member or guest beyond normal wear and tear. "Normal wear and tear" are defined as items that could be charged against the tenant's security deposit under state law and court practice.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive HUD 29-7	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	32	22	21
	N/A	43	53	53
	Total Count	32	22	22
	Total Available Points	224	154	154
	Total Score	224	154	153
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	0	3	0
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Component-Specific Requirements	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	1	4	1
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	8	4	4
	N/A	4	8	7
	Total Count	8	4	5
	Total Available Points	56	28	35
	Total Score	56	28	34

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	1
	N/A	17	17	20
	Total Count	4	4	1
	Total Available Points	28	28	7
	Total Score	28	28	7
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0
	N/A	4	4	5
	Total Count	1	1	0
	Total Available Points	7	7	0
	Total Score	7	7	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	2	2	2
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Project Administration	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	3	0
	N/A	0	0	3
	Total Count	3	3	0
	Total Available Points	21	21	0
	Total Score	21	21	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Relocation Costs	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	2
	N/A	3	3	3
	Total Count	2	2	2
	Total Available Points	14	14	14
	Total Score	14	14	14
Restrictions on Combining Funds	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	8	8	8
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-7				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	4	4	4
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name: STAR PSH		Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive HUD 29-4	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7
HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	1
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	1	1	1
	Total Available Points	7	7	7
	Total Score	7	7	7

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		STAR PSH	Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Comprehensive HUD 29-7	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1	
	Always/Every time, 100%	32	22	21	
	N/A	43	53	53	
	Total Count	32	22	22	
	Total Available Points	224	154	154	
	Total Score	224	154	153	
Homeless Status and Eligibility Determination	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3	
	N/A	0	3	0	
	Total Count	3	0	3	
	Total Available Points	21	0	21	
	Total Score	21	0	21	

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Component-Specific Requirements	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3	
	N/A	1	4	1	
	Total Count	3	0	3	
	Total Available Points	21	0	21	
	Total Score	21	0	21	
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Rental Assistance	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1	
	Always/Every time, 100%	8	4	4	
	N/A	4	8	7	
	Total Count	8	4	5	
	Total Available Points	56	28	35	
	Total Score	56	28	34	

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		STAR PSH	Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Supportive Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	1	
	N/A	17	17	20	
	Total Count	4	4	1	
	Total Available Points	28	28	7	
	Total Score	28	28	7	
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Operating Costs	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	1	1	0	
	N/A	4	4	5	
	Total Count	1	1	0	
	Total Available Points	7	7	0	
	Total Score	7	7	0	

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		STAR PSH	Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including HMIS	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0	
	N/A	2	2	2	
	Total Count	0	0	0	
	Total Available Points	0	0	0	
	Total Score	0	0	0	
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Project Administration	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	3	0	
	N/A	0	0	3	
	Total Count	3	3	0	
	Total Available Points	21	21	0	
	Total Score	21	21	0	

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Relocation Costs	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	2	2	
	N/A	3	3	3	
	Total Count	2	2	2	
	Total Available Points	14	14	14	
	Total Score	14	14	14	
Restrictions on Combining Funds	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0	
	N/A	8	8	8	
	Total Count	0	0	0	
	Total Available Points	0	0	0	
	Total Score	0	0	0	

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		STAR PSH	Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4	
	N/A	4	4	4	
	Total Count	4	4	4	
	Total Available Points	28	28	28	
	Total Score	28	28	28	
	Eligible Costs Applicable to Contracts including Leasing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
Rarely, less than 20%		0	0	0	
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%		0	0	0	
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%		0	0	0	
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%		0	0	0	
Usually, 80% to less than 100%		0	0	0	
Always/Every time, 100%		4	4	4	
N/A		0	0	0	
Total Count		4	4	4	
Total Available Points		28	28	28	
Total Score		28	28	28	

CoC PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring					
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It	
Comprehensive CoC PSH Monitoring Tool Score	Total Overall Section Points Available	231	161	161	
	Total Overall Comprehensive Section Score	231	161	160	
	Total Overall Points Available	553			
	Total Overall Comprehensive Score	552			

Article VIII. Client Case File Monitoring Tool

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool was developed based on the logic and design of the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool as provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and modified by Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team in collaboration with Clark County Social Service and the SNHCoC Monitoring Working Group. The tool is designed for deployment by the External Monitoring Team at the recipient/subrecipient level for agency/organization-wide monitoring and compliance assessments. This tool is being deployed as one of a series of tools that have been developed by the Strategic Progress External Monitoring Team, a working group from the SNHCoC, BitFocus HMIS data reports, and HUD. The goal of this tool is to provide an overall outcome score for monitoring based on the HUD Handbook and CFR standards, regulations and requirements. Ultimately, the final score of this tool will inform the overall monitoring score for SNHCoC HUD funded programs for consideration and inclusion in the annual Scoring and Ranking process for available HUD grant funds.

Provider & EMT Information Tab: Modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, the Provider & EMT Information tab is designed to collect agency/organization specific information for points of contact for the recipient/subrecipient, program administrators, and External Monitoring team members. From a transparency perspective, this tab will collect all relevant information to the programs, recipients/subrecipients, External Monitoring Team, and related high level data elements.

Standards: Similar to the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool, this tool seeks to identify available groupings or partitions of standards to be assessed, monitored, and evaluated during External Monitoring. For the purpose of this tool, standards are defined as specific component areas of the HUD Handbook, where available. Conducting this type of grouping allows for internal tool weighting practices, which assists with prioritization of monitoring and evaluation outcomes.

Project Type: This tool was developed for ALL Project Types to be conducted at the program level as a standalone tool from other developed monitoring tools. The design of this tool ensures maximization of monitoring efficiency and effectiveness from the agency/organization perspective.

Safeguarding: This tool is designed and will be deployed to safeguard concerns when assessing ALL projects. Specifically, this tool will work to assure client record confidentiality especially with programs designed to serve victims of domestic violence. The External Monitoring Team will ensure adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are implemented and adhered to throughout monitoring activities.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Scoring (modified from the Housing First Standards Assessment Tool): For each standard, there are three scoring criteria: "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" (as explained further below). To show that a project is in full compliance with each standard, the assessor (monitor) should mark "Always/Every time, 100%" for each scoring criteria. Use the drop down in the three columns to the right and select "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option). Once an answer is chosen, the Report Summary tab will automatically update to reflect the answers. The responses to these individual case file reviews will inform the monitoring result for the "Do It" responses of the Main Monitoring Tool, All HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1 questions. Additionally, the "Say It" and "Document It" questions in the Client Case File Tool will be based on the "Say It" and "Document It" results of the Main Monitoring Tool, All HUD Handbook Exhibit 29-1 question responses.

~"Say It" means that project and agency staff can describe verbally what they do concerning each standard. The assessor (monitor) should be able to identify that the organizational culture supports the standard by how staff talks about what is done.

~"Document It" means that there is written documentation that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Written documentation could include Policies and Procedures, Personnel Handbooks, Professional Development Plans, Project Rules, etc.

~"Do It" means that the assessor (monitor) was able to find evidence that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Evidence could include information contained in client or other administrative files, client acknowledgement that something is being done, staff can point to documentation that supports implementation of the standard, etc.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor (monitor) to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where the information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is needed to be able to mark that standard as "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Provider & EMT Information	Details for the provider, provider contacts, program(s) for monitoring, and EMT contact and role assignment	To promote transparency of the monitoring process and implementation and guide the monitoring of specific programs in collaboration with each provider and associated staff.
Monitoring Program Roster	Provides a list of the selected client file information to be included in the client file monitoring process to include the following: Head of Household Last Name, UID#, Entry Date, Exit Date, # in Household, # of Assessments, # of Services, Case Worker First Initial and Last Name.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis.
Eligibility Compliance - Say It	Based on the Summary Table from the past monitoring period provides a tabular display of individual case file review components to accompany the Client File Checklist and support the review of client case files with specific focus on the "Say It" portion of the monitoring process.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for "Say It" review.
Eligibility Compliance - Document It	Based on the Summary Table from the past monitoring period provides a tabular display of individual case file review components to accompany the Client File Checklist and support the review of client case files with specific focus on the "Document It" portion of the monitoring process.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for "Document It" review.
Eligibility Compliance - Do It	Based on the Summary Table from the past monitoring period provides a tabular display of individual case file review components to accompany the Client File Checklist and support the review of client case files with specific focus on the "Do It" portion of the monitoring process.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for "Do It" review.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
General Eligibility 1-3	Based on the previous year Client File Checklist with questions focused on General Eligibility and applicable to most project types with scoring based on "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" scoring categories to include 3 questions.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for General Eligibility Review.
General Eligibility 4 & Program Verification 1-2	Based on the previous year Client File Checklist with questions focused on General Eligibility and Program Verification with applicability to most project types with scoring based on "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" scoring categories to include 1 question for General Eligibility and 2 questions for Program Verification.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis for General Eligibility and Program Verification Review.
RRH & PSH ONLY 1-3	Based on the previous year Client File Checklist with questions focused on question types applicable to ONLY Rapid Rehousing and Permanent Supportive Housing project types with scoring based on "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" scoring categories to include 3 questions.	Developed to incorporate a summary of RRH and PSH monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis.
RRH & PSH ONLY 4	Based on the previous year Client File Checklist with questions focused on question types applicable to ONLY Rapid Rehousing and Permanent Supportive Housing project types with scoring based on "Say It", "Document It", and "Do It" scoring categories to include 3 questions.	Developed to incorporate a summary of RRH and PSH monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis.
Client File Summary Table	Provides a tabular display of individual case file review components to accompany the Client File Checklist and support the review of client case files.	Designed to support the review of individual case files for compliance and consistency across hard (paper) and virtual (HMIS) files and ensure proper reporting of monitoring outcomes.

SNHCoC External Monitoring Client Case File Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Client File Checklist Guide	Provides a holistic tool for assessing ALL monitored and reviewed case files.	Developed to incorporate a summary of ALL monitored and reviewed files with scoring procedures included at the Standard and Comprehensive levels of analysis.
Client File Checklist Scoring	Provides the outcome scores for the included Standards as well as the Comprehensive Tool Score.	Designed to calculate and display the total score for each Standard as well as the Comprehensive Score for final scoring and reporting procedures.

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name	STAR PSH

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	pagem@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	5 Feb 2023; 28 Feb 2023; 17 April 2023; 2 May 2023

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Monitoring Roster									
<i>Complete the table below before beginning the Client Case File monitoring process as this is the monitoring roster. (Add new pages of rows as needed)</i>									
No.	Head of Household Last Name	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	# in Household	# of Assessments	# of Services	Case Worker First Initial, Last Name	Notes:
1	Pugh, J	370AE1172	12/01/2018	08/08/2022	1	5	112	Abbott, W	
2	Razzano, M	38C1YHXUI	01/27/2022		1	1	172	Ferris, M	
3	Kebede, A	38M1R7ZQI	12/01/2018		1	7	159	Abbott, W	
4	Fayad, F	44E82E8FB	12/01/2018	05/10/2022	1	6	161	Abbott, W	
5	Bangle-Hester, S	5FD00EC7F	05/26/2020		1	2	74	Porter, E	
6	Waite, S	7D31B2083	12/01/2018	03/31/2022	1	3	196	Evans, T	
7	Harris, K	8E197A2DF	12/01/2018		1	3	183	Ferris, M	
8	Michael, D	9849FDD97	12/01/2018		1	4	262	Abbott, W	
9	Dickerson, J	B625AFEB2	04/19/2019	03/09/2022	2	2	115	Evans, T	
10	Okoye, C	FAF4CFCEE	12/01/2018		1	3	57	Evans, T	
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Monitoring Roster	
<i>Complete the table below beginning the Client Case File monitoring process as this is the monitoring roster. (Add new page of rows as needed)</i>	
<i>Additional Comments:</i>	

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Say It										
<i>Complete the table from the "Say It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)</i>										
No.	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	Clients meets definition of homeless or at-risk of homelessness	Which Program was identified within the CoC Program: ESG, PSH, RRH, TH, SH, SSO.	Are clients demographic and other characteristics of homeless status, by income and program use recorded	Files contain required proof of eligibility, lease agreements, and Supportive Services.	Evidence that Clients met with case manager at least monthly is recorded in file.	Applicant's records confirm that the requirement was met to ensure that all CoC planning activities paid for with grant funds were eligible per 24 CFR 578.39	Additional Notes (Yes or No, include Participant ID# and Note in Additional Comments.)
1	370AE1172	12/1/2018	8/8/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
2	38C1YHXUI	1/27/2022		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
3	38M1R7ZQI	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4	44E82E8FB	12/1/2018	5/10/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
5	5FD00EC7F	5/26/2020		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
6	7D31B2083	12/1/2018	3/31/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
7	8E197A2DF	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
8	9849FDD97	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
9	B625AFEB2	4/19/2019	3/9/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
10	FAF4CFCEE	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
11	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
12	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
13	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
14	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
15	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Say It

Complete the table from the "Say It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)

<i>Additional Comments:</i>	
-----------------------------	--

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Say It									
	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Program Type</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Notes (Yes/No)</i>
Yes responses or Correctly Dated Files			10		10	10	10	10	0
No responses or Incorrectly Dated Files			0		0	0	0	0	0
N/A			0		0	0	0	0	0
Total Count			10		10	10	10	10	0
Total Potential Points (Client Count)			10		10	10	10	10	0
Total Desk Audit Points (Yes/Correctly Dated)			10		10	10	10	10	0

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Site Visit (Document It)										
Complete the table from the "Document It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)										
No.	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	Clients meets definition of homeless or at-risk of homelessness	Which Program was identified within the CoC Program: ESG, PSH, RRH, TH, SH, SSO.	Are clients demographic and other characteristics of homeless status, by income and program use recorded	Files contain required proof of eligibility, lease agreements, and Supportive Services.	Evidence that Clients met with case manager at least monthly is recorded in file.	Applicant's records confirm that the requirement was met to ensure that all CoC planning activities paid for with grant funds were eligible per 24 CFR 578.39	Additional Notes (Yes or No, include Participant ID# and Note in Additional Comments.)
1	370AE1172	12/1/2018	8/8/2022	N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	38C1YHXUI	1/27/2022		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
3	38M1R7ZQI	12/1/2018		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4	44E82E8FB	12/1/2018	5/10/2022	N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5	5FD00EC7F	5/26/2020		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6	7D31B2083	12/1/2018	3/31/2022	N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7	8E197A2DF	12/1/2018		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8	9849FDD97	12/1/2018		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
9	B625AFEB2	4/19/2019	3/9/2022	N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
10	FAF4CFCEE	12/1/2018		N/A	PSH	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
11	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
12	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
13	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
14	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
15	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Site Visit (Document It)	
<i>Complete the table from the "Document It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)</i>	
<i>Additional Comments:</i>	

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Site Visit (Document It)									
	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Program Type</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Notes (Yes/No)</i>
Yes responses or Correctly Dated Files			0		0	0	0	0	0
No responses or Incorrectly Dated Files			0		0	0	0	0	0
N/A			10		10	10	10	10	0
Total Count			0		0	0	0	0	0
Total Potential Points (Client Count)			0		0	0	0	0	0
Total Desk Audit Points (Yes/Correctly Dated)			0		0	0	0	0	0

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Desk Audit (Do It)										
<i>Complete the table from the "Do It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)</i>										
No.	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	Clients meets definition of homeless or at-risk of homelessness	Which Program was identified within the CoC Program: ESG, PSH, RRH, TH, SH, SSO.	Are clients demographic and other characteristics of homeless status, by income and program use recorded	Files contain required proof of eligibility, lease agreements, and Supportive Services.	Evidence that Clients met with case manager at least monthly is recorded in file.	Applicant's records confirm that the requirement was met to ensure that all CoC planning activities paid for with grant funds were eligible per 24 CFR 578.39	Additional Notes (Yes or No, include Participant ID# and Note in Additional Comments.)
1	370AE1172	12/1/2018	8/8/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	38C1YHXUI	1/27/2022		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
3	38M1R7ZQI	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4	44E82E8FB	12/1/2018	5/10/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
5	5FD00EC7F	5/26/2020		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
6	7D31B2083	12/1/2018	3/31/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
7	8E197A2DF	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
8	9849FDD97	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
9	B625AFEB2	4/19/2019	3/9/2022	Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
10	FAF4CFCEE	12/1/2018		Yes	PSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
11	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
12	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
13	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
14	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
15	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Desk Audit (Do It)	
<i>Complete the table from the "Do It" perspective as part of the initial Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)</i>	
<i>Additional Comments:</i>	<i>UID's - 38C1YHXUI; B625AFEB2 lack of case notes.</i>

