

**SOUTHERN NEVADA HOMELESSNESS CONTINUUM OF CARE BOARD
MEETING MINUTES
November 26, 2025**

In attendance:

Alyson Martinez, Las Vegas Rescue Mission
Amy Jones, Social Service provider
Angela Ranck, HMIS Lead
Brenda Barnes, CoC Director, Collaborative Applicant
Davion Smith, Youth Action Board
Donica Martinez, Lived X Consultants
Dr. Albert D. Chavez, Campus for Hope
Elizabeth Jarman, Veterans Administration
Hayley Jarolimek, City of Henderson
Jamie Sorenson, Clark County, NV
Jocelyn Bluit-Fisher, City of Las Vegas
Kevin Murray, SilverSummit Health plan
Lauren Boitel, ImpactNV
Martin Castro, Lived X Consultants
Miguel Davila Uzcategui, Community Advocate
PJ Moore, Coordinated Entry Lead Entity
Wilson Ramos, City of North Las Vegas

Absent:

Kim Jefferies, Campus for Hope

Agenda Item 1. Call to Order.

The meeting of the Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care Board was called to order at 3:00 p.m. on Wednesday, November 26th 2025, Via Teams.

Agenda Item 4. 2025 Continuum of Care (CoC) Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Priority Discussion Continued; for possible action.

The meeting focused on reviewing the scoring rubric and tier allocation for the new NOFO, which introduced major shifts in the funding structure. Tier 1's guaranteed funding dropped from 90% to 30%, while Tier 2 became a national competition. Based on current NAEH guidance, projects placed in Tier 2 are unlikely to receive funding. HUD may also reject projects for issues such as negative media attention or activities considered harm reduction. Additionally, Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) is capped at 30% of total funding. Although grants exist to convert PSH to Transitional Housing (TH), these conversions raise challenges because PSH participants are not considered homeless, making them ineligible to enter Transitional Housing without further HUD guidance. The biggest concern discussed was the projected \$12 million loss in PSH renewals due to the 30% Tier 1 cap. Participants examined how many PSH beds could be impacted and whether higher scores could mitigate funding limits, but assessing program-level impacts is difficult because many agencies operate mixed housing models and clients move across programs. Scoring was another major point of debate. The county recommended basing funding primarily on system metrics to align with HUD priorities and save time. Tier allocation options were also considered, leading to two proposals: Option A: Rank all PSH renewals competitively in Tier 1, while exempting HMIS and CE from scoring and placing them at the top of Tier 2 or Option B: Rank PSH competitively and place most in Tier 1, but move about \$1.2 million of PSH into Tier 2 to free space for HMIS in Tier 1. A vote was held, and Option A passed with 10 votes, making a vote on Option B unnecessary.

Agenda Item 6. Adjournment

Meeting was adjourned at 4:00 pm.