

**SOUTHERN NEVADA HOMELESSNESS CONTINUUM OF CARE BOARD
MEETING MINUTES
May 13, 2026**

In attendance:

Dr. Albert D. Chavez, Campus for Hope
Arcelia Barajas, City of Las Vegas
Alyson Martinez, Las Vegas Rescue Mission
Amy Jones, Bridge for Hope LV
Angela Ranck, HMIS Lead
Bailey Washington, Youth Action Board
Brenda Barnes, Clark County, NV
Donica Martinez, Lived X Consultants
Karla Amezcua, Collaborative Applicant
Kevin Murray, Silver Summit Health
Kim Jefferies, Campus for Hope
Lisa Corrado, Vice-chair, City of Henderson
Martin Castro, Lived X Consultants
PJ Moore, Coordinated Entry Lead Entity
Wilson Ramos, City of North Las Vegas

Absent:

Lauren Boitel, ImpactNV
Miguel Davila Uzcategui, Chair, Community Advocate
Elizabeth Jarman, Veterans Administration

Agenda Item 1. Call to Order.

The meeting of the Southern Nevada Homelessness Continuum of Care Board was called to order at 3:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 13th 2026, at the Clark County Government Center.

Agenda Item 2. Approval of the minutes from April 22nd, 2026 SNHCoC Board meeting; for possible action.

A motion was made to approve the minutes. The minutes were approved.

Agenda Item 3. Approval of the Agenda with the inclusion of any emergency items and deletion of any items; for possible action

A motion was made to approve the agenda. The motion was approved.

Agenda Item 4. NOFO Planning Discussion; for possible action.

An overview and discussion on the 2026 Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) was provided by EdeColigny Consulting. The presentation reviewed planning considerations for the 2026 Continuum of Care (CoC) NOFO competition and explored how different project types contribute to Southern Nevada's homelessness response system. The discussion focused on understanding eligible CoC-funded project types, reviewing current system capacity and performance, and evaluating the potential benefits and challenges of prioritizing certain project types in the upcoming local competition. The presentation outlined how CoC funds are currently used for system-level activities such as Coordinated Entry, HMIS operations, and planning, as well as housing and service-focused projects including Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), Transitional Housing (TH), Support Services Only (SSO), and Street Outreach. A significant portion of the presentation focused on comparing the effectiveness and funding distribution of project types. Permanent Housing projects, including PSH and RRH, currently receive the largest share of CoC funding and have demonstrated strong housing outcomes. PSH projects, which primarily serve chronically homeless individuals with long-term disabilities, showed high housing retention and low returns to homelessness. RRH projects, which provide time-limited rental assistance and supportive services, also demonstrated strong permanent housing exit outcomes and increased participant self-sufficiency. Transitional Housing projects were discussed as an interim housing option that HUD may prioritize more heavily in future funding competitions, though local performance data showed lower permanent housing exit rates and higher returns to homelessness compared to PSH and RRH. The presentation also reviewed Support Services Only programs, including case management and street outreach efforts. These programs play a critical role in engaging individuals, experiencing homelessness, providing housing navigation, and connecting unsheltered individuals to care and indoor placements. HUD has indicated interest in increasing funding for SSO projects in the 2026 NOFO competition. Data presented showed that while outreach and supportive service programs serve a high volume of people, permanent housing outcomes remain more limited, highlighting ongoing challenges in moving individuals from homelessness into stable

housing. Overall, the discussion emphasized balancing HUD priorities, local system performance data, and community needs when determining future funding priorities for the Southern Nevada CoC. The Board will continue this discussion at future meetings.

Martin Castro, Board Member, Lived X Team asked, *“Is there a possibility that Emergency shelter could be paid through Street Outreach?”*

Kate Bristol, EdeColigny Consulting, responded *“You can pay using something called Support Services Only grants, CLC grants to pay for services provided to people staying in shelter. But you cannot pay for a shelter program.”*

Arcelia Barajas, Board Member, City of Las Vegas, asked *“Is long term 30 days? Do we track that number at 60, 90 a year? Like what are we looking at when we say 77%?”*

Kate Bristol responded *“So this is one of the difficulties of really assessing rapid rehousing. This chart tells you that at the time the subsidy ended, 77% of people were housed when they were exited from the program. Now, what can happen is then a couple of months later, they lose their housing, which is not captured. It might be captured by the provider if the provider is following up with people to find out if they stayed housed, but it's not part of the HMIS report. What you can track in HMIS is whether anyone who exited returned back to an emergency shelter or was seen by an outreach team as an unsheltered person, and that's called returns to homelessness. We don't have that data at the project level. You can run it at the system level. So, we can look at all the rapid rehousing programs and figure them out.”*

Arcelia Barajas asked, *“to request additional metrics like can you collect data at the 60-day mark or 90-day mark?”*

Brenda Barnes, Board Member, Clark County Social Services responded *“I think that's a bigger discussion. As a COC, we could require certain project types to all submit the same type of data in the future to track this within the COC to see how that's working in our community. And then because it's COC data, we could do system-wide data reports on the project types. rather than have to ask specific agencies to give it to us.”*

Agenda Item 5. Strategic Planning Implementation; for possible action.

Brenda Barnes, Board Member, CCSS provided an update on the strategic plan. The team has been working closely with the National Alliance to End Homelessness (NAEH) as part of their HUD Technical Assistance efforts and is preparing to rely on them to help implement the strategic plan. The strategic plan is reportedly in a strong position, with drafts completed, shared with the community, and revised based on feedback received. NAEH is expected to assist with rolling out the plan and engaging community partners in the implementation process, as community involvement was identified as an important component during feedback discussions. While the anticipated start date for services was May 1st, progress has been slightly delayed due to ongoing contract approval discussions between legal representatives to ensure all contract language is clearly understood and agreed upon.

Agenda Item 6. Identify emerging issues to be addressed by staff or by the Board at future meetings, and direct staff accordingly; for possible action.

Brenda Barnes, Board Member, CCSS provided an update to the board regarding upcoming funding and collaboration opportunities within the homelessness response system. HUD is expected to release the Continuum of Care (CoC) Builds NOFO again, with approximately \$175 million available nationwide for the development of permanent supportive housing projects. The increase reflects previously unawarded funding being added to the original allocation, and members were encouraged to consider whether there may be opportunities within the community to apply for these resources. Additionally, board members, particularly those representing jurisdictions, were reminded about the upcoming Clarity Connect conference and planned leadership convening for jurisdictions that contribute financially to the HMIS contract alongside CoC funding. The convening will provide an opportunity for participants to engage directly with executives from Bitfocus to discuss system needs, priorities, and desired improvements. Some conference registration support may also be available for participating jurisdictions.

Agenda Item 7. Adjournment

Meeting was adjourned at 4:00 pm.