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Desk Audit (Do It)									
	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Program Type</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Count Yes/No</i>	<i>Notes (Yes/No)</i>
Yes responses or Correctly Dated Files			10		10	10	8	10	2
No responses or Incorrectly Dated Files			0		0	0	2	0	0
N/A			0		0	0	0	0	0
Total Count			10		10	10	10	10	2
Total Potential Points (Client Count)			10		10	10	10	10	2
Total Desk Audit Points (Yes/Correctly Dated)			10		10	10	8	10	2

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Client Interview Survey										
<i>Complete the table as part of the "Do It" score part of the Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)</i>										
No.	Participant UID#	Date Entered Program	Date Exited Program	Is the Client currently Active in the Program? (Say It)	If not, can the Client be contacted for Survey Participation? (Say It)	Did the Client receive an online version of the survey? (Document It)	If not, did the Client receive a paper-based version of the survey or have Case Manager Assistance? (Document It)	Did the provider submit proof of client outreach? (Do It)	Did the Client complete the survey? (Not Scored)	Additional Notes (Yes or No, include Participant ID# and Note in Additional Comments.)
1	370AE1172	12/1/2018	8/8/2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	38C1YHXUI	1/27/2022		Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	
3	38M1R7ZQI	12/1/2018		Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	
4	44E82E8FB	12/1/2018	5/10/2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
5	5FD00EC7F	5/26/2020		Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A	
6	7D31B2083	12/1/2018	3/31/2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
7	8E197A2DF	12/1/2018		Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	
8	9849FDD97	12/1/2018		Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	
9	B625AFEB2	4/19/2019	3/9/2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
10	FAF4CFCEE	12/1/2018		Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	
11	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
12	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
13	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
14	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							
15	0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900							

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Client Interview Survey	
<i>Complete the table as part of the "Do It" score part of the Client Case File monitoring process. (Add new pages of rows as needed)</i>	
<i>Additional Comments:</i>	

CoC Supportive Services, Case Management, and Record Retention (24 CFR 578.103(c)(1)) - Client Interview Survey									
	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Dates</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Say It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Say It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Document It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Document It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (Do It)</i>	<i>Count Yes/No/N/A (No Score)</i>	<i>Notes (Yes/No)</i>
Yes responses or Correctly Dated Files			6	6	4	2	6	0	0
No responses or Incorrectly Dated Files			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N/A			4	4	6	8	4	10	0
Total Count			6	6	4	2	6	0	0
Total Potential Points (Client Count)			6	6	4	2	6	0	0
Total Desk Audit Points (Yes/Correctly Dated)			6	6	4	2	6	0	0

General Eligibility (All Programs)										
<i>Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)</i>										
No.	Participant UID#	Do the recipient or subrecipient's written intake procedures and client file management include Coordinated Entry including referral and other documentation?			Do the recipient or subrecipient case management procedures and client file management follow person-centered planning, and the coordination of wrap-around services related to housing, employment, relationships, financial management, treatment & health monitoring, and recreation.			Do the recipient or subrecipient's written intake procedures establish the order of priority for obtaining evidence of homelessness and/or at-risk of homelessness as: (1) third party documentation; (2) intake worker observations; and (3) self-certification?		
		<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
1	370AE1172	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
2	38C1YHXUI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
3	38M1R7ZQI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
4	44E82E8FB	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
5	5FD00EC7F	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
6	7D31B2083	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
7	8E197A2DF	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
8	9849FDD97	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
9	B625AFEB2	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%

10	FAF4CFCEE	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
11	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
12	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
13	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
14	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
15	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select

General Eligibility (All Programs)	
<i>Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)</i>	
<i>Additional Comments:</i>	

General Eligibility & Program Verification (All Programs)									
	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Always/Every time, 100%	10	0	10	10	0	10	10	0	10
N/A	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	10	0
Total Count	10	0	10	10	0	10	10	0	10
Total Potential Points	70	0	70	70	0	70	70	0	70
Total Points	70	0	70	70	0	70	70	0	70

General Eligibility (1) & Program Verification (2) (All Programs)										
Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)										
No.	Participant UID#	If the recipient/subrecipient is using HMIS or a comparable database to document program participants' homeless status, does the HMIS or comparable database retain an auditable history of all entries, including identification of the person who entered the data, the date of entry, and the change made, and does the HMIS prevent overrides or changes of the dates on which entries are made?	For program participants who qualified because their primary night time residence was a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground, or because they were living in a supervised shelter designed to provide temporary living arrangements, does a review of program participant files			Does the recipient or subrecipient ensure that program participants' eligibility is adequately documented in terms of their homeless status upon entry into the program? Where the program participant qualified under paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless and was served by a victim service provider, do the records show that either the program or the intake worker certified that the individual or head of household:				
			Say It	Document It	Do It	Say It	Document It	Do It	Say It	Document It
1	370AE1172	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	38C1YHXUI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	38M1R7ZQI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	44E82E8FB	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	5FD00EC7F	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	7D31B2083	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	8E197A2DF	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	9849FDD97	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	B625AFEB2	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	FAF4CFCEE	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
11	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
12	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
13	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
14	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
15	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select

General Eligibility & Program Verification (All Programs)	
<i>Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)</i>	
<i>Additional Comments:</i>	

General Eligibility & Program Verification (All Programs)									
	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Always/Every time, 100%	10	0	10	10	0	10	1	0	1
N/A	0	10	0	0	10	0	9	10	9
Total Count	10	0	10	10	0	10	1	0	1
Total Potential Points	70	0	70	70	0	70	7	0	7
Total Points	70	0	70	70	0	70	7	0	7

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)										
<i>Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review for RRH & PSH Programs ONLY. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)</i>										
No.	Participant UID#	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven? Check requirements for documenting an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation:			Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based Breaks in Homelessness? The requirements for documenting Breaks in Homelessness chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation and (2) Individual's self-certification;			Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on Institutional Stays? Check the requirements for documenting Institutional Stays chronicity:		
		<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
1	370AE1172	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
2	38C1YHXUI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
3	38M1R7ZQI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
4	44E82E8FB	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Not at All/Never, 0%
5	5FD00EC7F	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	7D31B2083	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	8E197A2DF	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
8	9849FDD97	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
9	B625AFEB2	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
10	FAF4CFCEE	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
11	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
12	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
13	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
14	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
15	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)	
<i>Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review for RRH & PSH Programs ONLY. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)</i>	
<i>Additional Comments:</i>	

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)									
	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Always/Every time, 100%	8	1	8	8	1	7	8	1	6
N/A	2	9	2	2	9	2	2	9	2
Total Count	8	1	8	8	1	8	8	1	8
Total Potential Points	56	7	56	56	7	56	56	7	56
Total Points	56	7	56	56	7	55	56	7	49

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)				
<i>Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review for RRH & PSH Programs ONLY. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)</i>				
No.	Participant UID#	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity pursuant to a documented disability? Check the requirements for documenting disability for chronicity includes: <i>Note: This is considered to be limited to rare and extreme cases impacting no more than 25 percent of households served in an operating year</i>		
		<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
1	370AE1172	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
2	38C1YHXUI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
3	38M1R7ZQI	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
4	44E82E8FB	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	5FD00EC7F	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	7D31B2083	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
7	8E197A2DF	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
8	9849FDD97	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
9	B625AFEB2	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
10	FAF4CFCEE	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
11	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
12	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
13	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
14	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
15	0	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select

Chronicity (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)	
<i>Complete the table below as part of the Client Case File review for RRH & PSH Programs ONLY. (Add new pages of rows as needed.)</i>	
<i>Additional Comments:</i>	

Chronicity Score (RRH & PSH Programs ONLY)			
	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
Always/Every time, 100%	8	1	8
N/A	2	9	2
Total Count	8	1	8
Total Potential Points	56	7	56
Total Points	56	7	56

Client File Checklist					
Project Name:				Grant Year:	
General Eligibility (All Programs)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
General Eligibility	24 CFR 578.7(a)(8); 24 CFR 76.500(b); 24 CFR 576.500(c)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient’s written intake procedures and client file management include Coordinated Entry including referral and other documentation?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
General Eligibility	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]; [24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient case management procedures and client file management follow person-centered planning, and the coordination of wrap-around services related to housing, employment, relationships, financial management, treatment & health monitoring, and recreation.	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
General Eligibility	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	Do the recipient or subrecipient’s written intake procedures establish the order of priority for obtaining evidence of homelessness and/or at-risk of homelessness as: (1) third party documentation; (2) intake worker observations; and (3) self-certification?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

General Eligibility (All Programs)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
General Eligibility	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)]	If the recipient/subrecipient is using HMIS or a comparable database to document program participants' homeless status, does the HMIS or comparable database retain an auditable history of all entries, including identification of the person who entered the data, the date of entry, and the change made, and does the HMIS prevent overrides or changes of the dates on which entries are made?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

Program Verification (All Programs)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(1)]	<p>For program participants who qualified because their primary night time residence was a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground, or because they were living in a supervised shelter designed to provide temporary living arrangements, does a review of program participant files include one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) a written referral by another housing or service provider; (2) a printed record from HMIS or a comparable database used by a victim service or legal service provider; (3) a written observation by an outreach worker of the conditions where the individual or family was living; or (4) a written certification by the individual or head of household seeking assistance. 	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Program Verification (All Programs)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b)(5)]	Does the recipient or subrecipient ensure that program participants' eligibility is adequately documented in terms of their homeless status upon entry into the program? Where the program participant qualified under paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless and was served by a victim service provider, do the records show that either the program or the intake worker certified that the individual or head of household (1) was fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking, or other dangerous or life threatening conditions that relate to violence; (2) lacked the resources or support networks necessary to obtain other permanent housing; and (3) had not identified other subsequent residence?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Chronic Homelessness (RRH and PSH Only)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven? The requirements for documenting an individual's time in a place not meant for human habitation, an emergency shelter or a safe haven chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation, (2) A written record of intake workers due diligence to obtain, and (3) Intake worker's documentation of the living situation, and (4) Individual's self-certification of the living situation (Up to 3 months can be documented through self-certification with additional limited circumstances for up to the full 12 months);	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based Breaks in Homelessness? The requirements for documenting Breaks in Homelessness chronicity are: (1) Third party documentation and (2) Individual's self-certification;	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Chronic Homelessness (RRH and PSH Only)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on Institutional Stays? The requirements for documenting Institutional Stays chronicity are: (1) Discharge paperwork or written/oral referral from a social worker, case manager, or other appropriate official stating beginning and end dates of time residing in the institutional care facility, (2) A written record of intake workers due diligence to obtain, AND (3) Individual's self-certification that he/she is exiting an institutional care facility (less than 90 days);	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Chronic Homelessness (RRH and PSH Only)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	<p>Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity based on 12-months cumulative homeless history? The requirements for documenting 12-months cumulative homeless history for chronicity are:</p> <p>(1) Review HMIS to determine if there are 12 months of cumulative homelessness over the last 3 years.</p> <p>(2) If there are not 12 months in HMIS but client reports that they have been homeless for the last 12 months in the last three years. (3) Identify other third-party sources (i.e., outreach worker, other professional source).</p> <p>(d) Identify any documented breaks in HMIS (i.e., stay in transitional housing).</p> <p>(e) If at least 9 months of homelessness (cumulative or continuous) cannot be obtained by third-party documentation, up to the full 12 months can be documented via self-certification only:</p> <p>(i) <i>Must thoroughly document attempt(s) to obtain third-party documentation and</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>Document why third-party documentation was not obtained,</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>Obtain a written certification from individual or head of household of the living situation of the undocumented time period.</i></p>	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Chronic Homelessness (RRH and PSH Only)					
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	[Amends 24 CFR 91.5 and 24 CFR 578.3]	<p>Where chronic homelessness is required for entry into a project (e.g., PSH), does a review of program participant files confirm that there is acceptable evidence of the qualifying individual's chronicity pursuant to a documented disability? The requirement for documenting disability for chronicity includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) written verification of the disability from a professional licensed by the state to diagnose and treat the disability and his or her certification that the disability is expected to be long continuing or of indefinite duration and substantially impedes the individual's ability to live independently; (2) written verification from the Social Security Administration; (3) the receipt of a disability check (Social Security Disability Insurance, Veteran Disability Compensation); (4) intake staff-recorded observation of disability that, no later than 45 days of the application for assistance, is confirmed by written verification of the disability, or (5) other documentation approved by HUD (Please note other documentation in the Optional Notes section below if applicable). <p><i>Note: This is considered to be limited to rare and extreme cases impacting no more than 25 percent of households served in an operating year</i></p>	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Overall Eligibility Compliance (All Programs)					
Eligibility Compliance		Does this client file meet requirements of confirming participant eligibility AND contain the appropriate documentation based on the information presented in the Summary Table?	Please Select	Please Select	Please Select
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

Client File Checklist - All Programs				
Topic Area	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
General Eligibility	N/A	0	0	0
	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	N/A	0	0	0
	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

Chronic Homelessness RRH and PSH Only				
Topic Area	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Detailed Recordkeeping Requirements	N/A	0	0	0
	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

Comprehensive Score				
Topic Area	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive	N/A	0	0	0
	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

Client File Checklist - All Programs					
Project Name:		STAR PSH	Grant Year: 1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Eligibility Compliance		Client Homelessness Definition - Total Score	10	0	10
		Client Homelessness Definition - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Demographics & Income Status - Total Score	10	0	10
		Demographics & Income Status - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Proof of Eligibility - Total Score	10	0	10
		Proof of Eligibility - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Evidence of Case Management - Total Score	10	0	8
		Evidence of Case Management - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Funding Eligibility Confirmed - Total Score	10	0	10
		Funding Eligibility Confirmed - Total Available Points	10	0	10
		Client Interview Survey - Total Score	12	6	6
		Client Interview Survey - Total Available Points	12	6	6
		Eligibility Compliance - Total Score	62	12	54
		Eligibility Compliance - Total Available Points	62	12	56
		Total Score for Eligibility Compliance	1	1	0.96428571
General Eligibility		General Eligibility 1 - Total Score	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 1 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 2 - Total Score	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 2 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 3 - Total Score	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 3 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 4 - Total Score	70	0	70
		General Eligibility 4 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		General Eligibility - Total Score	280	0	280
		General Eligibility - Total Available Points	280	0	280
		Total Score for General Eligibility	1	#DIV/0!	1

Program Verification & Chronic Homelessness RRH and PSH Only					
Project Name:			Grant Year:		
STAR PSH			1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area	CFR	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Program Verification		Program Verification 1 - Total Score	70	0	70
		Program Verification 1 - Total Available Points	70	0	70
		Program Verification 2 - Total Score	7	0	7
		Program Verification 2 - Total Available Points	7	0	7
		Program Verification - Total Score	77	0	77
		Program Verification - Total Available Points	77	0	77
		Total Score for Program Verification	1	#DIV/0!	1
RRH & PSH ONLY		RRH & PSH 1 - Total Score	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 1 - Total Available Points	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 2 - Total Score	56	7	55
		RRH & PSH 2 - Total Available Points	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 3 - Total Score	56	7	49
		RRH & PSH 3 - Total Available Points	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 4 - Total Score	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH 4 - Total Available Points	56	7	56
		RRH & PSH - Total Score	224	28	216
		RRH & PSH - Total Available Points	224	28	224
		Total Score for RRH & PSH	1	1	0.96428571

Comprehensive Score					
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022
Topic Area	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It	
Comprehensive	Eligibility Compliance - Total Score	62	12	54	
	Eligibility Compliance - Total Available Points	62	12	56	
	General Eligibility - Total Score	280	0	280	
	General Eligibility - Total Available Points	280	0	280	
	Program Verification - Total Score	77	0	77	
	Program Verification - Total Available Points	77	0	77	
	RRH & PSH - Total Score	224	28	216	
	RRH & PSH - Total Available Points	224	28	224	
	Comprehensive - Total Score	643	40	627	
	Comprehensive - Total Available Points	643	40	637	
	Comprehensive - Total Count	145	16	139	
	Total Score for Comprehensive	1	1	0.98430141	

<i>Final Tool Score</i>					
<i>Project Name:</i>		<i>STAR PSH</i>		<i>Grant Year:</i>	<i>1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022</i>
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	
Final Tool Score	Comprehensive - Total Score	643	40	627	
	Comprehensive - Total Available Points	643	40	637	
	Comprehensive - Total Count	145	16	139	
	Total Overall Score	1310			
	Total Overall Available Points	1320			
	Total Overall Count	300			
	Total Overall Comprehensive Percentage Score	0.992424242			

Article IX.

Housing First Standards Assessment Tool

Housing First Standards Assessment Permanent Supportive Housing Tool - Year 5

Overview: This tool aims to assess and document how closely a housing and service provider adheres to the recommended best practice standards of the Housing First model, in the context of the broader work to implement a Housing First orientation at the system-level. This tool specifically evaluates project-level fidelity to Housing First, which directly impacts a system’s fidelity to Housing First. In addition to the universal best practice standards identified in this tool, Continuums should also consider their local community context and local written standards pertaining to Housing First when assessing projects. A Continuum of Care can use this tool to prompt discussion and to make recommendations for improvement to projects that identify themselves as meeting the Housing First Model.

Provider Info Tab: The Provider Information tab should be completed prior to beginning the assessment. Specifically, the Project Name, Project Type, Target Sub-Population served, and Date of Assessment fields need to be completed in order to populate the assessment standards and report summary with questions that are specific to the project type and population. Please complete this section prior to printing any standards for assessment.

Standards: The standards have been arranged into the following categories: Access, Evaluation, Services, Housing, Leases, and Project-Specific. The “Tab” chart at the bottom of this page describes each of the categories in more detail. Some of the categories are not applicable for all project types, and those standards do not need to be completed, (see “Project Type/Applicable standards” chart for the list of which standards need to be completed for each project type.)

<u>Project Type</u>	<u>Applicable Standards</u>
Coordinates Entry	Access & Evaluation; Project-specific
Street Outreach	Access & Evaluation; Project-specific
Emergency Shelter	Access & Evaluation; Project-specific
Transitional Housing	Access & Evaluation; Service & Housing; Leases; Project-specific
Rapid Rehousing	Access & Evaluation; Service & Housing; Leases; Project-specific
Joint TH/RRH	Access & Evaluation; Service & Housing; Leases; Project-specific
Permanent Housing	Access & Evaluation; Service & Housing; Leases; Project-specific

Housing First Standards Assessment Permanent Supportive Housing Tool - Year 5

Safeguarding: Please keep in mind safeguarding concerns when assessing projects. In particular, we advise Continuums of Care to work with projects with victims of domestic violence to make sure that adequate safety and confidentiality policies and practices are in place before beginning assessments.

Scoring: For each standard, there are three scoring criteria: “Say It”, “Document It”, and “Do It” (as explained further below). To show that a project is in full compliance with each standard, the assessor should mark “Always/Every time, 100%” for each scoring criteria. Use the drop down in the three columns to the right to select "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

~*"Say It"* means that project and agency staff can describe verbally what they do concerning each standard. The assessor (monitor) should be able to identify that the organizational culture supports the standard by how staff talks about what is done.

~*"Document It"* means that there is written documentation that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Written documentation could include Policies and Procedures, Personnel Handbooks, Professional Development Plans, Project Rules, etc.

~*"Do It"* means that the assessor (monitor) was able to find evidence that supports the project's compliance with each standard. Evidence could include information contained in client or other administrative files, client acknowledgement that something is being done, staff can point to documentation that supports implementation of the standard, etc.

Assessor (Monitor) Notes: A cell below each individual standard allows the assessor to add optional notes about the information collected for that particular standard. The notes can include where information was found, what questions were asked, who answered the questions, what additional information is needed to be able to mark that standard as "Always/Every time, 100%", "Usually, 80% to less than 100%", "Frequently, 60% to less than 80%", "Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%", "Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%", "Rarely, less than 20%", or "Not at All/Never, 0%" (NOTE: this tool also includes a "N/A" option).

Housing First Standards Assessment Permanent Supportive Housing Tool - Year 5

Tab	Description	Purpose
Instructions	Tool overview and aim	Offer instructions to users on the assessment tool
Provider Info	Input provider, project and general assessment information	Determines project-specific standards for considerations
Standards-Access & Evaluation	Input compliance with standards concerning participant access to the project and input, project evaluation and performance management	Assesses whether access and evaluation are compliant with Housing First principles
Standards-Leases	Input compliance with standards concerning the lease and occupancy agreements, where applicable	Assesses whether leases and occupancy agreements are compliant with Housing First principles
Standards-Services & Housing	Input compliance with standards concerning the service and housing models and structure, where applicable	Assesses whether services and housing are compliant with Housing First principles
Standards-Project-Specific	Prompts assessment standards based on project type and targeted sub-populations served by the project, where applicable	Assesses whether specific project standards are compliant with Housing First principles
Standards-Population-Specific	Prompts assessment standards based on specific populations served by the project to include: People in Recovery, Domestic Violence Survivors, and Youth & Young Adults.	Assesses whether specific project standards are compliant with Housing First principles
Project Scoring Tool	Displays assessment scores and conclusions, and highlights non-compliant standards	Printable summary of the assessment

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
Provider Information	
Provider's Legal Name	Clark County Social Service
Project Information	
Project Name(s)	STAR PSH
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	
Project Name(s)	

Provider & Monitoring Team Information	
External Monitoring Team Information	
Name of Monitoring Team Principal Investigator	Dr. Justin S Gardner
Principal Investigator Email Address	justin.gardner@innov8reanalysis.com
Principal Investigator Phone Number	702-630-3255
Name of Monitoring Technical Specialist	Maurice Page
Technical Specialist Email Address	page@pagestrategicsolutions.com
Technical Specialist Phone Number	702-340-4813
Name of Monitoring Team Member 1	
Team Member 1 Email Address	
Team Member 1 Phone Number	
Name of Monitoring Team Member 2	
Team Member 2 Email Address	
Team Member 2 Phone Number	
Date of Assessment(s)	28 Feb 2023; 17 Apr 2023; 13 May 2023

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Access 1	Projects are low-barrier	Admission to projects is not contingent on pre-requisites such as abstinence of substances, minimum income requirements, health or mental health history, medication adherence, age, criminal justice history, financial history, completion of treatment, participation in services, "housing readiness," history or occurrence of victimization, survivor of sexual assault or an affiliated person of such a survivor or other unnecessary conditions unless required by law or funding source.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Access 2	Projects do not deny assistance for unnecessary reasons	Procedures and oversight demonstrate that staff do everything possible to avoid denying assistance or rejecting an individual or family for the reasons listed in Access Standard #1.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Access 3	Access regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status	Equal access is provided in accordance with the 2012 and 2016 Equal Access Rules, meaning that any project funded by HUD must ensure equal access for persons regardless of one's sexual orientation or marital status, and in accordance with one's gender identity. Adult only households, regardless of marital status, should have equal access to projects (if these project types are not available within a CoC, the CoC should conduct an assessment to determine if these project types are needed and work with providers to accommodate the need). Please see Equal Access Rules here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Access 4	Admission process is expedited with speed and efficiency	Projects have expedited admission processes, to the greatest extent possible, including helping participants obtain documentation required by funding sources, as well as processes to admit participants regardless of the status of their eligibility documentation whenever applicable.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Access 5	Intake processes are person-centered and flexible	Intake and assessment procedures are focused on the individual's or family's strengths, needs, and preferences. Projects do not require specific appointment times, but have flexible intake schedules that ensure access to all households. Assessments are focused on identifying household strengths, resources, as well as identifying barriers to housing that can inform the basis of a housing plan as soon as a person is enrolled in the project.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Access 6	The provider/project accepts and makes referrals directly through Coordinated Entry	Projects actively participate in the CoC-designated Coordinated Entry processes as part of streamlined community-wide system access and triage. If these processes are not yet implemented, projects follow communities' existing referral processes. Referrals from Coordinated Entry are rarely rejected, and only if there is a history of violence, the participant does not want to be in the project, there are legally valid grounds (such as restrictions regarding sex offenders) or some other exceptional circumstance that is well documented.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Access 7	Exits to homelessness are avoided	Projects that can no longer serve particular households utilize the coordinated entry process, or the communities' existing referral processes if coordinated entry processes are not yet implemented, to ensure that those individuals and families have access to other housing and services as desired, and do not become disconnected from services and housing. Households encounter these exits under certain circumstances, such as if they demonstrate violent or harassing behaviors, which are described within agencies' regulation-adherent policies.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Participant Input 1	Participant education is ongoing	Project participants receive ongoing education on Housing First principles as well as other service models employed in the project. In the beginning of and throughout tenancy, participants are informed about their full rights and responsibilities as lease holders, including the potential causes for eviction.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Participant Input 2	Projects create regular, formal opportunities for participants to offer input	Input is welcomed regarding the project's policies, processes, procedures, and practices. Opportunities include involvement in: quality assurance and evaluation processes, a participant leadership/advisory board, processes to formally communicate with landlords, the design of and participation in surveys and focus groups, planning social gatherings, integrating peer specialists and peer-facilitated support groups to compliment professional services.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive Access & Input	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	2
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	9	7	7
	N/A	0	2	0
	Total Count	9	7	9
	Total Available Points	63	49	63
	Total Score	63	49	59
Access	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	7
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	49

Housing First Assessment PSH Access & Input					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>	
Participant Input	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0	
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0	
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0	
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0	
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	2	
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0	
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	0	0	
	N/A	0	2	0	
	Total Count		2	0	2
	Total Available Points		14	0	14
	Total Score		14	0	10

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Leases 1	Housing is considered permanent (not applicable for Transitional Housing)	Housing is not time-limited (though rent assistance may be) and leases are automatically renewable upon expiration, except with prior notice by either party.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Leases 2	Participant choice is fundamental	A participant has, at minimum, choices in deciding the location and type of housing based on preferences from a range of housing types and among multiple units, as available and as practical. In project-based settings, participants should be offered choice of units within a particular building, or within the portfolio of single site properties. In projects that use shared housing, i.e. housing with unrelated roommates, participants should be offered choice of roommates, as available and as practical. Additionally, as applicable, participants are able to choose their roommates when sharing a room or unit.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Leases 3	Leases are the same for participants as for other tenants	Leases do not have any provisions that would not be found in leases held by any other tenant in the property or building and is renewable per the participants' and owner's choice. People experiencing homelessness who receive help moving into permanent housing should have leases that confer the full rights, responsibilities, and legal protections under Federal, state, and local housing laws. For transitional housing, there may be limitations on length of stay, but a lease/occupancy agreement should look like a lease that a person would have in the normal rental market.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Leases 4	Participants receive education about their lease or occupancy agreement terms	Participants are also given access to legal assistance and encouraged to exercise their full legal rights and responsibilities. Landlords and providers abide by their legally-defined roles and responsibilities.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Leases 5	Measures are used to prevent eviction	Property or building management, with services support, incorporates a culture of eviction avoidance, reinforced through practices and policies that prevent lease violations and evictions among participants, and evict participants only when they are a threat to self or others. Clear eviction appeal processes and due process is provided for all participants. Lease bifurcation is allowed so that a tenant or lawful occupant who is a victim of a criminal act of physical violence committed against them by another tenant or lawful occupant is not evicted, removed or penalized if the other is evicted.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Leases 6	The provider/project accepts and makes referrals directly through Coordinated Entry	Projects actively participate in the CoC-designated Coordinated Entry processes as part of streamlined community-wide system access and triage. If these processes are not yet implemented, projects follow communities' existing referral processes. Referrals from Coordinated Entry are rarely rejected, and only if there is a history of violence, the participant does not want to be in the project, there are legally valid grounds (such as restrictions regarding sex offenders) or some other exceptional circumstance that is well documented.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Leases 7	Exits to homelessness are avoided	Projects that can no longer serve particular households utilize the coordinated entry process, or the communities' existing referral processes if coordinated entry processes are not yet implemented, to ensure that those individuals and families have access to other housing and services as desired, and do not become disconnected from services and housing. Households encounter these exits under certain circumstances, such as if they demonstrate violent or harassing behaviors, which are described within agencies' regulation-adherent policies.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

Housing First Assessment PSH Leases				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive Leases	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	7
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	49

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Services 1	Projects promote participant choice in services	Participants are able to choose from an array of services. Services offered are housing focused and include the following areas of support: employment and income, childhood and education, community connection, and stabilization to maintain housing. These should be provided by linking to community-based services.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Services 2	Person Centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process	Person-centered Planning is a guiding principle of the service planning process	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Services 3	Service support is as permanent as the housing	Wherever possible, participants continue to be offered services even if they lose their housing unit or bed (for congregate projects), or if they are placed in a short-term inpatient treatment. Ideally, the service relationship should continue, despite a service hiatus during some institutional stays.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Services 4	Admission process is expedited with speed and efficiency	Projects have expedited admission processes, to the greatest extent possible, including helping participants obtain documentation required by funding sources, as well as processes to admit participants regardless of the status of their eligibility documentation whenever applicable.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Services 5	Participant engagement is a core component of service delivery	Staff provide effective services by developing relationships with participants that provide immediate needs and safety, develop trust and common ground, making warm hand-offs to other mainstream service providers, and clearly explain staff roles. Engagement is regular and relationships are developed over time.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Usually, 80% to less than 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Services 6	Services are culturally appropriate with translation services available, as needed	Project staff are sensitive to and support the cultural aspects of diverse households. Wherever possible, staff demographics reflect the participant population they serve in order to provide appropriate, culturally-specific services. Translation services are provided when needed to ensure full comprehension of the project. Projects that serve families with children should have family-friendly rules that allow for different schedules based on work and school hours and have services that allow parents to participate in activities without having to constantly supervise their children themselves (i.e. can use the bathroom or take a shower without their children being in the bathroom with them).	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Services 7	Staff are trained in clinical and non-clinical strategies (including harm reduction, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approaches, strength-based)	Services support a participant’s ability to obtain and retain housing regardless of changes in behavior. Services are informed by a harm-reduction philosophy, such as recognizing that substance use and addiction are a part of some participants' lives. Participants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding their behavior and are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Housing 1	Housing is not dependent on participation in services	Participation in permanent and temporary housing settings, as well as crisis settings such as emergency shelter, is not contingent on participating in supportive services or demonstration of progress made on a service plan. Services must be offered by staff, but are voluntary for participants.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Housing 2	Substance use is not a reason for termination	Participants are only terminated from the project for violations in the lease or occupancy agreements, as applicable. Occupancy agreements or an addendum to the lease do not include conditions around substance use or participation in services. If the project is a recovery housing model focused on people who are in early recovery from drugs or alcohol (as outlined in HUD’s Recovery Housing Brief), different standards related to use and subsequent offer of treatment may apply. See HUD’s Recovery Housing brief here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/4852/recovery-housingpolicy-brief/	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing					
Topic Area	CFR or Standard	Definition/Evidence	Say It	Document It	Do It
Housing 3	The rules and regulations of the project are centered on participants' rights	Project staff have realistic expectations and policies. Rules and regulations are designed to support safe and stable communities and should never interfere with a life in the community. Participants have access to the project at all hours (except for nightly in and out shelter) and accommodation is made for pets.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Housing 4	Participants have the option to transfer to another project	Transfers should be accommodated for tenants who reasonably believe that they are threatened with imminent harm from further violence if the tenant remains in the same unit. Whenever possible, transfers occur before a participant experiences homelessness.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive Services and Housing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	11	11	10
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	11	11	11
	Total Available Points	77	77	77
	Total Score	77	77	76
Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	6
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	48

Housing First Assessment PSH Services & Housing				
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Housing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28

Housing First Assessment PSH Project Specific					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Project 1	Quick access to RRH assistance	A transitional housing project ensures quick linkage to a unit and services based on participant choice.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Project 2	PSH is focused on ending homelessness for those with the most severe barriers to maintaining housing	Participants and staff understand that a primary goal of permanent supportive housing is to end homelessness for people with the most severe service needs and help participants stay housed, regardless of other perceived barriers.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

Housing First Assessment PSH Project Specific					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Project 3	Property Management duties are separate and distinct from services/case management	In order to provide clear roles of staff for participants in terms of lease and rules enforcement as well as tenant advocacy, property management and service provider staff should be separate roles. However, they should work together on a regular basis through regular communications and meetings regarding Participants to address tenancy issues in order to preserve tenancy.	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%	Always/Every time, 100%
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

Housing First Assessment PSH Project Specific					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive Project Specific		Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
		Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
		Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
		Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
		Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
		Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
		Always/Every time, 100%	3	3	3
		N/A	0	0	0
		Total Count	3	3	3
		Total Available Points	21	21	21
		Total Score	21	21	21

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
People in Recovery 1	Recovery housing is offered as one choice among other housing opportunities	Connection to recovery housing reflects individual choice for this path toward recovery. Abstinence-only spaces are incorporated into a Housing First model wherever possible, thus providing this type of recovery option to those who choose it. Recovery supports are offered, particularly connections to community-based treatment options.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
People in Recovery 2	Services include relapse support	Housing and services include relapse support that does not automatically evict or discharge a participant from the project for temporary relapse. Relapse support might include referrals to outpatient treatment or direct provision of outpatient services or the ability to hold a unit for a certain period of time (30-90 days) while the participant undergoes residential treatment.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
People in Recovery 3	Services support sustained recovery	Recovery housing projects provide services that align with participants' choice and prioritization of recovery, including but not limited to abstinence from substances (if that is a personal goal), long-term permanent housing stability, and stable income through employment or benefits. Support is offered through connections to community-based treatment options.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	Always/Every time, 100%
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Domestic Violence Survivors 1	Participant safety is a priority at all points of engagement and in all planning processes	Led by the survivor, a safety plan is developed that includes an assessment of danger, particular points of vulnerability, and best approaches to increasing safety.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Domestic Violence Survivors 2	Survivor-driven advocacy is available	The unique needs and strengths of each individual survivor and their children are taken into account with regard to the types of services that are available and offered. Project uses flexible and survivor-focused approaches to overcome barriers survivors may face in accessing services through traditional models.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			
Domestic Violence Survivors 3	Housing stability is a priority	Providers support survivors and their children to retain or obtain safe, stable housing. Survivors choose the type of housing and location of housing. Housing is located in an area that is considered safe from the abusive relationship.	Always/Every time, 100%	N/A	N/A
		<i>Optional Notes:</i>			

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific					
<i>Topic Area</i>	<i>CFR or Standard</i>	<i>Definition/Evidence</i>	<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Youth & Young Adults 1	Services are offered	Services are focused on ensuring that youth transition to independence.	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Youth & Young Adults 2	Projects include positive youth development principles	Youth projects should include positive youth development orientation. Positive youth development builds on strengths and resiliency. By focusing on strengths and assets, rather than what might be “wrong,” youth are empowered and are equipped to make positive decisions.	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Youth & Young Adults 3	Staff use harm reduction practices and approaches	Youth models employ a harm reduction and recovery orientation, including those developed for youth and young adults with substance use and addictions. Projects may make abstinence-based models available for youth and young adults; however, the choice should lie with the participant, not with the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					
Youth & Young Adults 4	Project design accounts for the age of youth and young adults to be served	Developmentally-appropriate project design ensures that project entry and on-going participation is not predicated on behaviors or experiences that youth and young adults may not currently have or if they were older would not result in consequences. For example, projects should accept and allow continued participation for youth who do not have income or immediate prospects of income because their age has not allowed them the opportunity to gain employment.	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Optional Notes:</i>					

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific				
<i>Topic Area</i>		<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Comprehensive Population Specific	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	0	3
	N/A	4	10	7
	Total Count	6	0	3
	Total Available Points	42	0	21
	Total Score	42	0	21
People in Recovery	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	0	3	0
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21

Housing First Assessment PSH Population Specific				
<i>Topic Area</i>		<i>Say It</i>	<i>Document It</i>	<i>Do It</i>
Domestic Violence Survivors	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	0
	N/A	0	3	3
	Total Count	3	0	0
	Total Available Points	21	0	0
	Total Score	21	0	0
Youth & Young Adults	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	4	4	4
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:
		1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive Access and Input	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	2
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	9	7	7
	N/A	0	2	0
	Total Count	9	7	9
	Total Available Points	63	49	63
	Total Score	63	49	59
Access	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	7
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	49

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:
		1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Participant Input	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	2
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	2	0	0
	N/A	0	2	0
	Total Count	2	0	2
	Total Available Points	14	0	14
	Total Score	14	0	10
Comprehensive Leases	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	7
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	49

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:
		1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive Services & Housing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	11	11	10
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	11	11	11
	Total Available Points	77	77	77
	Total Score	77	77	76
Services	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	1
	Always/Every time, 100%	7	7	6
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	7	7	7
	Total Available Points	49	49	49
	Total Score	49	49	48

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:
		1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Housing	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	4	4	4
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	4	4	4
	Total Available Points	28	28	28
	Total Score	28	28	28
Comprehensive Project Specific	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	3	3
	N/A	0	0	0
	Total Count	3	3	3
	Total Available Points	21	21	21
	Total Score	21	21	21

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:
		1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Comprehensive Population Specific	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	6	0	3
	N/A	4	10	7
	Total Count	6	0	3
	Total Available Points	42	0	21
	Total Score	42	0	21
People in Recovery	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	3
	N/A	0	3	0
	Total Count	3	0	3
	Total Available Points	21	0	21
	Total Score	21	0	21

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring				
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:
		1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022		
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It
Domestic Violence Survivors	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	3	0	0
	N/A	0	3	3
	Total Count	3	0	0
	Total Available Points	21	0	0
	Total Score	21	0	0
Youth and Young Adults	Not at All/Never, 0%	0	0	0
	Rarely, less than 20%	0	0	0
	Occasionally, 20% to less than 40%	0	0	0
	Sometimes, 40% to less than 60%	0	0	0
	Frequently, 60% to less than 80%	0	0	0
	Usually, 80% to less than 100%	0	0	0
	Always/Every time, 100%	0	0	0
	N/A	4	4	4
	Total Count	0	0	0
	Total Available Points	0	0	0
	Total Score	0	0	0

CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Scoring						
Project Name:		STAR PSH		Grant Year:	1 July 2021 - 30 June 2022	
Topic Area		Say It	Document It	Do It		
Comprehensive CoC Housing First PSH Program Specific Monitoring Tool Score	Total Overall Section Points Available	252	196	231		
	Total Overall Comprehensive Section Score	252	196	226		
	Total Overall Points Available				679	
	Total Overall Comprehensive Score				674	

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-2 Local Competition Scoring Tool

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
Local Competition Scoring Tool <ul style="list-style-type: none">• New Projects	2-5
Local Competition Scoring Tool <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Renewal Projects	6-7

NEW PROJECT SCORING TOOL

Instructions: Please use the form below to score each application. Please note that this form includes both the elements that the Scoring and Ranking Team is responsible for scoring as well as other objective factors that were scored by the facilitation team. The pre-scored elements are for your information only and cannot be adjusted. Please only modify the green cells. To score each factor, please refer to the Zoom Grants questions noted to the left of the question description. Please stay within the point limits noted and record your score in the small green box. Feel free to add notes or comments in the blank box on the right.

APPLICANT CAPACITY		Maximum Points	Reviewer Score	NOTES
Experience Serving Target Population		4	<input type="text"/>	
Q18	Does the narrative describe how long the organization has been providing assistance to homeless clients? Does it clearly demonstrate applicant's experience serving the specific population to be served? Does it describe any specialized expertise, qualifications, or certifications held by staff? (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
Experience Operating Comparable Program		4	<input type="text"/>	
Q19	Does the narrative describe the agency's experience providing comparable services and programs? Do they describe prior success (using examples) with the proposed model or program, including developing and implementing relevant program systems and services? (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
Experience Managing Federal or Other Complex Funding		4	<input type="text"/>	
Q20	Does the narrative describe the agency's familiarity with managing large government grants, providing examples (e.g. HUD/CoC, SAMHSA, VA funding, HOPWA, etc.)? Do they describe their ability to provide Federally-funded services and meet compliance and reporting requirements and satisfy all contracting requirements? If there is a subrecipient, do they describe the subrecipient's experience with Federal funding? (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
Financial Management Capacity		4	<input type="text"/>	
Q21	Does the narrative describe the agency's financial management infrastructure, including accounting systems and financial oversight? If they are proposing partnerships/subrecipients, is it clear how they will manage them? (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
SUBTOTAL - APPLICANT CAPACITY		16	0	
HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES		Maximum Points	Reviewer Score	NOTES
Housing First		10	<input type="text"/>	

Narrative in Q22, Checklists in Q24 and Q25	Confirm that the checklists are completed correctly. (Correct boxes checked = 2 points. No boxes checked or "None of the Above" checked = 0 points.) Do their narratives clearly demonstrate the agency's commitment to and implementation of the Housing First philosophy, including minimizing barriers and ensuring streamlined access to services? Do they take steps to minimize program exits to homelessness? Are they focused on providing support for rapid placement, ongoing stabilization in housing, and preventing eviction and housing loss? (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)	
Racial Equity		10
Q10	Does the narrative describe how the agency will work to identify and remove barriers to access or participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? Do they note how they will gather and utilize data to determine whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes within programs? Do they provide examples of how they have done this already? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 8-10 pts, Average = 5-7 pts, Weak = 0-4 pts)	
Engaging People with Lived Experience		8
Q11	Does the narrative describe meaningful efforts to involve people with lived experience of homelessness (in the last 7 years) in organizational and programmatic decision making? Do they explain how this input is actually used to make changes and improvements in program operations? Are people with lived experience involved in program design, implementation, and evaluation of programs? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)	
Participation in Regional Efforts		3
Q12, Q13	Review the checklist and award one point for each type of regional effort that is described thoroughly. For example, does the narrative provide key details about participation, including dates, roles, accomplishments, etc.? Simply checking the box without describing should not ensure the point. (1 point per regional effort, up to 3 pts maximum)	
Person-Centered and Trauma-Informed Care		4
Q14	Does the narrative describe how the applicant uses evidence based practices to provide services that are trauma-informed and person-centered? Do they cite specific interventions and models? Do they describe how they will address the unique needs faced by households experiencing trauma/lack of safety due to domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or human trafficking? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can include program-specific efforts as well. (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)	
Cultural Responsiveness to LGBTQ+ Participants		4

Q17	Does the narrative describe specific efforts to support LGBTQ+ participants, including ensuring privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation? Does it provide examples of partnerships with organizations with expertise in serving LGBTQ+ populations? (Strong = 4 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts)			
SUBTOTAL - HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES		39	0	
OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES		Maximum Points	Reviewer Score	NOTES
Participants Assisted to Secure or Retain Housing and Not Exit to Homelessness		10		
Q23, Q26	Does the narrative provide specific examples of the steps that the program will take to connect participants quickly to housing and support them to remain stably housed? Do they describe specific staff roles? Is there a description of how housing is identified, working with landlords, and ensuring nondiscrimination? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts) . Is there a comparable report that provides evidence of their success? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 2-3 pts, Weak or no data = 0-1 pts)			
Participants Assisted to Secure Jobs and Income		6		
Q27, Q15	Does the narrative provide specific examples of the steps that the program will take to assist participants with securing employment and/or increasing income from cash and non-cash sources, including mainstream employment and benefits programs? (Strong = 3 pts, Average = 2 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts) . Does the report provide evidence of their success toward this? (Strong = 3 points, Average = 2 point, Weak or no data = 0-1 pts)			
Participants Connects Participants to Mainstream Systems		5		
Checklist in Q28, Narratives in Q29, Q15	Does the narrative describe specific efforts the program takes to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social service, and other programs and services for which participants are eligible? Is the checklist in Q28 completed? (Strong = 3 pts, Average = 2 pts, Weak = 0-1 pts) Does the report provide evidence of their success toward this? (Strong = 2 points, Average = 1 point, Weak or no data = 0 pts)			
SUBTOTAL - OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES		21	0	
BUDGET AND LEVERAGE		Maximum Points	Reviewer Score	NOTES
Project Budget and Narrative		8		
Budget Form	Does the budget seem reasonable in relation to the number of people to be served? Are staff positions well-justified and explained? Are the costs listed in the budget appropriate for the services and activities proposed? (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			
Non-CoC Housing Leverage		8		

Healthcare Leverage	8		
SUBTOTAL - BUDGET AND LEVERAGE	24	0	
TOTAL	100	0	

Applicant	
Project Name	
Project Type	

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL

Instructions: Please use the form below to score each application. Please note that this form includes both the pre-scored elements and the elements that the Scoring and Ranking Team is responsible for scoring. The pre-scored elements are for your information only and cannot be adjusted. Please only modify the green cells. To score each factor, please refer to the Zoom Grants questions noted to the left of the question description. Please stay within the point limits noted and record your score in the small green box. Feel free to add notes or comments in the blank box on the right.

GRANT ADMINISTRATION			
	Timely Submission of Annual Performance Report	5	
	Quarterly Draws from eLOCCS System	5	
	Full Expenditure of Grant Funds	5	
TOTAL GRANT ADMINISTRATION POINTS		15	0

HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES

Housing First		10	0	
	Completion of Housing First Checklists	2		
	Housing First Narrative	8		Comments
Q33	Does the narrative in Q26 describe clearly the measures that are taken to support people to remain stably housed and prevent eviction or housing loss? Does the narrative in Q33 identify specific practices and protocols they use to operationalize the Housing First and implement lessons learned? (Strong = 7-8 pts, Average = 4-6 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			
Racial Equity		10		Comments
Q10	Does the narrative describe how the agency will work to identify and remove barriers to access or participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? Do they note how they will gather and utilize data to determine whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes within programs? Do they provide examples of how they have done this already? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 8-10 pts, Average = 5-7 pts, Weak = 0-4 pts)			
Engaging People with Lived Experience		8		Comments
Q11	Does the narrative describe meaningful efforts to involve people with lived experience of homelessness (in the last 7 years) in organizational and programmatic decision making? Do they explain how this input is actually used to make changes and improvements in program operations? Are people with lived experience involved in program design, implementation, and evaluation of programs? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			

Person-Centered and Trauma-Informed Care		6		Comments
Q14 (Q15 may also be helpful)	Does the narrative describe how the applicant uses evidence based practices to provide services that re trauma-informed and person-centered? Do they cite specific interventions and models? Do they describe how they will address the unique needs faced by households experiencing trauma/lack of safety due to domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or human trafficking? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can include program-specific efforts as well. (Strong = 5-6 pts, Average = 3-4 pts, Weak = 0-2 pts)			
Participation in Regional Efforts		6		Comments
Q12, Q13 (Q15 may also be helpful)	Does the narrative describe how the applicant participates in regional efforts, including providing key details (roles, dates, etc.)? Does the level of participation show in the checklist and described in the narrative reflect a commitment to regional efforts? (Strong = 5-6 pts, Average = 3-4 pts, Weak = 0-2 pts)			
Cultural Responsiveness to LGBTQ+ Clients		5		Comments
Q17 (Q15 may also be helpful)	Does the narrative describe specific efforts to support LGBTQ+ participants, including ensuring privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation? Does it provide examples of partnerships with organizations with expertise in serving LGBTQ+ populations? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 3 points, Weak 0-2 points)			
TOTAL HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES POINTS		45	0	
PROJECT PERFORMANCE				
	Obtains or Maintains Permanent Housing	13		
	Maintains or Increases Income	7		
	Connection to Non-Cash Mainstream Benefits	3		
	Connection to Health Insurance	3		
	Prevents Exits to Homelessness	8		
	HMIS Data Quality	3		
	Occupancy/Utilization	3		
TOTAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE POINTS		40	0	
Bonus Points for Voluntary Reallocation		5		
TOTAL		105	0	

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
Local Competition Scored Forms <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Final project scores for HopeLink of Southern Nevada• Scored forms for HopeLink of Southern Nevada	2-4

PROJECT NAME: 11 HopeLink HomeLink RRH												
				PRESCORES	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Reviewer 12			GRAND TOTAL = PROJECT SCORE
MAXIMUM POINTS												
SCORING SUMMARY - RENEWAL PROJECTS	Max Points	Pre-Scored	SRT Scored								SRT AVERAGE	TOTAL
Grant Administration												
APR Submission	5.00	5.00		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00		5.00
eLOCCS Draws	5.00	5.00		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00		5.00
Expenditure of Funds	5.00	5.00		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00		5.00
HUD/Local Priorities												
Housing First Checklist	2.00	2.00		2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		2.00
Housing First Narrative	8.00		8.00		8.00	8.00	7.00	7.00	8.00		7.60	7.60
Racial Equity	10.00		10.00		10.00	7.00	6.00	5.00	10.00		7.60	7.60
Engaging People w Lived Experience	8.00		8.00		8.00	8.00	4.00	6.00	8.00		6.80	6.80
Person-Centered/Trauma-Informed Care	6.00		6.00		6.00	5.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		5.80	5.80
Participation in Regional Efforts	6.00		6.00		6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		6.00	6.00
Cultural Responsiveness - LGBTQ+	5.00		5.00		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00		5.00	5.00
Project Performance												
Obtains/Maintains PH	13.00	13.00		13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00		13.00
Maintains/Increases Income	7.00	7.00		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Connections to Mainstream Benefits	3.00	3.00		2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		2.00
Connection to Health Insurance	3.00	3.00		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Exits to Homelessness	8.00	8.00		8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00		8.00
HMIS Data Quality	3.00	3.00		2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00		2.00
Occupancy/Utilization	3.00	3.00		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		3.00
Subtotal	100.00	57.00	43.00	45.00	88.00	84.00	79.00	80.00	88.00			83.80
Reallocation Bonus	5.00		5.00									-
TOTAL	105.00	57.00	48.00	45.00	88.00	84.00	79.00	80.00	88.00			83.80

Blue = Scored by facilitators
Green = Scored by SRT

Applicant	HopeLink of Southern Nevada
Project Name	HomeLink RRH Consolidation 2022
Project Type	Rapid Rehousing

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING TOOL

Instructions: Please use the form below to score each application. Please note that this form includes both the pre-scored elements and the elements that the Scoring and Ranking Team is responsible for scoring. The pre-scored elements are for your information only and cannot be adjusted. Please only modify the green cells. To score each factor, please refer to the Zoom Grants questions noted to the left of the question description. Please stay within the point limits noted and record your score in the small green box. Feel free to add notes or comments in the blank box on the right.

GRANT ADMINISTRATION

	Timely Submission of Annual Performance Report	5	5	
	Quarterly Draws from eLOCCS System	5	5	
	Full Expenditure of Grant Funds	5	5	
TOTAL GRANT ADMINISTRATION POINTS		15	15	

HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES

Housing First		10	9	
	Completion of Housing First Checklists	2	2	
	Housing First Narrative	8	7	Comments
Q33	Does the narrative in Q26 describe clearly the measures that are taken to support people to remain stably housed and prevent eviction or housing loss? Does the narrative in Q33 identify specific practices and protocols they use to operationalize the Housing First and implement lessons learned? (Strong = 7-8 pts, Average = 4-6 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			The narrative presents a well-rounded approach to housing stability with clear descriptions of practices and protocols that align with Housing First. The integration of feedback and data-driven improvements reinforces the program's strong commitment to keeping individuals stably housed. This earns a strong score.
Racial Equity		10	6	Comments
Q10	Does the narrative describe how the agency will work to identify and remove barriers to access or participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities? Do they note how they will gather and utilize data to determine whether people of different races or ethnicities have different experiences or outcomes within programs? Do they provide examples of how they have done this already? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 8-10 pts, Average = 5-7 pts, Weak = 0-4 pts)			The agency demonstrates an understanding of the need to address barriers and uses data to track outcomes, but the narrative lacks specific, robust examples of how they have removed barriers or made programmatic changes based on their findings. Therefore, this statement earns an "average" score.
Engaging People with Lived Experience		8	4	Comments
Q11	Does the narrative describe meaningful efforts to involve people with lived experience of homelessness (in the last 7 years) in organizational and programmatic decision making? Do they explain how this input is actually used to make changes and improvements in program operations? Are people with lived experience involved in program design, implementation, and evaluation of programs? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can also include program-specific efforts as appropriate. (Strong = 6-8 pts, Average = 4-5 pts, Weak = 0-3 pts)			The organization demonstrates a solid commitment to involving people with lived experience of homelessness in some program areas and decisions, but the efforts are not fully described in terms of comprehensive, ongoing, or structured involvement in broader organizational and programmatic decision-making.

Person-Centered and Trauma-Informed Care		6	6	Comments
Q14 (Q15 may also be helpful)	Does the narrative describe how the applicant uses evidence based practices to provide services that re trauma-informed and person-centered? Do they cite specific interventions and models? Do they describe how they will address the unique needs faced by households experiencing trauma/lack of safety due to domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or human trafficking? This narrative should describe agency-level efforts and can include program-specific efforts as well. (Strong = 5-6 pts, Average = 3-4 pts, Weak = 0-2 pts)			The narrative provides a strong description of trauma-informed and person-centered care, supported by evidence-based models and practices. The agency's comprehensive approach to addressing the needs of trauma survivors, including safety planning, cultural sensitivity, and the use of specific trauma interventions, meets the criteria for a "strong" score.
Participation in Regional Efforts		6	6	Comments
Q12, Q13 (Q15 may also be helpful)	Does the narrative describe how the applicant participates in regional efforts, including providing key details (roles, dates, etc.)? Does the level of participation shown in the checklist and described in the narrative reflect a commitment to regional efforts? (Strong = 5-6 pts, Average = 3-4 pts, Weak = 0-2 pts)			The narrative provides a comprehensive list of dates and activities that show meaningful participation in a wide range of regional efforts. The level of detail and variety of initiatives suggest a strong commitment to collaboration and regional problem-solving, justifying a "strong" score.
Cultural Responsiveness to LGBTQ+ Clients		5	5	Comments
Q17 (Q15 may also be helpful)	Does the narrative describe specific efforts to support LGBTQ+ participants, including ensuring privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation? Does it provide examples of partnerships with organizations with expertise in serving LGBTQ+ populations? (Strong = 4-5 pts, Average = 3 points, Weak 0-2 points)			The narrative provides clear examples of inclusive policies , specific partnerships with LGBTQ+ organizations , and ongoing training efforts to support the LGBTQ+ community. Their comprehensive strategies demonstrate a strong commitment to privacy, respect, safety, and accessibility, earning the highest score of 5 points.
TOTAL HUD AND LOCAL PRIORITIES POINTS		45	36	
PROJECT PERFORMANCE				
	Obtains or Maintains Permanent Housing	13	13	
	Maintains or Increases Income	7	0	
	Connection to Non-Cash Mainstream Benefits	3	2	
	Connection to Health Insurance	3	0	
	Prevents Exits to Homelessness	8	8	
	HMIS Data Quality	3	2	
	Occupancy/Utilization	3	3	
TOTAL PROJECT PERFORMANCE POINTS		40	28	
Bonus Points for Voluntary Reallocation		5		
TOTAL		105	79	

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-5 Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
CoC Statement	2
Clark County Social Service <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Email addresses for recipients• Date and time• Project Name• Reason for reduction• Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment	3-5
Next Step LV <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Email addresses for recipients• Date and time• Project Name• Reason for reduction• Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment	6-7

To Whom It May Concern,

NV-500 did not reject any projects during the 2024 CoC Local Competition for Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care. HUD notified NV-500 of an updated Annual Renewal Amount (ARD) for a project resulting in insufficient funding of \$8,105 for Tier 1. To avoid straddling projects, Clark County Social Service volunteered to reallocate \$8,105 of their STAR PSH project. Since Next Step's project had been originally reduced in funding, they were asked to accept the reallocated funding.

Thank you.

Monique Cardenas

From: Karla Amezcua
Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2024 10:17 AM
To: SSRAD; Mary Cannizzaro; Alicia Cintron; Brenda Herbstman
Cc: Monique Cardenas; Elaine de Coligny; Kate Bristol; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Reallocation Update

Hi All,

I hope all is well!

There was an error HUD had to fix **after** the local competition took place which created a change in the budget. This update has caused for insufficient funding of \$8,105 in Tier 1. We are grateful that CCSS volunteered to take the cut in your STAR PSH project to avoid having a straddler project between Tier 1 and Tier 2. We will go ahead and kick the application back so these edits can be made accordingly. Please have this submitted by EOD today as we are planning on submitting to HUD tomorrow.

As mentioned above, we appreciate all the efforts you have put into helping make this application as strong as possible for the community. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Best,
Karla

Karla Amezcua, MBA

Sr. Grants Coordinator



togetherforbetter

1600 Pinto Lane | Las Vegas, NV 89106

Email: karla.amezcua@ClarkCountyNV.gov

Cell: 702.786.8954 Office: 702.455.5188

ClarkCountyNV.gov



service integrity respect accountability excellence leadership

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:43 PM
To: SSRAD; Mary Cannizzaro; Alicia Cintron; Brenda.Herbstman@ClarkCountyNV.gov
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion & Reduction
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding level:

- HMIS
- STAR RRH

The following project has been placed in Tier 1 at a reduced funding level, due to grant underspending.

- STAR PSH (reduced from \$1,832,768 to \$1,179,438, a reduction of \$653,330)

The following project has been placed in Tier 2 at the requested funding level:

- New Beginnings

STAR PSH and New Beginnings are eligible for appeal according to [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full or those ranked in Tier 2.

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Monday, October 7th at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Monique Cardenas

From: Karla Amezcua
Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2024 10:04 AM
To: alfred.meza@nextsteplv.org
Cc: Elaine de Coligny; Stacey Murphy; Kate Bristol; Monique Cardenas
Subject: 2024 NOFO Reallocation Update

Hi Alfred,

I hope all is well!

There was an error HUD had to fix **after** the local competition took place which created a change in the budget for Tier 2. This update has allotted for an additional \$8,105 in funding for a project in Tier 2. Since Next Step's Next Step's Housing project had originally been reduced from the asking funding amount, we thought we'd reach out to see if you'd be interested in increasing your asking budget by \$8,105.

If this is something you're interested in, please let us know by noon today so we can kick your application back. We'd need for this adjustment to be completed by EOD today since our final application will be submitted to HUD tomorrow.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Best,
Karla

Karla Amezcua, MBA
Sr. Grants Coordinator



togetherforbetter

1600 Pinto Lane | Las Vegas, NV 89106
Email: karla.amezcua@ClarkCountyNV.gov
Cell: 702.786.8954 Office: 702.455.5188

ClarkCountyNV.gov



service integrity respect accountability excellence leadership

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 5:00 PM
To: alfred.meza@nextsteplv.org
Cc: Stacey Murphy; elainedecoligny@gmail.com
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion & Reduction
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). The following projects have been placed in Tier 2, and the budget has been reduced by \$61,529 (from \$497,480 to \$435,951).

- Next Step's Housing

The funding request was reduced so that the program could fit within the CoC's available funding. This project is eligible for appeal according to [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Monday, October 7th at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1-3
Clark County Social Service <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	4-5
CPLC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	6
Family Promise LV <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	7
FirstMed Health & Wellness Center <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	8
HELP of Southern Nevada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	9
HopcLink <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email addresses for recipients Date and time Project Name 	10

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	
<p>Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Email addresses for recipients • Date and time • Project Name • Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	11
<p>Next Step</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Email addresses for recipients • Date and time • Project Name • Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	12
<p>SafeNest</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Email addresses for recipients • Date and time • Project Name • Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	13
<p>Salvation Army</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Email addresses for recipients • Date and time • Project Name • Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	14
<p>SNAMHS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Email addresses for recipients • Date and time • Project Name • Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	15
<p>St. Jude's Ranch for Children</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Email addresses for recipients • Date and time • Project Name • Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment 	16

<p>The Just One Project</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Email addresses for recipients• Date and time• Project Name• Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment	17
<p>US Vets</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Email addresses for recipients• Date and time• Project Name• Application Ranking listed on Priority Listing attachment	18

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:43 PM
To: SSRAD; Mary Cannizzaro; Alicia Cintron; Brenda.Herbstman@ClarkCountyNV.gov
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion & Reduction
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding level:

- HMIS
- STAR RRH

The following project has been placed in Tier 1 at a reduced funding level, due to grant underspending.

- STAR PSH (reduced from \$1,832,768 to \$1,179,438, a reduction of \$653,330)

The following project has been placed in Tier 2 at the requested funding level:

- New Beginnings

STAR PSH and New Beginnings are eligible for appeal according to [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full or those ranked in Tier 2.

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Monday, October 7th at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:59 PM
To: grants@cplc.org
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). The following projects have been placed in Tier 2 at the requested funding levels:

- CPLC NV - RRH

This project is eligible for appeal according to [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Monday, October 7th at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:58 PM
To: marisa@fplv.org
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). The following projects have been placed in Tier 2 at the requested funding levels:

- Keeping the Promise

These projects are eligible for appeal according to [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Monday, October 7th at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:57 PM
To: apoe@fmhwc.org
Cc: Stacey Murphy; elainedecoligny@gmail.com
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). The following projects have been placed in Tier 2 at the requested funding levels:

- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Rapid Rehousing DV Bonus
- 2024 SNHCoC RRH

These projects are eligible for appeal according to [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Monday, October 7th at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:46 PM
To: Ridge Claridy; Andrea Canales; Shelly Torres; dgee@helpsonv.org; csewell@helpsonv.org; glovas@helpsonv.org
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding levels:

- CE Matching
- HELP THEM HOME
- A New Start
- Healthy Living – Silver Summit
- Healthy Living – Anthem
- Healthy Living – Health Plan of Nevada
- Healthy Living – Fee for Service
- Shannon West Homeless Youth Center

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:54 PM
To: Aaron Sheets
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding levels:

- [HomeLink RRH Consolidation](#)

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:51 PM
To: Arash Ghafoori; melissa
Cc: Stacey Murphy; elainedecoligny@gmail.com
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding levels:

- [NPHY Independent Living Program](#)

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 5:00 PM
To: alfred.meza@nextsteplv.org
Cc: Stacey Murphy; elainedecoligny@gmail.com
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion & Reduction
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). The following projects have been placed in Tier 2, and the budget has been reduced by \$61,529 (from \$497,480 to \$435,951).

- Next Step's Housing

The funding request was reduced so that the program could fit within the CoC's available funding. This project is eligible for appeal according to [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13).

Appeals are limited to projects reallocated in-part or in-full, those ranked in Tier 2, or those at-risk of falling into Tier 2 as a result of another project's successful appeal (preliminarily ranked in the bottom portion of Tier 1 equal to the total value of Tier 2).

Appeals may only be submitted if the applicant believes that one or more of the following has taken place:

1. An application was not evaluated according to the published local NOFO process.
2. There was a scoring error made by the SRT.
3. An application was evaluated in a way that violates HUD regulations.

The following are NOT grounds for appeal:

1. Errors or omissions by the applicant.
2. Dissatisfaction with Project's scores.
3. Need for funds.

If you would like to appeal the Scoring & Ranking Team's decision, please email your appeal by Monday, October 7th at 5:00 p.m. to HelpHopeHome@ClarkCountyNV.gov, copying elainedecoligny@gmail.com.

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:48 PM
To: Lisa Ledet
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding levels:

- Operation Fresh Start
- Hope for Survivors DV Bonus

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:50 PM
To: Lavonne.lewis
Cc: Stacey Murphy; elainedecoligny@gmail.com
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding levels:

- Housing the Homeless
- Housing the Homeless 2

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:55 PM
To: lespinoza@health.nv.gov
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding levels:

- [Stepping Stones](#)

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:53 PM
To: Denise Charles; rperaza@stjudesranch.org
Cc: Stacey Murphy; elainedecoligny@gmail.com
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding levels:

- Crossings TH-RRH
- New Crossings
- A Place Called Home

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:56 PM
To: tristan@thejustoneproject.org
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding levels:

- [Community Connect](#)

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

Help Hope Home

From: Help Hope Home
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 4:47 PM
To: Msimangan@usvets.org; Joseph O'Neil
Cc: elainedecoligny@gmail.com; Stacey Murphy
Subject: 2024 NOFO Notice of Inclusion
Attachments: PROPOSED PRIORITY LISTING.pdf

Good afternoon:

This email is to serve as formal notification of your application(s) inclusion in the Priority Listing, which is now posted at [Help Hope Home](#). Information about the appeals process may be found in the [2024 Local Competition Policies](#) (page 13). Please note that projects placed in Tier 1 are not eligible to appeal.

The following projects have been placed in Tier 1 at the requested funding levels:

- PSH 2019
- VIP 2019

Please finalize your e-snaps applications and submit them in e-snaps by Monday, October 14.

Clark County Social Services (CCSS) will host an e-snaps training on [Thursday, October 3 at 3:30pm via Teams](#). Applicants can also visit <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/> for guidance on how to enter their projects into e-snaps.

Thank you all for your tireless efforts and consideration while navigating the application process. Your continued partnership is appreciated.

EdeColigny Consulting and Associates (TA Team) and Clark County Social Services as Collaborative Applicant

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
UPDATED Local Competition Results – due to HUD updates on a project’s ARA after the local competition <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Names • Project Scores • Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated • Project Rank • Amount Requested from HUD • Reallocated Funds +/- 	2
ORIGINAL Local Competition Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Names • Project Scores • Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated • Project Rank • Amount Requested from HUD • Reallocated Funds +/- 	3

SOUTHERN NEVADA HOMELESSNESS CONTINUUM OF CARE

2024 PRIORITY LISTING

WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 23, 2024

	Applicant Organization	Project Name	Score	Status	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds
1	CCSS	HMIS	N/A	Accepted	1	\$980,154	\$0
2	HELP of SN	CE Matching	N/A	Accepted	2	\$202,502	\$0
3	United States Veterans Initiative	PSH 2019	93.33	Accepted	3	\$1,751,885	\$0
4	Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth	NPHY Independent Living Program	91.67	Accepted	4	\$226,210	\$0
5	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis, Inc.	Operation Fresh Start	87.60	Accepted	5	\$370,068	\$0
6	The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Housing The Homeless	86.80	Accepted	6	\$400,146	\$0
7	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Crossings TH-RRH	86.50	Accepted	7	\$547,833	\$0
8	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	A Place Called Home	86.25	Accepted	8	\$464,826	\$0
9	Clark County	STAR RRH	85.67	Accepted	9	\$1,834,519	\$0
10	Clark County	STAR PSH	85.17	Reduced Reallocated	10	\$1,171,333	(\$661,435)
11	HopeLink of Southern Nevada	HomeLink RRH Consolidation 2022	83.80	Accepted	11	\$1,362,909	\$0
12	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	New Crossings	83.75	Accepted	12	\$418,271	\$0
13	HELP of Southern Nevada	HELP THEM HOME Combined	81.20	Accepted	13	\$1,507,696	\$0
14	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start	73.40	Accepted	14	\$780,905	\$0
15	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis, Inc.	Hope for Survivors DV Bonus	75.30	Accepted	15	\$675,607	\$0
16	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Silver Summit	73.40	Accepted	16	\$237,117	\$0
17	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Anthem	73.10	Accepted	17	\$553,273	\$0
18	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Health Plan of Nevada	72.70	Accepted	18	\$474,234	\$0
19	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Fee for Service	72.50	Accepted	19	\$316,156	\$0
20	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Stepping Stones	71.80	Accepted	20	\$69,419	\$0
21	United States Veterans Initiative	VIP - 2019	70.60	Accepted	21	\$122,854	\$0
22	HELP of Southern Nevada	Shannon West Homeless Youth Center	65.00	Accepted	22	\$210,282	\$0
23	Just One Project	Community Connect	N/A	Accepted	23	\$681,936	\$0
24	Salvation Army	Housing the Homeless 2	N/A	Accepted	24	\$412,546	\$0
25	Clark County	New Beginnings	79.83	Accepted	25	\$1,766,692	\$0
26	First Med Health and Wellness	Permanent Supportive Housing	64.56	Accepted	26	\$500,000	\$0
27	First Med Health and Wellness	Rapid Rehousing DV Bonus	62.89	Accepted	27	\$1,680,800	\$0
28	Family Promise of Las Vegas	Keeping the Promise RRH	61.40	Accepted	28	\$494,692	\$0
29	First Med Health and Wellness	2024 SNVCoC RRH	60.78	Accepted	29	\$1,300,000	\$0
30	Chicanos Por La Causa (CPLC)	CPLC NV - Rapid Rehousing	58.00	Accepted	30	\$299,250	\$217,379
31	Next Step	Next Step's Housing	53.50	Accepted	31	\$444,056	\$444,056
32	Clark County	Planning Grant		Accepted	Not Ranked	\$990,235	\$0

SOUTHERN NEVADA HOMELESSNESS CONTINUUM OF CARE
2024 PRIORITY LISTING
WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 14, 2024

	Applicant Organization	Project Name	Score	Status	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds
1	CCSS	HMIS	N/A	Accepted	1	\$980,154	\$0
2	HELP of SN	CE Matching	N/A	Accepted	2	\$202,502	\$0
3	United States Veterans Initiative	PSH 2019	93.33	Accepted	3	\$1,751,885	\$0
4	Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth	NPHY Independent Living Program	91.67	Accepted	4	\$226,210	\$0
5	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis, Inc.	Operation Fresh Start	87.60	Accepted	5	\$370,068	\$0
6	The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Housing The Homeless	86.80	Accepted	6	\$400,146	\$0
7	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Crossings TH-RRH	86.50	Accepted	7	\$547,833	\$0
8	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	A Place Called Home	86.25	Accepted	8	\$464,826	\$0
9	Clark County	STAR RRH	85.67	Accepted	9	\$1,834,519	\$0
10	Clark County	STAR PSH	85.17	Reduced Reallocated	10	\$1,179,438	(\$653,330)
11	HopeLink of Southern Nevada	HomeLink RRH Consolidation 2022	83.80	Accepted	11	\$1,362,909	\$0
12	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	New Crossings	83.75	Accepted	12	\$418,271	\$0
13	HELP of Southern Nevada	HELP THEM HOME Combined	81.20	Accepted	13	\$1,507,696	\$0
14	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start	73.40	Accepted	14	\$780,905	\$0
15	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis, Inc.	Hope for Survivors DV Bonus	75.30	Accepted	15	\$675,607	\$0
16	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Silver Summit	73.40	Accepted	16	\$237,117	\$0
17	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Anthem	73.10	Accepted	17	\$553,273	\$0
18	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Health Plan of Nevada	72.70	Accepted	18	\$474,234	\$0
19	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Fee for Service	72.50	Accepted	19	\$316,156	\$0
20	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Stepping Stones	71.80	Accepted	20	\$69,419	\$0
21	United States Veterans Initiative	VIP - 2019	70.60	Accepted	21	\$122,854	\$0
22	HELP of Southern Nevada	Shannon West Homeless Youth Center	65.00	Accepted	22	\$210,282	\$0
23	Just One Project	Community Connect	N/A	Accepted	23	\$600,888	\$0
24	Salvation Army	Housing the Homeless 2	N/A	Accepted	24	\$412,546	\$0
25	Clark County	New Beginnings	79.83	Accepted	25	\$1,766,692	\$0
26	First Med Health and Wellness	Permanent Supportive Housing	64.56	Accepted	26	\$500,000	\$0
27	First Med Health and Wellness	Rapid Rehousing DV Bonus	62.89	Accepted	27	\$1,680,800	\$0
28	Family Promise of Las Vegas	Keeping the Promise RRH	61.40	Accepted	28	\$494,692	\$0
29	First Med Health and Wellness	2024 SNVCoC RRH	60.78	Accepted	29	\$1,300,000	\$0
30	Chicanos Por La Causa (CPLC)	CPLC NV - Rapid Rehousing	58.00	Accepted	30	\$299,250	\$217,379
31	Next Step	Next Step's Housing	53.50	Accepted	31	\$435,951	\$435,951
32	Clark County	Planning Grant		Accepted	Not Ranked	\$990,235	\$0

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

2A-6 HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
NV-500 2024 HDX Competition Report	2-23

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - Summary

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

HDX Data Submission Participation Information

Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation	Met Module Deadline*	Data From	Data Collection Period in HDX 2.0
2023 LSA	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).	November 2023 to January of 2024
2023 SPM	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).**	February 2024 to March 2024
2024 HIC	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024
2024 PIT	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024

1) FY = Fiscal Year

2) *This considers all extensions where they were provided.

2) **"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY23 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY 2022 (10/1/21 - 9/30/22) were also accepted during the data collection period, but these previous year's submissions are voluntarily and are not required.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

LSA Usability Status 2023

Category	EST AO	EST AC	EST CO	RRH AO	RRH AC	RRH CO	PSH AO	PSH AC	PSH CO
Fully Usable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Partially Usable									
Not Usable								<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

EST

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	12,099	13,423	14,011
AO	10,387	10,768	10,913
AC	1,607	2,545	3,018
CO	108	106	96

RRH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	3,322	4,329	4,080
AO	1,408	1,801	1,670
AC	1,914	2,530	2,412
CO	0	0	0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

PSH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	849	2,468	2,225
AO	632	2,274	2,052
AC	217	194	171
CO	0	0	0

1) Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing; PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO=Persons in Households with only Children

2) Because people have multiple stays in shelter over the course of a year and stay in different household configurations, a single person can be counted in more than one household type. Therefore, the sum of the number of people by household type may be greater than the unique count of people.

3) Total Sheltered count only includes those served in HMIS participating projects reported by your CoC.

4) For CoCs that experienced mergers during any of these reporting periods, historical data will include only the original CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH	12,712	70.5	26.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH	13,929	84.8	33.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	13,319	430.3	138.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	14,700	454.1	155.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

Metric	Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
	Count	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns ⁴	Count	% of Returns ⁶	Count	% of Returns ⁸
Exit was from SO	511	77	15.1%	25	4.9%	28	5.5%	130	25.4%
Exit was from ES	1,292	269	20.8%	93	7.2%	87	6.7%	449	34.8%
Exit was from TH	672	126	18.8%	43	6.4%	54	8.0%	223	33.2%
Exit was from SH	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Exit was from PH	1,289	88	6.8%	82	6.4%	104	8.1%	274	21.3%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	3,764	560	14.9%	243	6.5%	273	7.3%	1,076	28.6%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

Metric	Value
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	14,636
Emergency Shelter Total	13,459
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	1,861

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	402
Number of adults with increased earned income	33
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	8.2%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	402
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	89
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	22.1%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	402
Number of adults with increased total income	119
Percentage of adults who increased total income	29.6%

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	758
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	131
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	17.3%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	758
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	95
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	12.5%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	758
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	222
Percentage of adults who increased total income	29.3%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period.	12,819
Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	3,490
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	9,329

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	14,617
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	4,250
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	10,367

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	8,755
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	453
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	1,083
% Successful exits	17.5%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	12,476
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	2,733
% Successful exits	21.9%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project	807
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	744
% Successful exits/retention	92.2%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

Metric	All ES, SH	All TH	All PSH, OPH	All RRH	All Street Outreach
Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	13,469	1,861	1,137	4,112	13,969
Total Leavers (HMIS)	11,230	1,349	399	1,939	8,062
Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	5,515	89	2	32	6,315
Destination Error Rate (Calculated)	49.1%	6.6%	0.5%	1.7%	78.3%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Notes

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Notes For Each SPM Measure

Note: Cells may need to be resized to accomodate notes with lots of text.

Measure	Notes
Measure 1	No notes.
Measure 2	No notes.
Measure 3	No notes.
Measure 4	No notes.
Measure 5	No notes.
Measure 6	No Notes. Measure 6 was not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.
Measure 7	No notes.
Data Quality	No notes.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster*	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds
ES	3,482	3,244	3,244	0	3,244	100.0%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	703	703	703	0	703	100.0%
RRH	1,872	1,822	1,822	0	1,822	100.0%
PSH	2,142	2,142	2,142	0	2,142	100.0%
OPH	628	628	628	0	628	100.0%
Total	8,827	8,539	8,539	0	8,539	100.0%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark Cour

For HIC conducted in January/I

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster**	Adjusted Total Year-Round Current, VSP Beds	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds
ES	3,482	238	238	0	238	100.00%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	703	0	0	0	0	NA
RRH	1,872	50	50	0	50	100.00%
PSH	2,142	0	0	0	0	NA
OPH	628	0	0	0	0	NA
Total	8,827	288	288	0	288	100.00%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark Cour

For HIC conducted in January/I

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES	3,482	3,482	3,482	100.00%
SH	0	0	0	NA
TH	703	703	703	100.00%
RRH	1,872	1,872	1,872	100.00%
PSH	2,142	2,142	2,142	100.00%
OPH	628	628	628	100.00%
Total	8,827	8,827	8,827	100.00%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

Rapid Re-housing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

Metric	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
RRH beds available to serve all pops. on the HIC	1,006	953	1,382	1,683	1,872

1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher

2) *This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

3) **This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

4) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.

5) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").

6) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").

7) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

Submission Information

Date of PIT Count	Received HUD Waiver
1/24/2024	Not Applicable

Total Population PIT Count Data

Category	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and partial unsheltered count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Emergency Shelter Total	1,670	1,273	1,779	2,229	2,104	3,163
Safe Haven Total	19	7	0	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	524	542	510	549	550	541
Total Sheltered Count	2,213	1,822	2,289	2,778	2,654	3,704
Total Unsheltered Count	3,317	3,461	2,794	2,867	3,912	4,202
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count*	5,530	5,283	5,083	5,645	6,566	7,906

1) *Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 1.0 and 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count type was either sheltered only or partial unsheltered count.

2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

3) In 2021, for CoCs that conducted a "Sheltered and partial unsheltered count", only aggregate and not demographic data were collected.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

NV-500 - Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
FirstMed Health & Wellness & Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority memorandum of understanding <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Stability Vouchers Program Partnership	2-13



**Memorandum of Understanding
Between Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority,
Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care,
and FirstMed Health and Wellness**

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) has been created and entered into on June xx, 2023 between the following parties under the requirements of Notice PIH 2022-24 (HA). This MOU sets forth the terms and conditions between the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (hereinafter “SNRHA”), Southern Nevada Homeless Continuum of Care (hereinafter “SNH CoC”), and FirstMed Health & Wellness (FMHW) in the performance of the Stability Vouchers (SVs) received pursuant to the SNRHA’s contract with the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The program is anticipated to commence on July 1, 2023. SNRHA, SNH CoC, and FMHW are jointly referenced further as “the Parties.”

This MOU shall remain in force for one (1) year and shall automatically renew unless either party gives 30-days’ notice of intent to terminate this MOU.

The Stability Vouchers Program (SVs) partners health and housing agencies to implement a coordinated approach to ending homelessness, including unsheltered homelessness. The population identified for prioritization of these vouchers through referrals from the SNH CoC Coordinated Entry System include households who are homeless, at-risk of homelessness, those fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, or human trafficking, and veterans and families that include a veteran family member that meet one of the proceeding criteria. The SNH CoC also prioritizes households that meet the above-mentioned criteria and also present with a medical condition that puts them at higher risk for infection or poor health if they remained unsheltered. These households are often not suitable for congregate emergency shelter due tot their medical fragility, condition, or special needs.

This MOU authorizes the Parties to provide the administrative services necessary to implement policies and procedures that will effectively assist individuals and families into transitioning from their present situation and who meet the eligibility criteria to be provided with a SV that will support the goal of permanent housing.

I. Introduction and Goals

The Parties to this MOU jointly commit to administering the SV Program in accordance with all HUD program requirements including any Federal Regulations and Public and Indian Housing

(PIH) Notices. SNRHA has received 92 Stability Vouchers. This MOU applies to that number plus any additional SVs that SNRHA may receive after the initial execution of this agreement.

The Parties to the MOU have determined the standard of success for the program.

- a) The Parties understand that SVs recapture and redistribution are permitted in this program and that the Parties will work with the appropriate number of households at all times for the program to remain at more than 90% utilization once all vouchers have been leased up.

II. Populations Eligible for SVs assistance to be referred by the SNH CoC

1. Utilizing the SVs prioritization preference, as determined by the SNH CoC Coordinated Entry Working Group with guidance from PIH 2022-24 (HA), the following individuals and families are eligible for SVs assistance through this program: those who are experiencing homelessness; at risk of experiencing homelessness; fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or human trafficking; or veterans and families that include a veteran family member that meet one of the preceding criteria.
2. Prioritization also includes consideration for households meeting the preceding criteria where at least one member of the household had medical needs that put them at higher risk for infections and who are not suitable for congregate sheltering.
3. No changes will be made to the populations eligible for SV assistance without consideration and input from the respective parties. The SNH CoC Coordinated Entry Working Group will keep all Parties apprised of any changes to the SVs prioritization preference.

III. FMHW Roles and Responsibilities

1. Support individuals and families in completing applications and obtaining necessary supporting documentation to support referrals and applications for assistance, while aiding households in addressing barriers.
2. Support SNRHA in ensuring appointment notifications are received by eligible households and will assist eligible households in getting to meetings with SNRHA.
3. Provide housing search assistance for eligible households.
4. Provide counseling on compliance with rental lease requirements.
5. Assess and refer individuals and families to benefits and supportive services that will aid in housing stability, where applicable.
6. Ensure services are culturally relevant and tailored to individual needs, language needs are accommodated, preferences are taken into account, and housing choice is prioritized when developing a participant's housing and services plan.
7. Share data with SNRHA and SNH CoC for ongoing quality improvement purposes related to the SV program, policies, procedures and utilization rates including disaggregate data

related to race, ethnicity, disabling conditions, age, household types, and other requested data.

8. Designate and maintain a lead SV liaison to communication with SNH CoC and SNRHA.
9. Comply with the provisions of this MOU

IV. SNRHA Roles and Responsibilities

1. Develop and determine service fees (if available) and assistance to be offered to participants under the SV program.
2. Accept direct referrals for eligible individuals and families through the SNH CoC Coordinated Entry System.
3. Ensure applications and voucher issuance processes are completed in a timely manner.
4. Provide an admissions process to ensure that direct referrals from the SNH CoC are eligible for an SV.
5. Provide all requirements to the SNH CoC Liaison for ensuring SVs are issued or re-issued only to eligible clients as outlined in the grant notice. These requirements will be memorialized in SV Policy and Procedures Manual.
6. Share data with SNH CoC and FMHW for ongoing quality improvement purposes related to the SV program, policies, procedures and utilization rates including disaggregate data related to race, ethnicity, disabling conditions, age, household types, and other requested data.
7. Ensure that FMHW is provided information on any client status and issues to ensure program enrollment is completed in a timely manner.
8. Designate and maintain a lead SV liaison to communicate with SNH CoC and FMHW.
9. Comply with the provisions of this MOU.

V. SNH CoC Roles and Responsibilities:

1. Carry out the responsibilities required under HUD's CoC program including maintaining composition of representatives of organizations including and not limited to nonprofit homeless providers, victim service providers, advocates, public housing agencies, social service providers, affordable housing developers, law enforcement, organizations that serve homeless and formerly homeless veterans, and homeless and formerly homeless persons to the extent these groups are represented within the geographic areas and are available to participate.
2. Establish a prioritization list for individuals on the Coordinated Entry Community Queue who will be referred for SVs.
3. Make referrals to SNRHA SV Program via the Coordinated Entry System; verify and submit homeless certification forms and other required documents as established by SNRHA and defined in the SV Policy and Procedure Manual.
4. Ensure FMHW support eligible individuals and households in completing documentation and applying for supportive services to accompany admissions application to SNRHA including:

- a) Provide tenancy support services to clients including navigation through the housing process and attendance at SV participant briefings when needed throughout the SV program.
 - b) Assess all households referred for SV for mainstream benefits and supportive services available to support eligible individuals and families through their transition.
 - c) Support clients with the attainment of financial support related to security deposits, application fees and utility deposits.
 - d) Provide referrals and guidance for affordable and accessible transportation including moving of items into housing units.
 - e) Provide basic independent living skills and training to maintain independent living status.
 - f) Provide regular follow-up to ensure housing stability.
5. Conduct outreach to landlords and property owners to increase available inventory for SV.
 6. Share data with SNRHA and FMHW for ongoing quality improvement purposes related to the SV program, policies, procedures and utilization rates including disaggregate data related to race, ethnicity, disabling conditions, age, household types, and other requested data.
 7. Designate and maintain a lead SV liaison to communicate with SNRHA and FMHW.
 8. Comply with the provisions of this MOU.

VI. Program Evaluation

The Parties to this MOU agree to cooperate with HUD, provide requested data to HUD or HUD-approved contractor delegated the responsibility of program evaluation protocols established by HUD or HUD-approved contractor, including possible random assignment procedures.

Parties will share data on a regular basis for ongoing quality improvement purposes related to the SV program, policies, procedures, utilization rates, and equity principles. Shared data includes and is not limited to disaggregate data related to race, ethnicity, disabling conditions, age, household types, and other requested data.

VII. Attachments

The following attachments are included as part of the MOU to aid in collaboration and understanding of program expectations. Changes to the Attachments to this MOU may be accepted upon agreement by the Parties and do not require an amended MOU nor additional signatures. All applicable changes should be reflected in the SV Program Policy and Procedures.

Attachment A: Lead SV Liaisons

Attachment B: SV Service Fees and Assistance to Participants

Attachment C: SV Policy and Procedures Manual

Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA), the Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care (SNH CoC), and First Med Health & Wellness (FMHW) understand the

requirements of this program and agree to work in coordination and collaboration towards making the Stability Vouchers program successful.

Signed by:



Lewis Jordan
Executive Director, SNRHA

07/03/2023

Date

Alicia M. Jones Digitally signed by Alicia M. Jones
DN: cn=Alicia M. Jones, o=Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority,
ou, email=ajones@snvrha.org, c=US
Date: 2023.07.03 12:41:17 -0700

Alicia Jones
Housing Programs Director, SNRHA

07/03/2023

Date



Angela Quinn
Executive Director, FMHW

6.23.23

Date

Margaret E. Pike
Margaret E. Pike (Jun 23, 2023 15:52 PDT)

Meg Pike

Jun 23, 2023

Date



Shalimar Cabrera
Co-Lead, SNH CoC

06/30/2023

Date

Attachment A: Lead SV Liaisons have been identified as:

1. Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority:

SV Liaison: *Alicia Jones*

Position: *Housing Programs Director*

Phone: *(702) 477-3415*

Email: *AJones@SNVRHA.org*

Responsibilities include, and are not limited to, coordination of SV to referred individuals and households and ensuring program is implemented with fidelity.

2. Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care:

SV Liaison: *Brenda Herbstman*

Position: *Senior Grants Coordinator*

Phone: *(702) 218-3402*

Email: *HerbstmB@ClarkCountyNV.gov*

Responsibilities include, and are not limited to, coordination with the SNH CoC Coordinated Entry System referral system to ensure referrals are made with fidelity to the program expectations.

3. FirstMed Health & Wellness

SV Liaison: *Teneisha Parker-Abrams*

Position: *Director of HUD Programs*

Phone: *(702) 731-0909*

Email: *TParker@FMHWC.org*

Responsibilities include, and are not limited to, coordination of services for referred households including support with applying for and leasing up Stability Vouchers and ensuring program is implemented with fidelity.

SV Liaisons will attend SNH CoC and other applicable meetings to provide status updates on applications, vouchers issued, and leased up data.

Should a change to the Lead SV Liaison occur, the other parties will be notified within ten business days.

Attachment B: SV Service Fees and Assistance to Participants

HUD has outlined the following fee structure for the SVs Program. The following services will be provided to program participants, as needed, from these funds. The amounts identified below are based on the initial award of 92 SVs.

Fee Type	Description	Uses of Funds
Preliminary Fee	Single, one-time fee to support immediate start-up SV implementation costs	<i>Outreach, Coordination, or any eligible activity under Service Fees</i>
On-going Administrative Fee	Any eligible admin expenses related to SV	<i>Admin and any eligible activity under Service Fees</i>
Placement / Expedited Issuance Reporting Fee	Support for initial costs related to expediting the SV program	<i>To support initial fees of implementing the process quickly; This supports the PHA costs of expediting SV processes</i>

STABILITY VOUCHER GUIDELINES AND FAQs

Stability Vouchers were created to address the unsheltered homeless population. These vouchers have been prioritized by the Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care for unsheltered individuals ready to exit congregate and non-congregate shelters who have medical conditions including substance use and/or mental health issues. While Stability Vouchers are a type of housing choice voucher, there may be certain allowances for these clients that are not permitted in other housing programs.



The Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority has been awarded 92 Stability Vouchers. FirstMed Health & Wellness has been awarded CoC funds for housing navigation and supportive services for these clients. FirstMed funds will also cover all housing applications, deposits, and moving expenses.



How do clients qualify for a voucher?

Clients are prioritized for Stability Vouchers through the Coordinated Entry system. All clients will have a medical condition and be referred first to FirstMed who will begin the stabilization process. Using a housing first model, they will provide housing support while they work with the client to secure a housing unit under the Stability Voucher.

Clients must pass a criminal background check and meet program eligibility requirements and comply with SNRHA processing requirements

What are the income limits for voucher participants?

Median Income	\$ 81,700	INCOME LIMITS FOR HCV AND PH PROGRAMS																
FAMILY SIZE	Effective	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
LOW (PH)	80%	4/18/2022	45,850	52,400	58,950	65,450	70,700	75,950	81,200	86,400	91,650	96,900	102,150	107,350	112,600	117,850	123,050	128,300
VERY LOW	50%	4/18/2022	28,650	32,750	36,850	40,900	44,200	47,450	50,750	54,000	57,300	60,550	63,850	67,100	70,350	73,650	76,900	80,200
EXTREMELY LOW	30%	4/18/2022	17,200	19,650	23,030	27,750	32,470	37,190	41,910	46,630	51,350	56,070	60,790	65,510	70,230	73,650	76,900	80,200

What are the unit sizes / occupancy standards?

1-2 person	1 Bedroom Voucher
3-4 person	2 Bedroom Voucher
5-6 person	3 Bedroom Voucher
Etc.	2 persons per Bedroom

What is the amount of rent covered (payment standards)? Updated 4/1/2023

The new payment standards are EFFECTIVE April 1, 2023

	Efficiency	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	5 BR	6 BR	7 BR	8 BR
FMR	\$1,046	\$1,212	\$1,457	\$2,071	\$2,464	\$2,834	\$3,203	\$3,573	\$3,942
Payment Standard	\$1,255	\$1,454	\$1,748	\$2,485	\$2,957	\$3,401	\$3,844	\$4,288	\$4,730

Person Responsible	Task	Details
FM Case Manager	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Accept referral from Coordinated Entry system and determine suitability for a Stability Voucher. 2. For clients who meet the CoC prioritization list for a stability voucher, upload the Stability Voucher Referral to HMIS along with the client's photo ID. 3. Submit the smartsheet link information. 	<p>If the client may be served via a different housing option (such as shared housing or a group home), work with that client to secure the most suitable housing option.</p>
Automated process	<p>When the smartsheet link is submitted, the system will notify SNRHA that a referral has been submitted.</p>	<p>Clarissa Gutierrez Duwanda Campbell Flora Valles-Morgado La'China Caruth</p> <hr/> <p>SV Referral</p> <p>From: Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care To: Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority Subject: Stability Voucher Referral The SNH CoC has referred Client {{Client UI from HMIS*}} for the SV program. The client's referral and photo ID have been uploaded to HMIS. SNH CoC Coordinated Entry staff have verified the client's eligibility for this program. The client meets the eligibility criteria referenced in the SV Policies and Procedures and further</p> <p>Includes sheet links, 1 field</p>
SNRHA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Schedule client for eligibility briefing. 5. Upload the confirmation letter to HMIS for each client. 6. When an appointment has been scheduled, SNRHA team member will enter the date of the appointment into the "Eligibility Briefing Date" which will trigger an automated response that directs the case manager to check for the letter in HMIS and support their 	<p>Briefings occur at SNRHA Board Room – 5390 E Flamingo Rd, LV 89122.</p>

	client in attending the meeting.	
Automated Process	Send confirmation of scheduled briefing to case manager via automated process.	<p>Case Manager Email</p> <hr/> <p>✉ Eligibility Appointment Scheduled - SV</p> <p>Greetings! Your client, {{Client UI from HMIS*}} has been scheduled for an eligibility intake appointment on {{Eligibility Briefing Date}} at SNRHA offices. A copy of the invitation, the application, and the required documents list have been uploaded to the client's files in HMIS. Please review it in order to assist your client in attending this appointment. This is an automated response. If you have specific questions about the SV process, please reach out to Brenda Herbstman.</p>
Case Manager	<p>7. Ensure client attends the briefing</p> <p>8. If the client needs to reschedule the briefing, email Duwanda – dcampbell@SNVRHA.org</p>	<p>Ensure that client has transportation available to attend the session. Support the process and ensure the client is able to verbalize all of the questions they have and that they understand the process.</p> <p>Clients may be fingerprinted at the briefing. ALL ADULTS in the household should be attending the briefing.</p>
SNRHA	<p>9. Reschedule clients who do not attend their assigned briefing session. Confirmation letters and emails will be sent out as stated above in the initial process</p> <p>10. By entering a new date in the “Eligibility Briefing Date” column in smartsheet, a new notification will be sent to the case manager via the automated system.</p>	<p>Please note that clients who are rescheduled and miss the rescheduled appointment will be withdrawn from the program.</p>
SNRHA	<p>11. For clients that have not completed all required documents, upload a copy of the 10-day notice to HMIS. By entering a date in the smartsheet column titled “10 Day Notice Uploaded to HMIS” an automated notification will be sent to</p>	<p>Alternatively, SNRHA may email this notification directly to the case manager listed on the smartsheet.</p>

	<p>the case manager to inform them to pull the document and respond to dcampbell@snvrha.org when all documents have been uploaded.</p> <p>12. For clients that complete eligibility and backgrounds, they will be scheduled for a voucher briefing.</p>	
Automated Process	Notification to case managers that the client has missing documents	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SV -10 day notice to submit remaining documents</p> <p>Greetings! Your client, {{Client UI from HMIS*}} has some required documents to be submitted to SNRHA to complete their application process. A copy of the notice has been uploaded to the client's files in HMIS. Please review and assist your client in submitting all documents either in person or via email to their assigned eligibility worker. If more than 10 days are required, please request an extension from the assigned eligibility worker. This is an automated response. If you</p>
SNRHA Voucher Team	<p>13. When clients have been scheduled for a voucher appointment, SNRHA will either upload the documents to HMIS or utilize support from the Coordinated Entry Matchers to upload and record these appointments.</p> <p>14. When then entry for the voucher briefing is added to smartsheet, and automated response will be generated and sent to the case manager.</p>	<p><i>Please note that voucher briefings occur at 340 North 11th Street.</i></p> <p>Case Manager Email</p> <hr/> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Voucher briefing scheduled - SV</p> <p>Greetings! Your client, {{Client UI from HMIS*}} has been scheduled for a voucher briefing on {{Voucher Briefing Appointment}} at 340 North 11th Street. Please support your client in attending this appointment and consider attending with them to ensure they understand how the voucher process works. This is an automated response. If you have specific questions about the SV process, please reach out to Brenda Herbstman.</p>
SNRHA Voucher Team	15. Provide leasing packet to client	The leasing packet includes a coversheet / checklist to ensure all documents are provided when the packet is returned along with the Request for Tenancy Approval. Landlord information is included in this step.

Case Manager	16. Assist the client with the completion of leasing up	The case manager may need to assist the client with gathering any final required documentation items, talking to landlords, and other coordination.
SNRHA Team	17. If the client is withdrawn, enter the date in smartsheet to trigger the final automated process.	<hr/> <p>✉ SV Client Withdrawn</p> <p>Greetings! Your client, {{Client UI from HMIS*}} has been withdrawn from the SV program. Some denials may be appealed. Please review the denial letter that has been uploaded to HMIS and sent to your client. This is an automated response. If you have specific questions about the SV process, please reach out to Brenda Herbstman.</p>

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
HELP of Southern Nevada Healthcare Leverage Letters: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Anthem• Health Plan of Nevada• Silver Summit	2-4



October 21st, 2024

RE: HEALTHY LIVING

To Whom It May Concern:

Anthem Blue Cross and Blue Shield Healthcare Solutions (Anthem, Inc.) is a Medicaid Managed Care Organization (MCO) in the State of Nevada and seeks to provide a safety net of medical services for the growing community of Southern Nevada. The Healthy Living Project seeks to house medically fragile individuals and families experiencing chronic homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and wrapping them in intensive case management, medical case management and supportive services to assist them with becoming self-sufficient.

By October 1, 2025 Anthem will offer Housing Sustainment services as a covered Housing Supports and Services through Nevada Medicaid. The estimated value of these services as match is estimated to be up to \$240,000 for 25 members between October 1, 2025-September 30th, 2026.

For: Specialized Case Management, Housing Transition Services, Housing Sustainment Services, and Deposits for 25 members directly linked to the Healthy Living Project.

Eligibility for the project will comply with HUD program and fair housing requirements and will not be restricted by Anthem eligibility requirements.

If you have any questions or require further information, please contact me at Emily.paulsen@anthem.com or 702-379-5846.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Emily Paulsen". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "E" and "P".

Emily Paulsen
Housing Program Manager
Anthem Blue Cross and Blue Shield
NV Medicaid



October 10, 2024

Executive Board of Trustees

Hank Van Son
Terrible Herbst / JETT Gaming Chairperson

Vesela Zehirev
MGM Resorts International Vice-Chairperson

Jerrie Merritt
Bank of Nevada Treasurer

Chelsea Lankowsky
Lankowsky Management LLC Secretary

Fuilala Riley
HELP of Southern Nevada President/CEO

Mr. Brian Eggers
US Department of Housing and Urban Development
Community Planning and Development Division
San Francisco Regional Office
One Sansome Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94104-4430

RE: Match Confirmation for Healthy Living- Health Plan of Nevada (HPN) (New project)

HELP of Southern Nevada will provide cash match for this project through support from HPN.

- | | |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Amount of Match funds to be provided: | \$125,226 |
| 2. Date cash will be available: | September 30, 2026 |
| 3. Fiscal year: | 10/1/2025 – 9/30/2026 |
| 4. Time period of grant funding: | 10/1/2025 – 9/30/2026 |
| 5. Allowable activities to be funded: | Supportive Services |

If you have any questions or require further information, please contact me at 702-369-4357 extension 1238 or by email at friley@helpsonv.org.

Sincerely,

Fuilala Riley
President/CEO



October 10, 2024

Executive Board of Trustees

Hank Van Son
Terrible Herbst / JETT Gaming Chairperson

Vesela Zehirev
MGM Resorts International Vice-Chairperson

Jerrie Merritt
Bank of Nevada Treasurer

Chelsea Lankowsky
Lankowsky Management LLC Secretary

Fuilala Riley
HELP of Southern Nevada President/CEO

Mr. Brian Eggers
US Department of Housing and Urban Development
Community Planning and Development Division
San Francisco Regional Office
One Sansome Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94104-4430

RE: Match Confirmation for Healthy Living- Silver Summit (New project)

HELP of Southern Nevada will provide cash match for this project through support from HPN.

- | | |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Amount of Match funds to be provided: | \$59,359.00 |
| 2. Date cash will be available: | September 30, 2026 |
| 3. Fiscal year: | 10/1/2025 – 9/30/2026 |
| 4. Time period of grant funding: | 10/1/2025 – 9/30/2026 |
| 5. Allowable activities to be funded: | Supportive Services |

If you have any questions or require further information, please contact me at 702-369-4357 extension 1238 or by email at friley@helpsonv.org.

Sincerely,

Fuilala Riley
President/CEO

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:

- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHDP Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.
- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all CoC project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- YHDP Renewal Project Listing (All Rounds); and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Clark County Social Service

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2025 into one or more new projects? Yes

Alert:

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- CoCs may reallocate YHDPs project from any Round to create new YHDP projects, so long as the project eliminated or reduced has renewed during the CoC Program Competition at least once.

Reallocated YHDP funding can ONLY be used to create new YHDP projects.

- If a CoC reallocates funding from a renewal project that was previously awarded DV Bonus funding, any new project created with such funding must be 100 percent dedicated to serving individuals and families of persons experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking who qualify under the definition of homeless at 24 CFR 578.3 or section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC, DV Renewal or YHDP renewal project funds to create new project application(s) – as detailed in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible projects.

CoCs that are eliminating eligible CoC, YHDP and DV Renewal projects must identify the funding source for those projects on this form.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated CoC Renewal Projects)				
Amount Available for New YHDP Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated YHDP Restricted Projects)				
Amount Available for New DV Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated DV Restricted Projects)				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount
This list contains no items				

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal and YHDP Renewal project funds to create new project applications – as detailed in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs reducing eligible renewal projects must identify the funding source for those projects on this form.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced CoC Projects)							
\$661,435							
Amount available for New YHDP Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced YHDP Projects)							
\$0							
Amount available for New DV Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced DV Projects)							
\$0							
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for YHDP Project	Amount available for DV Project	Amount available for New Project
STAR PSH	NV0113L9T00 2306	CoC Renewal	\$1,832,768	\$1,171,333	\$0	\$0	\$661,435

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2024 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2024 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

4-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2024 reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding source entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: STAR PSH
Grant Number of Reduced Project: NV0113L9T002306
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$1,832,768
Amount Retained for Project: \$1,171,333
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New Project(s): \$661,435
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

4-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC Scoring and Ranking Team reviewed project performance reports and concluded that the project has been historically underperforming and underspending. The project was notified of the reduction on October 2, 2024. On October 9, 2024, HUD notified NV-500 of an updated Annual Renewal Amount (ARA) to a project which was after the local competition. This led to insufficient funding in Tier 1 of about \$8,105. STAR PSH volunteered to take a cut in funding to avoid straddling projects between Tiers 1 and 2.

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD’s website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC’s Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	PH/Reallocation	Rank	PSH/RRH	Expansion
Keeping the Promi...	2024-10-17 18:49:...	PH	Family Promise of...	\$494,692	1 Year	CoC Bonus	28	RRH	
Permanent Support...	2024-10-20 23:29:...	PH	FirstMed Health a...	\$500,000	1 Year	CoC Bonus	26	PSH	
Healthy Living - HPN	2024-10-20 19:35:...	PH	HELP of Southern ...	\$500,898	1 Year	Reallocation	18	PSH	

Healthy Living - ...	2024-10-20 19:44:...	PH	HELP of Southern ...	\$237,435	1 Year	Reallocati on	16	PSH	
Rapid Rehousing D...	2024-10-20 23:29:...	PH	FirstMed Health a...	\$1,680,800	1 Year	DV Bonus	D27	RRH	
Healthy Living - ...	2024-10-20 19:29:...	PH	HELP of Southern ...	\$341,549	1 Year	Reallocati on	19	PSH	
2024 SNVCoC RRH	2024-10-20 23:28:...	PH	FirstMed Health a...	\$1,300,000	1 Year	CoC Bonus	29	RRH	
CPLC - Nevada RRH...	2024-10-21 13:20:...	PH	CPLC - Nevada Inc.	\$299,250	1 Year	CoC Bonus	30	RRH	
Hope For Survivor..	2024-10-21 18:03:...	PH	Safe Nest: Tempor...	\$675,607	1 Year	DV Bonus	D15	RRH	
Healthy Living - ...	2024-10-23 11:24:...	PH	HELP of Southern ...	\$462,874	1 Year	Reallocati on	17	PSH	
Next Step Housing	2024-10-23 13:23:...	PH	Next Step	\$444,056	1 Year	CoC Bonus	31	RRH	

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	X
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	X
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.	

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type	Consolidation Type	Expansion Type
NPHY Independent ...	2024-10-15 17:19:...	1 Year	Nevada Partners hi...	\$226,210	4		TH		
STAR RRH	2024-10-17 16:19:...	1 Year	Las Vegas/Clark C...	\$1,834,519	9	RRH	PH		
New Beginnings	2024-10-17 16:20:...	1 Year	Las Vegas/Clark C...	\$1,766,692	25	PSH	PH		
VIP 2019	2024-10-17 17:24:...	1 Year	United States Vet...	\$122,854	21		TH		
PH 2019	2024-10-17 17:25:...	1 Year	United States Vet...	\$1,751,885	3	PSH	PH		
HMIS Southern Nev...	2024-10-17 18:52:...	1 Year	Las Vegas/Clark C...	\$980,154	1		HMIS		
Stepping Stones	2024-10-17 19:14:...	1 Year	Southern Nevada M...	\$69,419	20	PSH	PH		
Housing the Homeless	2024-10-18 16:37:...	1 Year	The Salvation Arm...	\$400,146	6	RRH	PH		
HomeLink Consolid...	2024-10-17 21:22:...	1 Year	HopeLink of South...	\$1,362,909	11	RRH	PH		
Shannon West Home...	2024-10-21 14:41:...	1 Year	HELP of Southern ...	\$210,282	22		TH		
Operation Fresh S...	2024-10-21 18:04:...	1 Year	Safe Nest: Tempor...	\$370,068	5	RRH	PH		
Housing the Homel...	2024-10-21 18:40:...	1 Year	The Salvation Arm...	\$412,546	24	RRH	PH		

HTH Combine d 2	2024-10- 22 17:25:...	1 Year	HELP of Southern ...	\$1,507,6 96	13	PSH	PH		
A New Start	2024-10- 22 17:24:...	1 Year	HELP of Southern ...	\$780,905	14	PSH	PH		
SN CE Matching	2024-10- 22 17:25:...	1 Year	HELP of Southern ...	\$202,502	2		SSO		
Commun ity Connect. ..	2024-10- 22 17:58:...	1 Year	The Just One Project	\$681,936	23	RRH	PH		
A Place Called Home	2024-10- 22 18:57:...	1 Year	St. Jude's Ranch ...	\$464,826	8	RRH	PH		
Crossing s TH- RRH	2024-10- 22 18:58:...	1 Year	St. Jude's Ranch ...	\$547,833	7		Joint TH & PH- RRH		
New Crossing s	2024-10- 22 19:00:...	1 Year	St. Jude's Ranch ...	\$418,271	12	RRH	PH		
STAR PSH	2024-10- 23 15:58:...	1 Year	Las Vegas/Cl ark C...	\$1,171,3 30	10	PSH	PH		

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
CoC Planning Proj...	2024-10-14 12:42:...	1 Year	Las Vegas/Clark C...	\$990,235	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. .

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications must not be ranked.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
This list contains no items								

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project and YHDP Reallocation project applications, submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the projects simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 NOFO, YHDP Renewal, YHDP Reallocation and YHDP Replacement applications must not be ranked.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Funding Type	Accepted?
This list contains no items							

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked or rejected new and renewal project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
CoC Renewal Amount	\$15,282,983
New CoC Bonus and CoC Reallocation Amount	\$4,580,754
New DV Bonus Amount	\$2,356,407
New DV Reallocation Amount	\$0
CoC Planning Amount	\$990,235
YHDP Renewal and Replacement Amount	\$0
YHDP Reallocation Amount	\$0
Rejected Amount	\$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$23,210,379

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	Certification of ...	10/21/2024
Other	No		
Other	No		
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of CoC Priority Listings AND the CoC Application.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC and YHDP Program NOFO, for FY 2024 funding, CoCs must submit the FY 2024 - 2025 CoC Application and the FY 2024 Priority Listing by the FY 2024 Application Submission Deadline.

WARNING: The FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of CoC Priority Listings AND the CoC Application.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC and YHDP Program NOFO, for FY 2024 funding, CoCs must submit the FY 2024 - 2025 CoC Application and the FY 2024 Priority Listing by the FY 2024 Application Submission Deadline.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	10/03/2024
2. Reallocation	10/14/2024
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	No Input Required
4. Grant(s) Reduced	10/23/2024
5A. CoC New Project Listing	10/23/2024

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 19	10/24/2024
------------------------------	---------	------------

5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	10/23/2024
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	10/17/2024
5E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	No Input Required
5F. YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing	No Input Required
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	10/21/2024
Submission Summary	No Input Required

NV-500 – Southern Nevada

FY 2024 CoC Consolidated Application Attachment

Certifications of Consistency with Con Plan

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Page	1
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• City of Henderson Certification including<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Name of Jurisdiction○ Signature○ Date	2
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• City of Las Vegas Certification including<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Name of Jurisdiction○ Signature○ Date	3
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• City of North Las Vegas Certification including<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Name of Jurisdiction○ Signature○ Date	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Unincorporated Clark County Certification including<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Name of Jurisdiction○ Signature○ Date	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• NV-500 Priority Listing	6

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Please see attached

Project Name: Please see attached

Location of the Project: Las Vegas/Clark County Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Henderson

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Lisa M. Corrado

Title: Assistant City Manager

Signature: Lisa M. Corrado

Date: 10/14/24

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Please see attached

Project Name: Please see attached

Location of the Project: Las Vegas/Clark County Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Las Vegas

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Arcelia Baraja

Title: Neighborhood Services Director

Signature: 

Date: 10-14-2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Please see attached

Project Name: Please see attached

Location of the Project: Las Vegas/Clark County Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of North Las Vegas

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Sally Moore

Title: Assistant City Manager

Signature: Sally Moore

Date: 10-14-24

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Please see attached

Project Name: Please see attached

Location of the Project: Las Vegas/Clark County Continuum of Care

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: Unincorporated Clark County

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Abigail Frierson

Title: Deputy County Manager, Clark County, NV

Signature: 

Date: Oct 15, 2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**SOUTHERN NEVADA HOMELESSNESS CONTINUUM OF CARE
2024 PRIORITY LISTING
WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 14, 2024**

	Applicant Organization	Project Name	Score	Status	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds
1	CCSS	HMIS	N/A	Accepted	1	\$980,154	\$0
2	HELP of SN	CE Matching	N/A	Accepted	2	\$202,502	\$0
3	United States Veterans Initiative	PSH 2019	93.33	Accepted	3	\$1,751,885	\$0
4	Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth	NPHY Independent Living Program	91.67	Accepted	4	\$226,210	\$0
5	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis, Inc.	Operation Fresh Start	87.60	Accepted	5	\$370,068	\$0
6	The Salvation Army, A California Corporation	Housing The Homeless	86.80	Accepted	6	\$400,146	\$0
7	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	Crossings TH-RRH	86.50	Accepted	7	\$547,833	\$0
8	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	A Place Called Home	86.25	Accepted	8	\$464,826	\$0
9	Clark County	STAR RRH	85.67	Accepted	9	\$1,834,519	\$0
10	Clark County	STAR PSH	85.17	Reduced Reallocated	10	\$1,179,438	(\$653,330)
11	HopeLink of Southern Nevada	HomeLink RRH Consolidation 2022	83.80	Accepted	11	\$1,362,909	\$0
12	St. Jude's Ranch for Children	New Crossings	83.75	Accepted	12	\$418,271	\$0
13	HELP of Southern Nevada	HELP THEM HOME Combined	81.20	Accepted	13	\$1,507,696	\$0
14	HELP of Southern Nevada	A New Start	73.40	Accepted	14	\$780,905	\$0
15	Safe Nest: Temporary Assistance for Domestic Crisis, Inc.	Hope for Survivors DV Bonus	75.30	Accepted	15	\$675,607	\$0
16	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Silver Summit	73.40	Accepted	16	\$237,117	\$0
17	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Anthem	73.10	Accepted	17	\$553,273	\$0
18	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Health Plan of Nevada	72.70	Accepted	18	\$474,234	\$0
19	HELP of Southern Nevada	Healthy Living - Fee for Service	72.50	Accepted	19	\$316,156	\$0
20	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services	Stepping Stones	71.80	Accepted	20	\$69,419	\$0
21	United States Veterans Initiative	VIP - 2019	70.60	Accepted	21	\$122,854	\$0
22	HELP of Southern Nevada	Shannon West Homeless Youth Center	65.00	Accepted	22	\$210,282	\$0
23	Just One Project	Community Connect	N/A	Accepted	23	\$600,888	\$0
24	Salvation Army	Housing the Homeless 2	N/A	Accepted	24	\$412,546	\$0
25	Clark County	New Beginnings	79.83	Accepted	25	\$1,766,692	\$0
26	First Med Health and Wellness	Permanent Supportive Housing	64.56	Accepted	26	\$500,000	\$0
27	First Med Health and Wellness	Rapid Rehousing DV Bonus	62.89	Accepted	27	\$1,680,800	\$0
28	Family Promise of Las Vegas	Keeping the Promise RRH	61.40	Accepted	28	\$494,692	\$0
29	First Med Health and Wellness	2024 SNVCoC RRH	60.78	Accepted	29	\$1,300,000	\$0
30	Chicanos Por La Causa (CPLC)	CPLC NV - Rapid Rehousing	58.00	Accepted	30	\$299,250	\$217,379
31	Next Step	Next Step's Housing	53.50	Accepted	31	\$435,951	\$435,951
32	Clark County	Planning Grant		Accepted	Not Ranked	\$990,235	\$0